RESEARCH ARTICLE

The *Arabidopsis* Mediator complex subunit 8 regulates oxidative stress responses

Huaming He,^{a,b} Jordi Denecker,^{a,b,1} Katrien Van Der Kelen,^{a,b,2} Patrick Willems,^{a,b} Robin Pottier,^{a,b} Su Yin Phua,^{a,b} Matthew A. Hannah,^c Didier Vertommen,^d Frank Van Breusegem,^{a,b} and Amna Mhamdi^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, 9052 Gent, Belgium

^b Center for Plant Systems Biology, VIB, 9052 Gent, Belgium

^c BASF Belgium Coordination Center, Innovation Center Gent, 9052 Gent, Belgium

^d de Duve Institute, Université Catholique de Louvain, 1200 Brussels, Belgium

¹ Current address: Illumina Cambridge Ltd, Cambridge, CB21 6DF, UK

² Current address: Sciensano, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

* Corresponding Author: amna.mhamdi@psb.vib-ugent.be

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-4983-7958 (H.H.); 0000-0003-2401-0994 (R.P.); 0000-0002-7556-1211 (S.Y.P.); 0000-0002-4889-490X (M.A.H.); 0000-0001-7648-8282 (D.V.); 0000-0002-3147-0860 (F.V.B.); 0000-0001-9959-1362 (A.M.)

Short title: MED8 functions in oxidative stress

One-sentence summary: *Arabidopsis* MED8 suppresses oxidative stress-induced gene expression and phytohormone signaling pathways.

The author(s) responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) are: Amna Mhamdi (amna.mhamdi@psb.vib-ugent.be) and Frank Van Breusegem (frank.vanbreusegem@psb.vib-ugent.be).

ABSTRACT

Signaling events triggered by hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) regulate plant growth and defense by orchestrating a genome-wide transcriptional reprogramming. However, the specific mechanisms that govern H₂O₂-dependent gene expression are still poorly understood. Here we identify the *Arabidopsis* Mediator complex subunit MED8 as a regulator of H₂O₂ responses. The introduction of the *med8* mutation in the oxidative stress genetic background (catalase-deficient, *cat2*) was associated with enhanced activation of the salicylic acid pathway and accelerated cell death. Interestingly, *med8* seedlings were more tolerant to oxidative stress generated by the herbicide methyl viologen and exhibited transcriptional hyperactivation of defense signaling, in particular salicylic acid and jasmonic acid related pathways. The *med8*-triggered tolerance to methyl viologen was manipulated by introduction of secondary mutations in salicylic acid and jasmonic acid pathways. In addition, analysis of the Mediator interactome revealed interactions with components involved in mRNA processing and microRNA biogenesis, hence expanding the role of Mediator beyond transcription. Notably, MED8 interacted with the transcriptional regulator NOT2 to control the expression of H₂O₂-inducible genes and stress responses. We present MED8 as a new component regulating oxidative stress responses and demonstrate that it acts as a negative regulator of H₂O₂-driven activation of defense gene expression.

KEY WORDS: Mediator complex, hydrogen peroxide, catalase, oxidative signaling, transcriptome, ICS1, NOT2

INTRODUCTION

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are key regulators of plant growth, development, stress responses, and cell death, and their role in modulating gene expression is well documented (Mhamdi and Van Breusegem, 2018; Waszczak et al., 2018). Different types of ROS, such as hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), superoxide, and singlet oxygen, can trigger specific changes in the transcriptome (Gadjev et al., 2006; Willems et al., 2016). Genome-wide transcriptome analyses revealed that H₂O₂ metabolism and photorespiration have a prominent impact on gene expression (Vandenabeele et al., 2003, 2004; Vanderauwera et al., 2005, 2011; Mhamdi et al., 2010a; Queval et al., 2012; Kerchev et al., 2016). H₂O₂ and H₂O₂-triggered signaling events interact with various other signaling pathways, such as phytohormone signaling. In particular, perturbations in the H₂O₂ homeostasis affect pathways involving hormones, such as salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), auxin, ethylene (ET), and abscisic acid (ABA) (Tognetti et al., 2010; Chaouch et al., 2010; Noctor et al., 2015, 2018; Kerchev et al., 2015).

Changes in gene expression triggered by ROS are mediated by stress-responsive *cis*-regulatory promoter elements, redox regulation of transcription regulators, and upstream signaling cascades, such as mitogen-activated kinase modules (Dietz, 2014; He et al., 2018). Posttranslational oxidative modifications of transcription factors (TFs) and regulators either govern conformational switches, nuclear-cytosolic partitioning, and assembly with coregulators or affect DNA-binding capacities (He et al., 2018). By contrast, ROS- and redox-dependent regulation of the core transcriptional machinery (such as the RNA polymerase II [RNAP II]) is less studied and data from plants are scarce (Shaikhali et al., 2015).

Interactions between RNAP II, general and specific TFs, coregulators, and chromatin modifiers determine gene expression activities in a given developmental and environmental context. The multisubunit Mediator complex is an evolutionarily conserved transcriptional coregulator in eukaryotes (Bourbon, 2008) and is involved in various transcription steps, including initiation, pausing, elongation, and reinitiation (reviewed in Allen and Taatjes (2015)). The best-characterized Mediator function is to facilitate the preinitiation complex assembly. It bridges specific TFs with the RNAP II machinery and, thus, converges different signaling pathways before channeling the transcriptional instructions to the RNAP II machinery. In this manner, the Mediator complex enables TF-dependent regulation of transcription and helps to decode biological cues (e.g. external and internal stimuli) into physiological responses (Fondell et al., 1996; Holstege et al., 1998). The structure of the Mediator complex was first determined by electron microscopy in yeast, revealing that the complex consists of three distinct domains, annotated as Head, Middle, and Tail (Asturias et al., 1999; Dotson et al., 2000). This ‘modular’ organization was further confirmed by a

biochemical approach, assigning specific functions to each module (Kang et al., 2001). The Head and Middle modules interact with RNAP II and general TFs and the Tail module with sequence-specific TFs (Myers et al., 1999; Kang et al., 2001). In addition to the three core modules, a separate regulatory module, designated the kinase module, had been isolated (Borggreffe et al., 2002) and was shown to act mainly as a negative transcriptional regulator by associating with the core Mediator, thereby preventing the interaction with RNAP II and transcription initiation (Elmlund et al., 2006; Tsai et al., 2013). Biochemical purification, tandem mass spectrometry, and subsequent bioinformatics analyses revealed that the Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) Mediator complex comprises at least 34 subunits of which six are specific to plant lineage (Bäckström et al., 2007; Mathur et al., 2011). To date, homologs of the Arabidopsis Mediator complex in rice (*Oryza sativa*), tobacco (*Nicotiana* sp.), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) have been identified and conserved functions for some subunits have been discovered (Mathur et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015; Samanta and Thakur, 2015, 2017; Dolan and Chapple, 2017; Pérez-Martin et al., 2018; Dwivedi et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Hiebert et al., 2020).

Analyses of loss-of-function mutants in Mediator subunits revealed that the plant Mediator complex regulates transcription in a specific manner. Whereas the Mediator subunits might not be all required for general gene transcription, they are implicated in the control of various pathways (Kidd et al., 2009; Elfving et al., 2011; Hemsley et al., 2014; Dolan et al., 2017; Ruiz-Aguilar et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). So far, Mediator subunits have been reported to play roles in organ and tissue development, hormone signaling, flowering transition, immune response, and abiotic stresses (Kidd et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011; Bendía-Monreal and Gillmor, 2016; Crawford et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). Several mutants of the Mediator subunits, including *med8*, *med12*, *med13*, *med14*, *med15*, *med17*, *med18*, and *med20a* have developmental and growth defects, yet, the underlying mechanisms are still only partly established (reviewed in Samanta and Thakur, 2015; Buendía-Monreal and Gillmor, 2016; Development phenotypes reported for *med8* such as reduced growth (Kidd et al., 2009; Xu and Li, 2012) are probably driven by differential expression of genes involved in the regulation of organ size and cell division and/or expansion rates (Li et al., 2008). MED16 and MED25 have been reported to act as negative regulators of organ growth (Xu and Li, 2011; Liu et al., 2019) and both *med16* and *med25* mutants exhibited enlarged organs, which is the opposite of *med8* mutants. One of the best-characterized subunits is MED25 and, in particular, its role in plant immunity through interaction with JA signaling regulators and receptors, such as the basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) MYC2 TF, jasmonate–ZIM (JAZ) domain protein transcriptional repressors, and the F-box protein CORONATINE INSENSITIVE 1 (COI1) receptor (reviewed in Zhai and Li, 2019). Like MED25, MED8 is also involved in plant immunity and is required for the expression of the JA-inducible PLANT DEFENSIN (PDF) genes (Kidd et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2015, 2016; Li et al., 2018), however, insights into the mechanisms that could be in play are lacking.

To identify negative regulators of H₂O₂-dependent gene expression, we performed a forward genetic screen for mutations that are inducing a luciferase reporter gene under the control of an H₂O₂-inducible promoter. We identified MED8 as a suppressor of H₂O₂-triggered gene expression and characterized its functions in stress responses and signaling. We show that *MED8* modulates oxidative stress responses by negatively regulating the expression of genes associated with ROS, phytohormones, and defense. Analysis of Mediator interactome revealed that the MED8 function might be achieved by more than one mechanism involving interactions with other Mediator subunits, transcription repressors, and components implicated in miRNA biogenesis.

RESULTS

A forward genetic screen identifies MED8 as a negative regulator of an early H₂O₂-responsive gene

To identify negative regulators of H₂O₂-responsive genes, we mutagenized transgenic reporter line expressing the firefly luciferase (LUC) reporter gene under the control of the promoter of photorespiratory H₂O₂-responsive transcripts. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants containing the promoter of the *EMBRYONIC ABUNDANT PROTEIN-LIKE-RELATED 4* (*EAL4*; AT4G22530) gene were used, hereafter referred to as *ProEAL4:LUC* plants (Supplemental Figure 1A), because *EAL4* transcripts accumulate rapidly and highly after the onset of a photorespiratory H₂O₂ stress triggered by catalase deficiency (Inzé et al., 2012; Supplemental Figure 1B). To assess the reporter specificity, we monitored the LUC activity under different conditions known to increase H₂O₂ levels. The LUC activity in *ProEAL4:LUC* seedlings was strongly induced by methyl viologen (MV) and H₂O₂ treatments, but to a minor extent by ABA, salt, and mannitol treatments, indicating that the *EAL4* promoter is indeed a sensitive marker of increased levels of ROS and, in particular, of H₂O₂ (Supplemental Figure 1C). Seeds of the homozygous *ProEAL4:LUC* line were EMS mutagenized and M2 seedlings were screened for mutants with a constitutive enhanced LUC activity under control conditions, without compromised photosystem II (PSII) efficiency (F_v'/F_m'). This workflow aimed to avoid the isolation of mutants with induced LUC activity due to mutations causing oxidative stress and subsequently an impaired photosynthetic efficiency (Supplemental Figure 1D). The retained mutants were designated *continuously induced ProEAL4:LUC* (*ceal*).

One of the validated *ceal* mutants, *ceal5*, was subjected to a detailed analysis. Quantitative analysis of luminescence intensities demonstrated that the LUC activity in *ceal5* seedlings was significantly higher than that of *ProEAL4:LUC* under both control and H₂O₂ treatment (Figure 1A and 1B). In addition, the *LUC* transcript levels in the *ceal5* mutant were approximately 4-fold higher than those in *ProEAL4:LUC*, pointing to enhanced *EAL4* promoter activity (Figure 1C). In the absence of stress, the *ceal5* mutant exhibited slightly

lower F_v'/F_m' values compared to *ProEAL4:LUC*, yet retained significantly higher F_v'/F_m' values following treatment with 10 mM H_2O_2 for 6 h (Figure 1D).

In the progeny of backcrossed plants from the M3 generation (with the non-mutagenized reporter line), constitutively induced LUC activity segregated in a 1:3 ratio, indicating a single and recessive causative mutation. Whole-genome sequencing of F2-segregating population and subsequent SHOREmap analysis revealed that a C-to-T transition at nucleotide 2102 of *MEDIATOR SUBUNIT 8 (MED8; AT2G03070)* is the causative mutation, resulting in a nonsense mutation (premature stop codon at glutamine [Q] position 377) in the C-terminal Q-rich domain (76 Q residues between amino acids 291 to 524). Hence, *ceal5* plants most probably express a truncated (377 amino acid-long) MED8 protein lacking most of its Q-rich domain (Figure 1E).

To validate the outcome of the SHOREmap analysis, we characterized two *med8* T-DNA insertion lines, SALK_092406 (*med8*; Kidd et al., 2009) and GABI_270C11 (*med8-2*). The *med8* T-DNA insertion resides in the ninth exon of *MED8*, in close proximity of the EMS-induced mutation in *ceal5*. *ceal5* plants exhibited a growth phenotype similar to that of *med8*, with a more compact and flat rosette, an increased number of leaves, and shorter petioles than Col-0 (Figure 1F). F1 seedlings from a *med8*×*ceal5* cross failed to rescue the *ceal5* molecular phenotype with seedlings still showing a high LUC activity, which was not detected in F1 seedlings of a Col-0×*ceal5* cross (Figure 1G). Moreover, *EAL4* transcript levels in *med8* were approximately 3-fold higher than those of Col-0 (Figure 1H), demonstrating that *ceal5* is a mutant allele of *med8*. Genotyping the progeny of a heterozygous *med8-2* mutant, with a T-DNA insertion in the first exon of *MED8*, revealed a 1:2 (WT:heterozygotes) segregation ratio, indicating that *med8-2* homozygotes are embryo-lethal. Consistently, approximately 25% of the seeds were aborted in the siliques of heterozygous *med8-2* plants (Figure 1I). Hence, these data demonstrate that *med8-2* contains a recessive and embryo-lethal mutation in *MED8*, unlike *med8* and *ceal5* that represent viable mutant alleles.

Truncation of MED8 activates SA biosynthesis and modulates photorespiratory H_2O_2 -triggered phenotypes

To explore the role of MED8 in H_2O_2 signaling, we used a genetic approach in which oxidative stress intensity is easily manipulated in a non-invasive manner. The catalase-deficient mutant (*cat2*) is an experimental model where intracellular H_2O_2 is produced in a physiologically relevant manner, making it a very useful genetic background to mimic stress responses (Vanderauwera et al., 2005; Queval et al., 2007; Mhamdi et al., 2010a; Chaouch et al., 2012; Noctor et al., 2015). When grown under ambient air and moderate light intensities, *cat2* mutants display a conditional stress phenotype that depends on the day length. Increased H_2O_2 availability triggers upregulation of defense pathways and activates pathogenesis responses, and development of hypersensitive response-like lesions in long days (cell death-permissive

conditions), but not in short days (non-permissive conditions) (Chaouch et al., 2010; Mhamdi et al, 2010a). We crossed the *med8* mutation into the *cat2* background and analyzed the morphological, molecular, and biochemical phenotypes in the double *cat2 med8* mutants.

Plant phenotypes in long days were determined at different light intensities allowing photorespiratory H_2O_2 fluxes to be manipulated. Under moderate light ($200 \mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$ irradiance at leaf level), *cat2 med8* plants exhibited a very severe developmental phenotype consisting of growth arrest a few days after emergence of the first four leaves and exacerbated lesion formation when compared to the single *cat2* mutants (Figure 2A). However, when grown under low light ($50 \mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$), single *cat2* and *med8* single and double mutants were smaller than Col-0 and the *cat2 med8* mutants were able to survive and had rosette phenotypes similar to those of *cat2* (Figure 2B). To circumvent interference from the severe growth inhibition in the double mutants, we designed a transfer experiment in which plants were first grown for 18 days in low light before transfer to moderate light (Figure 2C). Four days after the transfer, no lesions developed on *cat2* leaves (Figure 2C and 2D), however, the *cat2 med8* developed lesions and exhibited a more pronounced decrease in F_v'/F_m' particularly in older leaves (Figure 2D). Because SA pathway is key to the *cat2*-dependent lesion development and long-day induction of pathogenesis responses (Chaouch et al., 2010; Noctor et al., 2015), we quantified transcripts of the SA biosynthesis gene *ISOCHORISMATE SYNTHASE1 (ICS1)*, and two SA-dependent transcripts, *PATHOGENESIS-RELATED 1 (PR1)* and *PR2*. Our analysis show that *PR1*, *PR2* and *ICS1* transcripts are markedly induced in the *cat2 med8*, above the *cat2* levels, following transfer from low light to moderate light (Figure 2E, see fold-change induction displayed above the bars). Seven days after transfer to photorespiratory promoting conditions, SA levels were similar in Col-0 and *med8* but accumulated approximately to 8-fold higher in *cat2*. SA accumulation was strongly enhanced in the *cat2 med8* (about 16-fold higher than Col-0) (Figure 2F).

When plants were grown in short days, cell death non-permissive condition (at an irradiance of $200 \mu\text{mol.m}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-2}$), *cat2* and *med8* displayed decreased rosette growth, but no lesions were observed (Figure 3A). Interestingly, the *cat2 med8* double mutants showed reduced growth and lesion development within five weeks, a phenotype that was accompanied by marked induction of *ICS1* transcripts (Figure 3B). Moreover, analysis of *cat2 med8* phenotypes in short days indicated, consistent with *ICS1* induction, accumulation of SA and significant induction of *PR* as well as the SA-inducible *SA GLUCOSYLTRANSFERASE SGT1* transcripts (Figure 3C). In plant tissues, H_2O_2 levels, as other ROS, are difficult to quantify in a specific and direct manner, therefore, we assessed redox homeostasis by alternative, more reliable proxies (Noctor et al., 2015, 2016). First, we quantified reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) glutathione as biochemical markers of intracellular thiol redox status. Increased accumulation of glutathione is a well-described response in *cat2*

plants and is key to activate SA biosynthesis and signaling (Queval et al., 2007; Mhamdi et al., 2010a, 2010b; Han et al., 2013a). This analysis revealed that the *med8* mutation did not further promote perturbation of the redox state of glutathione, as both oxidized and reduced glutathione were similar in *cat2* and *cat2 med8* (Figure 3D). Secondly, we quantified four oxidative signaling marker transcripts known to be induced by H₂O₂ (Noctor et al., 2016; Mhamdi et al., 2017). Three of these transcripts were highly induced in *cat2 med8* plants, hinting at a stronger activation of oxidative signaling in these conditions, above the *cat2* level (Figure 3D). Taken together, our data define MED8 as a negative regulator of H₂O₂-induced gene expression and signaling in both short day and long day photoperiods.

MED8 regulates responses to oxidative stress triggered pharmacologically

To further investigate the physiological consequences of *MED8* mutation on oxidative stress responses, we phenotyped 2-week-old seedlings exposed to oxidative stress-inducing agents. First, we tested the effects of the herbicide 3-aminotriazole (3-AT), a catalase inhibitor that triggers a cell death phenotype and accumulation of glutathione as GSSG (May and Leaver, 1993; Gadjev et al., 2006). Growth in liquid medium supplemented with 1 mM 3-AT caused a decrease in F_v'/F_m' in both Col-0 and *med8* seedlings, although the effect was less marked in *med8* (Supplemental Figure 2A). Spraying soil-grown plants with 2 mM 3-AT provoked severe bleaching and a drastic decrease of F_v'/F_m' within 2 days of treatment, however, the effects were significantly reduced in *med8* (Supplemental Figure 2B). The differential response of *med8* to 3-AT was not correlated with an altered redox homeostasis as glutathione levels and oxidation state were similar in Col-0 and *med8* plants (Supplemental Figure 2C).

Secondly, we tested the effects of the herbicide methyl viologen (MV), which initially leads to superoxide production in the chloroplasts and mitochondria and, subsequently, to the formation of other ROS molecules. Remarkably, when grown on medium containing 25 nM MV, *med8* seedlings displayed milder stress symptoms relative to Col-0, with a less pronounced reduction of rosette area in *med8* (30%) compared to wild-type seedlings (71%) (Figure 4A and 4B). Total glutathione levels did not differ between Col-0 and *med8* grown in control conditions, although, interestingly, GSSG was higher in *med8* than in Col-0. In the presence of MV, glutathione levels decreased in Col-0 plants, whereas *med8* plants maintained higher glutathione levels similar to control conditions (Figure 4C). We complemented the *med8* mutant by overexpressing the *MED8* gene and included the complemented lines into our experiments. The *med8*-driven tolerance to MV was abolished in three independent overexpressor lines *MED8-OE1/2/3* (Figure 4A and 4B). The *MED8-OE* lines had larger rosettes and an increased biomass under control conditions, even when compared to Col-0 plants, in particular in the *MED8-OE2* and *MED8-OE3* lines (with high *MED8* expression levels) (Figure 4D-4F). Together, our results demonstrate that MED8 truncation confers resistance to oxidative stress while ectopic overexpression promotes rosette growth.

In addition to oxidative stress, we also studied the phenotypes *med8* in response to several abiotic stresses. The salt stress sensitivity (50 mM NaCl) was lower in *med8* seedlings than in Col-0, but no differences were scored when plants were grown on 25 mM mannitol (Supplemental Figure 3A and 3B). When exposed to $1.3 \mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$ of UV-B, the *med8* mutant retained slightly higher F_v'/F_m' values than the Col-0 plants (Supplemental Figure 3C).

Tolerance to methyl viologen in *med8* is associated with upregulation of defense-related genes

To assess changes in gene expression associated with the *med8*-driven tolerance to MV, we performed a transcriptome analysis through RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) by using 2-week-old Col-0 and *med8* seedlings grown on control or MV-supplemented medium.

In the absence of MV, 115 upregulated and 254 downregulated transcripts were identified in the *med8* mutant relative to Col-0, indicating that truncation of MED8 does not have an extensive genome-wide impact on gene expression (Figure 5A, Supplemental Data Set 1). Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of upregulated genes revealed enrichment of genes involved in stress responses (Supplemental Data Set 1, Figure 5C and 5D). For instance, four bHLH TFs that function in iron homeostasis were among the genes that were strongly induced (Supplemental Data Set 1) as well as genes involved in glutathione metabolism or known to be induced by H_2O_2 , including, besides *EAL4*, *UDP-GLUCOSYLTRANSFERASE 74E2* (*UGT74E2*), *GLUTATHIONE S-TRANSFERASE (GSTU25)*, and *GSTU12*. Stress-responsive genes were also significantly enriched among the downregulated genes (Figure 5C). In particular, response to biotic stimulus and defense responses were the most significantly over-represented, including genes encoding the *PLANT DEFENSIN* genes *PDF1.2*, *PDF1.3*, and *PDF1.4*, *PATHOGENESIS-RELATED* proteins *PR1* and *PR4*, *VEGETATIVE STORAGE PROTEIN* genes *VSP1* and *VSP2*, and several TFs, such as *WRKY18*, *WRKY60*, and *ORA59*. Most of the defense genes down-regulated in *med8* under control conditions are involved in the JA, SA, or immune responses (Figure 5D, left panel). The expression levels of a few selected genes were validated by RT-qPCR analysis (Supplemental Figure 4).

Next, we mined the dataset to identify genes differentially expressed in a stress context. Overall transcriptional changes in the presence of MV were higher in *med8* (1651 up- and 668 downregulated) than those in Col-0 (918 up- and 688 downregulated) (Figure 5A, Supplemental Data Set 2 and 3). We compared differentially expressed transcripts in *med8* and Col-0 in control and stress conditions and found an overlap of 42 up- and 46 down-regulated genes, respectively (Figure 5B). Analysis of MV-induced gene expression in *med8* revealed that *MED8* truncation suppresses the induction of half of the MV-responsive genes in Col-0. Only 33 genes showed opposite expression patterns in MV-grown Col-0 and *med8* (Table 1). Notably, most of them are involved in SA signaling pathways and dependent responses (e.g. *ACCELERATED CELL*

DEATH 6, FLAVIN-DEPENDENT MONOOXYGENASE 1, GLUTAREDOXIN 480, PRI, WRKY54, and WRKY70).

Additionally, among the 859 genes previously defined as ROS-induced genes (Willems et al., 2016; ROS wheel Cluster V) and detectable in our dataset, 252 genes were upregulated in *med8* under MV stress versus only 62 genes in Col-0 (Supplemental Figure 6, Supplemental Data Set 4). Moreover, the ROS transcripts were markedly more abundant in the stress-exposed *med8* mutant than in Col-0 (Supplemental Figure 5, Supplemental Data Set 4). However, among the 309 ROS-repressed genes (Willems et al., 2016; ROS wheel Cluster V), only 17 and 34 transcripts were down-regulated by MV stress in Col-0 and *med8*, respectively. Thus, *med8* was overall more responsive than Col-0.

To gain further insights into the MED8-dependent gene expression under MV stress, a two-way ANOVA analysis (treatment×genotype) was performed. Whereas the MV induction decreased in 415 genes in *med8* (referred to as MED8 positively regulated genes), it increased in 769 genes (referred to as MED8 negatively regulated genes) (Figure 6, Supplemental Data Set 5). Hierarchical clustering of these two groups identified seven major clusters that organized the positively (P) and negatively (N) regulated *MED8* genes into three and four clusters, respectively (Figure 6A). Genes in each cluster were functionally classified by GO term enrichment analysis as indicated with a color code (Figure 6B). Cluster P1 (163 genes) contains genes associated with regulation of organ growth and auxin transport and were strongly repressed in response to MV in *med8*, but not in Col-0. Cluster P2 (213 genes) have similar expression characteristics and are enriched for genes associated with plastid organization and response to red or far-red light. In the smaller Cluster P3 (39 genes) without a significant GO enrichment, transcripts were highly induced in Col-0 in response to MV, but largely repressed or not altered in the *med8* mutants. A much larger set of genes was more strongly induced in the *med8* mutant in response to MV than in Col-0 (Figure 6, MED8 negatively regulated genes). Whereas Clusters N1 and N3 (89 and 145 genes, respectively) were enriched in genes associated with response to iron, chlorophyll metabolic process, and response to ROS, the majority of the MED8 negatively regulated genes (Cluster N2 and N4; 480 and 55 genes, respectively) were associated with GO terms related to defense, response to bacterium and innate immune responses, dependent on phytohormone pathways (Supplemental Data Set 5). In particular, JA- and SA-mediated signaling pathways were enriched together with TFs and their upstream potential regulators, including *MYB51*, *WRKY18*, *WRKY70*, *SARD1*, *ERF1*, *MYC2* and its interacting *JAZ1* and *JAZ5* were induced in a stress specific manner when MED8 was truncated. It is worth emphasizing that Clusters N2 and N4 accounted for almost half of the ANOVA significant genes and were clearly the most evidently enriched compared to other clusters (see the size of the nodes reflecting the number of genes in Figure 6, Supplemental Data Set 5). Therefore, we conclude that the *med8* ‘signature’ in oxidative stress-induced gene expression is mainly to repress defense and hormone pathways.

Tolerance to oxidative stress in *med8* requires SA biosynthesis

Due to the substantial effect of *med8* mutation on SA- and JA-related gene expression, we introduced several mutations (*SA induction-deficient2* (*sid2*), *nonexpressor of pathogenesis-related1* (*npr1*), *allene oxide synthase* (*aos*), *jasmonate response1* (*jar1*), and *myc2*) into the *med8* background to genetically address the involvement of SA and JA biosynthesis and signaling in the oxidative stress-related *med8* phenotypes. First, we analyzed the impact on *med8* tolerance to MV-induced oxidative stress. Analysis of the single mutants phenotype showed no compromised responses, with the exception of *aos* mutants that were more tolerant to MV relative to Col-0 (Supplemental Figure 6). Also, our data show that *med8 sid2* and *med8 npr1* rosette growth was more severely reduced by MV than the *med8* single mutants (Figure 7A to 7D). To some extent, both mutants exhibited a reduction in rosette areas and fresh weight resembling more that of Col-0 than of *med8* (Figures 7A to 7D). In contrast, the double mutants *med8 aos*, and *med8 myc2* plants were even less affected than *med8* plants, while *med8 jar1* tend to have a response similar to *med8*. These mutants were hence less sensitive to MV stress and exhibited a reduction in rosette areas and fresh weight improved relative to *med8* (Figures 7A to 7D). Taken together, these observations indicate that SA biosynthesis and signaling are, at least in part, required for the *med8* tolerance to MV, whereas JA synthesis and signaling genes *AOS*, *JAR1*, and *MYC2* are seemingly imposing growth restrictions during long term oxidative stress exposure.

Next, we assessed the impact of SA on *med8* dependent phenotypes caused by photorespiratory H₂O₂ in the catalase deficient background. To establish whether the phenotypes of *cat2 med8* depended on SA, triple mutants were produced that additionally carried the *sid2* mutation. As described above, under long day conditions, lesion formation and severe growth restriction occur in *cat2 med8*. However, in the triple *cat2 med8 sid2* both the growth restriction and the cell death phenotypes were largely reverted (Figure 7E). These results demonstrate that the phenotypes of *cat2 med8* depend on SA biosynthesis via *ICS1*.

The MED8 glutamate rich C-terminal domain is not required for mediator complex assembly and does not affect the interaction repertoire of the Mediator complex.

In *ceal5* and *med8* mutants, MED8 is truncated at the C-terminus, leading to a shortened Q-rich domain. Q-rich domains have features of intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs), lacking a rigid or folded stable structure and might contribute to the formation of an interface, interacting with multiple partners and, hence, acting as hubs in protein interaction networks (Ding et al., 2006; Wright and Dyson, 2015; Nagulapalli et al., 2016). Consequently, the MED8 IDR truncation might result in an altered interactome. We hypothesized that in the full-length MED8 the Q-rich domain, through its interaction partners, facilitates the suppression of stress and defense genes, and that hence in a truncated MED8 that capacity is abolished.

To test this hypothesis, we performed an immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry analysis (IP-MS) on Arabidopsis cells expressing a GFP-tagged full-length MED8 or the truncated version, MED8ΔQ, in which large part of the Q-rich domain was deleted, to mimic the *ceal5* mutation (Figure 8A). We identified 92 and 98 co-purified proteins with MED8 and MED8ΔQ respectively, which were enriched in the GFP samples relative to the wild type (Supplemental Data Set 6). In total, 77 proteins were co-purified with both baits (Figure 8A), suggesting only a minor impact of the Q-rich domain on the protein-protein interaction capacity and repertoire. Both baits pulled down 28 Mediator subunits, by analysis of the protein abundance revealed only minor differences. These results demonstrate that the truncated MED8 can integrate the Mediator complex similar to the full-length protein.

We used the ensemble of 112 proteins identified in the MED8 and MED8ΔQ pull-downs to generate a protein interactome network, entailing Arabidopsis and all orthologous, experimentally validated, protein-protein interactions reported in the String database (Figure 8B, blue edges, Supplemental Data Set 7). In addition, we plotted the yeast two-hybrid based Mediator protein interaction map (Maji et al., 2019; Figure 8B, red edges, Supplemental Data Set 7). Mining of the MED8 and MED8ΔQ interactomes revealed potential novel roles for the Mediator complex. Several transcriptional regulators and TFs were identified, including NRPB9A, which belongs to the RNAP II core complex. Interestingly, one of the TFs identified here is the NF-X1-TYPE ZINC FINGER1 (NFXL1) protein that negatively regulates defense-related genes (such as WRKYs and ICS1) via a SA-dependent signaling pathway (Asano et al., 2008). One prominent functional category of interactors are RNA-binding proteins. Proteins involved in mRNA processing, such as SR34A and UBA1A immunoprecipitated with the Mediator complex, supporting its role in various transcription steps. Interestingly, we identified NEGATIVE ON TATA-LESS 1 (NOT1), NOT2b, and two RNA-binding proteins, recently referred to as NOT4a (AT2G28540) and NOT4b (AT3G45630) (Zhou et al., 2020), all components of the CARBON CATABOLITE REPRESSION4 (CCR4)-NOT complex, a key regulator of nuclear gene expression (reviewed in Collart, 2016). Important to note is that the interaction with NOT2b and NOT4b was weaker when MED8ΔQ was used as a bait, indicating that the C-terminal IDR of MED8 is required for the interaction of the Mediator complex with the CCR4-NOT complex.

In addition, proteins involved in posttranslational modification, including protein ubiquitination and phosphorylation, were identified as well (Supplemental Data Set 6, Figure 8B). For instance, an interaction between the CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE8 (CDK8), component of the kinase module, and the CELL DIVISION CONTROL 2 (CDC2) had been tested previously by Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation and revealed its nuclear localization (Van Leene et al., 2010). As such, the interactome analysis points to the interconnection of MED8 and the Mediator complex as a whole with other pathways and might help understanding the Mediator function beyond transcription.

MED8 interacts with the transcriptional regulator NOT2 to control *EAL4* expression

As a complementary approach to the IP-MS experiments, a yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) screen was performed to identify direct interactors using the full-length MED8 as a bait and a cDNA library enriched for stress-responsive genes (Jaspers et al., 2009). Interestingly, six independent positive clones were identified, encoding the transcription regulator NOT2a (AT1G07705). NOT2 that belongs to the CCR4-NOT complex had also been detected as Mediator interactor by the pull-down approach (Figure 8). The Arabidopsis genome has two NOT2 homologs, NOT2a and NOT2b, which promote miRNA biogenesis by interacting with other microRNA biogenesis factors. Inactivation of both *NOT2* genes is lethal due to severe defects in male gametophyte development (Wang et al., 2013).

To substantiate the interaction between MED8 and NOT2a, we introduced the full-length coding sequence of NOT2a into the prey vector (AD-NOT2a) and coexpressed it with DBD-MED8 in yeast. Direct interaction between MED8 and NOT2a was confirmed in yeast (Figure 9A). We further examined whether MED8 also interacted with NOT2b, but did not detect direct interaction in yeast. In planta, the interaction was examined by co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assays. GFP-fused MED8 (GFP-MED8) and RFP-fused NOT2a (RFP-NOT2a and NOT2a-RFP) were transiently coexpressed in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves through agro-infiltration. The RFP-fused NOT2a proteins co-immunoprecipitated with MED8-GFP, but not with the GFP control (Figure 9B). These results indicate that MED8 associates with NOT2a in planta.

As the interaction between MED8 and NOT2 might be relevant to define the oxidative stress responses, we investigated whether NOT2 was similarly involved in the oxidative stress response and associated gene expression by characterizing and phenotyping the T-DNA insertion mutants, *not2a-1* (SALK_062057), *not2a-2* (GABI_104B08), and *not2b* (SALK_058645). *NOT2* expression was abolished in the respective mutants (Wang et al., 2013; Supplemental Figure 7A). The phenotype of *not2* mutants grown in control or under MV stress was evaluated (Figure 9C). Under control conditions, rosettes of *not2a-2* plants were significantly smaller relative to Col-0, whereas the *not2a-1* and *not2b* mutants displayed slightly larger rosettes (Figure 9D). When grown in the presence of MV, the rosettes of both *not2a* and *not2b* mutants were visibly larger and the growth reduction was less evident, reminiscent of the *med8* phenotype. The F_v'/F_m' values were similar to Col-0 in the *not2* mutants in the absence of stress, whereas under MV stress, F_v'/F_m' of all *not2* mutants were significantly higher than Col-0, suggesting a decreased sensitivity to MV. Collectively, these data show that similar to MED8, NOT2 negatively affects responses to oxidative stress.

Interestingly, *EAL4* expression was significantly enhanced by *not2a* mutations relative to Col-0 in both control and stress conditions, an effect that mimics the impact of *med8* mutation (Figure 9E). However, *not2b* only exhibited induction of *EAL4* under stress conditions, suggesting a divergent function for the two

homologs. Our data demonstrate that, similar to MED8, NOT2 negatively affects responses to oxidative stress and that a MED8-NOT2A interaction is in play to regulate *EAL4* expression.

Given the known role of NOT2 proteins in miRNA biogenesis, we hypothesized that MED8 may also function in the transcription of miRNA genes. Therefore, we assessed the levels of eight primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs) by RT-qPCR. Consistent with previous observations (Wang et al., 2013), the levels of pri-miRNAs were reduced in *not2a* mutants (Supplemental Figure 7B). Similarly, *med8* showed reduced pri-miRNA levels compared with the Col-0 levels, which is even more pronounced than in *not2a* mutants. Thus, the MED8-NOT2a interaction may play a role in the regulation of miRNA biogenesis in Arabidopsis.

DISCUSSION

Oxidative stress activates several defense pathways, including SA- and JA-associated signaling. However, our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the transcriptional activation of defense pathways by H₂O₂ is limited. Here, we show that the MED8 subunit of the Mediator complex is a novel component of H₂O₂ signaling. The polyQ tail of MED8 negatively controls H₂O₂-dependent activation of defense pathways and represses SA-dependent signaling (Figure 10).

The plant Mediator complex is predominantly known for its role in immunity and till now, only a few Mediator subunits have been linked to abiotic stress responses (Dhawan et al., 2009; Kidd et al., 2009; Çevik et al., 2012; Canet et al., 2012; Lai et al., 2014; An et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; Crawford et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). In this context, MED25 positively regulates germination under salt stress by interacting with DEHYDRATION-RESPONSIVE ELEMENT BINDING PROTEIN 2A (DREB2A), ZINC FINGER HOMEODOMAIN1, and MYB-like TFs, while it negatively regulates drought tolerance by interacting with the repressor domain of DREB2A (Elfving et al., 2011). MED16, also known as SENSITIVE TO FREEZING 6, as well as MED2 and MED14, positively regulate cold acclimation by modulating the recruitment of the whole Mediator complex to C-repeat/DRE-binding factor (CBF)-responsive cold-regulated genes (Knight et al., 2009; Hemsley et al., 2014). Here, we demonstrate that MED8 regulates the transcriptional response to oxidative stress. In the *med8* mutants, responses to oxidative stress, triggered both by chemical and genetic means, (Figures 2 to 4) are induced. At first sight, the *cat2 med8* photorespiration-sensitive phenotype does not seem in line with the decreased sensitivity to MV observed in *med8* seedlings. However, biochemical and molecular analyses revealed that a similar mechanism is involved (Figures 2 to 4). In both cases, a hyper-activation of SA biosynthesis and SA-dependent transcripts was in place. Recently, a positive role of SA in MV tolerance was supported by the fact that pretreatment with SA alleviates MV-induced oxidative stress (Cui et al., 2019). Therefore, the decreased sensitivity of

med8 to MV might be due to activated SA synthesis and signaling. A hypothesis supported by the decreased MV tolerance in the *med8 sid2* mutant (Figure 7). On the other hand, it is known that SA biosynthesis is required for cell death induced by photorespiratory H₂O₂ (Chaouch et al., 2010). Hence, the combination of activation of the SA pathway in both *cat2* and *med8* mutant backgrounds lead to a hyper accumulation in *cat2 med8*. This, in combination with increased H₂O₂ signaling in *cat2* leads to accelerated cell death, a phenotype abolished in *cat2 med8 sid2* triple mutants (Figure 7). Consequently, within the Mediator complex, the MED8 subunit acts as a negative regulator of SA biosynthesis or stability, at least when plants are exposed to conditions inducing oxidative stress.

In plants, the oxidative stress outcomes are conditional and dependent on the photoperiod (Queval et al., 2007, 2012; Vollsnes et al., 2009; Dghim et al., 2013). The *cat2 med8* mutant phenotypes display a severe growth arrest and extensive cell death associated with hyper-accumulation of SA (Figures 2, 3 and 7), a response that is known to occur in long days but not in short days in *cat2* plants (Chaouch et al., 2010; Queval et al., 2012, Han et al., 2013a, 2013b). Remarkably, the *med8*-triggered phenotypes in the *cat2* background were dependent on the light intensity but not dependent of the photoperiod, suggesting that MED8 has a higher hierarchical position in repressing H₂O₂ signaling pathway and acts upstream of potential factors linking photoperiod to oxidative stress responses. Hence, MED8 might cooperates with components involved in shutting down SA pathways in short days, a role that was not previously described. Genetic factors that might determine outcomes of oxidative stress in short days are largely unknown. To our knowledge, 2A type protein phosphatase subunit PP2A-B'γ is the only known regulator involved in suppressing the daylength dependent pathogenesis responses (Trotta et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014). Like *med8*, the *pp2a-b'γ* mutation promoted lesion development, *PR1* induction, and SA accumulation in response to photorespiratory H₂O₂. Roles of PP2A-B'γ are possibly linked to phytochrome pathways as *PHYTOCHROME A* expression levels were decreased (Li et al., 2014). Our data suggest that also MED8 influences the regulatory nexus that links oxidative stress, day length, and defense responses.

Emerging evidence indicate that the Mediator complex is a key component of SA-dependent pathways. Several subunits function as positive regulators, including the Tail module subunits MED14, MED15, MED16, and MED5b as well as the Kinase module subunits CDK8, MED12, and MED13. Although different subunits employ distinct mechanisms, overall, these appear to diverge from MED8 function. Plants defective in *MED14*, *MED15*, and *MED16* exhibited an attenuated response to SA and pathogens and failed to induce *PR* genes (Canet et al., 2012; Wathugala et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012, 2013; Wang et al., 2016). Similarly, *cdk8* as well as *med12* show decreased basal SA levels and compromised systemic acquired resistance (Huang et al., 2019). CDK8 directly regulates the expression of

ICS1 and *ENHANCED DISEASE SUSCEPTIBILITY5* and counteracts the hyper-accumulation of SA in a semi-dominant mutation of *MED5b* (Huang et al., 2019; Mao et al., 2019). Furthermore, *CDK8* interacts with *NPR1*, the key regulator of SA signaling, to control *NPR1* and its targets expression (Chen et al., 2019). Hence, this raises the question whether the *med8*-driven hyper-activation of SA pathways (in response to oxidative stress) is also dependent on *CDK8* protein or activity levels. Such a mechanism would involve a physical interaction between *MED8* and the Kinase module, the latter occurring in *MED8*, but also in *MED8ΔQ* (Supplemental Data Set 6). Our preliminary data do not support such a scenario, because the *cdk8* mutation reverts the cell death phenotype in the *cat2* background, which is opposite to *med8* (Supplemental Figure 8). The two Head subunits *MED18* and *MED20* play a negative role in SA responses. The *med18* and *med20* mutants displayed increased induction of *PR* genes in response to *Fusarium oxysporum*. Both subunits have been proposed to form a subdomain within Mediator to control the balance between SA and JA (Fallath et al., 2017). In *med18* plants, growth phenotypes and gene expression were associated with the accumulation of defense metabolites, such as SA and the phytoalexin camalexin (Davoine et al., 2017), to levels that are only expected in pathogen-infected tissues. Although the action mechanisms of *MED18* and *MED20* are not fully defined, *MED8* might be part of this subdomain. The exact position of each of the Mediator modules in the SA signaling pathway and their specific interactors or targets remain largely unknown, but *MED8* seems to mainly negatively regulate the stress-induced expression of SA-related genes.

Both *ceal5* and *med8* mutants contain a mutation in the Q-rich domain, most likely resulting in a truncated *MED8* protein. One question is, therefore, whether deletion of this IDR affects *MED8* function and affects the topology of the Mediator complex. The IDR feature occurs in many subunits of the Mediator complex and can accommodate dynamic interactions with proteins and nucleic acids (Ding et al., 2006; Nagulapalli et al., 2015; Wright and Dyson, 2015). This may be one mechanism by which Mediator is made sufficiently plastic to create “conformational ensembles” that are required for transient association with a diverse array of TFs or other transcriptional regulators (Cooper and Fassler, 2019). In agreement, the Q-rich domain of *MED25* is necessary for its interaction with *COI1* and *JAZ1* (An et al., 2017; Zhai et al., 2018). Our in planta interactome data revealed that both *MED8* and its truncated version interact with 26 known Mediator subunits, including the scaffolds *MED14* and *MED17* (Maji et al., 2019), and hence indicating no major topological changes in the truncated mutant version (Figure 8). This in contrast with a, most likely, drastic conformational change or even dissolving complex in the non-viable full knockout alleles. Nevertheless, given the increased response to oxidative stress in *med8*, a truncated Q-rich domain might affect Mediator complex plasticity and affect the responsiveness to transcriptional activator or repressor proteins. Our interactome study expands our knowledge on potential new roles of the Mediator complex beyond transcription. We identified proteins involved in RNA binding, processing, and posttranslational

modification processes. Interaction with RNA-binding proteins was recently reported in neural cells (Quevedo et al., 2019). Worth noting is that several of the RNA-processing enzymes, identified here, are involved in the plant immune responses. One of these, is DECAPPING AND EXORIBONUCLEASE1 (DXO1) that enhances posttranscriptional gene silencing by increasing siRNA levels. The *dxo1* mutation results in up-regulation of defense-related genes. Interestingly, the *dxo1*-dependent autoimmunity phenotype is also suppressed by the manipulation of SA signaling through *npr1* or *eds1* mutation (Pan et al., 2020). The interaction of MED8 and the Mediator complex with the CCR4-NOT complex as well as its interactor PUMILIO HOMOLOG2 (Arae et al., 2019) now also links MED8 to miRNA biogenesis. NOT2 proteins regulate protein-coding and noncoding gene expression and, similar to MED8, they negatively regulate the *EAL4* expression (Figure 9). Low accumulation of miRNAs in *med17*, *med18*, and *med20a* was linked to reduced RNAP II occupancy at several miRNA transcription start sites in *med20a* (Kim et al., 2011). The Arabidopsis microRNA *miR164* and *NAC4* have been shown to regulate cell death by targeting LATE UPREGULATED IN RESPONSE TO HYALOPERONOSPORA PARASITICA1, WRKY40, and WRKY54, which act as negative regulators of transcription and cell death processes (Lee et al., 2017). Besides its function in miRNA biogenesis, NOT2b modulates the expression of genes involved in *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation as well as abiotic stresses (Raman et al., 2019).

How does MED8 affect oxidative stress responses? As stated above, a more in-depth mechanistic understanding of the genetic or physical interactions between MED8 and specific transcriptional regulators will be needed. Our data point to a genetic interaction between MED8 and the JA pathway, analysis of *med8 aos1* and *med8 myc2* revealed that JA exerts growth restriction when plants are subjected to a long-term oxidative stress (Figure 7). Inhibition of vegetative growth by JA is part of the wound response and was shown to depend on JA synthesis genes, upstream of *AOS1*, such *LIPOXYGENASE LOX3* and *LOX4* (Yang et al., 2020). Both *LOX3* and *LOX4* are negatively regulated by *med8*, pointing to an interaction between MED8 and JA synthesis at multiple levels. In JA signaling, the well-studied MED25 acts through interactions JA receptor COI1, transcriptional activator MYC2, and its homolog JA-ASSOCIATED MYC2-LIKE (JAM) protein, and the HISTONE ACETYLTRANSFERASE OF THE CBP FAMILY1, thereby targeting different routes in the JA pathways (Çevik et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2012; An et al., 2017; Zhai et al., 2018; Zhai et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019). Similarly, MED8 action could involve several repressors, besides NOT2 (Figure 10). Analysis of double *med8 med25* mutants under biotic stress revealed additive effects of both mutations, pointing to parallel mechanisms for MED8 and MED25 in the regulation of JA-dependent defenses (Kidd et al., 2009; Li et al., 2018). Although we demonstrated here that MED8 negatively affects the transcription of MYC2 and JAZs, and that *med8* growth phenotypes depend on activation of JA signaling (Figure 7), this is unlikely to be mediated by a physical interaction with MYC2,

because a direct interaction between MED8 and MYC2 has not been detected by Y2H (Li et al., 2018). However, the MED8 function has been shown to depend on another bHLH TF, FAMA, that directly binds to promoters of genes that act in JA signaling (for instance, *ORA59*) hinting to a potential mechanism by which MED8 might regulate downstream JA genes (Li et al., 2018). Repressors or repressor complexes might conditionally interact with the MED8 Q-rich domain (Figure 10). These could be repressors such as JAMs upstream of MYC2 in JA signaling and NPR3 and NPR4 upstream of WRKY70 and SARD1 in SA signaling (Van der Does et al., 2013; Nakata et al., 2013; Sasaki-Sekimoto et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019). Our data suggest a scenario in which MED8 conditionally evolved to control the activation or repression of transcriptional regulators and their targets (for instance control condition versus oxidative stress). In such a scenario, the MED8 Q-rich domain would be required to interact with (yet unknown) repressors, hence fine-tuning the activation of SA and JA pathways.

METHODS

Plant materials

Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana* L. Heynh.) Columbia-0 (Col-0) accession was used in this study. T-DNA lines *med8* (SALK_092406), *med8-2* (GABI_270C11), *not2a-1* (SALK_062057), *not2a-2* (GABI_104B08), and *not2b-1* (SALK_058645) were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre. Homozygotes were identified by genomic DNA-PCR with primers flanking the T-DNA insertions (Supplemental Table 1) and the left border primers. The *cat2 med8* double mutants were generated by crossing *med8* into the *cat2* background (Queval et al., 2007) and double homozygotes identified by PCR genotyping of the segregating F2 populations. Other mutant lines were produced by crossing *sid2*, *npr1*, *aos*, *jar1*, and *myc2* into the *med8* background and the homozygous double mutants were identified by PCR using gene-specific primers or by genomic DNA sequencing (Supplemental Table 1).

Growth conditions and stress treatments

For in vitro experiments, sterilized seeds were first incubated at 4°C for 2 days and then sown on half-strength Murashige and Skoog (½MS) agar (pH 5.7). Plants were germinated and grown at 21°C and ~80 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity in a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod. For stress treatment, plants were germinated and grown in ½MS supplemented with 25 mM mannitol, 50 mM NaCl or 25nM MV. To investigate the response to 3-aminotriazole (3-AT), seedlings were grown in 96-well plates in liquid ½MS. After 10 days, 3-AT was added to each well to a final concentration of 1 mM. For soil-based growth and stress phenotyping, plants were grown for 2 weeks at 21°C with a 16-h/8-h light/dark photoperiod and then sprayed with 2 mM 3-AT for 2 days or were transferred to a growth chamber with white light (40 W m⁻²)

supplemented with UV-B (0.4 W m^{-2}). The *cat2* and *cat2 med8* phenotypes were analyzed either in long (16 h light/8 h dark) or short (8 h light/16 h dark) days in a controlled-environment growth chamber at the specified irradiance.

For rosette area quantification, plants were photographed and pictures were subsequently analyzed with Image J v1.45. The F_v'/F_m' images were generated by an Imaging PAM series (MAXI version) chlorophyll fluorometer and ImagingWin software application (Walz; Effeltrich, Germany) was used for signal quantification.

Mutant screens and identification of *ceal5*

Generation of pEAL4::LUC transgenic plants and mutant screening

The 839-bp region upstream of the EAL4 start codon was amplified from Col-0 genomic DNA by PCR with Platinum Taq High Fidelity DNA polymerase (Invitrogen). The PCR product was cloned into pDONR221 to generate the entry vector and was subsequently transferred into the destination vector pKGWL7, generating a promoter-luciferase reporter construct. The construct was then transformed into Col-0 plants by means of the *Agrobacterium* floral dip. Homozygous events with a single insertion locus were selected on $\frac{1}{2}$ MS medium supplemented with 35 mg L^{-1} kanamycin.

Seeds of the homozygous *pEAL4::LUC* transgenic plants were mutagenized with a 0.3% (v/v) EMS solution for 8 h and subsequently washed with water. Approximately 94,000 M2 seedlings were grown on liquid $\frac{1}{2}$ MS medium in 96-well plates. Eight wells in the first column containing nonmutagenized *pEAL4::LUC* seeds were used as a negative control. After 10 days of growth, the PSII efficiency (F_v'/F_m') was determined. Subsequently, 100 μL of luciferin solution (Promega ONE-Glo™ luciferase assay system) was added to individual wells. After an 8-min dark incubation, a bioluminescence signal was acquired with a LUMI star Galaxy luminometer (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). The isolated mutants were transferred to soil to set seeds and their progeny seeds were rescreened to eliminate false positives.

Mapping and identification of causative mutations

The M3 *ceal5* mutant was backcrossed to the *pEAL4::LUC* progenitor line and F2 mutants with constitutive luciferase activity were selected, pooled, and used for extraction of nuclear DNA based on the method of Schneeberger et al. (2009). The samples were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2000 in a 100-bp paired-end run at ~36-fold genome coverage. Reads were aligned to the Arabidopsis reference genome (The Arabidopsis Information Resource [TAIR] version 10) with the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment v.0.6.1 (Li and Durbin, 2009). A SHOREmap backcross strategy was used for consensus and variant calling

(Schneeberger et al., 2009). With a mutation frequency cut-off of 90%, a nonsense mutation in AT2G03070 (MED8) was identified as the sole mutation located in a genic region.

Luciferase activity measurement and imaging

Plants were grown for 10 days in 96-well plate containing 150 μ L $\frac{1}{2}$ MS medium with 0.5% (w/v) sucrose and treated with H₂O₂, NaCl, mannitol, ABA, or MV. After 6, 12, or 24 h of treatment, 90 μ L luciferin was added to each well, followed by a 6-min dark incubation. Luminescence was measured with a LUMI star Galaxy luminometer (BMG Labtech). Photos showing luciferase signals were taken using IndiGO software that controls the charge-coupled device (CCD) camera in a NightShade plant imager (Berthold Technologies).

Generation of MED8 and NOT2A full-length and truncated variants

The coding sequences of MED8 and MED8 Δ Q were amplified by PCR from Col-0 Arabidopsis cDNA and cloned by means of the Gateway-based cloning system. PCR products were first cloned into the pDONOR221 vector and then transferred to the destination vectors pB7FWG2 (35S::MED8-GFP and 35S::MED8 Δ Q-GFP), pB7WGF2 (35S::GFP-MED8), and pB7WG2 (35S::MED8) for plants or pK7FWG2 (35S::MED8-GFP and 35S::MED8 Δ Q-GFP) and pK7WGF2 (35S::GFP-MED8 and 35S::GFP-MED8 Δ Q) for cell suspensions. All constructs were verified by SANGER sequencing and transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain C58C1.

The constructs with the expression cassettes 35::MED8, 35::MED8-GFP, 35::GFP-MED8, 35::MED8 Δ Q-GFP were transformed into *med8* plants and in soil-grown bialaphos-resistant T1 transformants were selected. For cell culture transformation, cell suspension cultures (PSB-L) were cocultivated with *Agrobacterium* containing the N- and C-terminal GFP fusions (Van Leene et al., 2007). Cultures expressing the bait proteins were cultivated in fresh MSMO medium with gentle agitation (130 rpm).

Gene expression analysis

RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and quantitative PCR

Total RNA was isolated in triplicates from Arabidopsis seedlings or leaf tissues with the TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), purified with RNeasy mini spin column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and treated with DNase. RNA concentration was measured and 1 μ g of RNA was used for reverse transcription (RT), carried out with the cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Five μ L

of the 1:8 diluted cDNA was used as a template for qPCR with gene-specific primers (Supplemental Table 1). The data were normalized to two reference genes (as indicated in the corresponding Figure legends).

RNA-sequencing and data analysis

For global transcript analysis, we harvested 14-day-old Col-0 and *med8* seedlings grown on ½MS (1% [w/v] sucrose) with or without 25 nM MV. Three samples were collected for each genotype and treatment and total RNA was extracted as described above. Libraries were prepared and sequenced at the Nucleomics Core (VIB, Leuven, Belgium) with Illumina Nextseq 500, resulting in ~30 million 75-bp single end reads per sample. Adapter sequences and low-quality base pairs (less than 20 bp long) were trimmed with Trim Galore v0.3.3 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/), retaining high-quality reads of at least 50 bp in length. Quality-filtered reads were aligned to the TAIR10 Arabidopsis reference genome with the spliced aligner TopHat2 v2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2013). The number of reads per gene was quantified with the featureCounts function as implemented in the Subread package v1.4.6 (Liao et al., 2014). Read mapping to genes annotated as rRNA, tRNA, and other RNA forms (TAIR10 annotation) were not considered for further analysis. As expected, in the *med8* mutants, no reads were mapped to the region following the T-DNA insertion site in the *MED8* locus (Supplemental Figure 9A), confirming the truncation of *MED8*. Differentially expressed genes were identified with the R v3.1.2 software package edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010). Genes with expression values greater than 0.12 counts per million (corresponding to five read counts) in at least three samples were retained and trim mean of M-values (TMM) normalization was applied by means of the calcNormFactors function. Variability in the data set was assessed with a MDSplot employing the 3,000 top genes to calculate pairwise distances (Supplemental Figure 9B). To test user-defined hypotheses, a no-intercept single-factor model was defined combining genotype and treatment factors, such as *med8*_MV. Dispersions were estimated with the estimateGLMRobustDisp function. A negative binomial regression model was used to model the overdispersed counts for each gene separately with fixed values for the dispersion parameter as outlined (McCarthy et al., 2012) and as implemented in the glmFit function using the above described model. Hypothesis testing was based on likelihood ratio tests. Contrasts of interest were the response between *med8* and Col-0 under control conditions, the effect of MV stress in each genotype, and the interaction effect of MV stress and genotype. False discovery rates of the *P* values were adjusted as described by Benjamini and Hochberg (1995).

Venn diagrams were made with the online tool (<http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/>) or BioVenn (<http://www.biovenn.nl/>; Hulsen et al., 2008). Hierarchical clustering was generated with the MultiExperimentViewer v4.9. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment was analyzed by means of ClueGO in Cytoscape (Bindea et al., 2009) and agriGO (Tian et al., 2017).

Metabolite measurements

Oxidized and reduced glutathione were determined by spectrophotometric assays as described by Noctor et al. (2016). Briefly, 100 mg rosette tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen and then extracted with 0.2 M HCl. After centrifugation at 16,000g for 10 min at 4°C, the supernatant was neutralized to pH 5.0 and reduced and oxidized forms of glutathione were quantified by a plate-reader method (Queval and Noctor, 2007). SA was quantified by HPLC-fluorescence as in Chaouch et al. (2010).

Protein extraction and Western blot analysis

Total proteins were extracted to homogenized material (seedlings or cells) with radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer containing 1% (v/v) NP40, 0.5% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate, 10% (v/v) glycerol supplemented with 1 mM phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride. After two rounds of centrifugations at 16,000g and 4°C for 20 mins, the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and its concentration determined by the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). For Western blot analysis, 20 µg soluble protein was separated on sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (12% Mini-PROTEAN® TGXTM precast protein gels, Bio-Rad) and blotted on a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Trans-Blot® TurboTM Mini PVDF Transfer; Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Afterward, blotted PVDF membranes were incubated with the primary antibody, 1:5000 rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (AB290, Abcam) and the secondary antibody, 1:10000 anti-rabbit IgG linked with horseradish peroxidase (NA934, GE Healthcare) at room temperature on an orbital shaker. The signal was captured on the chemiluminescent substrates from the Western lightning plus enhanced chemiluminescence kit (PerkinElmer).

Analysis of protein-protein interactions

Protein extraction and immunoprecipitation

The coding sequence (CDS) of NOT2a was cloned into the pK7WGR2 and pK7RWG2 vectors to generate the 35S:RFP-NOT2a and 35S:NOT2a-RFP constructs, respectively. *Agrobacterium* (GV3101) carrying the different constructs were used together with the p19 strain for coinfiltration into *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves, while the *Agrobacterium* strains carrying the 35S:GFP constructs were used as a negative control. Samples were harvested 3 days after agroinfiltration, ground in liquid nitrogen, and extracted with extraction buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) NP40, 10% (v/v) glycerol supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). A 100 µL aliquot of total protein extract was reserved for immunoblot analysis as input. The remaining samples were incubated for 2 h at 4°C with 20 µL GFP-Trap coupled to agarose beads (Chromotek). After incubation, the beads were collected and washed

three times with the wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% (v/v) NP40). Finally, beads were resuspended in loading buffer and heated at 95°C for 10 min to dissociate immune complexes from the beads. Total (input) and immunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-GFP (Roche, 1:1000) and anti-RFP (Chromotek, 1:1000) antibodies.

To identify potential interactors, a GFP pull-down strategy was adapted to cells expressing full-length and truncated variants of MED8 fused to GFP (Wendrich et al., 2017). Approximately 2 g of cells were harvested and ground to powder in liquid nitrogen and then solubilized in extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 1 Protease inhibitor tablet/50 mL (Roche) and 1% (v/v) NP40). Protein extracts were sonicated, incubated on ice for 30 min, and NP40 diluted to 0.2%. Samples were cleared twice by 15-min centrifugation at 18,000 rpm and 4°C and by filtering through a 40-µm cell strainer. After incubation with 100 µL anti-GFP-coated microbeads (µMACS, Miltenyi) for 2 h at 4°C, protein extracts were applied on the µColumns placed in the magnetic field of the µMACS Separator (µMACS, Miltenyi). When all the samples had been run through the columns, the columns were washed twice and after removal from the separator, the samples eluted with 50 µL of preheated ammonium bicarbonate buffer.

Sample preparation and mass spectrometry analysis

The 50 µL eluate was reduced with 500 mM dithiotreitol, alkylated by incubation with 550 mM iodoacetamide. The alkylation was stopped by adding 1 µL of 200-mM cysteine. Samples were digested overnight at 20°C with 0.5 µg·µL⁻¹ trypsin sequencing grade (MS Gold, Promega) and 10% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid was added to the samples to adjust the pH to 3.0. Subsequently, the samples were loaded onto a homemade microcolumn (C18 Empore) to remove uncut proteins and salts. Peptides were eluted with 50 µL of 50% (v/v) acetonitrile and 50% (v/v) formic acid and concentrated in a vacuum concentrator (SpeedVack). The sample concentration was determined and equal amount of peptides (600 ng) were injected into the column and analyzed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) with a tandem UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano system in-line connected to an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

MS/MS data processing and analysis

Raw files from the LC-MS/MS runs were processed with the MaxQuant software version 1.6.9.0. Spectra were searched against the TAIR10 database containing the Arabidopsis forward/reverse sequences and frequently observed contaminants with the build-in Andromeda search engine in the label free quantification (LFQ) mode. The resulting “peptides.txt” and “proteinGroups.txt” output tables were used for differential protein testing by means of the MSqRob package (Goeminne et al., 2016). Peptides matching reverse/contaminant proteins or with solely a single peptide-to-spectrum match were filtered. Peptide

intensities were preprocessed by quantile normalization and log2 transformation. Preprocessed peptide intensities were used to fit a robust ridge model for each protein with genotype (wild type, MED8, or MED8ΔQ) as fixed effect, and MS/MS run, replicate, and peptide sequence as random effects with empirical Bayes variance estimator and M-estimation with Huber weights as described (Goeminne et al., 2016). Hereafter, each condition was pairwise tested and differential proteins were defined as having a |log2 Fold Change| ≥ 1 and *Q* value ≤ 0.05. Besides significant quantitative differences, ‘singleton proteins’ were selected in a qualitative manner as proteins identified either by matching or MS/MS in at least three out of six replicates in either MED8 or MED8ΔQ (MaxQuant ‘proteinGroups.txt’), but not identified in the wild-type.

Interactome data analysis

All 112 protein interactors (Supplemental Data Set 6) were used to create a protein interaction network in the String database (version 11; <https://string-db.org/>) (Szklarczyk et al., 2019). Only ‘Experiments’ were selected as an active interaction resource by means of the default medium confidence of 0.400 as interaction score threshold. All filtered experimental interactions were exported and appended manually with pairwise interactions between the Mediator subunits (Maji et al., 2019). This interaction network was loaded in Cytoscape (version 3.8.0) (Shannon et al., 2003), which was used to export graphics.

Yeast Two-Hybrid (Y2H) assays

The yeast two-hybrid library was screened according to the HybridHunter instructions (Invitrogen). The CDS of MED8 was cloned into the pHybLex/Zeo vector (bait) and then transformed into the L40 yeast strain. The Arabidopsis cDNA library in the pYESTrp2 vector (prey) used had been cloned (Jasper et al., 2009). The cDNA library was transformed into the bait vector-containing L40 strain and selected on SD/-Trp-His-Ura supplemented with 5 mM 3-AT to suppress autoactivation. The CDSs of positive clones were isolated by PCR and subjected to Sanger sequencing.

To verify the interaction between MED8 and NOT2a, the CDSs of MED8 and NOT2a were cloned into the pDEST32 (bait) and pDEST22 (prey) vectors, respectively. Primers used for generating these constructs are listed in Supplemental Table 1. The prey and bait constructs were cotransformed into the yeast strain pJ69-4a and selected on SD/-Leu/-Trp. To assess the protein interactions, the transformed yeasts were suspended in liquid SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His and placed on SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade plates. The interactions were observed after 3 days of incubation at 30°C.

Statistical analyses

Samples were statistically analysed with data analysis tools (Student's *t*-test: two sample assuming equal variances). Two-way ANOVA was analyzed with SPSS Statistics v25. Homogeneity of variance was verified with Levene's test and significant differences were separated with the Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test.

Accession numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the EMBL/GenBank data libraries under the following accession numbers: EAL4 (AT4G22530), MED8 (AT2G03070), CAT2 (AT4G35090), NOT2A, (AT1G07705), and NOT2B (AT5G59710). RNA sequencing data have been submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus repository and have been assigned GEO accession number GSE141547. The interactome data have been submitted to the ProteomeXchange consortium via PRIDE and have been assigned the identifier number PXD016615.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Supplemental Figure 1. Selection of H₂O₂-responsive luciferase reporter lines and EMS screen workflow.

Supplemental Figure 2. Response of *med8* to 3-AT.

Supplemental Figure 3. Phenotype of *med8* mutant in response to salt, osmotic, and UV-B stresses.

Supplemental Figure 4. Validation of the RNA-sequencing results by RT-qPCR.

Supplemental Figure 5. ROS-related transcripts expression in RNA-seq data.

Supplemental Figure 6. Oxidative stress tolerance in SA- and JA-related mutants.

Supplemental Figure 7. Gene expression of NOT2s and abundance of Pri-miRNAs in *med8*.

Supplemental Figure 8. The *cdk8* mutation prevents cell death in *cat2*

Supplemental Figure 9. Overview of the *med8* mutant RNA-sequencing data.

Supplemental Table 1. List of primer sequences used in this study.

Supplemental Data Set 1. List of genes differentially regulated by *med8* relative to Col-0.

Supplemental Data Set 2. List of genes differentially regulated in Col-0 under MV stress relative to control conditions.

Supplemental Data Set 3. List of genes differentially regulated by *med8* under MV stress relative to control conditions.

Supplemental Data Set 4. Expression analysis of ROS-responsive genes (Cluster V-ROS wheel; Willems et al., 2016) in the different genotypes and conditions.

Supplemental Data Set 5. List of MED8 positively and negatively regulated genes identified by two-way ANOVA.

Supplemental Data Set 6. Supplemental Data Set 6. List of putative Mediator complex interactors identified using MED8 and MED8ΔQ as baits by GFP pull-down experiments in Arabidopsis cell suspension.

Supplemental Data Set 7. List of putative interactors of Mediator complex, and experimentally validated interactions from Maji et al., 2019 or the STRING database,

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Joris Messens (VUB, Belgium) for access to the HPLC facility, Khadija Wahni for technical assistance, Inge de Clercq (Ghent University-VIB, Belgium), Pinja Jaspers, Tuomas Puukko, and Jaakko Kangasjärvi (University of Helsinki, Finland) for the kind gift of the Y2H cDNA library, and Martine De Cock for help in preparing the manuscript. This work was supported by the Research Foundation-Flanders (The Excellence of Science [EOS] Research project 30829584), the Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology (Industrial R&D project no. 100555 and Phoenix project 070347), Ghent University ('Bijzonder Onderzoeks Fonds' grant no. 01J11311), and Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek-Vlaanderen and Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FWO-FNRS under the EOS project O018218F). H.H. is indebted to the China Scholarship Council for a PhD fellowship (CSC no.201406350070).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H.H., M.A.H., F.V.B., and A.M. designed the research. H.H. and A.M. developed the experimental strategy, performed most of the experiments, analyzed the data and prepared the figures. R.P. helped with plant growth, genotyping and stress phenotyping. J.D. and K.V.D.K. performed the mutant screen and the SHOREmap analysis. P.W. processed RNA-seq data and helped with interaction network analysis. S.Y.P. performed the Y2H screen. D.V. injected IP-MS samples and collected raw data. A.M. wrote the manuscript, with input from H.H. and F.V.B., and produced the final version. K.V.D.K., F.V.B., and A.M. supervised the research. All authors reviewed and approved the submitted manuscript. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Allen, B.L., and Taatjes, D.J.** (2015). The Mediator complex: a central integrator of transcription. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**: 155-166.
- An, C., Li, L., Zhai, Q., You, Y., Deng, L., Wu, F., Chen, R., Jiang, H., Wang, H., Chen, Q., and Li, C.** (2017). Mediator subunit MED25 links the jasmonate receptor to transcriptionally active chromatin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **114**: E8930-E8939.
- Arae, T., Morita, K., Imahori, R., Suzuki, Y., Yasuda, S., Sato, T., Yamaguchi, J., and Chiba Y.** (2019). Identification of Arabidopsis CCR4-NOT complexes with pumilio RNA-binding proteins, APUM5 and APUM2. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **60**: 2015-2025.
- Asano, T., Masuda, D., Yasuda, M., Nakashita, H., Kudo, T., Kimura, M., Yamaguchi, K., and Nishiuchi, T.** (2008). *AtNFXL1*, an Arabidopsis homologue of the human transcription factor NF-X1, functions as a negative regulator of the trichothecene phytotoxin-induced defense response. *Plant J.* **53**: 450-464.
- Asturias, F.J., Jiang, Y.W., Myers, L.C., Gustafsson, C.M., and Kornberg, R.D.** (1999). Conserved structures of Mediator and RNA polymerase II holoenzyme. *Science* **283**: 985-987.
- Bäckström, S., Elfving, N., Nilsson, R., Wingsle, G., and Björklund, S.** (2007). Purification of a plant Mediator from *Arabidopsis thaliana* identifies PFT1 as the Med25 subunit. *Mol. Cell* **26**: 717-729.
- Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y.** (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B-Stat. Methodol.* **57**: 289-300.
- Bindea, G., Mlecnik, B., Hackl, H., Charoentong, P., Tosolini, M., Kirilovsky, A., Fridman, W.-H., Pagès, F., Trajanoski, Z., and Galon, J.** (2009). ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1091-1093.
- Borggreffe, T., Davis, R., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., and Kornberg, R.D.** (2002). A complex of the Srb8, -9, -10, and -11 transcriptional regulatory proteins from yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**: 44202-44207.
- Bourbon, H.-M.** (2008). Comparative genomics supports a deep evolutionary origin for the large, four-module transcriptional mediator complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**: 3993-4008.
- Buendía-Monreal, M., and Gillmor, C.S.** (2016). Mediator: a key regulator of plant development. *Dev. Biol.* **419**: 7-18.
- Canet, J.V., Dobón, A., and Tornero, P.** (2012). *Non-recognition-of-BTH4*, an *Arabidopsis* Mediator subunit homolog, is necessary for development and response to salicylic acid. *Plant Cell* **24**: 4220-4235.

- Çevik, V., Kidd, B.N., Zhang, P., Hill, C., Kiddle, S., Denby, K.J., Holub, E.B., Cahill, D.M., Manners, J.M., Schenk, P.M., Beynon, J., and Kazan, K. (2012). MEDIATOR25 acts as an integrative hub for the regulation of jasmonate-responsive gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **160**: 541-555.
- Chaouch, S., Queval, G., and Noctor, G. (2012). AtRbohF is a crucial modulator of defence-associated metabolism and a key actor in the interplay between intracellular oxidative stress and pathogenesis responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **69**: 613-627.
- Chaouch, S., Queval, G., Vanderauwera, S., Mhamdi, A., Vandenabeele, M., Langlois-Meurinne, M., Van Breusegem, F., Saindrenan, P., and Noctor, G. (2010). Peroxisomal hydrogen peroxide is coupled to biotic defense responses by ISOCHORISMATE SYNTHASE1 in a daylength-related manner. *Plant Physiol.* **153**: 1692-1705.
- Chen, J., Mohan, R., Zhang, Y., Li, M., Chen, H., Palmer, I.A., Chang, M., Qi, G., Spoel, S.H., Mengiste, T., Wang, D., Liu, F., and Fu, Z.Q. (2019). NPR1 promotes its own and target gene expression in plant defense by recruiting CDK8. *Plant Physiol.* **181**: 289-304.
- Chen, R., Jiang, H., Li, L., Zhai, Q., Qi, L., Zhou, W., Liu, X., Li, H., Zheng, W., Sun, J., and Li, C. (2012). The *Arabidopsis* Mediator subunit MED25 differentially regulates jasmonate and abscisic acid signaling through interacting with the MYC2 and ABI5 transcription factors. *Plant Cell* **24**: 2898-2916.
- Collart, M.A. (2016). The Ccr4-Not complex is a key regulator of eukaryotic gene expression. *WIREs RNA* **7**: 438-454.
- Cooper, D.G., and Fassler, J.S. (2019). Med15: glutamine-rich Mediator subunit with potential for plasticity. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **44**: 737-751.
- Cui, F., Brosché, M., Shapiguzov, A., He, X.-Q., Vainonen, J.P., Leppälä, J., Trotta, A., Kangasjärvi, S., Salojärvi, J., Kangasjärvi, J., and Overmyer, K. (2019). Interaction of methyl viologen-induced chloroplast and mitochondrial signalling in *Arabidopsis*. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **134**: 555-566.
- Davoine, C., Abreu, I.N., Khajeh, K., Blomberg, J., Kidd, B.N., Kazan, K., Schenk, P.M., Gerber, L., Nilsson, O., Moritz, T., and Björklund, S. (2017). Functional metabolomics as a tool to analyze Mediator function and structure in plants. *PLoS ONE* **12**: e179640.
- Dghim, A.A., Mhamdi, A., Vaultier, M.-N., Hasenfratz-Sauder, M.-P., Le Thiec, D., Dizengremel, P., Noctor, G., and Jolivet, Y. (2013). Analysis of cytosolic isocitrate dehydrogenase and glutathione reductase 1 in photoperiod-influenced responses to ozone using *Arabidopsis* knockout mutants. *Plant Cell Environ.* **36**: 1981-1991.
- Dhawan, R., Luo, H., Foerster, A.M., AbuQamar, S., Du, H.-N., Briggs, S.D., Mittelsten Scheid, O., and Mengiste, T. (2009). HISTONE MONOUBIQUITINATION1 interacts with a subunit of the

Mediator complex and regulates defense against necrotrophic fungal pathogens in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **21**: 1000-1019.

Dietz, K.-J. (2014). Redox regulation of transcription factors in plant stress acclimation and development. Antioxid. Redox Signal. **21**: 1356-1372.

Ding, Y., Sun, T., Ao, K., Peng, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Opposite roles of salicylic acid receptors NPR1 and NPR3/NPR4 in transcriptional regulation of plant immunity. Cell **173**: 1454-1467.

Ding, Y.-H., Liu, N.-Y., Tang, Z.-S., Liu, J., and Yang, W.-C. (2006). *Arabidopsis* GLUTAMINE-RICH PROTEIN23 is essential for early embryogenesis and encodes a novel nuclear PPR motif protein that interacts with RNA polymerase II subunit III. Plant Cell **18**: 815-830.

Dolan, W.L., and Chapple, C. (2017). Conservation and divergence of Mediator structure and function: Insights from plants. Plant Cell Physiol. **58**: 4-21.

Dolan, W.L., Dilkes, B.P., Stout, J.M., Bonawitz, N.D., and Chapple, C. (2017). Mediator complex subunits MED2, MED5, MED16, and MED23 genetically interact in the regulation of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. Plant Cell **29**: 3269-3285.

Dotson, M.R., Yuan, C.X., Roeder, R.G., Myers, L.C., Gustafsson, C.M., Jiang, Y.W., Li, Y., Kornberg, R.D., and Asturias, F.J. (2000). Structural organization of yeast and mammalian mediator complexes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **97**: 14307-14310.

Dwivedi, N., Maji, S., Waseem, M., Thakur, P., Kumar, V., Parida, S.K., and Thakur, J.K. (2019). The Mediator subunit OsMED15a is a transcriptional co-regulator of seed size/weight-modulating genes in rice. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1862**: 194432.

Elfving, N., Davoine, C., Benlloch, R., Blomberg, J., Brännström, K., Müller, D., Nilsson, A., Ulfstedt, M., Ronne, H., Wingsle, G., Nilsson, O., and Björklund, S. (2011). The *Arabidopsis thaliana* Med25 mediator subunit integrates environmental cues to control plant development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **108**: 8245-8250.

Elmlund, H., Baraznenok, V., Lindahl, M., Samuelson, C.O., Koeck, P.J.B., Holmberg, S., Hebert, H., and Gustafsson, C.M. (2006). The cyclin-dependent kinase 8 module sterically blocks Mediator interactions with RNA polymerase II. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **103**: 15788-15793.

Fallath, T., Kidd, B.N., Stiller, J., Davoine, C., Björklund, S., Manners, J.M., Kazan, K., and Schenk, P.M. (2017). MEDIATOR18 and MEDIATOR20 confer susceptibility to *Fusarium oxysporum* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS ONE **12**: e0176022.

Fondell, J.D., Ge, H., and Roeder, R.G. (1996). Ligand induction of a transcriptionally active thyroid hormone receptor coactivator complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93**: 8329-8333.

- Gadjev, I., Vanderauwera, S., Gechev, T.S., Laloi, C., Minkov, I.N., Shulaev, V., Apel, K., Inzé, D., Mittler, R., and Van Breusegem, F.** (2006). Transcriptomic footprints disclose specificity of reactive oxygen species signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **141**: 436-445.
- Goeminne, L.J.E., Gevaert, K., and Clement, L.** (2016). Peptide-level robust ridge regression improves estimation, sensitivity, and specificity in data-dependent quantitative label-free shotgun proteomics. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* **15**: 657-668.
- Han, Y., Chaouch, S., Mhamdi, A., Queval, G., Zechmann, B., and Noctor, G.** (2013a). Functional analysis of Arabidopsis mutants points to novel roles for glutathione in coupling H₂O₂ to activation of salicylic acid accumulation and signaling. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **18**: 2106-2121.
- Han, Y., Mhamdi, A., Chaouch, S., and Noctor, G.** (2013b). Regulation of basal and oxidative stress-triggered jasmonic acid-related gene expression by glutathione. *Plant Cell Environ.* **36**: 1135-1146.
- He, H., Van Breusegem, F., and Mhamdi, A.** (2018). Redox-dependent control of nuclear transcription in plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* **69**: 3359-3372.
- Hemsley, P.A., Hurst, C.H., Kaliyadasa, E., Lamb, R., Knight, M.R., De Cothi, E.A., Steele, J.F., and Knight, H.** (2014). The *Arabidopsis* Mediator complex subunits MED16, MED14, and MED2 regulate Mediator and RNA polymerase II recruitment to CBF-responsive cold-regulated genes. *Plant Cell* **26**: 465-484.
- Hiebert, C.W., Moscou, M.J., Hewitt, T., Steuernagel, B., Hernández-Pinzón, I., Green, P., Pujol, V., Zhang, P., Rouse, M.N., Jin, Y., McIntosh, R.A., Upadhyaya, N., Zhang, J., Bhavani, S., Vrána, J., Karafiátová, M., Huang, L., Fetch, T., Doležel, J., Wulff, B.B.H., Lagudah, E., Spielmeier, W.** (2020). Stem rust resistance in wheat is suppressed by a subunit of the mediator complex. *Nat Commun.* **11**: 1123
- Holstege, F.C.P., Jennings, E.G., Wyrick, J.J., Lee, T.I., Hengartner, C.J., Green, M.R., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S., and Young, R.A.** (1998). Dissecting the regulatory circuitry of a eukaryotic genome. *Cell* **95**: 717-728.
- Huang, J., Sun, Y., Orduna, A.R., Jetter, R., and Li, X.** (2019). The Mediator kinase module acts as a positive regulator of salicylic acid accumulation and systemic acquired resistance. *Plant J.* **98**: 842-852.
- Hulsen, T., de Vlieg, J., and Alkema, W.** (2008). BioVenn- a web application for the comparison and visualization of biological lists using area-proportional Venn diagrams. *BMC Genomics* **9**: 488.
- Inzé, A., Vanderauwera, S., Hoeberichts, F.A., Vandorpe, M., Van Gaever, T., and Van Breusegem, F.** (2012). A subcellular localization compendium of hydrogen peroxide-induced proteins. *Plant Cell Environ.* **35**: 308-320.

987 **Jaspers, P., Blomster, T., Brosché, M., Salojärvi, J., Ahlfors, R., Vainonen, J.P., Reddy, R.A., Immink,**
 988 **R., Angenent, G., Turck, F., Overmyer, K., and Kangasjärvi, J.** (2009). Unequally redundant
 989 RCD1 and SRO1 mediate stress and developmental responses and interact with transcription factors.
 990 *Plant J.* **60**: 268-279.

991 **Kang, J.S., Kim, S.H., Hwang, M.S., Han, S.J., Lee, Y.C., and Kim, Y.-J.** (2001). The structural and
 992 functional organization of the yeast Mediator complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**: 42003-42010.

993 **Kerchev, P., Mühlenbock, P., Denecker, J., Morreel, K., Hoeberichts, F.A., Van Der Kelen, K.,**
 994 **Vandorpe, M., Nguyen, L., Audenaert, D., and Van Breusegem, F.** (2015). Activation of auxin
 995 signalling counteracts photorespiratory H₂O₂-dependent cell death. *Plant Cell Environ.* **38**: 253-265.

996 **Kerchev, P., Waszczak, C., Lewandowska, A., Willems, P., Shapiguzov, A., Li, Z., Alseekh, S.,**
 997 **Mühlenbock, P., Hoeberichts F.A., Huang, J., Van Der Kelen, K., Kangasjärvi, J., Fernie, A.R.,**
 998 **De Smet, R., Van de Peer, Y., Messens, J., and Van Breusegem, F.** (2016). Lack of GLYCOLATE
 999 OXIDASE1, but not GLYCOLATE OXIDASE2, attenuates the photorespiratory phenotype of
 1000 CATALASE2-deficient *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **171**: 1704-1719.

1001 **Kidd, B.N., Edgar, C.I., Kumar, K.K., Aitken, E.A., Schenk, P.M., Manners, J.M., and Kazan, K.** (2009).
 1002 The Mediator complex subunit PFT1 is a key regulator of jasmonate-dependent defense in *Arabidopsis*.
 1003 *Plant Cell* **21**: 2237-2252.

1004 **Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., and Salzberg, S.L.** (2013). TopHat2: accurate
 1005 alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol.*
 1006 **14**: R36.

1007 **Kim, Y.J., Zheng, B., Yu, Y., Won, S.Y., Mo, B., and Chen, X.** (2011). The role of Mediator in small and
 1008 long noncoding RNA production in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *EMBO J.* **30**: 814-822.

1009 **Knight, H., Mugford, S.G., Ülker, B., Gao, D., Thorlby, G., and Knight, M.R.** (2009). Identification of
 1010 SFR6, a key component in cold acclimation acting post-translationally on CBF function. *Plant J.* **58**:
 1011 97-108.

1012 **Lai, Z., Schluttenhofer, C.M., Bhide, K., Shreve, J., Thimmapuram, J., Lee, S.Y., Yun, D.-J., and**
 1013 **Mengiste, T.** (2014). MED18 interaction with distinct transcription factors regulates multiple plant
 1014 functions. *Nat. Commun.* **5**: 3064.

1015 **Lee, M.-H., Jeon, H.S., Kim, H.G., and Park, O.K.** (2017). An *Arabidopsis* NAC transcription factor
 1016 NAC4 promotes pathogen-induced cell death under negative regulation by microRNA164. *New*
 1017 *Phytol.* **214**: 343-360.

1018 **Li, H., and Durbin, R.** (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
 1019 *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1754-1760.

1020 **Li, S., Mhamdi, A., Trotta, A., Kangasjärvi, S., and Noctor, G.** (2014). The protein phosphatase subunit
 1021 PP2A-B γ is required to suppress day length-dependent pathogenesis responses triggered by
 1022 intracellular oxidative stress. *New Phytol.* **202**: 145-160.

1023 **Li, W., Yoshida, A., Takahashi, M., Maekawa, M., Kojima, M., Sakakibara, H., and Kyojuka, J.**
 1024 (2015). SAD1, an RNA polymerase I subunit A34.5 of rice, interacts with Mediator and controls
 1025 various aspects of plant development. *Plant J.* **81**: 282-291.

1026 **Li, X., Yang, R., and Chen, H.** (2018). The *Arabidopsis thaliana* Mediator subunit MED8 regulates plant
 1027 immunity to *Botrytis cinerea* through interacting with the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription
 1028 factor FAMA. *PLoS ONE* **13**: e0193458.

1029 **Li, Y., Zheng, L., Corke, F., Smith, C., and Bevan, M.W.** (2008). Control of final seed and organ size by the
 1030 *DA1* gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genes Dev.* **22**: 1331-1336.

1031 **Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., and Shi, W.** (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
 1032 assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 923-930.

1033 **Liu, Q., Bischof, S., Harris, C.J., Zhong, Z., Zhan, L., Nguyen, C., Rashoff, A., Barshop, W.D., Sun,
 1034 F., Feng, S., Potok, M., Gallego-Bartolome, J., Zhai, J., Wohlschlegel, J.A., Carey, M.F., Long,
 1035 J.A., and Jacobsen, S.E.** 2020. The characterization of Mediator 12 and 13 as conditional positive
 1036 gene regulators in *Arabidopsis*. *Nat Commun.* **11**: 2798.

1037 **Liu, Y., Du, M., Deng, L., Shen, J., Fang, M., Chen, Q., Lu, Y., Wang, Q., Li, C., and Zhai, Q.** (2019).
 1038 MYC2 regulates the termination of jasmonate signaling via an autoregulatory negative feedback loop.
 1039 *Plant Cell* **31**: 106-127.

1040 **Maji, S., Dahiya, P., Waseem, M., Dwivedi, N., Bhat, D.S., Dar, T.H., and Thakur, J.K.** (2019).
 1041 Interaction map of *Arabidopsis* Mediator complex expounding its topology. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**:
 1042 3904-3920.

1043 **Mao, X., Kim, J.I., Wheeler, M.T., Heintzelman, A.K., Weake, V.M., and Chapple, C.** (2019). Mutation
 1044 of Mediator subunit *CDK8* counteracts the stunted growth and salicylic acid hyperaccumulation
 1045 phenotypes of an *Arabidopsis MED5* mutant. *New Phytol.* **223**: 233-245.

1046 **Mathur, S., Vyas, S., Kapoor, S., and Tyagi, A.K.** (2011). The Mediator complex in plants: structure,
 1047 phylogeny, and expression profiling of representative genes in a dicot (*Arabidopsis*) and a monocot
 1048 (rice) during reproduction and abiotic stress. *Plant Physiol.* **157**: 1609-1627.

1049 **May, M.J., and Leaver, C.J.** (1993). Oxidative stimulation of glutathione synthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*
 1050 suspension cultures. *Plant Physiol.* **103**: 621-627.

1051 **McCarthy, D.J., Chen, Y., and Smyth, G.K.** (2012). Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-
 1052 Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**: 4288-4297.

1053 **Mhamdi, A., and Van Breusegem, F.** (2018). Reactive oxygen species in plant development. *Development*
1054 **145:** dev164376.

1055 **Mhamdi, A., Hager, J., Chaouch, S., Queval, G., Han, Y., Taconnat, L., Saindrenan, P., Gouia, H.,**
1056 **Issakidis-Bourguet, E., Renou, J.-P., and Noctor, G.** (2010b). *Arabidopsis* GLUTATHIONE
1057 REDUCTASE1 plays a crucial role in leaf responses to intracellular hydrogen peroxide and in
1058 ensuring appropriate gene expression through both salicylic acid and jasmonic acid signaling
1059 pathways. *Plant Physiol.* **153:** 1144-1160.

1060 **Mhamdi, A., Kerchev, P.I., Willems, P., Noctor, G., and Van Breusegem, F.** (2017). Measurement of
1061 transcripts associated with photorespiration and related redox signaling. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1653:**
1062 17-29.

1063 **Mhamdi, A., Queval, G., Chaouch, S., Vanderauwera, S., Van Breusegem, F., and Noctor, G.** (2010a).
1064 Catalase function in plants: a focus on *Arabidopsis* mutants as stress-mimic models. *J. Exp. Bot.* **61:**
1065 4197-4220.

1066 **Myers, L.C., Gustafsson, C.M., Hayashibara, K.C., Brown, P.O., and Kornberg, R.D.** (1999). Mediator
1067 protein mutations that selectively abolish activated transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96:**
1068 67-72.

1069 **Nagulapalli, M., Maji, S., Dwivedi, N., Dahiya, P., and Thakur, J.K.** (2016). Evolution of disorder in
1070 Mediator complex and its functional relevance. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44:** 1591-1612.

1071 **Nakata, M., Mitsuda, N., Herde, M., Koo, A.J.K., Moreno, J.E., Suzuki, K., Howe, G.A., and Ohme-**
1072 **Takagi, M.** (2013). A bHLH-type transcription factor, ABA-INDUCIBLE BHLH-TYPE
1073 TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR/JA-ASSOCIATED MYC2-LIKE1, acts as a repressor to negatively
1074 regulate jasmonate signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **25:** 1641-1656.

1075 **Noctor, G., Lelarge-Trouverie, C., and Mhamdi, A.** (2015). The metabolomics of oxidative stress.
1076 *Phytochemistry* **112:** 33-53.

1077 **Noctor, G., Mhamdi, A., and Foyer, C.H.** (2016). Oxidative stress and antioxidative systems: recipes for
1078 successful data collection and interpretation. *Plant Cell Environ.* **39:** 1140-1160.

1079 **Noctor, G., Reichheld, J.-P., and Foyer, C.H.** (2018). ROS-related redox regulation and signaling in
1080 plants. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **80:** 3-12.

1081 **Pan, S., Li, K.-e., Huang, W., Zhong, H., Wu, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Cai, Z., Guo, H., Chen, X.,**
1082 **and Xia, Y.** (2020). *Arabidopsis* DXO1 possesses deNADding and exonuclease activities and its
1083 mutation affects defense-related and photosynthetic gene expression. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **62:** 967-
1084 983.

1085 **Pérez-Martín, F., Yuste-Lisbona, F.J., Pineda, B., García-Sogo, B., del Olmo, I., de Dios Alche, J.,**
1086 **Egea, I., Flores, F.B., Pineiro, M., Jarillo, J.A., Angosto, T., Capel, J., Moreno, V., and Lozano,**

- R. (2018). Developmental role of the tomato Mediator complex subunit MED18 in pollen ontogeny. *Plant J.* **96**: 300-315.
- Queval, G., and Noctor, G. (2007). A plate reader method for the measurement of NAD, NADP, glutathione, and ascorbate in tissue extracts: application to redox profiling during *Arabidopsis* rosette development. *Anal. Biochem.* **363**: 58-69.
- Queval, G., Issakidis-Bourguet, E., Hoeberichts, F.A., Vandenborgh, M., Gakière, B., Vanacker, H., Miginiac-Maslow, M., Van Breusegem, F., and Noctor, G. (2007). Conditional oxidative stress responses in the *Arabidopsis* photorespiratory mutant *cat2* demonstrate that redox state is a key modulator of daylength-dependent gene expression, and define photoperiod as a crucial factor in the regulation of H₂O₂-induced cell death. *Plant J.* **52**: 640-657.
- Queval, G., Neukermans, J., Vandenauwera, S., Van Breusegem, F., and Noctor, G. (2012). Day length is a key regulator of transcriptomic responses to both CO₂ and H₂O₂ in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Environ.* **35**: 374-387.
- Quevedo, M., Meert, L., Dekker, M.R., Dekkers, D.H.W., Brandsma, J.H., van den Berg, D.L.C., Özgür, Z., van IJcken, W.F.J., Demmers, J., Fornerod, M., and Poot, R.A. (2019). Mediator complex interaction partners organize the transcriptional network that defines neural stem cells. *Nat. Commun.* **10**: 2669 [Erratum *Nat. Commun.* **10**: 3318].
- Raman, V., Anand, A., Vasudevan, B., Morsy, M.R., Pant, B.D., Lee, H.-K., Tang, Y., and Mysore, K.S. (2019). Overexpression of *VIRE2-INTERACTING PROTEIN2* in *Arabidopsis* regulates genes involved in *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation and abiotic stresses. *Sci. Rep.* **9**: 13503.
- Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 139-140.
- Ruiz-Aguilar, B., Raya-González, J., López-Bucio, J.S., Reyes de la Cruz, H., Herrera-Estrella, L., Ruiz-Herrera, L.F., Martínez-Trujillo, M., and López-Bucio, J. (2020). Mutation of MEDIATOR 18 and chromatin trigger twinning of the primary root meristem in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Environ.* **43**: 1989-1999.
- Samanta, S., and Thakur, J.K. (2015). Importance of Mediator complex in the regulation and integration of diverse signaling pathways in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* **6**: 757.
- Samanta, S., and Thakur, J.K. (2017). Characterization of Mediator complex and its associated proteins from rice. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1629**: 123-140.
- Sasaki-Sekimoto, Y., Jikumaru, Y., Obayashi, T., Saito, H., Masuda, S., Kamiya, Y., Ohta, H., and Shirasu, K. (2013). Basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors JASMONATE-ASSOCIATED MYC2-LIKE1 (JAM1), JAM2, and JAM3 are negative regulators of jasmonate responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **163**: 291-304.

1121 **Schneeberger, K., Ossowski, S., Lanz, C., Juul, T., Høgh Petersen, A., Lehmann Nielsen, K.,**
 1122 **Jørgensen, J.-E., Weigel, D., and Uggerjoh Andersen, S.** (2009). SHOREmap: simultaneous
 1123 mapping and mutation identification by deep sequencing. *Nat. Methods* **6**: 550-551.

1124 **Shaikhali, J., Davoine, C., Brännström, K., Rouhier, N., Bygdell, J., Björklund, S., and Wingsle, G.**
 1125 (2015). Biochemical and redox characterization of the mediator complex and its associated
 1126 transcription factor GeBPL, a GLABROUS1 enhancer binding protein. *Biochem. J.* **468**: 385-400.

1127 **Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N.S., Wang, J.T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski,**
 1128 **B., and Ideker, T.** (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
 1129 biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* **13**: 2498-2504.

1130 **Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A.L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., Simonovic, M.,**
 1131 **Doncheva, N.T., Morris, J.H., Bork, P., Jensen, L.J., and von Mering, C.** (2019). STRING v11:
 1132 protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in
 1133 genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**: D607-D613.

1134 **Tian, T., Liu, Y., Yan, H., You, Q., Yi, X., Du, Z., Xu, W., and Su, Z.** (2017). agriGO v2.0: a GO analysis
 1135 toolkit for the agricultural community, 2017 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**: W122-W129.

1136 **Tognetti, V.B., Van Aken, O., Morreel, K., Vandenbroucke, K., van de Cotte, B., De Clercq, I.,**
 1137 **Chiwocha, S., Fenske, R., Prinsen, E., Boerjan, W., Genty, B., Stubbs, K.A., Inzé, D., and Van**
 1138 **Breusegem, F.** (2010). Perturbation in indole-3-butyric acid homeostasis by the UDP-
 1139 glucosyltransferase *UTG74E2* modulates *Arabidopsis* architecture and water stress tolerance. *Plant*
 1140 *Cell* **22**: 2660-2679.

1141 **Trotta, A., Wrzaczek, M., Scharte, J., Tikkanen, M., Konert, G., Rahikainen, M., Holmström, M.,**
 1142 **Hiltunen, H.-M., Rips, S., Sipari, N., Mulo, P., Weis, E., von Schaewen, A., Aro, E.-M., and**
 1143 **Kangasjärvi, S.** (2011). Regulatory subunit B'γ of protein phosphatase 2A prevents unnecessary
 1144 defense reactions under low light in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **156**: 1464-1480.

1145 **Tsai, K.-L., Sato, S., Tomomori-Sato, C., Conaway, R.C., Conaway, J.W., and Asturias, F.J.** (2013).
 1146 A conserved Mediator--CDK8 kinase module association regulates Mediator--RNA polymerase II
 1147 interaction. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **20**: 611-619.

1148 **Van der Does, D., Leon-Reyes, A., Koornneef, A., Van Verk, M.C., Rodenburg, N., Pauwels, L.,**
 1149 **Goossens, A., Körbes, A.P., Memelink, J., Ritsema, T., Van Wees, S.C.M., and Pieterse, C.M.J.**
 1150 (2013). Salicylic acid suppresses jasmonic acid signaling downstream of SCF^{COI1}-JAZ by targeting
 1151 GCC promoter motifs via transcription factor ORA59. *Plant Cell* **25**: 744-761.

1152 **Van Leene, J., Hollunder, J., Eeckhout, D., Persiau, G., Van De Slijke, E., Stals, H., Van Isterdael, G.,**
 1153 **Verkest, A., Neiryneck, S., Buffel, Y., De Bodt, S., Maere, S., Laukens, K., Pharazyn, A.,**
 1154 **Ferreira, P.C.G., Eloy, N., Renne, C., Meyer, C., Faure, J.-D., Steinbrenner, J., Beynon, J.,**

1155 **Larkin, J.C., Van de Peer, Y., Hilson, P., Kuiper, M., De Veylder, L., Van Onckelen, H., Inzé,**
 1156 **D., Witters, E., and De Jaeger, G. (2010).** Targeted interactomics reveals a complex core cell
 1157 cycle machinery in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **6**: 397.

1158 **Van Leene, J., Stals, H., Eeckhout, D., Persiau, G., Van De Slijke, E., Van Isterdael, G., De Clercq, A.,**
 1159 **Bonnet, E., Laukens, K., Remmerie, N., Henderickx, K., De Vijlder, T., Abdelkrim, A., Pharazyn,**
 1160 **A., Van Onckelen, H., Inzé, D., Witters, E., and De Jaeger, G. (2007).** A tandem affinity purification-
 1161 based technology platform to study the cell cycle interactome in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Cell.*
 1162 *Proteomics* **6**: 1226-1238.

1163 **Vandenabeele, S., Van Der Kelen, K., Dat, J., Gadjev, I., Boonefaes, T., Morsa, S., Rottiers, P., Slooten,**
 1164 **L., Van Montagu, M., Zabeau, M., Inzé, D., and Van Breusegem, F. (2003).** A comprehensive
 1165 analysis of hydrogen peroxide-induced gene expression in tobacco. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**:
 1166 16113-16118.

1167 **Vandenabeele, S., Vanderauwera, S., Vuylsteke, M., Rombauts, S., Langebartels, C., Seidlitz, H.K.,**
 1168 **Zabeau, M., Van Montagu, M., Inzé, D., and Van Breusegem, F. (2004).** Catalase deficiency
 1169 drastically affects gene expression induced by high light in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* **39**: 45-58.

1170 **Vanderauwera, S., Zimmermann, P., Rombauts, S., Vandenabeele, S., Langebartels, C., Gruissem, W.,**
 1171 **Inzé, D., and Van Breusegem, F. (2005).** Genome-wide analysis of hydrogen peroxide-regulated gene
 1172 expression in *Arabidopsis* reveals a high light-induced transcriptional cluster involved in anthocyanin
 1173 biosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* **139**: 806-821.

1174 **Vanderauwera, S., Suzuki, N., Miller, G., van de Cotte, B., Morsa, S., Ravanat, J.-L., Hegie, A.,**
 1175 **Triantaphylidès, C., Shulaev, V., Van Montagu, M.C.E., Van Breusegem, F., and Mittler, R.**
 1176 **(2011).** Extranuclear protection of chromosomal DNA from oxidative stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
 1177 *USA* **108**: 1711-1716.

1178 **Vollsnes, A.V., Erikson, A.B., Otterholt, E., Kvaal, K., Oxaal, U., and Futsaether, C. (2009).** Visible
 1179 foliar injury and infrared imaging show that daylength affects short-term recovery after ozone stress
 1180 in *Trifolium subterraneum*. *J. Exp. Bot.* **60**: 3677– 3686.

1181 **Wang, C., Du, X., and Mou, Z. (2016).** The Mediator complex subunits MED14, MED15, and MED16
 1182 are involved in defense signaling crosstalk in *Arabidopsis*. *Front. Plant Sci.* **7**: 1947.

1183 **Wang, C., Yao, J., Du, X., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., Rollins, J.A., and Mou, Z. (2015).** The *Arabidopsis*
 1184 Mediator complex subunit16 is a key component of basal resistance against the necrotrophic fungal
 1185 pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Physiol.* **169**: 856-872.

1186 **Wang, F., Wei, H., Tong, Z., Zhang, X., Yang, Z., Lan, T., Duan, Y., and Wu, W. (2011).** Knockdown
 1187 of *NtMed8*, a *Med8*-like gene, causes abnormal development of vegetative and floral organs in
 1188 tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.). *Plant Cell Rep.* **30**: 2117-2129.

1189 **Wang, L., Song, X., Gu, L., Li, X., Cao, S., Chu, C., Cui, X., Chen, X., and Cao, X.** (2013). NOT2
1190 proteins promote polymerase II-dependent transcription and interact with multiple MicroRNA
1191 biogenesis factors in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **25**: 715-727.

1192 **Waszczak, C., Carmody, M., and Kangasjärvi, J.** (2018). Reactive oxygen species in plant signaling.
1193 *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **69**: 209-236.

1194 **Wathugala, D.L., Hemsley, P.A., Moffat, C.S., Cremelie, P., Knight, M.R., and Knight, H.** (2012). The
1195 Mediator subunit SFR6/MED16 controls defence gene expression mediated by salicylic acid and
1196 jasmonate responsive pathways. *New Phytol.* **195**: 217-230.

1197 **Wendrich, J.R., Boeren, S., Möller, B.K., Weijers, D., and De Rybel, B.** (2017). In vivo identification
1198 of plant protein complexes using IP-MS/MS. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1497**: 147-158.

1199 **Willems, P., Mhamdi, A., Stael, S., Storme, V., Kerchev, P., Noctor, G., Gevaert, K., and Van**
1200 **Breusegem, F.** (2016). The ROS wheel: refining ROS transcriptional footprints. *Plant Physiol.* **171**:
1201 1720-1733.

1202 **Wright, P.E., and Dyson, H.J.** (2015). Intrinsically disordered proteins in cellular signalling and
1203 regulation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**: 18-29.

1204 **Xu, R., and Li, Y.** (2011) Control of final organ size by Mediator complex subunit 25 in *Arabidopsis*
1205 *thaliana*. *Development* **138**: 454-4554.

1206 **Xu, R., and Li, Y.** (2012). The Mediator complex subunit 8 regulates organ size in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
1207 *Plant Signal. Behav.* **7**: 182-183.

1208 **Yang, T.H., Lenglet-Hilfiker, A., Stolz, S., Glauser, G., Farmer, E.E.** (2020). Jasmonate precursor
1209 biosynthetic enzymes LOX3 and LOX4 control wound-response growth restriction. *Plant Physiol*
1210 **184**: 1172-1180.

1211 **Zhai, Q., and Li, C.** (2019). The plant Mediator complex and its role in jasmonate signaling. *J. Exp. Bot.*
1212 **70**: 3415-3424.

1213 **Zhai, Q., Li, L., An, C., and Li, C.** (2018). Conserved function of mediator in regulating nuclear hormone
1214 receptor activation between plants and animals. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **13**: e1403709.

1215 **Zhang, X., Wang, C., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., and Mou, Z.** (2012). The *Arabidopsis* Mediator complex
1216 subunit16 positively regulates salicylate-mediated systemic acquired resistance and
1217 jasmonate/ethylene-induced defense pathways. *Plant Cell* **24**: 4294-4309.

1218 **Zhang, X., Yao, J., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., and Mou, Z.** (2013). The *Arabidopsis* Mediator complex subunits
1219 MED14/SWP and MED16/SFR6/IEN1 differentially regulate defense gene expression in plant
1220 immune responses. *Plant J.* **75**: 484-497.

1221 **Zhou, H.-R., Lin, R.-N., Huang, H.-W., Li, L., Cai, T., Zhu, J.-K., Chen, S., and He, X.-J.** (2020). The
1222 CCR4-NOT complex component NOT1 regulates RNA-directed DNA methylation and

1223 transcriptional silencing by facilitating Pol IV-dependent siRNA production. Plant J. **103**: 1503-
1224 1515.
1225 **Zhu, Y., Huang, P., Guo, P., Chong, L., Yu, G., Sun, X., Hu, T., Li, Y., Hsu, C.C., Tang, K., Zhou, Y.,**
1226 **Zhao, C., Gao, W., Tao, W.A., Mengiste, T., and Zhu, J.K.** (2020). CDK8 is associated with
1227 RAP2.6 and SnRK2.6 and positively modulates abscisic acid signaling and drought response in
1228 Arabidopsis. New Phytol. doi: 10.1111/nph.16787.
1229

Table 1. List of genes showing opposite regulation in *med8* and Col-0 in response to oxidative stress induced by methyl viologen. (* indicates defense and stress related genes and ** salicylic acid signaling pathways and dependent responses)

Gene ID	Description	Expression		Category
		Col-0	<i>med8</i>	
AT2G14610	Pathogenesis-related gene 1 (PR1)	-5.02	4.21	**
AT4G14400	Accelerated cell death 6 (ACD6)	-1.34	1.98	**
AT2G14560	Late Upregulated in Response to <i>Hyaloperonospora parasitica</i> 1 (LURP1)	-2.71	3.41	**
AT5G54610	Ankyrin/Bian Da 2 (BDA1)	-1.72	2.04	**
AT3G22235	Cysteine-rich transmembrane module 8 (HCYSTM8)	-3.09	1.95	*
AT3G22231	Pathogen and Circadian Controlled (PCC1)	-3.56	2.05	**
AT5G45080	Phloem Protein 2-A6 (PP2-A6)	-1.45	1.15	*
AT1G49860	Glutathione S-transferase (class phi) 14 (GSTF14)	-1.56	1.38	*
AT5G03350	SA-INDUCED LEGUME LECTIN-LIKE PROTEIN 1 (SAILLP1)	-1.82	1.86	**
AT3G56400	WRKY DNA-binding protein 70 (WRKY70)	-2.24	2.04	**
AT2G40750	WRKY DNA-binding protein 54 (WRKY54)	-1.91	2.25	**
AT1G28480	Glutaredoxin (GRX480)	-1.55	2.49	**
AT2G45760	BON association protein 2 (BAP2)	-3.87	3.58	*
AT2G13810	AGD2-like defense response protein 1 (ALD1)	-2.91	1.51	**
AT1G19250	Flavin-dependent monooxygenase 1 (FMO1)	-2.87	1.40	**
AT2G41090	Calmodulin like 10	-2.07	1.07	*
AT5G01600	Ferretin 1 (FER1)	-2.28	1.02	*
AT4G25490	C-repeat/DRE binding factor 1 (CBF1)/DREB1B	-2.50	2.92	*
AT4G25480	C-repeat/DRE binding factor 3 (CBF3)/DREB1A	-1.31	1.10	*
AT3G23170	PROLINE/SERINE-RICH PROTEIN (PRP)	-1.64	1.37	*
AT5G39670	CALMODULIN-LIKE 46 (CML46)	-1.45	1.15	**
AT1G05880	Ariadne 12 (ARI12)	-1.16	2.54	*
AT1G08090	Nitrate transporter 2:1 (NRT2:1)	-1.11	4.20	*
AT3G21500	1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase 1 (DXPS1)	-3.01	2.84	
AT5G66620	DA1-Related Protein 6 (DAR6)	-2.32	1.03	
AT1G21140	Vacuolar Iron Transporter (VIT) family protein	-1.85	1.35	
AT3G60420	Phosphoglycerate mutase family protein	-1.77	1.86	
AT3G49130	SWAP (Suppressor-of-White-APricot)	-2.13	1.63	
AT2G26400	Acireductone Dioxygenase 3 (ARD3)	-1.75	3.23	
AT5G41730	Protein kinase family protein	-2.09	2.13	
AT1G13310	Endosomal targeting BRO1-like domain-containing protein	-3.79	2.73	
AT5G66640	DA1-related protein 3 (DAR3)	-1.67	3.43	
AT1G80470	F-box/RNI-like/FBD-like domains-containing protein	-5.34	3.48	

1234

Figure legends

Figure 1. Identification of MED8 as a negative regulator of *EAL4* expression.

(A) Photographs of 10-day-old seedlings grown in multiwell plates (left panel), PSII efficiency F_v'/F_m' (middle panel), and luminescence images (right panel) of *ProEAL4:LUC* and *ceal5* seedlings before and after treatment with 10 mM H_2O_2 for 6 h. The F_v'/F_m' and luminescence were visualized with color scales from low to high.

(B) Relative LUC activity of 10-day-old *ProEAL4:LUC* and *ceal5* seedlings with and without H_2O_2 treatment for 6 h.

(C) RT-qPCR analysis of the relative expression of *LUC* gene in *ProEAL4:LUC* and *ceal5* seedlings under control conditions (relative to *ARP7* and *UBIQUITIN*).

(D) Quantified F_v'/F_m' of 10-day-old *ProEAL4:LUC* and *ceal5* seedlings with and without H_2O_2 treatment for 6 h. Data are means $\pm$ SE of four biological replicates.

(E) Schematic gene and protein model of MED8. The *ceal5* mutation and two T-DNA insertion sites are shown. Numbers indicate the nucleotide/amino acid (aa) position of the mutation and/or the insertion. Grey and black blocks denote untranslated region and exons, respectively, with the introns indicated by lines. MED8 protein contains a glutamine (Q)-rich domain at the C-terminus.

(F) Phenotypes of 4-week-old plants grown under long day and moderate light.

(G) Genetic complementation tests between the *ceal5* and *med8* mutations. The LUC activation of F1 plants from crosses between Col-0 $\times$ *ceal5* or *med8* $\times$ *ceal5* was measured. Data are means $\pm$ SE of eight biological replicates.

(H) Relative expression of *EAL4* in Col-0 and *med8* plants.

(I) Seeds development in immature siliques (top panel) and mature siliques (bottom panel) of wild-type and heterozygous *med8-2* (*med8-2*^{+/-}) mutant. Arrows indicate aborted embryos. Bar = 0.5 mm. Unless stated otherwise, data are means $\pm$ SE of three biological replicates. FC, fold change. Significant differences $**P \leq 0.01$, $*P \leq 0.05$ (Student's *t* test).

Figure 2. The *med8* mutation promotes H_2O_2 -dependent cell death and associated response in long days.

(A) and (B) Representative pictures of 3-week-old plants grown under moderate ($200 \mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$) and low light ($50 \mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$) in a long-day photoperiod (16 h light/8 h dark), respectively.

(C) Phenotypes induced by the onset of oxidative stress. Plants were grown under low light ($50 \mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$) for 18 days and then transferred to moderate light irradiance ($200 \mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$) to induce oxidative stress in the *cat2* backgrounds. Photographs were taken 4 days after transfer. Arrows indicate foliar lesion formation.

(D) Leaf series (top panel) and corresponding F_v'/F_m' (bottom panel) from a representative rosette taken 4 days after transfer. Whole-plant leaf series from representative rosettes are displayed to highlight the occurrence of cell death on older leaves. Leaf sets are preceded by two cotyledons, with leaf 1 at the 3rd position from the left.

(E) SA-related gene expression in Col-0, *med8*, *cat2*, and *cat2 med8* plants under the conditions described in (C). *ICS1*, *PR1*, and *PR2* transcript levels were quantified by RT-qPCR, relative to *ACTIN* and *UBIQUITIN*, in plants transferred from low-to-moderate light at the indicated days. Values are means $\pm$ SE of three to four biological repeats. Numbers above the bars indicate fold-change relative to control conditions (day 0) in the respective genotypes. Only fold-change values higher than 2 are displayed. Significant differences are * $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between mutants and Col-0) and + $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between double mutants and *cat2*) (Student's *t* test).

(F) Total SA levels were determined 7 days after transfer from low-to-moderate light. Values are means $\pm$ SE of three biological repeats. Significant differences are * $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between mutants and Col-0) and + $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between double mutants and *cat2*) (Student's *t* test).

Figure 3. The *med8* mutation activates the H₂O₂-dependent cell death response in non-permissive short day conditions.

(A) Representative pictures of 5-week-old plants grown under moderate light (200 $\mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$) in a short-day photoperiod (8 h light/16 h dark). Red arrows indicate lesion formation on the double mutant leaves. Bar = 2 cm.

(B) Time course analysis of *ICS1* expression. *ICS1* transcripts were quantified in short-day grown plants at the indicated weeks.

(C) SA levels and related gene expression in Col-0, *cat2*, *med8*, and *cat2 med8* plants grown in short days for 6 weeks. The *PR1*, *PR2*, *PR5*, and *SGT1* transcripts were quantified by RT-qPCR, relative to *ACTIN* and *UBIQUITIN*.

(D) Glutathione and redox marker transcript levels in Col-0, *cat2*, *med8*, and *cat2 med8* plants grown in short days for 6 weeks. Top most panel (glutathione), white and black bars correspond to reduced glutathione (GSH) and oxidized glutathione (GSSG), respectively. Numbers above the bars indicate GSH/GSSG ratios.

In (B), (C), and (D), values are means $\pm$ SE of three biological repeats. Significant differences are * $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between mutants and Col-0) and + $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between double mutants and *cat2*) (Student's *t* test).

Figure 4. Truncation of MED8 Q-rich domain confers tolerance to MV.

(A) Phenotypes of 3-week-old Col-0, *med8*, and *MED8* overexpression lines (*MED8OE-1/2/3*). Plants were grown on ½MS medium with or without 25 nM, in a long-day photoperiod (16 h light/8 h dark) and under a light intensity of 80 μmol.m⁻².s⁻¹.

(B) Rosette area quantification of *med8* and *MED8OE* lines grown in the same condition as in (A). The data are means ± SE of 16 biological replicates. The different letters indicate statistically significant difference between Col-0, *med8*, and *MED8OE* lines under control conditions analyzed with one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's test ($P \leq 0.05$). Numbers above the bars indicate the reduction percentage of the rosette areas in the respective genotypes. Asterisks indicate a significant difference in MV resistance between mutant and Col-0 analyzed with 2-way-ANOVA ($P \leq 0.05$). NS, no significant differences.

(C) Glutathione content in *med8* mutants in response to MV-induced oxidative stress. Glutathione content in 3-week-old Col-0 and *med8* plants grown on ½MS medium with or without 25 nM MV. White and black bars indicate GSH and glutathione disulfide (GSSG) content, respectively. Numbers above the bars indicate glutathione reduction states in Col-0 and *med8* plants under control conditions and MV stress. The data are means ± SE of biological triplicates. Asterisk indicates a significant difference at $P \leq 0.05$ (Student's *t*-test) between Col-0 and the *med8* mutant.

(D) *MED8* transcript levels, relative to *ARP7* and *UBIQUITIN*, in the respective overexpression lines. The data are means ± SE of three biological replicates.

(E) Fresh weight of 3-week-old Col-0, *med8*, and *MED8OE* plants grown on ½MS medium. The data are means ± SE of eight biological replicates. The different letters indicate statistically significant difference analyzed with one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's test ($P \leq 0.05$).

(F) Phenotypes of 3-week-old plants grown in soil at an irradiance of 100 μmol.m⁻².s⁻¹ in long days (16 h light/8 h dark).

Figure 5. Overview of changes in the *med8* transcriptome under control and MV-induced oxidative stress.

(A) Scatter plot visualization of expression levels of all transcripts analyzed in RNA-seq showing *med8*_control versus Col-0_control (left), Col-0_MV versus Col-0_control (middle), and *med8*_MV versus *med8*_control (right). Differentially expressed genes (absolute value of log₂FC>1, FDR<0.01) are indicated by red (upregulated) or blue (downregulated). ctrl, control; MV, methyl viologen.

(B) Venn diagrams for comparison of differentially expressed genes shown in (A). Red and blue circles refer to upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively.

(C) Gene ontology enrichment analysis in *med8* control conditions. Red and blue circles indicate gene sets that are upregulated or downregulated, respectively.

(D) Examples of genes induced or repressed in *med8* under control conditions. Numbers next to each bar indicate log₂FC (*med8*_control versus Col-0_control).

Figure 6. Transcriptomic signature of *med8* in response to MV-induced oxidative stress.

(A) Heat map visualizing the expression of 1,184 genes that are either positively (P) or negatively (N) regulated by MED8 under MV stress based on two-way ANOVA analysis (Supplemental Data Set 4). Hierarchical clustering with tMEV identified seven main clusters. Expression is given as Log₂FC. From left to right: *med8*_control versus Col-0_control, Col-0_MV versus Col-0_control, and *med8*_MV versus *med8*_control.

(B) GO term enrichment analysis for each cluster displayed in (A) by ClueGo 2.5. The size of the nodes reflects the number of genes in each GO term, and the fill color intensity reflects the corresponding *P* value for enrichment after Bonferroni correction. GO terms were grouped based on their similarity (edge thickness represents the kappa score of similarity between two GO terms), and the most significant terms in each group are shown in bold.

Figure 7. Genetic manipulation of the *med8* oxidative stress tolerance phenotypes by introduction of secondary mutations in SA or JA biosynthesis and signaling.

(A) Phenotypes of 3-week-old Col-0, *med8*, and *med8* double mutant plants grown on ½MS medium with or without 25 nM MV. Mutations of SA or JA biosynthesis and signaling were achieved by introduction of the *sid2* and *npr1* or *aos*, *jar1*, and *myc2* mutations in the *med8* background.

(B) Time-course quantification of rosette areas of Col-0, *med8*, and SA- or JA-related mutants. All lines were grown in vitro for 20 days under control or stress conditions as described in (A).

(C) Quantification of rosette areas of all genotypes grown under the conditions as in (A) at 20 days. Number above the bars indicate the rosette growth reduction in respective genotypes.

(D) Quantification of rosette fresh weight of all genotypes grown in conditions as in (A) at 20 days. Number above the bars indicate the reduction in fresh weight in the respective genotypes.

(E) Phenotypes of 3-week-old soil-grown Col-0, *cat2*, *cat2 med8*, and *cat2 med8 sid2* plants at an irradiance of 200 μmol.m⁻².s⁻¹ in long days (16 h light/8 h dark).

Figure 8. The Mediator complex interacting proteins identified in IP-MS.

(A) Venn diagram showing the overlap between Mediator complex interactors identified by IP-MS, in MED8 (solid line) and MED8ΔQ (dashed line) respectively (see Supplemental Data Set 6 for full lists of interactors). Schematic protein models for MED8 and MED8ΔQ expressed in Arabidopsis cells are presented. The MED8 protein contains a glutamine (Q)-rich domain at the C-terminus with features of IDRs.

The Q-rich domain truncation in MED8ΔQ mimics the *ceal5* mutation. Numbers indicate the amino acid (aa) position.

(B) Interactome of the Mediator complex in Arabidopsis. The Mediator complex network was constructed with the Cytoscape software. The grey edges represent interactions detected by our IP-MS experiments, the blue dashed lines indicate interactions reported in plants (String database) and the red edges indicate the one-to-one interaction detected by Maji et al. 2019 within the mediator complex.

Figure 9. NOT2 proteins associate with MED8 and regulate oxidative stress phenotypes and *EAL4* expression.

(A) GAL4-based yeast two-hybrid assays. Bait and prey represent the plasmids encoding the fusions to the GAL4 activation domain (AD) and the DNA-binding domain (DBD), respectively. Cotransformed yeast colonies were spotted on the selective SD medium minus Trp and Leu (-TL), then grown on SD medium minus Adenine, His, Trp, and Leu (-AHTL). Growth on SD-AHTL medium indicates a positive interaction. An empty vector was used as a negative control.

(B) Co-IP assays. *Pro35S:GFP-MED8* was transiently coexpressed with *Pro35S:NOT2a-RFP* or *Pro35S:RFP-NOT2a* in *N. benthamiana* plants. Total protein extracts were immunoprecipitated with α-GFP beads. Input and immunoprecipitation (IP) samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and the immunoblots were probed with α-GFP and α-RFP antibodies separately.

(C) Representative bright-field (top panel) and color-coded F_v'/F_m' (bottom panel) images of 3-week-old plants germinated and grown under control (½MS medium) and MV stress (25 nM MV) conditions.

(D) Quantification of rosette areas of plants grown in with and without MV stress. Asterisks indicate a significant difference between Col-0 and the mutants (** $P \leq 0.01$; Student's *t* test) and the different letters indicate statistically significant differences under control conditions analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's test ($P \leq 0.05$). The reduction (%) in rosette areas are indicated.

(E) Quantification of F_v'/F_m' . Asterisks indicate a significant difference in MV resistance between Col-0 and mutants analyzed by 2-way-ANOVA (** $P \leq 0.01$).

(D) and (E) Plants were grown in conditions described in (C) and 16 rosettes were quantified.

(F) Relative *EAL4* expression. mRNA was extracted in triplicate samples from plants grown under control conditions and MV stress. *EAL4* transcripts were quantified relative to *ARP7* and *UBIQUITIN*. Asterisks indicate a significant difference (** $P \leq 0.01$).

Figure 10. Proposed mechanism by which MED8 functions in oxidative stress signaling. In the wild type background, the full-length MED8 associates with NOT2 as well as with other unknown 'repressors' to suppress defense genes expression and decrease tolerance to oxidative stress as a consequence. In the

1404 *med8* background, MED8 C-terminal is lacking, this drives potentially the dissociation from the repressor
1405 module, the expression of defense genes, and the activation of phytohormonal pathways (for instance, the
1406 salicylic acid pathway), thus enhancing the tolerance to oxidative stress.
1407

Figure 1. Identification of MED8 as a negative regulator of *EAL4* expression.

(A) Photographs of 10-day-old seedlings grown in multiwell plates (left panel), PSII efficiency F_v'/F_m' (middle panel), and luminescence images (right panel) of *ProEAL4:LUC* and *ceal5* seedlings before and after treatment with 10 mM H_2O_2 for 6 h. The F_v'/F_m' and luminescence were visualized with color scales from low to high.

(B) Relative LUC activity of 10-day-old *ProEAL4:LUC* and *ceal5* seedlings with and without H_2O_2 treatment for 6 h.

(C) RT-qPCR analysis of the relative expression of *LUC* gene in *ProEAL4:LUC* and *ceal5* seedlings under control conditions (relative to *ARP7* and *UBIQUITIN*).

(D) Quantified F_v'/F_m' of 10-day-old *ProEAL4:LUC* and *ceal5* seedlings with and without H_2O_2 treatment for 6 h. Data are means $\pm$ SE of four biological replicates.

(E) Schematic gene and protein model of MED8. The *ceal5* mutation and two T-DNA insertion sites are shown. Numbers indicate the nucleotide/amino acid (aa) position of the mutation and/or the insertion. Grey and black blocks denote untranslated region and exons, respectively, with the introns indicated by lines. MED8 protein contains a glutamine (Q)-rich domain at the C-terminus.

(F) Phenotypes of 4-week-old plants grown under long day and moderate light.

(G) Genetic complementation tests between the *ceal5* and *med8* mutations. The LUC activation of F1 plants from crosses between Col-0 $\times$ *ceal5* or *med8* $\times$ *ceal5* was measured. Data are means $\pm$ SE of eight biological replicates.

(H) Relative expression of *EAL4* in Col-0 and *med8* plants.

(I) Seeds development in immature siliques (top panel) and mature siliques (bottom panel) of wild-type and heterozygous *med8-2* (*med8-2^{+/-}*) mutant. Arrows indicate aborted embryos. Bar = 0.5 mm. Unless stated otherwise, data are means $\pm$ SE of three biological replicates. FC, fold change. Significant differences $**P \leq 0.01$, $*P \leq 0.05$ (Student's *t* test).

Figure 2. The *med8* mutation promotes H_2O_2 -dependent cell death and associated response in long days.

(A) and (B) Representative pictures of 3-week-old plants grown under moderate (200 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) and low light (50 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) in a long-day photoperiod (16 h light/8 h dark), respectively.

(C) Phenotypes induced by the onset of oxidative stress. Plants were grown under low light (50 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) for 18 days and then transferred to moderate light irradiance (200 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) to induce oxidative stress in the *cat2* backgrounds. Photographs were taken 4 days after transfer. Arrows indicate foliar lesion formation.

(D) Leaf series (top panel) and corresponding F_v'/F_m' (bottom panel) from a representative rosette taken 4 days after transfer. Whole-plant leaf series from representative rosettes are displayed to highlight the occurrence of cell death on older leaves. Leaf sets are preceded by two cotyledons, with leaf 1 at the 3rd position from the left.

(E) SA-related gene expression in Col-0, *med8*, *cat2*, and *cat2 med8* plants under the conditions described in (C). *ICS1*, *PR1*, and *PR2* transcript levels were quantified by RT-qPCR, relative to *ACTIN* and *UBIQUITIN*, in plants transferred from low-to-moderate light at the indicated days. Values are means $\pm$ SE of three to four biological repeats. Numbers above the bars indicate fold-change relative to control conditions (day 0) in the respective genotypes. Only fold-change values higher than 2 are displayed. Significant differences are * $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between mutants and Col-0) and + $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between double mutants and *cat2*) (Student's *t* test).

(F) Total SA levels were determined 7 days after transfer from low-to-moderate light. Values are means $\pm$ SE of three biological repeats. Significant differences are * $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between mutants and Col-0) and + $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between double mutants and *cat2*) (Student's *t* test).

Figure 3. The *med8* mutation activates the H_2O_2 -dependent cell death response in non-permissive short day conditions.

(A) Representative pictures of 5-week-old plants grown under moderate light ($200 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) in a short-day photoperiod (8 h light/16 h dark). Red arrows indicate lesion formation on the double mutant leaves. Bar = 2 cm.

(B) Time course analysis of *ICS1* expression. *ICS1* transcripts were quantified in short-day grown plants at the indicated weeks.

(C) SA levels and related gene expression in Col-0, *cat2*, *med8*, and *cat2 med8* plants grown in short days for 6 weeks. *PR1*, *PR2*, *PR5*, and *SGT1* transcripts were quantified by RT-qPCR, relative to *ACTIN* and *UBIQUITIN*.

(D) Glutathione and redox marker transcript levels in Col-0, *cat2*, *med8*, and *cat2 med8* plants grown in short days for 6 weeks. Top most panel (glutathione), white and black bars correspond to reduced glutathione (GSH) and oxidized glutathione (GSSG), respectively. Numbers above the bars indicate GSH/GSSG ratios.

In (B), (C), and (D), values are means $\pm$ SE of three biological repeats. Significant differences are * $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between mutants and Col-0) and + $P \leq 0.05$ (comparison between double mutants and *cat2*) (Student's *t* test).

Figure 4. Truncation of MED8 Q-rich domain confers tolerance to MV.

(A) Phenotypes of 3-week-old Col-0, *med8*, and *MED8* overexpression lines (*MED8OE*-1/2/3). Plants were grown on ½MS medium with or without 25 nM, in a long-day photoperiod (16 h light/8 h dark) and under a light intensity of 80 $\mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$.

(B) Rosette area quantification of *med8* and *MED8OE* lines grown in the same condition as in (A). The data are means $\pm$ SE of 16 biological replicates. The different letters indicate statistically significant difference between Col-0, *med8*, and *MED8OE* lines under control conditions analyzed with one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's test ($P \leq 0.05$). Numbers above the bars indicate the reduction percentage of the rosette areas in the respective genotypes. Asterisks indicate a significant difference in MV resistance between mutant and Col-0 analyzed with 2-way-ANOVA ($P \leq 0.05$). NS, no significant differences.

(C) Glutathione content in *med8* mutants in response to MV-induced oxidative stress. Glutathione content in 3-week-old Col-0 and *med8* plants grown on ½MS medium with or without 25 nM MV. White and black bars indicate GSH and glutathione disulfide (GSSG) content, respectively. Numbers above the bars indicate glutathione reduction states in Col-0 and *med8* plants under control conditions and MV stress. The data are means $\pm$ SE of biological triplicates. Asterisk indicates a significant difference at $P \leq 0.05$ (Student's *t*-test) between Col-0 and the *med8* mutant.

(D) *MED8* transcript levels, relative to *ARP7* and *UBIQUITIN*, in the respective overexpression lines. The data are means $\pm$ SE of three biological replicates.

(E) Fresh weight of 3-week-old Col-0, *med8*, and *MED8OE* plants grown on ½MS medium. The data are means $\pm$ SE of eight biological replicates. The different letters indicate statistically significant difference analyzed with one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's test ($P \leq 0.05$).

(F) Phenotypes of 3-week-old plants grown in soil at an irradiance of 100 $\mu\text{mol.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$ in long days (16 h light/8 h dark).

Figure 5. Overview of changes in the *med8* transcriptome under control and MV-induced oxidative stress.

(A) Scatter plot visualization of expression levels of all transcripts analyzed in RNA-seq showing *med8*_control versus Col-0_control (left), Col-0_MV versus Col-0_control (middle), and *med8*_MV versus *med8*_control (right). Differentially expressed genes (absolute value of $\log_2FC > 1$, $FDR < 0.01$) are indicated by red (upregulated) or blue (downregulated). ctrl, control; MV, methyl viologen.

(B) Venn diagrams for comparison of differentially expressed genes shown in (A). Red and blue circles refer to upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively.

(C) Gene ontology enrichment analysis in *med8* control conditions. Red and blue circles indicate gene sets that are upregulated or downregulated, respectively.

(D) Examples of genes induced or repressed in *med8* under control conditions. Numbers next to each bar indicate $\log_2FC$ (*med8*_control versus Col-0_control).

Figure 6. Transcriptomic signature of *med8* in response to MV-induced oxidative stress.

(A) Heat map visualizing the expression of 1,184 genes that are either positively (P) or negatively (N) regulated by MED8 under MV stress based on two-way ANOVA analysis (Supplemental Data Set 4). Hierarchical clustering with tMEV identified seven main clusters. Expression is given as Log_2FC . From left to right: *med8*_control versus Col-0_control, Col-0_MV versus Col-0_control, and *med8*_MV versus *med8*_control.

(B) GO term enrichment analysis for each cluster displayed in (A) by ClueGo 2.5. The size of the nodes reflects the number of genes in each GO term, and the fill color intensity reflects the corresponding *P* value for enrichment after Bonferroni correction. GO terms were grouped based on their similarity (edge thickness represents the kappa score of similarity between two GO terms), and the most significant terms in each group are shown in bold.

Figure 7. Genetic manipulation of the *med8* oxidative stress tolerance phenotypes by introduction of secondary mutations in SA or JA biosynthesis and signaling.

(A) Phenotypes of 3-week-old Col-0, *med8*, and *med8* double mutant plants grown on ½MS medium with or without 25 nM MV. Mutations of SA or JA biosynthesis and signaling were achieved by introduction of the *sid2* and *npr1* or *aos*, *jar1*, and *myc2* mutations in the *med8* background.

(B) Time-course quantification of rosette areas of Col-0, *med8*, and SA- or JA-related mutants. All lines were grown in vitro for 20 days under control or stress conditions as described in (A).

(C) Quantification of rosette areas of all genotypes grown under the conditions as in (A) at 20 days. Number above the bars indicate the rosette growth reduction in respective genotypes.

(D) Quantification of rosette fresh weight of all genotypes grown in conditions as in (A) at 20 days. Number above the bars indicate the reduction in fresh weight in the respective genotypes.

(E) Phenotypes of 3-week-old soil-grown Col-0, *cat2*, *cat2 med8*, and *cat2 med8 sid2* plants at an irradiance of 200 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ in long days (16 h light/8 h dark).

Figure 8. The Mediator complex interacting proteins identified in IP-MS.

(A) Venn diagram showing the overlap between Mediator complex interactors identified by IP-MS, in MED8 (solid line) and MED8ΔQ (dashed line) respectively (see Supplemental Data Set 6 for full lists of interactors). Schematic protein models for MED8 and MED8ΔQ expressed in Arabidopsis cells are presented. The MED8 protein contains a glutamine (Q)-rich domain at the C-terminus with features of IDRs. The Q-rich domain truncation in MED8ΔQ mimics the *ceal5* mutation. Numbers indicate the amino acid (aa) position.

(B) Interactome of the Mediator complex in Arabidopsis. The Mediator complex network was constructed with the Cytoscape software. The grey edges represent interactions detected by our IP-MS experiments, the blue dashed lines indicate interactions reported in plants (String database) and the red edges indicate the one-to-one interaction detected by Maji et al. 2019 within the mediator complex.

Figure 9. NOT2 proteins associate with MED8 and regulate oxidative stress phenotypes and *EAL4* expression.

(A) GAL4-based yeast two-hybrid assays. Bait and prey represent the plasmids encoding the fusions to the GAL4 activation domain (AD) and the DNA-binding domain (DBD), respectively. Cotransformed yeast colonies were spotted on the selective SD medium minus Trp and Leu (-TL), then grown on SD medium minus Adenine, His, Trp, and Leu (-AHTL). Growth on SD-AHTL medium indicates a positive interaction. An empty vector was used as a negative control.

(B) Co-IP assays. *Pro35S::GFP-MED8* was transiently coexpressed with *Pro35S::NOT2a-RFP* or *Pro35S::RFP-NOT2a* in *N. benthamiana* plants. Total protein extracts were immunoprecipitated with α-GFP beads. Input and immunoprecipitation (IP) samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and the immunoblots were probed with α-GFP and α-RFP antibodies separately.

(C) Representative bright-field (top panel) and color-coded F_v'/F_m' (bottom panel) images of 3-week-old plants germinated and grown under control (½MS medium) and MV stress (25 nM MV) conditions.

(D) Quantification of rosette areas of plants grown in with and without MV stress. Asterisks indicate a significant difference between Col-0 and the mutants (** $P \leq 0.01$; Student's *t* test) and the different letters indicate statistically significant differences under control conditions analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's test ($P \leq 0.05$). The reduction (%) in rosette areas are indicated.

(E) Quantification of F_v'/F_m' . Asterisks indicate a significant difference in MV resistance between Col-0 and mutants analyzed by 2-way-ANOVA (** $P \leq 0.01$).

(D) and **(E)** Plants were grown in conditions described in **(C)** and 16 rosettes were quantified.

(F) Relative *EAL4* expression. mRNA was extracted in triplicate samples from plants grown under control conditions and MV stress. *EAL4* transcripts were quantified relative to *ARP7* and *UBIQUITIN*. Asterisks indicate a significant difference (** $P \leq 0.01$).

Figure 10. Proposed mechanism by which MED8 functions in oxidative stress signaling. In the wild-type background, MED8 associates with NOT2 as well as with other unknown repressors to suppress defense genes expression and to decrease tolerance to oxidative stress as a consequence. In the *med8* background, MED8 C terminal is lacking, this drives potentially the dissociation from the repressor module, the expression of defense genes, and the activation of phytohormonal pathways (for instance, the salicylic acid pathway), thus enhancing the tolerance to oxidative stresses.

Parsed Citations

- Allen, B.L., and Taatjes, D.J. (2015). The Mediator complex: a central integrator of transcription. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 16: 155-166.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- An, C., Li, L., Zhai, Q., You, Y., Deng, L., Wu, F., Chen, R., Jiang, H., Wang, H., Chen, Q., and Li, C. (2017). Mediator subunit MED25 links the jasmonate receptor to transcriptionally active chromatin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 114: E8930-E8939.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Arae, T., Morita, K., Imahori, R., Suzuki, Y., Yasuda, S., Sato, T., Yamaguchi, J., and Chiba Y. (2019). Identification of Arabidopsis CCR4-NOT complexes with pumilio RNA-binding proteins, APUM5 and APUM2. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 60: 2015-2025.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Asano, T., Masuda, D., Yasuda, M., Nakashita, H., Kudo, T., Kimura, M., Yamaguchi, K., and Nishiuchi, T. (2008). AtNFXL1, an Arabidopsis homologue of the human transcription factor NF-X1, functions as a negative regulator of the trichothecene phytotoxin-induced defense response. *Plant J.* 53: 450-464.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Asturias, F.J., Jiang, Y.W., Myers, L.C., Gustafsson, C.M., and Kornberg, R.D. (1999). Conserved structures of Mediator and RNA polymerase II holoenzyme. *Science* 283: 985-987.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Bäckström, S., Elfving, N., Nilsson, R., Wingsle, G., and Björklund, S. (2007). Purification of a plant Mediator from Arabidopsis thaliana identifies PFT1 as the Med25 subunit. *Mol. Cell* 26: 717729.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. BStat. Methodol.* 57: 289-300.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Bindea, G., Mlecnik, B., Hackl, H., Charoentong, P., Tosolini, M., Kirilovsky, A., Fridman, W.-H., Pagès, F., Trajanoski, Z., and Galon, J. (2009). ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinformatics* 25:1091-1093.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Borggreffe, T., Davis, R., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., and Kornberg, R.D. (2002). A complex of the Srb8, -9, -10, and -11 transcriptional regulatory proteins from yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277: 44202-44207.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Bourbon, H.-M. (2008). Comparative genomics supports a deep evolutionary origin for the large, four-module transcriptional mediator complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36: 3993-4008.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Buendía-Monreal, M., and Gillmor, C.S. (2016). Mediator: a key regulator of plant development. *Dev. Biol.* 419: 7-18.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Canet, J.V., Dobón, A., and Tornero, P. (2012). Non-recognition-of-BTH4, an Arabidopsis Mediator subunit homolog, is necessary for development and response to salicylic acid. *Plant Cell* 24: 4220-4235.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Çevik, V., Kidd, B.N., Zhang, P., Hill, C., Kiddle, S., Denby, K.J., Holub, E.B., Cahill, D.M., Manners, J.M., Schenk, P.M., Beynon, J., and Kazan, K. (2012). MEDIATOR25 acts as an integrative hub for the regulation of jasmonate-responsive gene expression in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 160: 541-555.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Chaouch, S., Queval, G., and Noctor, G. (2012). AtRbohF is a crucial modulator of defence-associated metabolism and a key actor in the interplay between intracellular oxidative stress and pathogenesis responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 69: 613-627.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Chaouch, S., Queval, G., Vanderauwera, S., Mhamdi, A., Vandenabeele, M., Langlois-Meurinne, M., Van Breusegem, F., Saindrenan, P., and Noctor, G. (2010). Peroxisomal hydrogen peroxide is coupled to biotic defense responses by ISOCHORISMATE SYNTHASE1 in a daylength-related manner. *Plant Physiol.* 153: 1692-1705.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Chen, J., Mohan, R., Zhang, Y., Li, M., Chen, H., Palmer, I.A., Chang, M., Qi, G., Spoel, S.H., Mengiste, T., Wang, D., Liu, F., and Fu, Z.Q. (2019). NPR1 promotes its own and target gene expression in plant defense by recruiting CDK8. *Plant Physiol.* 181: 289-304.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)
- Chen, R., Jiang, H., Li, L., Zhai, Q., Qi, L., Zhou, W., Liu, X., Li, H., Zheng, W., Sun, J., and Li, C. (2012). The Arabidopsis Mediator subunit MED25 differentially regulates jasmonate and abscisic acid signaling through interacting with the MYC2 and ABI5 transcription factors. *Plant Cell* 24: 2898-2916.
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Collart, M.A. (2016). The Ccr4-Not complex is a key regulator of eukaryotic gene expression. *WIREs RNA* 7: 438-454.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Cooper, D.G., and Fassler, J.S. (2019). Med15: glutamine-rich Mediator subunit with potential for plasticity. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 44: 737-751.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Cui, F., Brosché, M., Shapiguzov, A., He, X.-Q., Vainonen, J.P., Leppälä, J., Trotta, A., Kangasjärvi, S., Salojärvi, J., Kangasjärvi, J., and Overmyer, K. (2019). Interaction of methyl viologen-induced chloroplast and mitochondrial signalling in *Arabidopsis*. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 134: 555-566.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Davoine, C., Abreu, I.N., Khajeh, K., Blomberg, J., Kidd, B.N., Kazan, K., Schenk, P.M., Gerber, L., Nilsson, O., Moritz, T., and Björklund, S. (2017). Functional metabolomics as a tool to analyze Mediator function and structure in plants. *PLoS ONE* 12: e179640.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Dghim, A.A., Mhamdi, A., Vaultier, M.-N., Hasenfratz-Sauder, M.-P., Le Thiec, D., Dizengremel, P., Noctor, G., and Jolivet, Y. (2013). Analysis of cytosolic isocitrate dehydrogenase and glutathione reductase 1 in photoperiod-influenced responses to ozone using *Arabidopsis* knockout mutants. *Plant Cell Environ.* 36: 1981-1991.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Dhawan, R., Luo, H., Foerster, A.M., AbuQamar, S., Du, H.-N., Briggs, S.D., Mittelsten Scheid, O., and Mengiste, T. (2009). HISTONE MONOUBIQUITINATION1 interacts with a subunit of the Mediator complex and regulates defense against necrotrophic fungal pathogens in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 21: 1000-1019.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Dietz, K.-J. (2014). Redox regulation of transcription factors in plant stress acclimation and development. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 21: 1356-1372.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Ding, Y., Sun, T., Ao, K., Peng, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Opposite roles of salicylic acid receptors NPR1 and NPR3/NPR4 in transcriptional regulation of plant immunity. *Cell* 173: 1454-1467.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Ding, Y.-H., Liu, N.-Y., Tang, Z.-S., Liu, J., and Yang, W.-C. (2006). *Arabidopsis* GLUTAMINE-RICH PROTEIN23 is essential for early embryogenesis and encodes a novel nuclear PPR motif protein that interacts with RNA polymerase II subunit III. *Plant Cell* 18: 815-830.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Dolan, W.L., and Chapple, C. (2017). Conservation and divergence of Mediator structure and function: Insights from plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 58: 4-21.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Dolan, W.L., Dilkes, B.P., Stout, J.M., Bonawitz, N.D., and Chapple, C. (2017). Mediator complex subunits MED2, MED5, MED16, and MED23 genetically interact in the regulation of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* 29: 3269-3285.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Dotson, M.R., Yuan, C.X., Roeder, R.G., Myers, L.C., Gustafsson, C.M., Jiang, Y.W., Li, Y., Kornberg, R.D., and Asturias, F.J. (2000). Structural organization of yeast and mammalian mediator complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97: 14307-14310.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Dwivedi, N., Maji, S., Waseem, M., Thakur, P., Kumar, V., Parida, S.K., and Thakur, J.K. (2019). The Mediator subunit OsMED15a is a transcriptional co-regulator of seed size/weight-modulating genes in rice. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1862: 194432.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Elfving, N., Davoine, C., Benlloch, R., Blomberg, J., Brännström, K., Müller, D., Nilsson, A., Ulfstedt, M., Ronne, H., Wingsle, G., Nilsson, O., and Björklund, S. (2011). The *Arabidopsis thaliana* Med25 mediator subunit integrates environmental cues to control plant development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108: 8245-8250.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Elmlund, H., Baraznenok, V., Lindahl, M., Samuelsen, C.O., Koeck, P.J.B., Holmberg, S., Hebert, H., and Gustafsson, C.M. (2006). The cyclin-dependent kinase 8 module sterically blocks Mediator interactions with RNA polymerase II. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103: 15788-15793.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Fallath, T., Kidd, B.N., Stiller, J., Davoine, C., Björklund, S., Manners, J.M., Kazan, K., and Schenk, P.M. (2017). MEDIATOR18 and MEDIATOR20 confer susceptibility to *Fusarium oxysporum* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS ONE* 12: e0176022.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Fondell, J.D., Ge, H., and Roeder, R.G. (1996). Ligand induction of a transcriptionally active thyroid hormone receptor coactivator complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93: 8329-8333.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Gadjev, I., Vanderauwera, S., Gechev, T.S., Laloi, C., Minkov, I.N., Shulaev, V., Apel, K., Inzé, D., Mittler, R., and Van Breusegem, F.

(2006). Transcriptomic footprints disclose specificity of reactive oxygen species signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 141: 436-445.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Goeminne, L.J.E., Gevaert, K., and Clement, L. (2016). Peptide-level robust ridge regression improves estimation, sensitivity, and specificity in data-dependent quantitative label-free shotgun proteomics. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 15: 657-668.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Han, Y., Chaouch, S., Mhamdi, A., Queval, G., Zechmann, B., and Noctor, G. (2013a). Functional analysis of Arabidopsis mutants points to novel roles for glutathione in coupling H₂O₂ to activation of salicylic acid accumulation and signaling. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 18: 2106-2121.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Han, Y., Mhamdi, A., Chaouch, S., and Noctor, G. (2013b). Regulation of basal and oxidative stress-triggered jasmonic acid-related gene expression by glutathione. *Plant Cell Environ.* 36: 1135-1146.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

He, H., Van Breusegem, F., and Mhamdi, A. (2018). Redox-dependent control of nuclear transcription in plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69: 3359-3372.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Hemsley, P.A., Hurst, C.H., Kaliyadasa, E., Lamb, R., Knight, M.R., De Cothi, E.A., Steele, J.F., and Knight, H. (2014). The Arabidopsis Mediator complex subunits MED16, MED14, and MED2 regulate Mediator and RNA polymerase II recruitment to CBF-responsive cold-regulated genes. *Plant Cell* 26: 465-484.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Hiebert, C.W., Moscou, M.J., Hewitt, T., Steuernagel, B., Hernández-Pinzón, I., Green, P., Pujol, V., Zhang, P., Rouse, M.N., Jin, Y., McIntosh, R.A., Upadhyaya, N., Zhang, J., Bhavani, S., Vrána, J., Karafiátová, M., Huang, L., Fetch, T., Doležel, J., Wulff, B.B.H., Lagudah, E., Spielmeier, W. (2020). Stem rust resistance in wheat is suppressed by a subunit of the mediator complex. *Nat Commun.* 11: 1123

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Holstege, F.C.P., Jennings, E.G., Wyrick, J.J., Lee, T.I., Hengartner, C.J., Green, M.R., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S., and Young, R.A. (1998). Dissecting the regulatory circuitry of a eukaryotic genome. *Cell* 95: 717-728.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Huang, J., Sun, Y., Orduna, A.R., Jetter, R., and Li, X. (2019). The Mediator kinase module acts as a positive regulator of salicylic acid accumulation and systemic acquired resistance. *Plant J.* 98: 842-852.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Hulsen, T., de Vlieg, J., and Alkema, W. (2008). BioVenn- a web application for the comparison and visualization of biological lists using area-proportional Venn diagrams. *BMC Genomics* 9: 488.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Inzé, A., Vanderauwera, S., Hoyerichs, F.A., Vandenborgh, M., Van Gaever, T., and Van Breusegem, F. (2012). A subcellular localization compendium of hydrogen peroxide-induced proteins. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35: 308-320.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Jaspers, P., Blomster, T., Brosché, M., Salojärvi, J., Ahlfors, R., Vainonen, J.P., Reddy, R.A., Immink, R., Angenent, G., Turck, F., Overmyer, K., and Kangasjärvi, J. (2009). Unequally redundant RCD1 and SRO1 mediate stress and developmental responses and interact with transcription factors. *Plant J.* 60: 268-279.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Kang, J.S., Kim, S.H., Hwang, M.S., Han, S.J., Lee, Y.C., and Kim, Y.-J. (2001). The structural and functional organization of the yeast Mediator complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276: 42003-42010.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Kerchev, P., Mühlenbock, P., Denecker, J., Morreel, K., Hoyerichs, F.A., Van Der Kelen, K., Vandenborgh, M., Nguyen, L., Audenaert, D., and Van Breusegem, F. (2015). Activation of auxin signalling counteracts photorespiratory H₂O₂-dependent cell death. *Plant Cell Environ.* 38: 253-265.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Kerchev, P., Waszczak, C., Lewandowska, A., Willems, P., Shapiguzov, A., Li, Z., Alseekh, S., Mühlenbock, P., Hoyerichs, F.A., Huang, J., Van Der Kelen, K., Kangasjärvi, J., Fernie, A.R., De Smet, R., Van de Peer, Y., Messens, J., and Van Breusegem, F. (2016). Lack of GLYCOLATE OXIDASE1, but not GLYCOLATE OXIDASE2, attenuates the photorespiratory phenotype of CATALASE2-deficient Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 171: 1704-1719.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Kidd, B.N., Edgar, C.I., Kumar, K.K., Aitken, E.A., Schenk, P.M., Manners, J.M., and Kazan, K. (2009). The Mediator complex subunit PFT1 is a key regulator of jasmonate-dependent defense in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 21: 2237-2252.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., and Salzberg, S.L. (2013). TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol.* 14: R36.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Kim, Y.J., Zheng, B., Yu, Y., Won, S.Y., Mo, B., and Chen, X. (2011). The role of Mediator in small and long noncoding RNA production in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *EMBO J.* 30: 814-822.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Knight, H., Mugford, S.G., Ülker, B., Gao, D., Thorlby, G., and Knight, M.R. (2009). Identification of SFR6, a key component in cold acclimation acting post-translationally on CBF function. *Plant J.* 58: 97-108.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Lai, Z., Schluttenhofer, C.M., Bhide, K., Shreve, J., Thimmapuram, J., Lee, S.Y., Yun, D.-J., and Mengiste, T. (2014). MED18 interaction with distinct transcription factors regulates multiple plant functions. *Nat. Commun.* 5: 3064.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Lee, M.-H., Jeon, H.S., Kim, H.G., and Park, O.K. (2017). An *Arabidopsis* NAC transcription factor NAC4 promotes pathogen-induced cell death under negative regulation by microRNA164. *New Phytol.* 214: 343-360.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25: 1754-1760.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Li, S., Mhamdi, A., Trotta, A., Kangasjärvi, S., and Noctor, G. (2014). The protein phosphatase subunit PP2A-B'γ is required to suppress day length-dependent pathogenesis responses triggered by intracellular oxidative stress. *New Phytol.* 202: 145-160.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Li, W., Yoshida, A., Takahashi, M., Maekawa, M., Kojima, M., Sakakibara, H., and Kyojuka, J. (2015). SAD1, an RNA polymerase I subunit A34.5 of rice, interacts with Mediator and controls various aspects of plant development. *Plant J.* 81: 282-291.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Li, X., Yang, R., and Chen, H. (2018). The *Arabidopsis thaliana* Mediator subunit MED8 regulates plant immunity to *Botrytis cinerea* through interacting with the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor FAMA. *PLoS ONE* 13: e0193458.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Li, Y., Zheng, L., Corke, F., Smith, C., and Bevan, M.W. (2008). Control of final seed and organ size by the DA1 gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genes Dev.* 22: 1331-1336.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., and Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30: 923-930.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Liu, Q., Bischof, S., Harris, C.J., Zhong, Z., Zhan, L., Nguyen, C., Rashoff, A., Barshop, W.D., Sun, F., Feng, S., Potok, M., Gallego-Bartolome, J., Zhai, J., Wohlschlegel, J.A., Carey, M.F., Long, J.A., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2020. The characterization of Mediator 12 and 13 as conditional positive gene regulators in *Arabidopsis*. *Nat Commun.* 11: 2798.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Liu, Y., Du, M., Deng, L., Shen, J., Fang, M., Chen, Q., Lu, Y., Wang, Q., Li, C., and Zhai, Q. (2019). MYC2 regulates the termination of jasmonate signaling via an autoregulatory negative feedback loop. *Plant Cell* 31: 106-127.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Maji, S., Dahiya, P., Waseem, M., Dwivedi, N., Bhat, D.S., Dar, T.H., and Thakur, J.K. (2019). Interaction map of *Arabidopsis* Mediator complex expounding its topology. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47: 3904-3920.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Mao, X., Kim, J.I., Wheeler, M.T., Heintzelman, A.K., Weake, V.M., and Chapple, C. (2019). Mutation of Mediator subunit CDK8 counteracts the stunted growth and salicylic acid hyperaccumulation phenotypes of an *Arabidopsis* MED5 mutant. *New Phytol.* 223: 233-245.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Mathur, S., Vyas, S., Kapoor, S., and Tyagi, A.K. (2011). The Mediator complex in plants: structure, phylogeny, and expression profiling of representative genes in a dicot (*Arabidopsis*) and a monocot (rice) during reproduction and abiotic stress. *Plant Physiol.* 157: 1609-1627.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

May, M.J., and Leaver, C.J. (1993). Oxidative stimulation of glutathione synthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana* suspension cultures. *Plant Physiol.* 103: 621-627.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

McCarthy, D.J., Chen, Y., and Smyth, G.K. (2012). Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40: 4288-4297.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Mhamdi, A., and Van Breusegem, F. (2018). Reactive oxygen species in plant development. *Development* 145: dev164376.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Mhamdi, A., Hager, J., Chaouch, S., Queval, G., Han, Y., Taconnat, L., Saindrenan, P., Gouia, H., Issakidis-Bourguet, E., Renou, J.-P., and Noctor, G. (2010b). Arabidopsis GLUTATHIONE REDUCTASE1 plays a crucial role in leaf responses to intracellular hydrogen peroxide and in ensuring appropriate gene expression through both salicylic acid and jasmonic acid signaling pathways. *Plant Physiol.* 153: 1144-1160.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Mhamdi, A., Kerchev, P.I., Willems, P., Noctor, G., and Van Breusegem, F. (2017). Measurement of transcripts associated with photorespiration and related redox signaling. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1653: 17-29.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Mhamdi, A., Queval, G., Chaouch, S., Vanderauwera, S., Van Breusegem, F., and Noctor, G. (2010a). Catalase function in plants: a focus on Arabidopsis mutants as stress-mimic models. *J. Exp. Bot.* 61: 4197-4220.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Myers, L.C., Gustafsson, C.M., Hayashibara, K.C., Brown, P.O., and Kornberg, R.D. (1999). Mediator protein mutations that selectively abolish activated transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96: 67-72.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Nagulapalli, M., Maji, S., Dwivedi, N., Dahiya, P., and Thakur, J.K. (2016). Evolution of disorder in Mediator complex and its functional relevance. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44: 1591-1612.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Nakata, M., Mitsuda, N., Herde, M., Koo, A.J.K., Moreno, J.E., Suzuki, K., Howe, G.A., and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2013). A bHLH-type transcription factor, ABA-INDUCIBLE BHLH-TYPE TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR/JA-ASSOCIATED MYC2-LIKE1, acts as a repressor to negatively regulate jasmonate signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 25: 1641-1656.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Noctor, G., Lelarge-Trouverie, C., and Mhamdi, A. (2015). The metabolomics of oxidative stress. *Phytochemistry* 112: 33-53.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Noctor, G., Mhamdi, A., and Foyer, C.H. (2016). Oxidative stress and antioxidative systems: recipes for successful data collection and interpretation. *Plant Cell Environ.* 39: 1140-1160.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Noctor, G., Reichheld, J.-P., and Foyer, C.H. (2018). ROS-related redox regulation and signaling in plants. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 80: 3-12.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Pan, S., Li, K.-e., Huang, W., Zhong, H., Wu, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Cai, Z., Guo, H., Chen, X., and Xia, Y. (2020). Arabidopsis DXO1 possesses deNADding and exonuclease activities and its mutation affects defense-related and photosynthetic gene expression. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 62: 967-983.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Pérez-Martín, F., Yuste-Lisbona, F.J., Pineda, B., García-Sogo, B., del Olmo, I., de Dios Alche, J., Egea, I., Flores, F.B., Pineiro, M., Jarillo, J.A., Angosto, T., Capel, J., Moreno, V., and Lozano, R. (2018). Developmental role of the tomato Mediator complex subunit MED18 in pollen ontogeny. *Plant J.* 96: 300-315.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Queval, G., and Noctor, G. (2007). A plate reader method for the measurement of NAD, NADP, glutathione, and ascorbate in tissue extracts: application to redox profiling during Arabidopsis rosette development. *Anal. Biochem.* 363: 5869.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Queval, G., Issakidis-Bourguet, E., Hoeberichts, F.A., Vandenborgh, M., Gakière, B., Vanacker, H., Miginiac-Maslow, M., Van Breusegem, F., and Noctor, G. (2007). Conditional oxidative stress responses in the Arabidopsis photorespiratory mutant cat2 demonstrate that redox state is a key modulator of daylength-dependent gene expression, and define photoperiod as a crucial factor in the regulation of H₂O₂-induced cell death. *Plant J.* 52: 640-657.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Queval, G., Neukermans, J., Vanderauwera, S., Van Breusegem, F., and Noctor, G. (2012). Day length is a key regulator of transcriptomic responses to both CO₂ and H₂O₂ in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35: 374-387.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Quevedo, M., Meert, L., Dekker, M.R., Dekkers, D.H.W., Brandsma, J.H., van den Berg, D.L.C., Özgür, Z., van IJcken, W.F.J., Demmers, J., Fornerod, M., and Poot, R.A. (2019). Mediator complex interaction partners organize the transcriptional network that defines neural stem cells. *Nat. Commun.* 10: 2669 [Erratum *Nat. Commun.* 10: 3318].

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Raman, V., Anand, A., Vasudevan, B., Morsy, M.R., Pant, B.D., Lee, H.-K., Tang, Y., and Mysore, K.S. (2019). Overexpression of VIRE2-INTERACTING PROTEIN2 in Arabidopsis regulates genes involved in Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation and abiotic stresses. *Sci. Rep.* 9: 13503.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital

gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26: 139-140.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Ruiz-Aguilar, B., Raya-González, J., López-Bucio, J.S., Reyes de la Cruz, H., Herrera-Estrella, L., Ruiz-Herrera, L.F., Martínez-Trujillo, M., and López-Bucio, J. (2020). Mutation of MEDIATOR 18 and chromatin trigger twinning of the primary root meristem in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Environ.* 43: 1989-1999.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Samanta, S., and Thakur, J.K. (2015). Importance of Mediator complex in the regulation and integration of diverse signaling pathways in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6: 757.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Samanta, S., and Thakur, J.K. (2017). Characterization of Mediator complex and its associated proteins from rice. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1629: 123-140.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Sasaki-Sekimoto, Y., Jikumaru, Y., Obayashi, T., Saito, H., Masuda, S., Kamiya, Y., Ohta, H., and Shirasu, K. (2013). Basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors JASMONATE-ASSOCIATED MYC2-LIKE1 (JAM1), JAM2, and JAM3 are negative regulators of jasmonate responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 163: 291-304.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Schneeberger, K., Ossowski, S., Lanz, C., Juul, T., Høgh Petersen, A., Lehmann Nielsen, K., Jørgensen, J.-E., Weigel, D., and Uggerjoh Andersen, S. (2009). SHOREmap: simultaneous mapping and mutation identification by deep sequencing. *Nat. Methods* 6: 550-551.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Shaikhali, J., Davoine, C., Brännström, K., Rouhier, N., Bygdell, J., Björklund, S., and Wingsle, G. (2015). Biochemical and redox characterization of the mediator complex and its associated transcription factor GeBPL, a GLABROUS1 enhancer binding protein. *Biochem. J.* 468: 385-400.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N.S., Wang, J.T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski, B., and Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* 13: 2498-2504.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A.L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., Simonovic, M., Doncheva, N.T., Morris, J.H., Bork, P., Jensen, L.J., and von Mering, C. (2019). STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47: D607-D613.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Tian, T., Liu, Y., Yan, H., You, Q., Yi, X., Du, Z., Xu, W., and Su, Z. (2017). agriGO v2.0: a GO analysis toolkit for the agricultural community, 2017 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45: W122-W129.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Tognetti, V.B., Van Aken, O., Morreel, K., Vandenbroucke, K., van de Cotte, B., De Clercq, I., Chiwocha, S., Fenske, R., Prinsen, E., Boerjan, W., Genty, B., Stubbs, K.A., Inzé, D., and Van Breusegem, F. (2010). Perturbation in indole-3-butyric acid homeostasis by the UDP-glucosyltransferase UTG74E2 modulates *Arabidopsis* architecture and water stress tolerance. *Plant Cell* 22: 2660-2679.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Trotta, A., Wrzaczek, M., Scharfe, J., Tikkanen, M., Konert, G., Rahikainen, M., Holmström, M., Hiltunen, H.-M., Rips, S., Sipari, N., Mulo, P., Weis, E., von Schaewen, A., Aro, E.-M., and Kangasjärvi, S. (2011). Regulatory subunit B'γ of protein phosphatase 2A prevents unnecessary defense reactions under low light in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 156: 1464-1480.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Tsai, K.-L., Sato, S., Tomomori-Sato, C., Conaway, R.C., Conaway, J.W., and Asturias, F.J. (2013). A conserved Mediator--CDK8 kinase module association regulates Mediator--RNA polymerase II interaction. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 20: 611-619.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Van der Does, D., Leon-Reyes, A., Koornneef, A., Van Verk, M.C., Rodenburg, N., Pauwels, L., Goossens, A., Körbes, A.P., Memelink, J., Ritsema, T., Van Wees, S.C.M., and Pieterse, C.M.J. (2013). Salicylic acid suppresses jasmonic acid signaling downstream of SCFCO11-JAZ by targeting GCC promoter motifs via transcription factor ORA59. *Plant Cell* 25: 744-761.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Van Leene, J., Hollunder, J., Eeckhout, D., Persiau, G., Van De Slijke, E., Stals, H., Van Isterdael, G., Verkest, A., Neirynck, S., Buffel, Y., De Bodt, S., Maere, S., Laukens, K., Pharazyn, A., Ferreira, P.C.G., Eloy, N., Renne, C., Meyer, C., Faure, J.-D., Steinbrenner, J., Beynon, J., Larkin, J.C., Van de Peer, Y., Hilson, P., Kuiper, M., De Veylder, L., Van Onckelen, H., Inzé, D., Witters, E., and De Jaeger, G. (2010). Targeted interactomics reveals a complex core cell cycle machinery in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 6: 397.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Van Leene, J., Stals, H., Eeckhout, D., Persiau, G., Van De Slijke, E., Van Isterdael, G., De Clercq, A., Bonnet, E., Laukens, K., Remmerie, N., Henderickx, K., De Vijlder, T., Abdelkrim, A., Pharazyn, A., Van Onckelen, H., Inzé, D., Witters, E., and De Jaeger, G. (2007). A tandem affinity purification-based technology platform to study the cell cycle interactome in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 6: 1226-1238.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Vandenabeele, S., Van Der Kelen, K., Dat, J., Gadjev, I., Boonefaes, T., Morsa, S., Rottiers, P., Slooten, L., Van Montagu, M., Zabeau, M., Inzé, D., and Van Breusegem, F. (2003). A comprehensive analysis of hydrogen peroxide-induced gene expression in tobacco. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100: 16113-16118.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Vandenabeele, S., Vanderauwera, S., Vuylsteke, M., Rombauts, S., Langebartels, C., Seidlitz, H.K., Zabeau, M., Van Montagu, M., Inzé, D., and Van Breusegem, F. (2004). Catalase deficiency drastically affects gene expression induced by high light in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 39: 45-58.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Vanderauwera, S., Zimmermann, P., Rombauts, S., Vandenabeele, S., Langebartels, C., Gruissem, W., Inzé, D., and Van Breusegem, F. (2005). Genome-wide analysis of hydrogen peroxide-regulated gene expression in *Arabidopsis* reveals a high light-induced transcriptional cluster involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* 139: 806-821.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Vanderauwera, S., Suzuki, N., Miller, G., van de Cotte, B., Morsa, S., Ravanat, J.-L., Hegie, A., Triantaphylidès, C., Shulaev, V., Van Montagu, M.C.E., Van Breusegem, F., and Mittler, R. (2011). Extranuclear protection of chromosomal DNA from oxidative stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108: 1711-1716.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Vollsnes, A.V., Erikson, A.B., Otterholt, E., Kvaal, K., Oxaal, U., and Futsaether, C. (2009). Visible foliar injury and infrared imaging show that daylength affects short-term recovery after ozone stress in *Trifolium subterraneum*. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60: 3677– 3686.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang, C., Du, X., and Mou, Z. (2016). The Mediator complex subunits MED14, MED15, and MED16 are involved in defense signaling crosstalk in *Arabidopsis*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7: 1947.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang, C., Yao, J., Du, X., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., Rollins, J.A., and Mou, Z. (2015). The *Arabidopsis* Mediator complex subunit16 is a key component of basal resistance against the necrotrophic fungal pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Physiol.* 169: 856-872.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang, F., Wei, H., Tong, Z., Zhang, X., Yang, Z., Lan, T., Duan, Y., and Wu, W. (2011). Knockdown of NtMed8, a Med8-like gene, causes abnormal development of vegetative and floral organs in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.). *Plant Cell Rep.* 30: 2117-2129.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang, L., Song, X., Gu, L., Li, X., Cao, S., Chu, C., Cui, X., Chen, X., and Cao, X. (2013). NOT2 proteins promote polymerase II-dependent transcription and interact with multiple MicroRNA biogenesis factors in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 25: 715-727.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Waszczak, C., Carmody, M., and Kangasjärvi, J. (2018). Reactive oxygen species in plant signaling. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 69: 209-236.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wathugala, D.L., Hemsley, P.A., Moffat, C.S., Cremelie, P., Knight, M.R., and Knight, H. (2012). The Mediator subunit SFR6/MED16 controls defence gene expression mediated by salicylic acid and jasmonate responsive pathways. *New Phytol.* 195: 217-230.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wendrich, J.R., Boeren, S., Möller, B.K., Weijers, D., and De Rybel, B. (2017). In vivo identification of plant protein complexes using IP-MS/MS. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1497: 147-158.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Willems, P., Mhamdi, A., Stael, S., Storme, V., Kerchev, P., Noctor, G., Gevaert, K., and Van Breusegem, F. (2016). The ROS wheel: refining ROS transcriptional footprints. *Plant Physiol.* 171: 1720-1733.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wright, P.E., and Dyson, H.J. (2015). Intrinsically disordered proteins in cellular signalling and regulation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 16: 18-29.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Xu, R., and Li, Y. (2011) Control of final organ size by Mediator complex subunit 25 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* 138: 454-4554.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Xu, R., and Li, Y. (2012). The Mediator complex subunit 8 regulates organ size in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 7: 182-183.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Yang, T.H., Lenglet-Hilfiker, A., Stolz, S., Glauser, G., Farmer, E.E. (2020). Jasmonate precursor biosynthetic enzymes LOX3 and LOX4 control wound-response growth restriction. *Plant Physiol* 184: 1172-1180.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhai, Q., and Li, C. (2019). The plant Mediator complex and its role in jasmonate signaling. *J. Exp. Bot.* 70: 3415-3424.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhai, Q., Li, L., An, C., and Li, C. (2018). Conserved function of mediator in regulating nuclear hormone receptor activation between

plants and animals. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 13: e1403709.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhang, X., Wang, C., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., and Mou, Z (2012). The Arabidopsis Mediator complex subunit16 positively regulates salicylate-mediated systemic acquired resistance and jasmonate/ethylene-induced defense pathways. *Plant Cell* 24: 4294-4309.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhang, X., Yao, J., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., and Mou, Z (2013). The Arabidopsis Mediator complex subunits MED14/SWP and MED16/SFR6/IEN1 differentially regulate defense gene expression in plant immune responses. *Plant J.* 75: 484-497.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhou, H.-R., Lin, R.-N., Huang, H.-W., Li, L., Cai, T., Zhu, J.-K., Chen, S., and He, X.-J. (2020). The CCR4-NOT complex component NOT1 regulates RNA-directed DNA methylation and transcriptional silencing by facilitating Pol IV-dependent siRNA production. *Plant J.* 103: 1503-1515.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhu, Y., Huang, P., Guo, P., Chong, L., Yu, G., Sun, X., Hu, T., Li, Y., Hsu, C.C., Tang, K., Zhou, Y., Zhao, C., Gao, W., Tao, W.A., Mengiste, T., and Zhu, J.K. (2020). CDK8 is associated with RAP2.6 and SnRK2.6 and positively modulates abscisic acid signaling and drought response in Arabidopsis. *New Phytol.* doi: 10.1111/nph.16787.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)