

A full discretization for the saddle-point approach of a degenerate parabolic problem involving a moving body

Van Chien Le, Marián Slodička*, Karel Van Bockstal

Research group NaM², Department of Electronics and Information Systems, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

Abstract

This paper aims to study a degenerate parabolic problem for a solenoidal vector field in which the time derivative acts on a moving body. We propose a fully-discrete finite element scheme combined with backward Euler's method for the saddle-point variational formulation. The convergence of this numerical scheme is proved and error estimates for some stable finite element pairs are also established.

Keywords: Degenerate parabolic problem, moving body, saddle-point formulation, finite element method
2010 MSC: 35K65, 65M60

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an open bounded connected polyhedral and Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^d , with $d = 2$ or 3 . Inside the domain Ω , a body $\Sigma_0 \in C^{2,1}$ is considered, which occupies different regions during the time interval $[0, T]$. We refer to Σ_0 as the reference configuration and describe the motion of Σ_0 by a C^3 -function

$$\Phi : \Sigma_0 \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\Phi_t := \Phi(\cdot, t)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ is a deformation of Σ_0 to $\Sigma(t) := \Phi(\Sigma_0, t)$, which is the space occupied by Σ_0 at time t , cf. [1]. We make the following assumptions throughout the article

$$\widetilde{\Sigma} := \bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} \overline{\Sigma(t)} \subset \Omega; \quad \det \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) > 0, \quad \forall (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Sigma_0 \times [0, T]. \quad (1)$$

The trajectory of the motion, which is a subset of the space-time domain $\mathcal{Q} := \Omega \times (0, T)$, is specified by

$$\mathbb{T} := \{(\mathbf{x}, t) : \mathbf{x} \in \Sigma(t), t \in [0, T]\}.$$

Since Φ_t is a bijective mapping for each t , the velocity vector of the moving body is defined by $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) := \dot{\Phi}(\Phi_t^{-1}(\mathbf{x}), t)$. From now on, we assume that there exists an extension of \mathbf{v} from \mathbb{T} to \mathcal{Q} such that $\mathbf{v} \in C^1(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})$. We denote further by \mathbf{n} the unit outward normal vector associated to the boundary of Ω and $\Sigma(t)$.

In this paper, we aim to investigate the following initial-boundary value problem for the solenoidal vector field \mathbf{u}

$$\begin{cases} \alpha \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \beta \Delta \mathbf{u} + \chi_\Sigma \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ [(\nabla \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{n}] = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial\Sigma \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0 & \text{in } \Sigma_0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: vanchien.le@ugent.be (Van Chien Le), marian.slodicka@ugent.be (Marián Slodička), karel.vanbockstal@ugent.be (Karel Van Bockstal)

where $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = [u^i(\mathbf{x}, t)]_{i=1}^d$, $\beta > 0$ is a constant and $\mathbf{f} \in \text{Lip}([0, T], \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$. Moreover, α and \mathbf{A} are defined by

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_\Sigma \chi_{\Sigma(t)} = \begin{cases} \alpha_\Sigma > 0 & \text{in } \Sigma(t) \\ 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{\Sigma(t)} \end{cases}, \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, t)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^d a^{ijk}(\mathbf{x}, t) \frac{\partial u^j(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x^k} + \sum_{j=1}^d b^{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t) u^j(\mathbf{x}, t) \right]_{i=1}^d,$$

where $a^{ijk}, b^{ij} \in \text{Lip}([0, T], \mathbf{L}^\infty(\Omega))$ with $i, j, k = 1, \dots, d$. For example, the operator \mathbf{A} can play the role of the convection, i.e. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}$, which is a part of the material derivative $\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} = \partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}$.

The system (2) is a degenerate parabolic problem. Moreover, it can be achieved from two different problems separately, namely a parabolic one on the trajectory \mathbb{T} where $\alpha > 0$ and an elliptic one otherwise, which are combined via the interface condition. Therefore, (2) is also called a parabolic-elliptic problem. The given initial guess \mathbf{u}_0 is supposed to satisfy that $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Sigma_0)$ and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$. Since Σ_0 is of the class $C^{2,1}$, there exists an extension of \mathbf{u}_0 such that $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$ and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$ in Ω , see [2, Proposition 4.1].

The motivation of studying the system (2) comes from the eddy current model describing an electromagnetic problem with a moving non-magnetic conductor considered in [3, 4, 5]. More specifically, the paper [4] introduces a time discretization for the saddle-point formulation of a degenerate parabolic problem for the divergence-free vector potential. In this setting, α and β stand for the electrical conductivity and the magnetic permeability constant of vacuum, respectively. The operator \mathbf{A} is defined as $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \sigma \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})$. A full space-time discretization of this problem has not been discussed yet. In [5], we propose a fully-discrete finite element scheme by incorporating a penalty term (Coulomb gauge) into the governing partial differential equation (PDE). However, the purpose of this paper is to introduce a fully-discrete finite element scheme for the saddle-point formulation of (2).

Let us mention also some other relevant recent results to the governing problem (2). In the paper [6], the author studied regularity of the solution to a parabolic-elliptic problem with moving parabolic subdomain, which was also motivated by an eddy current model with moving conductors. Nevertheless, the divergence-free condition and the convection-type term arising from the movement of conductors were not taken into account. The goal of [7] is to present an abstract framework for analyzing a family of linear degenerate parabolic mixed equations, then the paper [8] aims at introducing a fully-discrete approximation for this kind of problems. As stated in [8], the discrete inf-sup condition plays an important role for finite element analysis of the mixed problems, which allowed the authors to get quasi-optimal error estimate $O(\sqrt{\tau} + h/\sqrt{\tau})$. However, in these articles, all concerned domain and subdomains were fixed during the time process.

In the present paper, we propose a full discretization based on the finite element scheme and the backward Euler method for the variational formulation, see Section 3. The discrete inf-sup condition required for the existence of a discrete solution to the saddle-point approach together with handling terms acting on the moving body makes it challenging to establish an error estimate (with independent h and τ) for this numerical scheme, *which are the highlights of this contribution*. In the future, we aim to study the stability and to establish error estimates for the full discretization of the problem (2) with a jumping (non-Lipschitz) coefficient β , which still remains as a challenge at the moment. In the next section, we derive the mixed variational formulation for the degenerate parabolic problem (2).

2. Variational formulation

By means of the saddle-point approach, the variational formulation of the system (2) reads as follows:

Find $\mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))$ and $p(t) \in L_0^2(\Omega)$ such that for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$, it holds that

$$\alpha_\Sigma (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(t), \boldsymbol{\varphi})_{\Sigma(t)} + \beta (\nabla \mathbf{u}(t), \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi})_\Omega + (\mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{u}(t), \boldsymbol{\varphi})_{\Sigma(t)} + (p(t), \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi})_\Omega = (\mathbf{f}(t), \boldsymbol{\varphi})_\Omega \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega), \quad (3)$$

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}(t), q)_\Omega = 0 \quad \forall q \in L_0^2(\Omega). \quad (4)$$

Please note that the additional unknown p plays the role of the divergence of \mathbf{u} (see [4] for more details on the interpretation of p). Since $p(t) \in L_0^2(\Omega)$, the inf-sup condition is satisfied following from [9, Theorem 5.1 on p. 80]. Throughout this paper, we consider the following subspace of $\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$:

$$\mathbf{H}_0^1(\text{div}) = \{ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega) : \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0 \}.$$

The well-posedness of the mixed variational problem (3-4) can be proved by performing similar arguments as presented in [10, Theorem 5.1]. Thus, we can obtain the following result without the proof.

Theorem 2.1 (Well-posedness). *Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\text{div}) \cap \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $\Delta \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ on $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Sigma}_0$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{C}^1(\overline{Q})$ and $\mathbf{f} \in \text{Lip}([0, T], \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$. Moreover, we assume that $a^{ijk}, b^{ij} \in \text{Lip}([0, T], \mathbf{L}^\infty(\Omega))$ with $i, j, k = 1, \dots, d$. Then the system (3-4) admits exactly one solution (\mathbf{u}, p) satisfying $p \in \mathbf{L}^2((0, T), \mathbf{L}_0^2(\Omega))$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{C}([0, T], \mathbf{H}_0^1(\text{div}))$ with $\partial_t \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^2((0, T), \mathbf{H}_0^1(\text{div}))$.*

The following local regularity result provided by [11, Theorem 8.8] will play a crucial role for the error estimate of the full discretization scheme in the next section.

Corollary 2.1. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be fulfilled. Then for any subdomain $\Sigma' \subset\subset \Omega$ (i.e. $\overline{\Sigma'} \subset \Omega$), we have $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^2((0, T), \mathbf{H}^2(\Sigma'))$.*

We mention here the well-known Reynolds transport theorem, which will be helpful for further analysis of PDEs with time-dependent domains. Let $\omega(t)$ be a Lipschitz moving body whose velocity vector \mathbf{v} is of class \mathbf{C}^1 and f an abstract function satisfying $f(t) \in \mathbf{W}^{1,1}(\omega(t))$ and $\partial_t f(t) \in \mathbf{L}^1(\omega(t))$ for all $t \in (0, T)$. Then it holds that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\omega(t)} f \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\omega(t)} \partial_t f \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial\omega(t)} f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\mathbf{s}. \quad (5)$$

3. Full discretization

Let \mathbf{V}_0^h and \mathbf{V}^h be two finite-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{L}_0^2(\Omega)$, respectively. These spaces are equipped with two orthogonal projection operators $\mathbf{P}^h \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega), \mathbf{V}_0^h)$ and $\mathbf{P}^h \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_0^2(\Omega), \mathbf{V}^h)$. The time interval $[0, T]$ is divided into n equidistant subintervals with length $\tau = \frac{T}{n}$. The fully-discrete approximations of \mathbf{u} and p at time $t_i = i\tau$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) are denoted by \mathbf{u}_i^h and p_i^h , respectively. We also introduce the following notations

$$\delta \mathbf{u}_i^h = \frac{\mathbf{u}_i^h - \mathbf{u}_{i-1}^h}{\tau}, \quad \mathbf{u}_0^h = \mathbf{P}^h \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{A}(t_i), \quad \Sigma_i = \Sigma(t_i).$$

The full discretization of the mixed variational formulation (3-4) is defined as:

Find $\mathbf{u}_i^h \in \mathbf{V}_0^h$ and $p_i^h \in \mathbf{V}^h$ such that for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, it holds that

$$\alpha_\Sigma (\delta \mathbf{u}_i^h, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h)_{\Sigma_i} + \beta (\nabla \mathbf{u}_i^h, \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h)_\Omega + (\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{u}_i^h, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h)_{\Sigma_i} + (p_i^h, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h)_\Omega = (\mathbf{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h)_\Omega \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \in \mathbf{V}_0^h, \quad (6)$$

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_i^h, q^h)_\Omega = 0 \quad \forall q^h \in \mathbf{V}^h. \quad (7)$$

The solvability of the system (6-7) on every time step follows from the Brezzi theorem, cf. [12, Corollary 1.1].

Lemma 3.1 (Solvability). *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be fulfilled. Moreover, we assume that the discrete inf-sup condition is satisfied, i.e. there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \in \mathbf{V}_0^h, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h, q^h)_\Omega}{\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^h\|_{\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)}} \geq C \|q^h\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall q^h \in \mathbf{V}^h. \quad (8)$$

Then, for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and any $\tau < \tau_0$, there exists a unique couple $(\mathbf{u}_i^h, p_i^h) \in \mathbf{V}_0^h \times \mathbf{V}^h$ solving (6-7).

The following basic a priori estimate for iterates can be obtained in the same way as in [4, Lemma 4.3]. This estimate is crucial in obtaining the main result of this paper.

Lemma 3.2 (A priori estimate). *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.1 be fulfilled. In addition, we assume that \mathbf{u}_0^h solves the equation (7). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following relation holds true for any $\tau < \tau_0$*

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq n} \|\delta \mathbf{u}_l^h\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma_l)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_i^h\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \tau + \sum_{i=1}^n \|\delta \mathbf{u}_i^h - \delta \mathbf{u}_{i-1}^h\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma_{i-1})}^2 + \max_{1 \leq l \leq n} \|p_l^h\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C. \quad (9)$$

We define the following piecewise-constant and piecewise-affine in time functions, operator and domain

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t) = \mathbf{u}_i^h, \quad \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) = \mathbf{u}_{i-1}^h + (t - t_{i-1})\delta\mathbf{u}_i^h, \quad \bar{p}_n^h(t) = p_i^h, \quad \bar{\mathbf{f}}_n(t) = \mathbf{f}_i, \quad \bar{\mathbf{A}}_n(t) = \mathbf{A}_i, \quad \bar{\Sigma}_n(t) = \Sigma_i,$$

for every $t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i]$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, with the initial data

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(0) = \mathbf{u}_n^h(0) = \mathbf{u}_0^h, \quad \bar{p}_n^h(0) = 0, \quad \bar{\mathbf{f}}_n(0) = \mathbf{f}(0), \quad \bar{\mathbf{A}}_n(0) = \mathbf{A}(0), \quad \bar{\Sigma}_n(0) = \Sigma_0.$$

The following relation between Rothe's functions $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h$ and \mathbf{u}_n^h comes from the a priori estimate (9):

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i - t)^2 \|\nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_i^h\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_i^h\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \tau^3 \stackrel{(9)}{\lesssim} \tau^2. \quad (10)$$

Hence, the equations (6) and (7) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\alpha_\Sigma \left(\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t), \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_{\bar{\Sigma}_n(t)} + \beta \left(\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t), \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_\Omega + \left(\bar{\mathbf{A}}_n(t) \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t), \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_{\bar{\Sigma}_n(t)} + \left(\bar{p}_n^h(t), \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_\Omega = \left(\bar{\mathbf{f}}_n(t), \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_\Omega \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \in \mathbf{V}_0^h, \quad (11)$$

$$\left(\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t), q^h \right)_\Omega = 0 \quad \forall q^h \in \mathbf{V}^h. \quad (12)$$

Now, we are in the position to investigate the convergence of the full discretization scheme.

Theorem 3.1. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 be fulfilled. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following relation holds true for every $\xi \in [0, T]$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))}^2 dt + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(\xi) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(\xi)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^\xi \|\bar{p}_n^h(t) - p(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \\ & \leq C \left[\tau + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0 - \nabla \mathbf{P}^h \mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \sqrt{\int_0^\xi \|p(t) - \mathbf{P}^h p(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt} + \int_0^\xi \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) - \nabla \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \right]. \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Subtracting (3) for $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h$ from (11), then rewriting the result by the Reynolds transport theorem (5), we get for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_\Sigma \left(\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t), \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_{\Sigma(t)} + \beta \left(\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(t), \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_\Omega + \left(\bar{p}_n^h(t) - p(t), \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_\Omega + \left(\bar{\mathbf{A}}_n(t) - \mathbf{A}(t) \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t), \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_{\bar{\Sigma}_n(t)} \\ & + \left(\mathbf{A}(t) (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)), \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_{\bar{\Sigma}_n(t)} + \int_t^{\bar{t}_n} \int_{\partial \Sigma(\eta)} \left[\left(\alpha_\Sigma \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) + \mathbf{A}(t) \mathbf{u}(t) \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right] (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\eta) ds d\eta = \left(\bar{\mathbf{f}}_n(t) - \mathbf{f}(t), \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \right)_\Omega, \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{t}_n = \lceil \frac{t}{\tau} \rceil \tau$. Setting $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^h = \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)$ in (14), then integrating in time over $(0, \xi) \subset (0, T)$ and rearranging the result give us that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_\Sigma \int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))}^2 dt + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(\xi) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(\xi)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0^h - \nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & = -\alpha_\Sigma \int_0^\xi \left(\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t), \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) \right)_{\Sigma(t)} dt + \int_0^\xi \left(\bar{\mathbf{f}}_n(t) - \mathbf{f}(t), \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) \right)_\Omega dt \\ & \quad - \beta \int_0^\xi \left(\nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(t), \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) - \nabla \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) \right)_\Omega dt - \beta \int_0^\xi \left(\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(t), \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) \right)_\Omega dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^\xi (\bar{p}_n^h(t) - p(t), \nabla \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \nabla \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t))_\Omega dt - \int_0^\xi (\bar{p}_n^h(t) - p(t), \nabla \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t))_\Omega dt \\
& - \int_0^\xi ((\bar{\mathbf{A}}_n(t) - \mathbf{A}(t)) \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t), \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t))_{\bar{\Sigma}_n(t)} dt - \int_0^\xi (\mathbf{A}(t) (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)), \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t))_{\bar{\Sigma}_n(t)} dt \\
& - \int_0^\xi \int_t^{\bar{t}_n} \int_{\partial \Sigma(\eta)} [(\alpha_\Sigma \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) + \mathbf{A}(t) \mathbf{u}(t)) \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t))] (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\eta) ds d\eta dt =: \sum_{i=1}^9 S_i.
\end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz and ε -Young inequalities are used to estimate S_1 and S_3 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_1| & \leq \varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))}^2 dt + C_\varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt, \\
|S_3| & \lesssim \int_0^\xi \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt + \int_0^\xi \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) - \nabla \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We invoke the properties of \mathbf{f} and a^{ijk}, b^{ij} ($i, j, k = 1, \dots, d$) together with Friedrichs's inequality and (10) to obtain that

$$|S_2| + |S_4| + |S_7| \stackrel{(10)}{\lesssim} \tau \sqrt{\int_0^\xi \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt} \stackrel{(9)}{\lesssim} \tau.$$

To estimate S_8 , we need the following auxiliary estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\bar{\Sigma}_n(t))}^2 dt - \int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))}^2 dt \right| \\
& \stackrel{(5)}{=} \left| \int_0^\xi \int_t^{\bar{t}_n} \int_{\partial \Sigma(\eta)} |\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)|^2 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\eta) ds d\eta dt \right| \lesssim \tau \int_0^\xi \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \stackrel{(9)}{\lesssim} \tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$|S_8| \stackrel{(10)}{\leq} C_\varepsilon \tau + C_\varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt + \varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))}^2 dt + \varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt.$$

The most challenges lie on handling the terms S_5, S_6 and S_9 , which arise from the saddle-point approach and the movement of the body Σ_0 . Using the equations (4) and (12), we have that

$$|S_5| \stackrel{(4)}{=} \left| \int_0^\xi (\bar{p}_n^h(t) - p(t), \nabla \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t))_\Omega dt \right| \stackrel{(12)}{=} \left| \int_0^\xi (p(t) - \mathbf{P}^h p(t), \nabla \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t))_\Omega dt \right| \stackrel{(9)}{\lesssim} \sqrt{\int_0^\xi \|p(t) - \mathbf{P}^h p(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt}.$$

For the term S_6 , we first deduce the following estimate

$$\|\bar{p}_n^h(t) - \mathbf{P}^h p(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \stackrel{(8)}{\lesssim} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \in \mathbf{V}_0^h, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}^h, \bar{p}_n^h(t) - \mathbf{P}^h p(t))_\Omega}{\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^h\|_{\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\stackrel{(14)}{\lesssim} \tau + \|p(t) - \mathbf{P}^h p(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))} \\
&\quad + \|\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \tau + \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\bar{\Sigma})} \tau,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

which together with Corollary 2.1 and Lemma 3.2 allow us to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_6| &\leq \varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\bar{p}_n^h(t) - p(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt + C_\varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\nabla \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \\
&\stackrel{(9)}{\lesssim} \varepsilon \tau^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|p(t) - \mathbf{P}^h p(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt + \varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))}^2 dt \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt + C_\varepsilon \int_0^\xi \|\nabla \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The term S_9 can be handled by applying the local regularity on the weak solution \mathbf{u} presented in Corollary 2.1, i.e.

$$|S_9| \lesssim \tau \sqrt{\int_0^\xi \left(\|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\bar{\Sigma})}^2 \right) dt} \sqrt{\int_0^\xi \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \nabla \mathbf{P}^h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt} \stackrel{(9)}{\lesssim} \tau.$$

Finally, taking all considerations into account, then fixing a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and using a Grönwall argument, we can achieve the desired estimate for \mathbf{u}_n^h . The error estimate for \bar{p}_n^h can be acquired following the relation (15). \square

The convergence of the proposed full discretization scheme is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Céa's lemma.

Theorem 3.2. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 be fulfilled. Then the following convergences hold true: $\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h \rightarrow \partial_t \mathbf{u}$ in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{T})$, $\mathbf{u}_n^h \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ in $\mathbf{C}([0, T], \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega))$ and $\bar{p}_n^h \rightarrow p$ in $\mathbf{L}^2((0, T), \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$.*

3.1. Error estimates for some finite element spaces

In this section, we examine the error estimate (13) for some finite element space pairs $(\mathbf{V}_0^h, \mathbf{V}^h)$, which satisfy the discrete inf-sup condition (8). Let $\{\mathcal{T}^h\}_{h>0}$ be a regular family of triangulations of $\bar{\Omega}$. For each integer $k \geq 0$, we denote by \mathbf{P}_k the space of all polynomials of degree at most k . We introduce the following spaces of piecewise polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\varphi \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega) : \varphi|_K \in \mathbf{P}_0 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_k = \{\varphi \in \mathbf{C}(\bar{\Omega}) : \varphi|_K \in \mathbf{P}_k (k \geq 1) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

There are some stable finite element pairs satisfying the discrete inf-sup condition (8), see [13, Section VI.3]. Now, we present two simple examples, namely $(\mathcal{P}_2 - \mathcal{P}_0)$ for discontinuous element space of p and the Taylor-Hood pair $(\mathcal{P}_2 - \mathcal{P}_1)$ for continuous elements. The following error estimates are the results of the relation (13) combined with Céa's lemma and the standard interpolation error of the finite element spaces.

Corollary 3.1. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 be fulfilled. Moreover, we assume that $\mathbf{V}_0^h = (\mathcal{P}_2)^d \cap \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{C}([0, T], \mathbf{H}_0^1(\text{div})) \cap \mathbf{L}^2((0, T), \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega))$.*

(i) *If $\mathbf{V}^h = \mathcal{P}_0 \cap \mathbf{L}_0^2(\Omega)$ and $p \in \mathbf{L}^2((0, T), \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{L}_0^2(\Omega))$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))}^2 dt + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(\xi) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(\xi)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^\xi \|\bar{p}_n^h(t) - p(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq C(\tau + h).$$

(ii) *If $\mathbf{V}^h = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{L}_0^2(\Omega)$ and $p \in \mathbf{L}^2((0, T), \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{L}_0^2(\Omega))$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^\xi \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n^h(t) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma(t))}^2 dt + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n^h(\xi) - \nabla \mathbf{u}(\xi)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^\xi \|\bar{p}_n^h(t) - p(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq C(\tau + h^2).$$

Acknowledgments

Van Chien Le is supported by the grant number G016618N of the Fund for Scientific Research - Flanders. Karel Van Bockstal is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship of the Research Foundation - Flanders (106016/12P2919N).

References

- [1] M. Gurtin, An introduction to continuum mechanics, Vol. 158 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, 1981.
- [2] T. Kato, M. Mitrea, G. Ponce, M. Taylor, Extension and Representation of Divergence-free Vector Fields on Bounded Domains, *Mathematical Research Letters* 7 (5) (2000) 643–650.
- [3] V. C. Le, M. Slodička, K. Van Bockstal, A time discrete scheme for an electromagnetic contact problem with moving conductor, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 404 (2021) 125997.
- [4] V. C. Le, M. Slodička, K. Van Bockstal, Error estimates for the time discretization of an electromagnetic contact problem with moving non-magnetic conductor, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 87 (2021) 27–40.
- [5] V. C. Le, M. Slodička, K. Van Bockstal, A space-time discretization for an electromagnetic problem with moving non-magnetic conductor, *Applied Numerical Mathematics* 173 (2022) 345–364.
- [6] R. Muñoz-Sola, Mathematical analysis of a parabolic-elliptic problem with moving parabolic subdomain through a Lagrangian approach, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 477 (1) (2019) 357–379.
- [7] R. Acevedo, C. Gómez, B. López-Rodríguez, Well-posedness of a family of degenerate parabolic mixed equations, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 498 (1) (2021) 124903.
- [8] R. Acevedo, C. Gómez, B. López-Rodríguez, Fully discrete finite element approximation for a family of degenerate parabolic mixed equations, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 96 (2021) 155–177.
- [9] V. Girault, P.-A. Raviart, *Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and Algorithms*, Vol. 5 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [10] V. C. Le, M. Slodička, K. Van Bockstal, A numerical scheme for solving an induction heating problem with moving non-magnetic conductor, submitted for publication.
- [11] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [12] F. Brezzi, On the existence, uniqueness and approximation of saddle-point problems arising from Lagrangian multipliers, *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis* 8 (R2) (1974) 129–151.
- [13] F. Brezzi, M. Fortin, *Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods*, Vol. 15 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1991.