Late Mesozoic intracontinental deformation and magmatism in the Chinese Tianshan and adjacent areas, Central Asia
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ABSTRACT

The Tianshan Range–Junggar Basin–Kalamaili Range system represents the southwestern Central Asian Orogenic Belt and is a natural laboratory for studying intracontinental deformation processes. Its current topography is a product of the far-field effects of the Cenozoic India-Asia collision. However, the Mesozoic topographic and tectonic evolution of the Tianshan and Kalamaili Ranges and their impacts on the Junggar Basin remain enigmatic due to the scarcity of data. Here, we present a comprehensive synthesis of sedimentological and geochronological data on these ranges and adjacent basins to reconstruct the intracontinental evolution from the Early Jurassic to the Early Cretaceous. Based on field observations and seismic profile analysis, we identified several unconformities within the late Mesozoic strata in the Tianshan Range and the Junggar Basin. Detrital zircon U–Pb dating results for Lower Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous sandstones of the eastern and southern Junggar Basin, with published paleocurrent data, reveal a complex intracontinental topographic evolution. Moreover, tuffaceous gravels and tuff samples yielded weighted mean zircon ⁴⁰⁰Pb/²⁰⁶U ages of 156.5 ± 3.2 Ma and 156.3 ± 2.2 Ma, respectively, which indicates the presence of contemporary magmatic activity. The deformation and magmatism mentioned above were possibly related to multi-plate convergence in East Asia during the late Mesozoic. This study provides new insights into the late Mesozoic tectonic-magmatic evolution of the Tianshan Range and its adjacent areas.

INTRODUCTION

As a main constituent of the vast Central Asian Orogenic Belt, the Tianshan Range is a prominent intracontinental deformation belt in Central Asia. It is widely accepted that the Tianshan Range–Junggar Basin system was initially established in the Paleozoic (Şengör et al., 1993; Xiao et al., 2009; Han et al., 2011; Charvet et al., 2011) and then was reactivated in response to accretionary and collisional events along the Eurasian margin during the Mesozoic (Hendrix et al., 1992; Dumitru et al., 2001; De Grave et al., 2007; Jolivet et al., 2010; Glorie et al., 2011). The tectonic evolution and basin-range coupling relationship between the Tianshan Range and adjacent basins has been widely explored (Hendrix et al., 1992; Greene et al., 2001; Bian et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2015; De Pelsmaeker et al., 2018; Nachtergaele et al., 2018). However, many uncertainties remain regarding the Mesozoic tectonic evolution of the regional basin-range dynamics (Jolivet et al., 2013) especially during the Early Jurassic to Early Cretaceous periods. For example, some scholars suggested that the Tianshan Range displayed a positive physiographic feature during the Jurassic (Hendrix et al., 1992; Dumitru et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2011), whereas others argued that the Tianshan Range and Junggar Basin might have been in an extensional tectonic setting due to post-orogenic extension after the Permian collision (Guo et al., 2005; Fang et al., 2006). Regional unconformities at the bases of the Middle Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous sections were identified in the Tianshan Range and Junggar Basin (Vincent and Allen, 2001; Yang et al., 2015a, 2017; this study). Moreover, the extensive occurrence of conglomerates in the Upper Jurassic Kalamaili Formation along the Junggar Basin margin further indicates Middle to Late Jurassic tectonic activity. However, these tectonic events are poorly recorded by low-temperature thermochronological data in the Chinese part of the Tianshan Range (Dumitru et al., 2001; Jolivet et al., 2010, 2013). Contemporaneous cooling is only recorded in the Kyrgyz Tianshan to the west (De Grave et al., 2013; Jepson et al., 2018). Therefore, reliable data on the formation of Jurassic planation surfaces are still quite limited (Jolivet et al., 2013; He et al., 2021a), and they do not reconcile with the Late Jurassic topographic evolution and growth of the range as revealed by sedimentological data (Jolivet et al., 2013). Compared to the basin-range coupling relationship between the Tianshan Range and southern Junggar Basin, the source-to-sink evolution between the Kalamaili Range (Fig. 1) and the eastern Junggar Basin during the Mesozoic is even more unclear due to the scarcity of detrital geochronological data.

The Junggar Basin, located to the north of the Tianshan Range (Fig. 1), preserves a continuous late Paleozoic to Cenozoic sedimentary record with minor hiatuses (Yang et al., 2013; Fang et al., 2015; Xiang et al., 2019). The Jurassic to Quaternary lacustrine to alluvial fan sedimentary sequences are well preserved and widely distributed along the southern and eastern margins of the Junggar Basin (Hendrix, 2000; Fang et al., 2005, 2006, 2015; Charreau et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2013). Based on available detrital geochemical and geochronological data, late Mesozoic (i.e., Jurassic–Cretaceous) magmatic zircons constitute a significant population of the clastic sediments from the southern and eastern Junggar Basin (Yang et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2015, 2019; Ji et al., 2018, 2019, 2020). In this regard, the origin of these zircons is an essential issue in understanding late Mesozoic magmatic events in the Tianshan and Kalamaili Ranges. In addition, although paleocurrent data from the late Mesozoic strata suggest that the Tianshan and Kalamaili Ranges were the dominant sediment source areas (Hendrix et al., 1992; Fang et al., 2005, 2015, 2019; Tang et al., 2014; Ji et al., 2018), controversies remain regarding the
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Figure 1. (A) Tectonic framework of the Central Asian Orogenic Belt and surrounding regions are after Şengör et al. (1993). (B) Schematic geological map of the Junggar Basin and the Chinese Tianshan Range is after XBGMR (2007) and Han and Zhao (2018). (C) Topographic map of the Junggar basin and surrounding mountain belts (from https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/). Names of ophiolite belts: a—South Tianshan ophiolite belt; b—North Tianshan ophiolite belt; c—Kalamaili ophiolite belt; d—Zhaheba-Aermantai ophiolite belt. See Table S1 (see footnote 1) for details on locations and crystallization ages of Paleozoic granitoid intrusions.
source of these zircons due to the poor exposure of late Mesozoic magmatic rocks in these areas. These uncertainties lead to the need for additional investigation of the late Mesozoic (i.e., Middle Jurassic to Cretaceous) tectono-magmatic events in the Tianshan area and its surroundings. In this contribution, we present new detrital zircon laser ablation–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) U-Pb dating results for Lower Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous sedimentary rocks in the eastern and southern Junggar Basin (Fig. 2). By combining these data with field observations and seismic profile analyses, we aim to (1) elucidate the late Mesozoic changes in sedimentary provenance.

Figure 2. (A) Geological map shows the Urumqi area along the southern margin of the Junggar Basin and the North Tianshan Arc (XBGMR, 2007). (B) Geological map shows sampling sites and stratigraphic relations in the eastern Junggar Basin and the Kalamaili Range (XBGMR, 1965). (C, D, and E) Cross-sections along the Hutubi (A-A'), Liuhuanggou (B-B'), and Wangjiangou (C-C') areas. J<sub>1</sub>s—Lower Jurassic Sangonghe Formation; J<sub>2</sub>x—Middle Jurassic Xishanyao Formation; J<sub>2</sub>t—Middle Jurassic Toutunhe Formation; J<sub>3</sub>k—Upper Jurassic Kalazha Formation; K<sub>1</sub>q—Lower Cretaceous Qingshuihe Formation; K<sub>1</sub>h—Lower Cretaceous Hutubi Formation. Sampling locations are indicated by stars.
and the basin-range relationship, (2) identify the source of the late Mesozoic magmatic zircons, and (3) reconstruct the late Mesozoic tectonomagmatic evolution of the Tianshan and Kalamaizi Ranges.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Tianshan Orogen

The Tianshan Range is a 2500-km-long orogenic belt in Central Asia, and its highest peak is up to 7400 m (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975). As a major constituent of the southwestern Central Asian Orogenic Belt, it extends from west to east through the Central Asian countries to the Xinjiang province in northwestern China (Gao et al., 1998; Windley et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2008; Kröner et al., 2014). The Chinese Tianshan Range is geographically divided into the western and eastern segments by the Urumqi-Korla line (Fig. 1; Xiao et al., 2004). It is traditionally subdivided into three tectonic units from north to south by ophiolite belts and major faults that include the North Tianshan Arc, the Yili-Central Tianshan Block, and the South Tianshan Belt (Fig. 1; Gao et al., 1998, 2009; Charvet et al., 2007, 2011; He et al., 2021b).

The South Tianshan Belt is separated from the Tarim Craton to the south by the North Tarim Fault (Fig. 1) and mainly consists of Ordovician to Carboniferous marine sediments with intermittent volcanic sequences and overlying Permian continental clastic rocks, and Mesozoic–Cenozoic clastic successions occur along its southern margin (Gao et al., 1998; Han et al., 2016a; Zhong et al., 2019). Magmatic events in the South Tianshan Belt mainly occurred at ca. 450–380 Ma and ca. 300–270 Ma and were related to the closure of the Paleo-Tianshan Ocean and post-collisional extension (e.g., Konopelko et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015). The Yili–Central Tianshan Block was assembled by the collision between the Yili Block and the Central Tianshan due to the consumption of the intervening Terskey Ocean during the Silurian to Early Devonian (Fig. 1; Gao et al., 2009; Han et al., 2011; Han et al., 2016b). The Yili–Central Tianshan Block is considered to be a micro-continent with a Proterozoic metamorphic basement intruded by various Paleozoic granitic plutons (e.g., Dong et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014, 2017; He et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2019; Su et al., 2021). The North Tianshan Arc traditionally refers to the region between the Yili–Central Tianshan Block and the Junggar Arc and is an accretionary belt composed of Late Carboniferous volcanic-sedimentary rocks and ophiolitic slices (Fig. 1; Wang et al., 2006; Han et al., 2010). This region predominantly comprises Late Paleozoic sedimentary-volcanic strata and magmatic intrusions with no verified Precambrian rocks (Gao et al., 1998). Part of the North Tianshan Arc, the Bogda Range, is dominated by Paleozoic strata covered by Jurassic sediments (Wartes et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2013, 2015). The Bogda Range can be further divided into western and eastern sections (Fig. 1; Ji et al., 2018). The western Bogda Range mainly consists of Late Carboniferous and Early Permian strata (XBGR, 1993), while the eastern part comprises volcanic-sedimentary rocks of the Ordovician to the Early Carboniferous Qiergusitao Group and the Late Carboniferous Dashitou Group (XBGR, 1993).

The Kalamaizi Range

The Kalamaizi Range is bounded by the Junggar Basin to the south and the Chinese Altai Range to the north (Xiao et al., 2008), and it can further be divided into a southern and northern section along the Kalamaizi Fault and ophiolite belt (Fig. 1). The Kalamaizi Range is mainly composed of Ordovician to Permian volcanic and siliciclastic rocks, limestones, and cherts (Xu et al., 2015). The South Kalamaizi Range mainly consists of the succession of Lower Carboniferous to Permian sedimentary rocks (XBGR, 1993), while the North Kalamaizi Range comprises Ordovician–Carboniferous magmatic rocks (XBGR, 1993). The ophiolite belt is located along the Kalamaizi Fault (Xiao et al., 2014), and recent LA-ICP-MS and secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) zircon U-Pb dating of gabbros and plagiogranites from the ophiolite yielded ages ranging from 417 Ma to 342 Ma (Huang et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015).

The Junggar Basin

The Junggar Basin, north of the Tianshan Range, is one of the most prominent walled sedimentary basins in Central Asia (Fig. 1). It began to evolve into an intracontinental basin after the late Paleozoic (Hendrix et al., 1992; Bian et al., 2010; Jolivet et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2019). The Mesozoic evolution of this basin, however, remains highly disputed. Some authors proposed that the Mesozoic Junggar Basin was a foreland basin (Hendrix et al., 1992; Hendrix, 2000; Chen et al., 2002), whereas others considered it to be a fault-controlled continental depression in a post-orogenic extensional setting (Allen et al., 1991, 1993; Fang et al., 2006; Jolivet et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013). The present-day Junggar Basin is an intracontinental foreland basin affected by the distant effects of the Cenozoic India-Asia collision (Avouac et al., 1993; Hendrix et al., 1994; Li et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2013).
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The deposition is alternately gray-green and purplish-red, which reflects alternate depositional environments between humid and dry climates (Fig. 3). The Upper Jurassic Qigu Formation (J₃q) mainly comprises brown-purple mudstones and sandstones with thinly bedded limestone interlayers at the bottom. The mudstone is restricted in the upper part, which alludes to an ancient arid environment and oxidizing setting (Hendrix et al., 1992; Fang et al., 2005). The sequence is characteristic of shallow lacustrine to alluvial plain environments. The Upper Jurassic Kalazha Formation (J₃k) is composed of brown-reddish, thick-bedded conglomerates that represent a sizeable alluvial fan deposit (Fang et al., 2005). The conglomerates unconformably overlie the fine-grained red beds and seem to mark the onset of tectonic uplift of the Tianshan Range and its adjacent areas (Yang et al., 2013; Fang et al., 2015, 2016).

In the eastern Junggar Basin, the Toutunhe, Qigu, and Kalazha Formations were designated as the Shishugou Group (J₂–₃sh) (Pu et al., 1994; Vincent and Allen, 2001). The sediments of the Shishugou Group are composed of brown-redish, thick-bedded conglomerates with coarsening-upward sequences deposited in a multiphase, alluvial fan environment (Fig. 3; Vincent and Allen, 2001). The Kalazha Formation is absent (Vincent and Allen, 2001).

The Lower Cretaceous Tugulu Group in the southern Junggar Basin consists of the Qinshuihe (K₁q) and Hutubi Formations (K₁h). A regional unconformity was recognized between the Tugulu Group and the underlying Kalazha Formation (Vincent and Allen, 2001). The Tugulu Group contains gray-green mudstones interbedded with sandstones, which indicates a lacustrine environment (Fig. 3; Hendrix et al., 1992; Fang et al., 2005, 2016). In the eastern Junggar Basin, the lowermost Cretaceous strata (equivalent to the Qinshuihe Formation in the southern Junggar Basin) are missing, and the basal Cretaceous unconformity is regionally developed (Vincent and Allen, 2001). In addition, the sedimentary facies boundary that changes from the Upper Jurassic alluvial fan into the Lower Cretaceous lacustrine environment suggests the onset of lake transgression (Vincent and Allen, 2001).

Late Mesozoic Tectonic Deformation

Several unconformities are identified in the Tianshan Range and its adjacent areas within the late Mesozoic sequences. The Cretaceous basal unconformities are regionally developed and...
were observed at several sections in the Tianshan Range and its adjacent basins (Yang et al., 2015a). In the Tianshan Range, the Lower Cretaceous Tugulu Group overlies the Middle Jurassic Toutunhe Formation, while the Upper Jurassic Qigu and Kalazha Formations are absent (Yang et al., 2017). In the Tarim, Junggar, and Turpan-Hami basins, the Upper Jurassic strata are only locally observed. Meanwhile, conglomerates are widely distributed at the base of the Lower Cretaceous strata in these basins and delineate an angular unconformity. Our field observations in the southern Junggar Basin also indicate the occurrence of this widespread unconformity surface (Figs. 4A–4C). For example, in the Huhubi section, the occurrence of the Lower Cretaceous Qingshuihe Formation (K1q) conglomerate is 10°–40°, whereas that of the underlying Upper Jurassic Kalazha Formation (J,K) sandstone is 300°–72° (Fig. 4A). The same unconformity was examined in the Lihuanggou (Fig. 4B) and Wangjiajia sections (Fig. 4C). In the former section, the orientation and dip of the conglomerate in the Lower Cretaceous Qingshuihe Formation is 340°–68°, and the sandstone in the Upper Jurassic Kalazha Formation shows values of 355°–78°, which indicates an angular unconformity (Fig. 4B). In the latter section, the occurrence of the strata above the unconformity is 335°–255°, and the strata under the unconformity 5°–72° (Fig. 4C). These regional angular unconformities indicate that Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous tectonic events have exerted a broad effect on the Tianshan Range and Junggar Basin.

Prior to the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous deformation, the Tianshan Range and Junggar Basin also underwent intense deformation during the Middle Jurassic (Yang et al., 2015a). A local disconformity between the Middle Jurassic Toutunhe Formation and the underlying Xishanyao Formation was found along several margins of the Junggar Basin (Figs. 4D–4F; Vincent and Allen, 2001; He et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2015a, 2017). In the Huhubi section, a conglomerate layer interbedded with sandstone with cross-beds was observed in the lower part of the Toutunhe Formation. It changes upward into red and green sandstone and mudstone (Fig. 4D). The gravels at the bottom of the Toutunhe Formation display well-rounded clasts with high maturity. The upper part of the underlying Xishanyao Formation consists of a series of gray (non-pebbled) quartz sandstones with cross-beding. The top of the quartz sandstone layer is convex and uneven, representing an unconformity and a depositional gap. The dip angles of the strata above and below the unconformity are similar (Fig. 4D), indicating a disconformity. Similar disconformities were also identified in the Lihuanggou (Fig. 4E) and Wangjiajou sections (Fig. 4F) near Urumqi. In the eastern Junggar Basin, disconformity planes also occur at the base of the Xishanyao and Shishugou Formations. Vincent and Allen (2001) suggested that the generation of these unconformities resulted from episodic uplift in the Kalamaiui Range.

Seismic images show clear Lower Cretaceous base unconformities at the margin and center of the Junggar Basin (Fig. 5; Yang et al., 2015a; Wang et al., 2018a). Below the unconformity, the Upper and Middle Jurassic strata were truncated, and the Upper Jurassic strata are absent within the basin. The dip angles between Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous strata are different, which indicates an angular unconformity (Fig. 5) due to intense deformation events. These events also led to folding in the central depression (Fig. 5). The Jurassic strata under the unconformity dip gently in the northwestern and central sections (Figs. 5A–5B) and steeply in the southeastern regions (Fig. 5C), which indicates that local deformation in the eastern and southern Junggar Basin was more intensive than that in the northwestern and central areas of the basin. This Lower Cretaceous unconformity is also observed in the Tianshan Range (Yang et al., 2015b, 2017) and extends westward to Kazakhstan (Jolivet et al., 2013), which probably indicates a widespread regional tectonic event. Also, in the Tianshan Range, the lack of the Upper Jurassic Qigu and Kalazha Formations implies that this tectonic event may have initiated after the depositional period of the Toutunhe Formation. The Toutunhe Formation was also locally truncated in the Junggar Basin (Fig. 5; Yang et al., 2015b). Seismic profiles through the Junggar Basin show that a fold nappe formed on the hanging wall of a thrust fault during the Late Jurassic (Fig. 5), which led to denudation of the Upper Jurassic strata on the top of the fold. Corresponding growth strata are widely distributed at the basin margins and the center. They are visible in the seismic profiles of the late Middle Jurassic Toutunhe Formation and the Upper Jurassic Qigu and Kalazha Formations (Figs. 5B–5C; Wang et al., 2018a). These lines of evidence show that both the Tianshan and its adjacent areas experienced regional intracratonic deformation from the Middle Jurassic to the Early Cretaceous.

**DETRITAL ZIRCON LA-ICP-MS U-Pb GEOCHRONOLOGY**

**Sandstones and Conglomerates**

A total of 10 sandstone samples from the Lower Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous strata in the eastern and southern Junggar Basin were collected for detrital zircon LA-ICP-MS U-Pb dating. More than 100 zircon grains were picked from each sample. Cathodoluminescence (CL) images of typical zircon grains are presented in Figure 6. Detailed descriptions of the analytical methods used here can be found in Supplemental Material Tables S1–S3. The results are listed in Table S2. Zircon ages younger than 1000 Ma are based on 206Pb/238U ratios, whereas ages older than 1000 Ma are based on 207Pb/206Pb ratios. Ages with a discordance degree of <10% are used in the discussion (Fig. 7). The detrital zircon U-Pb age groups and the corresponding statistical data are shown in Table 1. The ages obtained from the 10 samples range from 3160 Ma to 125 Ma and can be divided into four groups: 3160–542 Ma (Precambrian), 538–382 Ma (early Paleozoic), 378–253 Ma (late Paleozoic), and 251–125 Ma (Mesozoic) (Fig. 8; Table 1). Most Mesozoic and Paleozoic zircons show well-developed oscillatory zoning (Fig. 6) and higher Th/U ratios (>0.4) (Table S2), which suggests a magmatic origin. Most of the Precambrian zircons are interpreted to reflect a magmatic origin, and very few can be regarded as metamorphic zircons due to their faint internal zoning or inherited cores (Fig. 6; Hoskin and Black, 2000; Corfu et al., 2003).

**Samples from the Eastern Junggar Basin**

Sample BS18-2 was collected from a gray, coarse-grained sandstone in the middle part of the Lower Jurassic Badaowan Formation. Late Paleozoic zircons from this sample range from 253 Ma to 366 Ma in age, with two prominent peaks at 327 Ma and 287 Ma (Fig. 8A; Table 1). The second population is represented by early Paleozoic zircons that range from 515 Ma to 429 Ma (13%), with one subordinate peak at 456 Ma. There are also a few early Mesozoic zircon grains (250–194 Ma). These zircons generally are elongated euhedral crystals with clear oscillatory zoning (Fig. 6A) and have high Th/U ratios of 0.40–2.92 (Table S2), which indicates a magmatic origin. An additional four zircons display Neoproterozoic ages (955–894 Ma) (Fig. 6A). Sample BS18-4 was collected from the bottom of the Lower Jurassic Sangonghe Formation. The age spectrum of this sample is similar to that of sample BS18-2 except for a noticeable increase in early Paleozoic zircons. Most zircons show high Th/U ratios that range...
from 0.40 to 2.38 (Table S2) and well-developed oscillatory zoning (Fig. 6B), which suggests a dominant origin from magmatic rocks.

Sample BS18-3 was sampled from the Middle–Upper Jurassic Shishugou Group, and 45% concordant analyses yielded late Paleozoic ages (362–256 Ma with a peak at 330 Ma) (Table 1). In addition, ~14% of the dated zircons have Early to Middle Jurassic U-Pb ages.
that range from 198 Ma to 158 Ma, with a young age peak of 173 Ma (Fig. 8C; Table 1). These zircons are euhedral in shape with well-developed oscillatory zoning (Fig. 6C) and high Th/U ratios (Table S2). Thus, they are interpreted to be of magmatic origin, which indicates the presence of Jurassic magmatic components in the source.

Sample BS18-1 was collected from the Lower Cretaceous Tugulu Group. Compared with the Jurassic samples, the proportion of Jurassic-age zircons in this sample (180–148 Ma with an age peak of 161 Ma) significantly increases from 14% to 47% (Fig. 8D; Table 1). In contrast, the late Paleozoic zircon grains are also a key component (34%), with a peak age at 416 Ma. The majority of the zircons from this sample are magmatic in origin, as shown by their well-developed oscillatory zoning patterns (Fig. 6E).

Sample WJG18-4 is from red, coarse-grained sandstone and was collected from the Middle Jurassic Toutunhe Formation. It differs from the previous samples by the first appearance of a group of Middle–Late Jurassic zircons (~36%), ranging in age from 175 Ma to 151 Ma, with a prominent peak at 161 Ma (Fig. 8F; Table 1). The late Paleozoic zircons are another significant component in this sample (~34%), with a prominent peak at 295 Ma. The proportion of early Paleozoic zircon significantly decreases to 19% compared to the previous sample. Almost all of these zircons are attributed to magmatic origins according to their well-developed oscillatory zoning (Fig. 6F) and high Th/U ratios (Table S2).

Samples WJG18-5 and WJG18-3 are from the Upper Jurassic Qigu and Kalazha Formations, respectively. Similar to sample WJG18-4, the Jurassic zircons are a dominant group, with ages ranging from 197 Ma to 155 Ma (with peaks at 169 Ma and 165 Ma, respectively) (Figs. 8G–8H; Table 1). Compared with the Middle Jurassic samples, the proportion of the early Paleozoic zircons decreases significantly. Almost all late Mesozoic and Paleozoic zircons display well-developed oscillatory zoning (Figs. 6G–6H) and
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...have high Th/U ratios (Table S2), which is suggestive of a magmatic origin.

Sample WJG18-1 was collected from a gray conglomerate in the Lower Cretaceous Qingshuihe Formation. This sample is dominated by late Paleozoic zircons that range in age from 377 Ma to 254 Ma (~51%), with a prominent peak at 321 Ma. The second population is formed by early Paleozoic zircons (531–390 Ma, a peak at 454 Ma) (Fig. 8; Table 1). Abundant Jurassic zircons yield ages from 200 Ma to 153 Ma with a peak at 169 Ma (11%). These zircons are of magmatic origin as indicated by their elongated euhedral crystal habit with clear oscillatory zoning (Fig. 6I) and high Th/U ratios that range from 0.25 to 3.63 (Table S2). Unlike other samples, abundant Precambrian zircons from the age interval 2789–542 Ma account for 17%.

Figure 6. Cathodoluminescence (CL) images of representative detrital zircons from the Lower Jurassic to Upper Cretaceous samples in (A–D) the eastern Junggar Basin and (E–J) the southern Junggar Basin are shown. Circles indicate positions of laser spots for age analyses. The analytical numbers and apparent ages are indicated. Scale bar = 24 μm.
Sample WJG18-2 is from red, fine-grained sandstone in the Lower Cretaceous Hutubi Formation. It differs from all previous samples by the first appearance of abundant Early Cretaceous zircons that range in age from 143 Ma to 125 Ma (~20%), with a prominent peak at 131 Ma, which indicates the presence of Cretaceous source rocks (Fig. 8J; Table 1). Late Paleozoic zircons (375–258 Ma) are still significant in this sample and account for 45% of the total (Table 1). These zircons are interpreted as having a magmatic origin based on their well-developed oscillatory zoning (Fig. 6J) and high Th/U ratios.

**Tuffaceous Gravels and Tuff Samples**

Zircon U-Pb dating results for tuffaceous gravels and tuff samples are presented in Table S3, and the ages are plotted on Concordia diagrams (Fig. 9). Most of the zircons from the gravel and tuff samples are euhedral to subhedral in shape, with length/width ratios that range from 2:1 to 4:1. They show clear oscillatory zoning (Fig. 9) and have relatively high Th/U ratios that range from 0.44 to 2.96 (Table S3), which indicates an igneous origin.

Figure 7. U-Pb Concordia plots for detrital zircons of the Lower Jurassic to Upper Cretaceous samples in the southern and eastern Junggar Basin are shown. (A) <1800 Ma grains. (B) <600 Ma grains.
TABLE 1. DETRITAL ZIRCON U-Pb AGE GROUPS OF THE 10 SAMPLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no. and stratigraphic age</th>
<th>Precambrian zircons (542–3149 Ma)</th>
<th>Paleozoic zircons (253–538 Ma)</th>
<th>Triassic (201–251 Ma)</th>
<th>Jurassic (148–200 Ma)</th>
<th>Cretaceous (125–251 Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Cretaceous samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WJG18-2 (K, h) 101</td>
<td>762–1934 8%</td>
<td>390–531 (45) 19%</td>
<td>254–377 (321) 51%</td>
<td>153–200 (169) 11%</td>
<td>230–251 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WJG18-1 (K, q) 104</td>
<td>542–2801 17%</td>
<td>382–527 (425) 17%</td>
<td>258–375 (286) 45%</td>
<td>149–195 (168) 8%</td>
<td>124–143 (131) 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS18-1 (K, t, q) 105</td>
<td>558–1968 8%</td>
<td>348–502 6%</td>
<td>256–371 (309) 36%</td>
<td>149–195 (168) 8%</td>
<td>124–143 (131) 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Jurassic samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WJG18-3 (J, x) 104</td>
<td>857–2223 8%</td>
<td>886–516 (416) 39%</td>
<td>257–380 (337) 72%</td>
<td>158–198 (173) 30%</td>
<td>236–251 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WJG18-6 (J, q) 105</td>
<td>1032–1758 4%</td>
<td>886–516 (416) 39%</td>
<td>257–380 (337) 72%</td>
<td>158–198 (173) 30%</td>
<td>236–251 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Jurassic samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS18-3 (J, s, h) 105</td>
<td>590–2584 7%</td>
<td>886–516 (416) 39%</td>
<td>257–380 (337) 72%</td>
<td>158–198 (173) 30%</td>
<td>236–251 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS18-4 (J, t) 102</td>
<td>681–2505 6%</td>
<td>886–516 (416) 39%</td>
<td>257–380 (337) 72%</td>
<td>158–198 (173) 30%</td>
<td>236–251 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS18-5 (J, x) 105</td>
<td>570–3149 4%</td>
<td>886–516 (416) 39%</td>
<td>257–380 (337) 72%</td>
<td>158–198 (173) 30%</td>
<td>236–251 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Jurassic samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS18-1 (K, j, x) 105</td>
<td>894–1105 4%</td>
<td>429–515 (456) 13%</td>
<td>257–380 (337) 72%</td>
<td>158–198 (173) 30%</td>
<td>236–251 4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Variation of Provenance

Paleocurrent measurements from the late Mesozoic strata in the southern Junggar Basin indicated that the Tianshan Range was the dominant provenance area during this time (Hendrix et al., 1992; Fang et al., 2005, 2015). However, southward-flowing paleocurrents from the Lower Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous strata in the eastern Junggar Basin alternatively suggested that the Kalamaili Range was the dominant source area (Hendrix et al., 1992; Vincent and Allen, 2001). The zircon U-Pb age spectra of detrital samples show a significant multimodal distribution, which implies a diverse provenance and the possible joining of other sources during the Early Jurassic–Early Cretaceous.

Provenance Changes of the Eastern Junggar Basin

The U-Pb age pattern of the detrital zircons from the Lower Jurassic Badaowan Formation (sample BS18-2) shows similarities to those of the Sangonghe Formation (sample BS18-4). The latter is characterized by a significant multimodal distribution with two dominant early Carboniferous to Early Permian age peaks and a minor Ordovician age peak (Figs. 8A–8B). The Kalamaili Range is dominated by Ordovician to Permian volcanic and siliciclastic rocks (Xiao et al., 2006). The succession of Lower Carboniferous–Permian sedimentary rocks is well developed in the South Kalamaili Range (XBGMR, 1993). The early Paleozoic arc magmatic rocks are primarily distributed in the Yemaquan area along the North Kalamaili Range (Li et al., 2009). Combined with southward-flowing paleocurrents in the southern Junggar Basin (Fang et al., 2005), these late Paleozoic zircons are thus mainly derived from the late Paleozoic magmatic belt of the South Kalamaili Range, while early Paleozoic zircons are derived from the North Kalamaili Range (Fig. 10A). Compared with the Badaowan Formation, the proportion of early Paleozoic zircons (peak age at 445 Ma) in the Sangonghe Formation slightly increased (Figs. 8A–8B), which indicates the erosion of the older sedimentary sources (Fig. 10A). Proterozoic ages are nearly absent. Most scholars believe that solid evidence for Precambrian rocks is still lacking in the eastern Junggar Basin and Kalamaili Range (Xiao et al., 2004; Long et al., 2012; Han and Zhao, 2018). However, Xu et al. (2015) argued that a Precambrian basement exists in this region due to the discovery of ca. 2.5 Ga and ca. 1.9 Ga gneiss and quartzite enclaves in a dioritic dike in the South Kalamaili Range.

There is a dramatic change in the age spectrum of the Upper–Middle Jurassic Shishgou Group (sample BS18-3), with the first appearance of Jurassic magmatic zircons (peak age at 173 Ma) (Fig. 8C), which indicates syn-depositional magmatic sources. Pu et al. (1994) also found Late Jurassic tuffs in the lower part of the Qigu Formation in the Caijan section near the Kalamaili area. Their occurrence suggests that magmatic activity probably took place in the Kalamaili Range during the early depositional phase of the Shishgou Group (Fig. 10C). The other two sources of zircons again correspond to the late Paleozoic magmatic rocks of the South Kalamaili Range (peak age at 330 Ma) and the early Paleozoic magmatic rocks of the North Kalamaili Range (peak age at 466 Ma), respectively (Fig. 10D). The older-age zircons may be derived from recycling of the underlying cover deposited in the South Kalamaili Range.

The age population pattern of the Lower Cretaceous Tugulu Group (sample BS18-1) still yields Middle to Late Jurassic magmatic zircons (peak age at 161 Ma) (Fig. 8D), which reflects recycling from the underlying Middle–Late Jurassic sedimentary series (Fig. 10E). Compared with sample BS18-3, the proportion of the Paleozoic age population in this sample dropped markedly, which indicates that the sedimentary contribution of the Paleozoic magmatic rocks from the Kalamaili Range further decreased. The sharp facies boundary from the Upper Jurassic alluvial fan to the Lower Cretaceous lacustrine environment (Yang et al., 2013), together with potential recycling of the Jurassic series, suggest the onset of a new lake transgression (Fig. 10E). This event may reflect renewed active erosion during the Early Cretaceous in accordance with the ca. 130 Ma apparent apatite fission-track ages obtained in the Kalamaili Range (Li et al., 2014; He et al., 2022).
Figure 8. Relative probability plots and histograms (insets) show U-Pb ages of detrital zircons from the Lower Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous samples in (A–D) the eastern Junggar Basin and (E–J) the southern Junggar Basin.
Provenance Changes of the Southern Junggar Basin

The zircon U-Pb age spectrum of the Middle Jurassic Xishanyao Formation (sample WJG18-5) shows that there might have been a mixed provenance from the Yili-Central Tianshan Block and North Tianshan Arc (Fig. 10B). Specifically, it includes the late Carboniferous (peak at 367 Ma) to Early Permian (peak at 305 Ma) magmatic rocks of the North Tianshan Arc Block and the northern margin of the Yili-Central Tianshan Block, the Late Devonian magmatic rocks (peak at 416 Ma) of the Yili-Central Tianshan Block, and a few basement rocks (Fig. 8E). Fang et al. (2015) observed a few chert fragments in the Xishanyao Formation, which may come from the South Tianshan Belt. The increased contribution from the South Tianshan Belt and Yili-Central Tianshan Block implies that the topography of the North Tianshan Arc was probably smooth enough so that rivers could cut through and bring sediments from the South Tianshan Belt and Yili-Central Tianshan Block to the north during this period (Yang et al., 2013). In addition, meandering fluvial to lacustrine, coal-bearing deposits are well-developed inside the North Tianshan Arc (Fang et al., 2005), which indicates a low relief topography in the Middle Jurassic.

Compared with the Xishanyao Formation, the U-Pb ages from the detrital zircons of the Toutunhe Formation (sample WJG18-4) present an abrupt change with the first appearance of abundant Late Jurassic magmatic zircons (age peak at 161 Ma), which indicates a new source. These zircons were formed simultaneously during the deposition of the Toutunhe Formation, which suggests syn-depositional magmatism was occurring at this time (Fig. 10C). Fang et al. (2015) considered the Jurassic magmatic rocks of the northern Tianshan area to have been distributed initially along the North Tianshan Fault. The other source of zircons corresponds to the late Paleozoic, post-collisional magmatic belt of the North Tianshan Arc (age peak of 295 Ma).

Although Yang et al. (2013) suggested that a vast drainage system encompassed the North Tianshan Arc and Yili-Central Tianshan Block, the local recycling from the early Mesozoic cover also might have occurred in the late Middle Jurassic.

The Upper Jurassic Qigu Formation (sample WJG18-6) shows that the proportion of the Paleozoic age population rose markedly (Fig. 8G), which indicates the sedimentary contribution of the Paleozoic magmatic rocks from the Tianshan Range further increased. In the Upper Jurassic Kalazha Formations (sample WJG18-3), the Middle–Late Jurassic zircons (peak age at 165 Ma) are dominant groups and attest to recycling from the Middle–Late Jurassic magmatic zircons underlying sedimentary strata in the North Tianshan Arc (Fig. 10D). The other two sources of zircons in the Kalazha Formation show some similarities to the Qigu Formation and again correspond to the late Paleozoic magmatic belt (peak age at 338 Ma) of the North Tianshan Arc and the early Paleozoic magmatic rocks (peak age at 452 Ma) of the Yili-Central Tianshan Block. This evidence suggests that the uplift and the subsequent erosional events started during the depositional period of the Toutunhe Formation rather than the Kalazha Formation. The older age groups may originate from zircons from the Proterozoic basement of the Yili-Central Tianshan Block.

The age spectrum of the Lower Cretaceous Qingshuihe Formation (sample WJG18-1) shows a dominant provenance from the late Carboniferous magmatic belt (peak age at 321 Ma) of the North Tianshan Arc (Fig. 10E). Fang et al. (2019) deemed that these sediments might also have been derived from the western Tianshan Volcanic Arc and older recycled sedimentary strata following the uplift of the Western Tianshan at the end of the Jurassic. The presence of Jurassic magmatic zircons attests to recycling from the underlying sediments. The age spectrum of the Lower Cretaceous Hutubi Formation (sample WJG18-2) exhibits a dramatic change with the first appearance of zircons from contemporaneous Early Cretaceous magmatic sources (peak age at 131 Ma) (Fig. 8I), which indicates continuous magmatic activity throughout the southern Junggar Basin since the Middle Jurassic (Fig. 10E). We deduce that the sedimentary sources of the Hutubi Formation that contains Early Cretaceous detrital zircons were derived from the western Bogda Range (see discussion below). In addition, the increase in Late Silurian zircons (peak age at 425 Ma) demonstrates that the southern edge of the Junggar Basin extended to the south, and the Yili-Central Tianshan Block began to provide detritus again (Fig. 10E).

Eastern versus Southern Junggar Basin

The age population patterns of the detrital zircons from the late Mesozoic sediments in the eastern and southern Junggar Basin exhibit apparent similarities. They are characterized by a significant multimodal distribution with dominant Late Jurassic and older Precambrian or Late Mesozoic zircon populations, which indicates mixed magmatic sources. In addition, since the deposition of the Tugulu Formation in the southern Junggar Basin and the Shishugou Formation in the eastern Junggar Basin, the provenance had experienced an abrupt change, with the first appearance of late Mesozoic magmatic sources. However, abundant Early Cretaceous zircons occur in the Lower Cretaceous Tugulu Group in the southern Junggar Basin rather than in the eastern Junggar. Considering that these zircons also did not appear in the Qingshuihe Formation in the southern Junggar Basin, we hypothesize that the Early Cretaceous magmatic rocks may have provided the main detritus for the upper part of the Lower Cretaceous sequences. Based on the detrital zircons...
geochronological data obtained in this study, sedimentary characteristics, and published data, we identify four tectonic evolution stages of the Tianshan-Kalamaili Ranges and Junggar Basin system from the Early Jurassic to the Early Cretaceous:

Stage 1: During the Early–Middle Jurassic period (ca. 201.3–166.2 Ma) (Figs. 10A–10B), a large area of the Tianshan Range experienced continuous erosion and peneplanation processes, and the sediment sources of the southern Junggar Basin gradually changed from the late Paleozoic magmatic rocks of the North Tianshan Arc (Fig. 10A; Yang et al., 2013; Fang et al., 2015) to the more distal and older rocks (Precambrian and early Paleozoic) of the Yili-Central Tianshan Block (Fig. 10B). However, the Kalamaili Range experienced episodic uplifts in this the same period (Figs. 10A–10B). The late Paleozoic magmatic rock from the South Kalamaili Range provided primary sources for the eastern Junggar Basin. At the same time, the eastern Bogda Range also was subjected to episodic uplifts (Ji et al., 2019), whereas the western Bogda area...
was a depositional region and received detrital materials from the latter mountain range (Fang et al., 2019; Ji et al., 2019). In addition, both northward and southward paleocurrents in Middle to Lower Jurassic sequences in the western Bogda area indicate that the North Tianshan Arc and Kalamaili Range were other vital source areas (Fang et al., 2019). The episodic uplifts of the Bogda Range are similar to the basin-range evolution between the Kalamaili Range and the eastern Junggar Basin (Ji et al., 2018, 2019, 2020; Fang et al., 2019), which implies that this episodic tectonism has a similar geodynamic origin.

Stage 2: By the time the Middle Jurassic Toutunhe Formation was deposited (ca. 166.2–160.8 Ma), the Tianshan Range formed a peneplain surface, and syn-depositional magmatic rocks of the North Tianshan Arc supplied the dominant materials for the southern Junggar Basin (Fig. 10C). However, the Kalamaili Range and the eastern Bogda Range experienced distinct tectonic uplift during the deposition of the Toutunhe Formation that was accompanied by contemporaneous magmatic activity (Ji et al., 2018, 2020). They provided the detrital sediments for the eastern Junggar Basin and the western Bogda region.

Stage 3: The western Tianshan, Bogda, and Kalamaili Ranges subsequently experienced uplift during the deposition of the Late Jurassic Qigu and Kalazha Formations (ca. 160.8–145 Ma), whereas the peneplain surface in other areas of the eastern Tianshan Range was preserved (Fig. 10D; Fang et al., 2019). The initial topographic disparity between the western and eastern Tianshan Range formed at this time (Ji et al., 2018). This Late Jurassic uplift in the western Tianshan (Dumitru et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2018b) and Bogda Ranges (Shen et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2015) was also recorded by apatite fission-track data.

Stage 4: During the Early Cretaceous, the Tianshan and Kalamaili Ranges experienced rapid exhumation and lake transgression was initiated in the Junggar Basin. The detrital sediments were sourced primarily from the recycling of older sediments with the addition of an Early Cretaceous magmatic source (Fig. 10E). Also, plentiful late Mesozoic magmatic zircons occurred in detrital sediments, which indicates episodic magmatic activity throughout the southeastern Junggar Basin since the deposition of the Toutunhe Formation.

**Late Mesozoic Magmatic Activity in the Tianshan and Adjacent Areas**

Compared with the samples of the Badaowan to Xishanyao Formations (ca. 201.3–166.2 Ma), a noticeable change in the age population pattern is the presence of plentiful Jurassic zircons with a peak age of 161 Ma in the Toutunhe Formation (sample WJG18-4) and the Shishugou Formation (sample BS18-3) (Fig. 11A). Generally, major episodes of magmatism in the Tianshan and adjacent areas occurred in the Paleozoic and locally extended to the Triassic (Guo et al., 2010). But since the late Mesozoic, the Tianshan has been an intracratonic region without widespread magmatism (Guo et al., 2010). However, in recent years, a growing number of field outcrops of late Mesozoic intrusions and volcanic rocks have been reported in the western (Xu et al., 2008), eastern (Pu et al., 1994; Vincent and Allen, 2001), and southern Junggar Ranges (Wang and Gao, 2012; Deng et al., 2010, 2015) and in the Bogda (Liu et al., 2019) and Altai Ranges (Liu et al., 2018).

Moreover, recent detrital zircon geochronological data and provenance analyses from the Mesozoic sediments in the southeastern Junggar Basin have revealed abundant Late Mesozoic magmatic zircons in clastic sediments (Yang et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2015, 2019; Ji et al., 2018, 2020; Xiang et al., 2019). For example, Yang et al. (2013) found abundant Jurassic detrital zircons with a peak age of 168 Ma in the Toutunhe Formation in the Manasi River section, southern Junggar Basin. Fang et al. (2015) suggested that the Toutunhe Formation is the earliest deposit that contains plentiful Late Jurassic magmatic zircons with a peak age of 157 Ma in the Toutun River section (southern Junggar Basin). Ji et al. (2018) and Fang et al. (2019) also found a noticeable change in age population patterns in the Toutunhe Formation in the Bogda Range compared with the underlying strata, with the occurrence of significant amounts of Middle–Late Jurassic zircons with peak ages of 165 Ma and 156 Ma. The Mesozo-Cenozoic detrital zircon data from the southeastern Junggar Basin areas obtained in previous studies also show the dominance of Middle–Late Jurassic zircons (with a peak age at 156 Ma) (Fig. 11B). These zircon clusters are elongated euhedral crystals with clear oscillatory zoning and high Th/U ratios, which is indicative of a magmatic origin. This evidence indicates that magmatic activity occurred in the Tianshan during the deposition of the Toutunhe Formation. However, previous studies have suggested that late Mesozoic magmatism was limited in volume (Yang et al., 2013) and broadly distributed along major strike-slip faults or rift belts in the Tianshan Range and adjacent areas (Guo et al., 2010). These Middle–Late Jurassic zircon ages also occur in the Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous strata, which indicates that these late Mesozoic magmatic rocks continued to supply detrital material to the Junggar Basin.

Near the Honggou section in the Manas River valley, southern Junggar Basin, thin tuff layers have been reported in the lower part of the Qigu Formation (XBGRM, 1993), and the dated zircons yielded ages between ca. 164.4 Ma and ca. 156.9 Ma (Wang and Gao, 2012; Deng et al., 2015). This is relatively reliable evidence of late Mesozoic volcanic activity in the northern part of the Tianshan Range. Pu et al. (1994) also reported Late Jurassic tuffs in the lower part of the Qigu Formation in the Kalamaili and Bogda areas. In this contribution, a thin tuff layer is also reported from the lower part of the Qigu Formation (Figs. 4G and 15).
4 K) near Urumqi, which yielded a weighted mean zircon \( ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U} \) age of 156.3 \( \pm \) 2.2 Ma (Fig. 9B). We also collected tuffaceous gravel samples (Fig. 4I) from the Lower Cretaceous Qingshuimei Formation conglomerate (Fig. 4H) in the Wangjigao section, and a weighted mean zircon \( ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U} \) age of 156.5 \( \pm \) 3.2 Ma was obtained (Fig. 9A). These data show the same chronological characteristics as the tuff strata in the Honggou section of the Manasi River. Further, the formation age of the afore-mentioned tuff is identical within error to those of detrital magmatic zircons from the overlying strata. They thus may represent the source for the overlying strata. However, it is challenging to provide such a large amount of Mesozoic magmatic zircons for the overlying strata from only a few thin tuff layers because the tuff itself does not contain substantial magmatic zircons (Deng et al., 2015). Moreover, the Upper Qigu Formation could not represent the source of the underlying Toutunhe Formation.

Through 150,000 scale geological mapping, late Mesozoic magmatic rocks have been identified in the Tianshan and Junggar Ranges. For example, Liu et al. (2018) discovered several Early Jurassic trachyandesites in the Fuyun region. They obtained a zircon \( ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U} \) age of 181.9 \( \pm \) 0.7 Ma, which confirms Early Jurassic magmatic activity in the northeastern Junggar Range. Liu et al. (2019) also found Late Jurassic monzonitic granite and diorite for the first time in the Mulei section of the Bogda Range. Zircon crystallization ages of 154.9 \( \pm \) 1.9 Ma and 152.7 \( \pm \) 1.8 Ma from these plutonic rocks were interpreted as their emplacement ages, which further provides evidence for Late Jurassic magmatic activity in the Tianshan Range. Also, from the Bogda Range, our group recently discovered an Early Cretaceous granodiorite outcrop with a crystallization age of 131.9 \( \pm \) 1.5 Ma (W.B., Zhu, 2021, personal commun.). In addition, a large number of Early Cretaceous detrital zircons with a peak age of 131 Ma were found in the Lower Cretaceous Hutubi Formation (K,h) of the southern Junggar Basin (Fig. 8). Based on the southwestern paleocurrents in the study area (Fang et al., 2005), we deduce that the sedimentary sources of the Hutubi Formation, which contain the Early Cretaceous detrital zircons, might be derived from the western Bogda Range. These together provide solid evidence for Early Cretaceous magmatic activity in the Tianshan Range. Nevertheless, future investigations will be needed to better document the Jurassic–Early Cretaceous magmatism in the Tianshan, and this study provides insights from a sedimentological perspective.

**Dynamics of the Late Mesozoic Tectono-Magmatic Events**

Hendrix et al. (1992) suggested that the late Mesozoic multi-stage uplift and related sedimentation in the Tianshan Range were correlated with three collisional events at the southern margin of Eurasia that include the collisions of the Qiangtang Block in the Late Triassic, the Lhasa Block in the latest Jurassic–earliest Cretaceous, and the Kohistan-Dras Arc in the Late Cretaceous. However, Vincent and Allen (2001) pointed out that the Early–Middle Jurassic strata in the eastern Junggar Basin contain numerous unconformities that do not match these collisional/accretionary events. For example, the unconformity at the base of the Middle Jurassic Xishanyao and Toutunhe Formations occurred between the time-gap of the Qiugtang-Kunlun accretion and the Lhasa-Qiangtang collision.

Unconformities at the base of the Lower Jurassic Badaowan and Sangonghe Formations and contemporaneous reverse faults were found localized in the Junggar Basin (Hendrix et al., 1992; Vincent and Allen, 2001; Yang et al., 2015a). Seismic profiles in the Junggar Basin show that pre-Jurassic strata underwent intense folding before the deposition of Jurassic strata, which formed a major angular unconformity at the base of the Lower Jurassic Badaowan Formation (Fig. 5). In addition, Yang et al. (2015a) suggested that the Late Triassic–Early Jurassic compressional deformation in Central Asia weakened northward. These unconformities were possibly related to the collision of the Qiangtang Block with Eurasia during the Late Triassic–Early Jurassic (Fig. 3; Glorie et al., 2010; De Grave et al., 2011; Jepson et al., 2018).

The unconformity at the base of the Middle Jurassic Xishanyao Formation is traceable in the central Junggar Basin and its eastern uplift (Vincent and Allen, 2001). Seismic profiles also show a basin-wide angular unconformity between the Lower Jurassic Sangonghe and Middle Jurassic Xishanyao Formations in the Turfan Basin (Greene et al., 2001). Yang et al. (2015a) proposed that this deformation was possibly related to the Early–Middle Jurassic closure of the western Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean (Fig. 3; Zorin, 1999). In addition, our detrital zircon U-Pb analyses show that the Yili-Central Tianshan Block provided detritus for the southern Junggar Basin during the deposition of the Badaowan-Xishanyao Formations (Figs. 10A–10B). Therefore, we hypothesize that the Tianshan Range was characterized by intense erosion during the Early–Middle Jurassic.

The unconformity between the Toutunhe and Xishanyao Formations is ubiquitous along the margins of the Junggar Basin (Figs. 4–5) and in the Hoxtolgay Basin (Yang et al., 2015a). This study also suggests that during the deposition of the Toutunhe Formation, the Kalamaili and eastern Bogda Ranges were subjected to distinct tectonic uplift accompanied by contemporaneous magmatic activity, which provided the source materials of the sediment supply for the eastern Junggar Basin and western Bogda. These lines of evidence suggest that the eastern Junggar experienced an intense intraplate deformation with volcanism during the Middle–Late Jurassic (Yang et al., 2015a). The occurrence of the thick alluvial conglomerate of the Upper Jurassic Kalaizha Formation along the margins of the Junggar also supports this point. Although the geodynamic origin of this tectonism is unclear, it might have resulted from the collision of the western Karakoram-Lhasa Block with Asia during the late Middle–Late Jurassic (Yang et al., 2017).

During the latest Jurassic–earliest Cretaceous, significant deformation such as folding occurred in the eastern Junggar Basin and completely changed the Late Jurassic structural and depositional pattern (Yang et al., 2015a, 2015b, 2017). The change of the sedimentary facies from the Upper Jurassic alluvial fan into the Lower Cretaceous lacustrine environment, together with the increased input of early Paleozoic detrital zircons, provide evidence for lake transgression and rapid uplift during this period (Fang et al., 2015). It is noted that the possible climate change (e.g., humid environment) in the Mesozoic could have triggered chemical weathering and led to enhanced surface erosion. However, angular unconformity surfaces along the Junggar Basin margins are well exposed in the field, which indicates that the underlying strata were strongly deformed. We hence prefer a tectonic origin for the widespread late Mesozoic unconformity in the study area. More importantly, coeval accelerated basement cooling in the western Chinese Tianshan (Wang et al., 2018b), Kyrgyzstan Tianshan (Jepson et al., 2018), and Bogda-Harlik Range (Zhu et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2015; Gillespie et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2020; He et al., 2022) was also recorded by low-temperature thermochronology. Our field observations, new sedimentological data, and seismic profiles solidly document this late Mesozoic episode of intracontinental deformation. It can be linked with significant compressional deformation driven by the contemporaneous accretion of the Kolyma-Omolon Block to the Siberian Craton (Oxman, 2003); closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean (Yang et al., 2015b); collision of the Karakoram-Lhasa Block to Eurasia (Yang et al., 2017); or the subduction of the Mesozoic oceanic plate (He et al., 2022). In addition, based on the zircon U-Pb ages of tuffs in the
lower part of the Upper Jurassic Qigu Formation and biostratigraphic evidence from the southeastern Junggar Basin, the initiation of the late Mesozoic tectono-magmatic event in the Tianshan Range and adjacent areas is constrained to have occurred in the late Middle Jurassic (ca. 160 Ma). This event was also probably related to the superimposed effect of the aforementioned tectonic episodes, which are generally regarded as major plate convergence sites in Central and East Asia during the late Mesozoic (Dong et al., 2000, 2007, 2015; Zhu et al., 2020).

CONCLUSIONS

(1) Based on field observations and seismic profile analyses, several unconformity surfaces within the Middle to Late Jurassic strata of the Tian Shan and Junggar Ranges were identified, which indicates late Mesozoic tectonic activity.

(2) Detrital zircon U-Pb dating results for the Lower Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous sandstones in the eastern and southern Junggar Basin, combined with published palaeocurrent analyses, reveal a complex intracontinental tectonic evolution.

(3) Since the late Middle Jurassic, plentiful late Mesozoic magmatic zircons appear in the age spectra of the detrital samples, with peaks at ca. 161 Ma and ca. 131 Ma. Moreover, tuffaceous gravels and tuff samples yielded weighted mean zircon 206Pb/238U ages of 156.5 ± 3.2 Ma and 156.3 ± 2.2 Ma, respectively, which indicates the presence of contemporary magmatic activity.

(4) Multi-directional tectonic deformation and multiple magmatic events occurred in the Tian Shan Range and adjacent areas during the Jurassic and Early Cretaceous. They were possibly related to the superimposed effects induced by late Mesozoic multi-plate convergence in East Asia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank two anonymous reviewers for detailed and constructive comments and associate editor Yongjiang Liu for editorial handling. This study was supported by the National Key R&D Plan of China (grants 2017YFC0610402 and 2018YFC0603703). The support provided by the China Scholarship Council (CSC, 201806190214) is appreciated for financing the research stay of Z. He in Belgium. We thank Rongsong Tian and Hailun Wu for generating diagrams. We are also grateful to Technician Bin Wu at the State Key Laboratory for Mineral Deposits Research, Nanjing University, China, for his help with zircon geochronologies analyses.

REFERENCES CITED


Late Mesozoic tectono-magmatic events of the Chinese Tianshan


Science Editor: Wenjiao Xiao

Manuscript Received 3 September 2021
Revised Manuscript Received 16 November 2021
Manuscript Accepted 26 December 2021

Printed in the USA