Chitosan/\(\gamma\)-PGA nanoparticles-based immunotherapy as adjuvant to radiotherapy in breast cancer
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Abstract

Radiotherapy (RT) is an essential treatment modality for several types of cancer. Despite its therapeutic potential, RT is frequently insufficient to overcome the immunosuppressive nature of the tumor microenvironment, failing to control tumor metastases. Innovative immunomodulatory strategies, like immunostimulatory biomaterials could be used to boost the immunogenic effects of RT. Herein, we addressed the synergistic potential of immunostimulatory chitosan/poly(γ-glutamic acid) nanoparticles (Ch/γ-PGA NPs) combined with RT to induce antitumor immunity in the 4T1 orthotopic breast tumor mouse model. Non-treated animals had progressive primary tumor growth and developed splenomegaly and lung metastases. While RT decreased primary tumor burden, Ch/γ-PGA NPs-treatment decreased systemic immunosuppression and lung metastases. The combination therapy (RT+ Ch/γ-PGA NPs) synergistically impaired 4T1 tumor progression, which was associated with a significant primary tumor growth and splenomegaly reduction, a decrease in the percentage of splenic immunosuppressive myeloid cells and an increase in antitumoral CD4^+ IFN-γ^+ population. Notably, animals from the combination therapy presented less and smaller lung metastatic foci and lower levels of the systemic pro-tumoral cytokines IL-3, IL-4, IL-10, and of the CCL4 chemokine, in comparison to non-treated animals. Overall, these results evidenced that Ch/γ-PGA NPs potentiate and synergize with RT, headlining their promising role as adjuvant anticancer strategies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Radiotherapy is an effective and broadly used treatment for several solid cancers, including breast, prostate, cervical, head and neck cancers [1]. Its biological principle is based on the induction of DNA double-strand breaks on aberrant proliferative and DNA-repair-deficient cells. Consequently, immunogenic cell death releases tumor-associated antigens into the tumor microenvironment as well as several damage-associated molecular patterns, eliciting an antitumor immune response [2, 3]. Nevertheless, tumor irradiation induces a wound healing response characterized by inflammation, extracellular matrix remodeling and infiltration of immunosuppressive myeloid cells, which may in turn facilitate therapeutic resistance and tumor relapse. This abundant myeloid immune infiltrate at the irradiated tumors essentially consists of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), likely recruited to limit radiotherapy-induced tissue damage, contributing to dampen the antitumor immunity and potentiating the escape from immunosurveillance [4-6]. Accordingly, the accumulation of myeloid cells, including MDSCs and TAMs, has been associated with tumor progression and metastasis [7-10]. Importantly, the blockade of TAMs recruitment has been associated with better responses to immunotherapy in distinct preclinical studies [11], but no significant clinical benefits were observed yet [12]. Most recently, Kumar and co-workers demonstrated the importance of combining CSF1R blockade with CXCR2 inhibitors [13]. Given the functional plasticity of TAMs and granulocytic cells and their differential impact on tumor progression, the reprogramming of these cells, rather than their depletion, appears as a more effective therapeutic strategy [14, 15] to potentiate radiotherapy effects.

Our team has previously explored the effect of clinically relevant ionizing radiation doses (5*2Gy) on human macrophage function and on macrophage-cancer cell crosstalk. Accordingly, we demonstrated that besides the induced DNA damage, irradiated macrophages remained viable and metabolically active. Additionally, ionizing radiation drove macrophages towards a more pro-inflammatory like-profile, still preserving their ability to stimulate cancer cell invasion and angiogenesis [16]. Considering the infiltration of myeloid cells in the irradiated site, we propose targeting these cells following radiotherapy treatment in order to promote T cell-mediated antitumor immune response.
Biomaterials have been widely studied for vaccine delivery, since they could protect antigen and adjuvant molecules from degradation, increase lymphoid organ accumulation, and modulate antigen-presenting cell (APCs) functions. Several biomaterial-assisted cancer vaccines have shown great potential in preclinical and clinical development [17-22]. For example, synthetic high-density lipoprotein (sHDL) nanodiscs efficiently co-deliver tumor antigens and the adjuvant CpG to draining lymph nodes. These nanodiscs promoted dendritic cells (DCs) maturation and elicited cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) responses. Additionally, their combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors further amplified the effect of nanodiscs vaccination, leading to the elimination of established tumors [18]. Others showed that nanodiscs combined with multiple TLR agonists are strong adjuvants for vaccines [23]. We recently reported that chitosan (Ch)/poly(γ-glutamic acid) (γ-PGA) nanoparticles (NPs) modulate immature DCs towards an immunostimulatory profile, eliciting CD4 T cell response. Furthermore, Ch/γ-PGA NPs reprogrammed IL-10-stimulated macrophages, characterized by an immunosuppressive phenotype, towards an immunostimulatory one, potentiating CD8 T cell response. Notably, Ch/γ-PGA NPs hindered the ability of macrophages and DCs to induce cancer cell invasion in vitro [24]. Therefore, the intrinsic immunostimulatory properties of Ch/γ-PGA NPs makes them good candidates for being used as adjuvants in cancer therapeutic immunomodulatory strategies.

Thus, the present work aims to evaluate in vivo the ability of Ch/γ-PGA NPs to synergize with radiotherapy to improve its antitumor effect by: (1) controlling primary tumor growth, (2) inhibiting lung metastasis, and (3) enhancing the immune response. In agreement, this report highlights the potential of Ch/γ-PGA NPs to be combined with radiotherapy as an anticancer therapeutic strategy, based on its immunostimulatory properties, and ability to better control breast cancer progression.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Ch/γ-PGA NPs preparation and characterization

Ch/γ-PGA NPs were prepared as previously described [24]. Briefly, purified Ch (France-Chitine) with the degree of acetylation (DA) of 10.4 ± 1.6%, determined by Fourier transform infrared
spectroscopy using KBr pellets (FTIR-KBr), and molecular weight (MW) of 324 ± 27 kDa, determined by size-exclusion chromatography, was used. γ-PGA, with MW of 10-50 kDa and a purity level of 99.5%, was produced from Bacillus subtilis cultures, as described by Pereira et al [25]. Ch/γ-PGA NPs were prepared at a molar ratio of 1:1.5 (mol Ch:mol γ-PGA) by a coacervation method. γ-PGA solution (0.2 mg/mL in 0.05 M Tris-HCl with 0.15 M NaCl buffer solution) was dropped to a Ch solution (0.2 mg/mL in 0.2 M AcOH), using a 1 mL syringe copulated to a syringe pump (KD Scientific Inc., Holliston, MA), at constant speed (3.6 µL/s), under high stirring conditions and at room temperature (RT). All solutions were prepared in buffer solution with pH adjusted to 5.0. After initial preparation, Ch/γ-PGA NPs were concentrated 10 times by centrifugation (13000 rpm, 30 minutes (min), 4 ºC). Ch/γ-PGA NPs size, polydispersion index and zeta potential were then evaluated by dynamic light scattering (DLS) in a ZetaSizer Nano Zs (Malvern Instruments), equipped with a He-Ne laser (λ=633 nm) and through NanoSight LM10-HS microscope (NanoSight, Amesbury, UK). Each NPs batch produced was tested and 3 measurements per sample were acquired. The stability of the Ch/γ-PGA NPs was previously studied by our group [25-28], being the electrostatic interactions between Ch and γ-PGA disrupted at physiological pH.

2.2. Cell lines
The 4T1 cell line expressing luciferase (4T1-Luc), a mouse triple negative breast cancer cell line, was obtained from Sibtech (Brookfield, CT, USA). Tumor cells were maintained/expanded in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (Gibco; Life Technologies), supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (FCS) (Gibco; Life Technologies) and 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma) (cDMEM) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. All cultures were regularly tested for Mycoplasma by using MycoAlert Plus Kit (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland).

2.5. Ethics Statement
All animal experiments were performed in strict accordance with the recommendations of the European Union Directive 2010/63/EU and the Helsinki declaration, following a protocol previously approved by the UZ-Ghent University Hospital Ethics Committee (ECD 17/124). At
the defined endpoints, mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation by trained personal, certified by the Portuguese Direção-Geral de Alimentação e Veterinária, to minimize suffering.

2.4. Animal studies

Four-week-old immunocompetent BALB/cByJ females (Charles River Laboratories, l' Arbresle Cedex, France) were injected orthotopically in the mammary fat pad with 1x10⁶ 4T1-luciferase cells, resuspended in 100 µl serum-free DMEM in Matrigel matrix (1:1) (Corning). Tumor implantation was confirmed by bioluminescence imaging after 24 hours of tumor cell injection. Mice were intraperitoneally injected with 150 mg/kg body weight D-luciferin (Caliper Life Sciences), 20 minutes before bioluminescence imaging, which was carried out by using an IVIS Lumina II (Caliper Life Sciences). Bioluminescent imaging was initiated by a cooled CCD camera in the IVIS with a 15-cm field of view, binning factor of 8, 1/f stop and open filter. Exposure times were set automatically, according to the luciferase signaling activity. Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn for primary tumor and metastatic sites and were calculated through the IVIS software, expressed in total flux (photon/s).

After seven days of tumor cell inoculation, when tumors reached around 123 ± 12 mm³, animals from radiotherapy (RT) and combinatory therapy (RT plus Ch/γ-PGA NPs) groups were locally irradiated with hypofractionated 10 Gy (2x5 Gy) using a Small Animal Radiation Research Platform, SARRP system (X-ray tube: ISOVOLT 225M2 X-ray source; SARRP system, XStrahl®, Surrey, UK), at a constant rate of 2.83 Gy/min, for 106 seconds. Ionizing radiation experiments were performed at days 7 and 10. The voltage of the X-ray source was fixed at 220 kV with a tube current of 13 mA, emitted from the 2.5 mm focal spot and filtered by a copper filter of 0.15 mm and a 5 mm x 5 mm collimator. After 3 days, animals from Ch/γ-PGA NPs and RT plus Ch/γ-PGA NPs groups were subcutaneously injected at the tumor site, 6 times along 2 weeks (d13, d16, d19, d22, d25 and d28) with Ch/γ-PGA NPs (0.7 mg/mL). The total dosage of Ch/γ-PGA NPs was 0.42 mg per mouse (22.1 mg Ch/γ-PGA NPs/kg mice). Tumor volume was measured using a caliper and calculated as (length × width × width)/2 (mm³) and was normalized considering its volume prior any treatment. Tumor progression was followed by bioluminescence imaging every week. Animals were sacrificed at day 28.

2.5. Tumor and spleen digestion and stimulation
Primary tumors were collected 4 weeks after tumor cell inoculation, cut into small fragments and incubated with incomplete RPMI plus collagenase I (0.4 mg/mL), collagenase IV (1 mg/mL) and DNase (10 μg/mL) for 30 min at 37°C. Spleens were collected and mechanically digested. Erythrocytes were lysed with red blood cell lysis buffer (Biolegend) for 3 minutes at room temperature. For lymphoid cell immunophenotyping, splenocytes were stimulated with Phorbol 12-myristate (PMA) (200 ng/mL, Sigma), ionomycin (1 μg/mL, Sigma) and Brefeldin A (BFA) (10 μg/mL, Sigma), for 4 hours at 37 °C.

2.6. Flow Cytometry

After stimulation, cells were washed in FACS buffer (PBS, 2% FBS, 0.01% sodium azide) and pre-treated with Fc blocking agent (anti-mouse CD16/CD32, Biolegend) for 10 minutes, to minimize non-specific antibody binding. Then, cells were stained in the dark, for 45 minutes at 4 °C, with the following antibodies: CD3-PerCPcy5.5 (clone145-2C11, eBioscience), CD4-BV421 (clone RM4-5, Ebioscience), CD8 (clone 53-6.7, Biolegend), CD11b-Alexa 700 (clone M1/70, Biolegend), CD11c-FITC (clone N418, Biolegend), CD45-BV510 (clone 30-F11, Biolegend), CD45-APC (clone 30-F11, Biolegend), CD206-PerCPcy5.5 (clone C068C2, Biolegend), Ly6C-BV605 (clone HK1.4, Biolegend), Ly6G-BV421 (clone 1A8, Biolegend), F4/80-PEcy7 (clone BM8, Ebioscience) and MHC II-APCcy7 (clone M5/114.15.2, Ebioscience). The Aqua Zombie-BV510 and Live Dead-APC-Cy7 were used to confirm cell viability. After staining, cells were washed and fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde overnight. Cells were permeabilized with Permeabilization buffer (Invitrogen), in the dark, for 20 minutes at room temperature and stained for intracellular antigens, for 30 minutes at room temperature, using the following antibodies: IL-17-FITC (clone TC11-18H10, Biolegend), IFN-γ-BV605 (clone XMg1.2, Biolegend), TNF-α-PE (clone MP6-XT22, Ebioscience) and FoxP3-APC (clone FJK-16S, Ebioscience). Isotype-matched antibodies were used as negative controls. After additional washes, cells were acquired on a BD LSR Fortessa Flow Cytometer (BD Biosciences) and BD FACSDiva software. Results were analyzed using FlowJo software version 10 (TreeStar, Inc.).

2.7. Serum collection and analysis
Blood was collected from all animals by cardiac puncture to non-coated eppendorfs. Then, blood samples were centrifuged at 2500 rpm, for 30 minutes at room temperature and the serum fraction was further centrifuged at 1200 rpm, 5 minutes, at 4 °C to remove erythrocytes and stored at -80 °C until further use. Samples were then analyzed for cytokines and chemokines using a mouse cytokine/chemokine array 31-multiplex (MD31) commercially available from Eve Technologies.

2.8. Histology
Mice breast primary tumors, lungs, liver, spleen and left kidney were fixed for 24-48 hours in formalin at room temperature. Afterwards, these organs were embedded in paraffin, sectioned into 3 μm thickness sections, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The metastatic score was made by three independent observers along the middle plan of the distinct lung lobules. Such score considered the presence or absence of metastatic foci and their size as: absence of foci (score I), small foci (score II), intermediate foci areas (score III) and large metastasized areas (score IV).

2.9. Statistical analysis
All graphs and statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism Software version 7 (GraphPad-v7). The nonparametric unpaired Kruskal Wallis test was used for non-paired comparisons of more than two groups. The nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used for comparisons between two independent groups. Statistical significance was considered when *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

3. RESULTS
3.1. Ch/γ-PGA NPs characterization
We have previously developed Ch/γ-PGA NPs and confirmed their capacity to reprogram immature DCs and anti-inflammatory macrophages into pro-inflammatory cells with the ability to promote T cell proliferation and impair cancer cell invasion [24]. Recent in vivo studies showed
no toxicity signs in inflammatory intervertebral disc rat model upon Ch/γ-PGA NPs treatment [29].

Here, we investigated the *in vivo* potential of these Ch/γ-PGA NPs as adjuvants to radiotherapy. Ch/γ-PGA NPs were prepared by dropping a γ-PGA solution to a Ch solution, under stirring at pH 5 at constant rate, as previously described (Fig. S1A) [24]. The molar ratio, polymer concentration, pH of interaction and stability were firstly optimized to prepare a low polydisperse solution with nano-sized stable Ch/γ-PGA particles [25]. Particles concentration, size, polydispersion index and zeta potential were evaluated by Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and NanoSight (Fig. S1B,C). The results indicated that Ch/γ-PGA NPs presented a constant size (210.8 ± 1.4 nm) with a reduced polydispersion index (0.20 ± 0.05), a positive zeta potential (18.7 ± 0.9 mV) and a spherical shape (Fig. S1D). Furthermore, the chemical composition of Ch/γ-PGA NPs was analyzed by FTIR. The spectrum of the Ch/γ-PGA NPs was compared with the spectra of both polymers alone [30]. In this spectrum, it is clear the characteristic saccharide peaks of Ch (in the 960−1180 cm$^{-1}$ region), the C=O stretching vibrations of secondary amides in the solid form and of saturated aliphatic carboxylic acid dimers like γ-PGA (amide I band, adsorption at 1630−1680 cm$^{-1}$), the N−H scissoring deformation peak at 1565 cm$^{-1}$, indicative of the presence of saturated primary amine groups, and also the N−H deformation and C−N stretching of secondary amides solids, which include the amide II band of γ-PGA. The peak indicative of the C−O stretching of carboxylic acid dimers (1320−1210 cm$^{-1}$ region), present in γ-PGA spectrum [30] appears to be low in Ch/γ-PGA NPs spectrum. These results suggest the presence of both polymers, Ch and γ-PGA, in the formulation of Ch/γ-PGA NPs.

3.2. *Single and combination therapies do not affect mice body weight nor liver and kidney histology*

The murine mammary 4T1 model is well described in the literature [31] and its poor immunogenicity and spontaneous metastasis formation in syngeneic mice make it an attractive preclinical model to evaluate new anticancer therapies. Thus, luciferase-expressing 4T1 cells injected in the mammary fat pad of 4 weeks old BALB/cByJ mice. At the seventh and tenth day after tumor inoculation, animals from RT and RT+Ch/γ-PGA NPs (RT+NPs) groups were locally irradiated with 10 Gy, using a fractionated scheme (2*5 Gy). After 3 days, animals from
RT+NPs or NPs group were boosted with local subcutaneous Chγ-PGA NPs injections, three times/week, for two weeks (Fig. 1A). Animal body weight was monitored weekly, and no alteration was observed in any condition (Fig. S2A). At day 28, the experiment endpoint, the liver and kidneys were harvested to evaluate the impact of possible accumulation of NPs in these organs. Hematoxylin-eosin staining revealed no histopathological signs in the liver and kidneys of non-treated (control, CTR) and treated (RT, NPs and RT+NPs) animals (Fig. S2B), ensuring that these experimental conditions do not compromise animal safety.

3.3. RT in combination with Chγ-PGA NPs significantly decreases 4T1 primary tumor growth

We hypothesized that immunostimulatory Chγ-PGA NPs administration, in combination with RT, could decrease breast tumor progression. Therefore, we followed primary tumor growth through volume measurements and bioluminescence imaging, as well as, distant metastases formation. Our results showed that tumors grew progressively in the control non-treated animals while the growth of tumors was delayed in treated animals (Fig. 1B). While NPs effect on tumor growth was negligible (432 ± 79 %) comparing to control animals (525 ± 80 %), RT treatment delayed primary tumor growth (333 ± 69 %), although without reaching statistical significance (p<0.09). Importantly, the combinatorial treatment of RT+NPs resulted in a significant decrease of tumor growth after 28 days (234 ± 22 %, **, p<0.003), potentiating the effect of the single treatments with NPs and RT in 46 % and 30 %, respectively (Fig. 1C). In agreement, we observed that tumors from control animals presented higher weight (0.7 ± 0.2 g) comparing to treated ones. While NPs-treated animals presented a slight decrease in tumor weight (0.5 ± 0.2 g), the RT treatment group significantly exhibited a reduction (0.4 ± 0.1 g; *p<0.05). Once again, the combination treatment of RT+NPs further decreased the tumor weight (0.3 ± 0.2 g) in comparison with the non-treated group, although did not reach statistical significance to each of the single treatments (Fig. 1D). These results were corroborated by bioluminescence analysis, which demonstrated that tumors exposed to the combination treatment presented lower bioluminescence, suggesting reduced tumor burden (Fig. 1E). The quantification of bioluminescence through total photon flux (photons/second, p/s) at the primary tumor region showed that NPs slightly impacted tumor burden ((7.8 ± 1.4)x10^7 p/s) comparing to control animals ((13.0 ± 2.6)x10^7 p/s). Importantly, RT treatment decreased tumor bioluminescence...
((6.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^7 \text{ p/s}), although without reaching statistical significance (p<0.07), while only the combination treatment of RT+NPs significantly reduced tumor burden \((3.2 \pm 1.7) \times 10^7 \text{ p/s}; \*, p<0.01\) (Fig. 1F). In addition, this impairment on tumor growth seems dependent on tumor immunity induced by the combination therapy since NPs alone did not impair the 4T1-Luc proliferation nor migration (Fig. S3A,B). Hematoxylin and eosin staining revealed also that primary tumors from RT+NPs group presented higher necrotic areas in comparison to other groups (Fig. 1G, arrowheads). Overall, these results suggest that the combination therapy of RT+NPs is more efficient in controlling 4T1 breast primary tumor growth.
Figure 1. Ch\γ-PGA nanoparticles potentiate radiotherapy effects by decreasing 4T1 primary tumor growth. 

A. Experimental timeline. BALB/c mice injected with 4T1 cells on the mammary fat pad were submitted to radiotherapy (RT) (2x5 Gy) or treated with Ch\γ-PGA NPs (NPs), or with RT combined with Ch\γ-PGA NPs (RT+NPs). Non-treated animals were used as control (CTR). Animals from RT and RT+NPs group were irradiated at day 7 and day 10 with 5 Gy/fraction. After 3 days, animals from NPs and RT+NPs group were primed with Ch\γ-PGA NPs three times/week for 2 weeks. At the 28th day after tumor cells inoculation, animals were euthanized, and tissues and organs processed for further analysis.

B. Tumor volume (mm$^3$) was measured using a caliper and the growth kinetics were normalized to the initial tumor volume for each animal. Based on this, relative (%) tumor growth was estimated. Values represent the average tumor growth of 7 animals and flags represent standard deviation values. C and D. Relative (%) tumor growth and tumor weight was measured at the end of experiment, at day 28 (D28). Data show the mean ± SEM. E. Mice were bioluminescence imaged to monitor tumor progression. Images were taken 20 minutes after intraperitoneal injection of luciferin. Representative images from D28 are illustrated. F. Quantification of total photon flux (the number of photons/second, p/s) at primary tumor region. Median is represented by the horizontal line inside the box plots. G. Tumors were processed for histological analysis and stained with hematoxylin and eosin, magnification 200x; scale bar: 100 µm. Data is representative of at least 7 animals per group. Arrows indicate necrotic area. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test ( # p<0.05 and ** p<0.01 relative to non-treated control or single treatments (NPs or RT)).
3.4. RT in combination with Ch\(\gamma\)-PGA NPs attenuates spleen leukemoid reaction

The 4T1 model was described to induce a leukemoid reaction associated with granulocytosis and splenomegaly following the injection of 4T1 cells on the mammary fat pad of BALB/c mice. This condition was associated with the systemic release of tumor-derived growth factors [32] and with the appearance of metastatic disease [33]. In agreement, we observed a 9-fold increase in the spleen weight of control animals (CTR, non-treated) by four weeks post-tumor transplant (0.9 ± 0.1 g), in comparison to non-tumor induced animals (healthy, data not shown). Animals treated with NPs or RT had a negligible decrease on spleen weight (0.7 ± 0.2 g or 0.6 ± 0.1 g, respectively) in comparison to control animals (0.9 ± 0.1 g). Notably, combination treatment with RT+NPs presented a reduced spleen weight (0.3 ± 0.1 g) in comparison to control or single-treated animals, probably due to the inhibition of granulocytic hyperplasia (Fig. 2A,B). Additionally, we observed an exuberant reactive hyperplasia in the spleens of control animals where the red and white pulp could not be distinguished. Conversely, the spleens of those animals treated with single therapies, NPs or RT, presented a slight decrease in the hyperplasia condition, and the spleens of the animals submitted to the combination treatment RT+NPs had a near to normal splenic architecture (Fig. 2C). These results evidenced that the combination of RT with NPs administration decreased the leukemoid condition of the 4T1 model, which could be a sign of lower metastatic burden.

Myeloid colony-stimulating factors, namely GM-CSF, G-CSF and M-CSF, previously described to be associated with the leukemoid reaction in the 4T1 model [32], were quantified in the mice serum. Interestingly, there was a clear tendency for a decrease in the levels of GM-CSF in animals treated with NPs or with RT+NPs (9.4 ± 3.4 or 8.0 ± 3.6 pg/mL, respectively) in comparison with control animals (33.3 ± 14.4 pg/mL). Surprisingly, RT treatment did not impact on systemic GM-CSF levels (39.1 ± 17.9 pg/mL) (Fig. 2D). Regarding G-CSF, control animals exhibited a 11-fold increase in comparison to non-tumor induced animals (healthy, data not shown). NPs- and RT-treated animals presented slightly increased G-CSF levels than the control animals, without reaching statistical significance, while RT+NPs treatment significantly increased G-CSF secretion (Fig. 2E). No evident alterations were observed in M-CSF levels in all groups (Fig. 2F). Altogether, the combination of RT with NPs impairs the leukemoid reaction.
and the splenomegaly induced by 4T1 cell inoculation, which seems to be partially mediated through myeloid colony-stimulating factors.

**Figure 2**

Radiotherapy in combination with Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles decreases tumor-bearing mice splenomegaly. BALB/c mice injected with 4T1-Luc cells on the mammary fat pad were submitted to radiotherapy (RT) (2x5Gy), treated with Ch/γ-PGA NPs (NPs), or with combination therapy (RT+NPs). Non-treated animals were used as control (CTR). A. For each animal, spleen weight was measured at the experimental endpoint, day 28 (D28). Values represent the average spleen weight of 7 animals per group and flags represent standard deviation values. B. Representative images of mice spleen. C. Spleens were processed for histological procedures and stained with hematoxylin and eosin, magnification 4x; scale bar: 500 µm; magnification 10x; scale bar: 200 µm. Data is representative of at least 7 animals per group. D-F. Serum was analyzed at day 28 (D28) through multiplex immunobead assay technology. Outliers were calculated through ROUT method (Q=1%) and removed from the analysis. Data show the mean ± SEM and it is representative of at least 6 animals per group. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (*p<0.05 and **p<0.01 relative to non-treated control or single treatments (NPs or RT)).
3.5. RT in combination with Ch γ-PGA nanoparticles decreases the percentage of myeloid cells while increasing T helper 1 response in the spleen

The progression of 4T1 tumors is generally accompanied by a decrease of T cells and an increase in myeloid cells in the spleen [32]. To understand the impact of the combination treatment on the cellular dynamics of the spleen, at day 28, we dissociated spleens from the different groups into single cell suspensions and analyzed, by flow cytometry, the myeloid (Fig. 3A) and lymphoid populations (Fig. 4A). Single treatments (NPs or RT) did not significantly impact the dynamics of myeloid cells in the spleen. However, upon RT+NPs treatment, we found an increase in the percentage of immune cells in the spleen (CD45+), where the percentage of myeloid cells was significantly decreased (Fig. 3B,C). In particular, we observed a significant decrease in the percentage of granulocytic-myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) (Ly6G+ Ly6C−), while monocytic-MDSCs (Ly6C+ Ly6G−) and neutrophils increased (Ly6G− Ly6C+) (Fig. 3D-F). These alterations on granulocytic cells and on monocytic-MDSCs were also extended to the primary tumor immune populations (Fig. S4A-E). The percentage of inflammatory macrophages (F4/80+ Ly6C+) was also increased in the spleen upon the combination therapy (Fig. 3G), and the same tendency was observed in the primary tumor (Fig. S4F).

In addition, we observed that single treatments, NPs or RT, had a minor impact on splenic T cell populations (Fig. 4B-G), whereas the combination therapy (RT+NPs) increased the percentage of CD3+ T cells in comparison to the control group (Fig. 4B). Importantly, this increase was only observed in the CD3+CD4+ and not in CD3+CD8+ T cells (Fig. 4C), and was associated with an increase in the proportion of IFN-γ-producing CD3+CD4+ T cells (Fig. 4D). No alterations were observed in the FoxP3-expressing CD3+CD4+ T cells upon the distinct treatments (Fig. 4E). The frequency of CD3+CD8+ T cells in the spleen was similar in all treated groups (Fig. 4F). There was a tendency for a higher proportion of IFN-γ-producing CD3+CD8+ T splenocytes in the animals treated with RT+NPs (Fig. 4G). No significant alterations in the percentage of T cells were observed at the primary tumor site (Fig.S5A-F). Overall, these results suggest that the combinatory therapy of RT with NPs increases T helper 1 (CD3+CD4+IFN-γ+ cells) response in the spleen and decreases the percentage of myeloid cells, which may be crucial to impair tumor progression.
Radiotherapy in combination with Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles decreases the percentage of splenic myeloid cells. Mice injected with 4T1 cells on the mammary fat pad were submitted to radiotherapy (RT) (2x5 Gy) or treated with Ch/γ-PGA NPs (NPs), or with combination therapy (RT+NPs). Non-treated animals were used as control (CTR). **A.** At day 28, animals were euthanized, and spleens were collected and processed for flow cytometry analysis. The pseudocolor plots indicate the gate strategy of myeloid cells. CD45+Live Dead (LD) cells were gated on single cells (FSC-A vs SSC-H). CD11b+ cells were gated on CD45+LD- cells. F4/80+Ly6C*, Ly6C*Ly6G, Ly6C-Ly6G*, Ly6C-Ly6G* cells were gated on CD11b+ cells. **B-G.** The percentage of positive cells was determined. Data is representative of at least 5 animals per group. Median is represented by the horizontal line inside the box plots. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, relative to non-treated control or single treatments (NPs or RT)).
Radiotherapy in combination with Chγ-PGA nanoparticles increases the percentage of splenic CD4 T cells-producing IFN-γ. Mice injected with 4T1-Luc cells were treated with radiotherapy (RT) (2x5 Gy), with Chγ-PGA NPs (NPs) or with the combination therapy (RT+NPs). Non-treated animals were used as control (CTR). At day 28, animals were euthanized, and spleens were collected and processed for flow cytometry analysis. A. The pseudocolor plots indicate the gate strategy of T cells. CD45+Live Dead (LD) cells were gated on single cells (FSC-A vs SSC-H). CD3+, CD3+CD4+, CD3+CD8+ T cells were gated on CD45+LD+ cells. IFN-γ-producing T cells were gated on CD3+CD4+ or CD3+CD8+ T cells. FoxP3-expressing cells were gated on CD3+CD4+ T cells. B-G. The percentage of positive cells was determined. Data is representative of at least 5 animals per group. Median is represented by the horizontal line inside the box plots. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (p<0.05 relative to non-treated control or single treatments (NPs or RT)).
3.6. RT in combination with Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles decreases the levels of systemic immunosuppressive cytokines

The production of immune mediators, namely cytokines and chemokines, in the 4T1 model has been explored [34]. To characterize the systemic response, a panel of mouse cytokines and chemokines was evaluated in mice serum through a multiplex analysis. As expected, 4T1 tumor inoculation led to an enhanced expression of cytokines with immunosuppressive and protumor activities, namely, IL-3, IL-4 and IL-10, and the dual player IL-6, in comparison to healthy animals (Fig. 5A). No significant differences were observed between 4T1 tumor-bearing and healthy animals regarding the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines as IL-12p40, IL-12p70, TNF-α and IFN-γ (Fig. 5B).

Regarding the impact of the different treatments on systemic immunity, we observed an overall decrease of the cytokine levels in the serum of RT+NPs-treated animals, excepting for IL-1α, G-CSF and CCL11 (≥1.5-fold, p<0.05), which seemed NPs treatment-dependent (Fig. S6). NPs- and RT+NPs-treated animals presented lower systemic levels of protumor cytokines comparing to control animals, while RT-treated animals presented similar ones (Fig. 5C-F). Specifically, animals treated with RT+NPs had a reduction of 3.5-fold in IL-3 levels, 5.3-fold in IL-4 levels, 13-fold in IL-6 levels and 2.3-fold in IL-10 levels in comparison to RT-treated animals. This combination therapy also potentiated NPs effect, since it decreased 2.1-fold in IL-4 levels, 7-fold in IL-6 levels and 2.3-fold in IL-10 levels, in comparison to NPs-treated animals (Fig. 5C-F). These results suggest that NPs were the major driver in the reduction of immunosuppressive cytokines in the serum of animals treated with RT+NPs, and that RT potentiated this effect. Regarding cytokines with pro-inflammatory activities, we did not observe major alterations in IL-12p40, IL-12p70, TNF-α secretion in RT+NPs-treated animals comparing to control ones. IFN-γ levels were slightly decreased in RT-treated animals (p<0.09) while the levels on RT+NPs-treated ones were reestablished (Fig. 5G-J). Overall, these results suggest that the combination therapy RT+NPs has a major impact in the reduction of immunosuppressive and protumor cytokines, which could impact on the phenotype of the myeloid cells in the spleen, tuning the immune cells recruited to the tumor site and, consequently on tumor progression.
Figure 5. Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles treatment contributes in higher extension to the decrease of the immunosuppressive cytokines-induced by combination therapy. A. Mice were subcutaneously injected with 4T1-Luc cells and treated as above. At day 28, animals were euthanized, and serum was collected for analysis through Multiplex immunobead assay technology. Outliers were calculated through ROUT method (Q=1%) and removed from the analysis. A and B. Serum from non-treated 4T1-induced mice was compared to healthy animals. Data show the mean ± SEM from at least 5 animals per group. Comparisons were performed using the unpaired Mann-Whitney test (*p<0.05, **p<0.01 relative to non-treated animals). C-J. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (*p<0.05, **p<0.01 relative to non-treated control (CTR) or single treatments (NPs or RT)).
3.7. *RT in combination with Chγ-PGA nanoparticles decreases lung metastasis burden*

The poorly immunogenic 4T1 tumor model is highly metastatic to the lungs [31]. Using whole-body fluorescence imaging, animals from different groups were imaged for 4 weeks but no differences regarding the bioluminescence signal in the lungs or in the liver were observed (data not shown). To complement this analysis, we performed hematoxylin and eosin staining of the lungs of treated and non-treated animals. We defined a metastatic score accordingly to the presence or absence of metastatic foci and their size as: absence of foci (score I), small foci (score II), intermediate foci areas (score III) and larger metastasized areas (score IV) (Fig. 6A). NPs treatment and the combination therapy (RT+NPs) significantly reduced lungs metastatic burden (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, we found the largest lung metastasized areas (score III and IV) in non-treated animals (Fig. 6C, D). On animals subjected to NPs treatment, we observed essentially smaller or absent foci (5/7 animals), while RT-treated animals presented small and intermediate lesions (5/7 animals). Notably, the animals from the combination therapy (RT+NPs) exhibited the lowest metastatic burden, essentially represented by scores I and II (6/7 animals) (Fig. 6C, D). These data are consistent with a decrease in the systemic levels of chemotactic molecules, associated with metastases promotion, in the serum of the animals treated with the combination treatment, as CCL4, VEGF and GM-CSF (Fig. 6E, F and Fig. 2D), and also with a decrease of the granulocytic-MDSCs, which are known to prepare the pulmonary metastatic niche and favor the metastatic growth [35, 36]. Altogether, these findings could explain the reduced tumor burden in the lungs of these animals.
Figure 6. Radiotherapy in combination with Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles decreases lung metastasis burden. Mice were subcutaneously injected with 4T1-Luc cells and treated as above. At day 28, animals were euthanized, and lungs were recovered for metastases analysis. A. Lungs were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and scored accordingly to the presence or absence of metastatic foci and their size: absent of foci (score I), small metastatic foci (score II), intermediate size foci (score III) and larger areas metastasized (score IV). Arrows indicate metastatic foci. Magnification: 200x, scale bar: 100µm; magnification: 600x, scale bar: 30µm. B. Quantification of the number of metastatic foci in each animal. Data show the mean ± SEM and it is representative of 7 animals. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (*p<0.05 relative to non-treated control). C. Experimental treatments and respective metastatic score. D. The association between treatment with metastatic score I and II vs III and IV was assessed using two-sided Fisher’s exact test (**p<0.008). E and F. Serum was analyzed through multiplex immunobead assay technology. Outliers were calculated through ROUT method (Q=1%) and removed from the analysis. Data show the mean ± SEM and it is representative of at least 6 animals. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (p<0.05 and p<0.01 relative to non-treated control or single treatments (NPs or RT)).
Figure 7 – Schematic of the in vivo effects of the therapeutic combination of the Ch/γ-PGA NPs with radiotherapy (2*5Gy) using a 4T1 breast tumor model. Composition of the Ch/γ-PGA NPs and signaling pathways described to be activated by these NPs.
4. DISCUSSION

The main obstacle to anticancer therapy success is the tolerance established during tumor progression by the host [37], which favors the acquisition of an immunosuppressive microenvironment, and consequent resistance to therapies [10, 38, 39]. Therefore, novel anticancer strategies should include immunomodulatory approaches to subvert the tolerance to the tumor. Specifically, RT is known to induce an immunogenic cell death, inducing the appearance of novel antigens and the release of death signals, which promote the activation of the innate immune system and the recruitment of T cells to the tumor site. However, this response will only be effective if the immunosuppressive effects can be overcome. Several efforts have been done to target tumor microenvironment-mediated radioresistance mechanisms [10]. For example, RT followed by insulin-growth factor 1 receptor (IGF-1R) neutralization in orthotopic colorectal cancer models reduced the number of mice with organ metastases [40]. Additionally, preclinical studies have exploited the synergistic effects of RT in combination with immunotherapies, namely anti-PD-1, anti-CTLA-4 and anti-CD25, demonstrating improved therapeutic response [41-43], and are currently in clinical trials [44]. In addition, the emergence of nanomedicine as promising technology for cancer treatment has demonstrated several advantages over conventional therapies in preclinical studies. This includes the improvement of drug therapeutic index by enhancing their specificity, efficacy and/or minimizing the associated adverse effects; enhancement of the pharmaceutical properties (for example, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile) of chemotherapeutic agents; ability of sustained or stimulus-triggered drug release; the feasibility of co-delivery of multiple drugs which can be combined with other cancer therapies; the inherent therapeutic properties of some nanomaterials; and the improvement of cost–effectiveness [45]. Nevertheless, a deep understanding of the tumor microenvironment is obligatory to overcome the related obstacles, as the entry and retention of NPs into the tumors, their fate and functionality as well as the challenging clinical translation in humans due to individual differences among patients, and tumors' heterogeneity [46]. Currently, most of the nanostrategies to enhance radiotherapeutic effects relies on the combination with chemotherapeutic agents [47, 48], with several clinical trials ongoing [49]; anti-vascular agents [50]; radiosensitizers such as camptothecin [51], DNA repair inhibitors [52] and PI3K inhibitors
[53], which are generally very toxic when delivered systemically in their free forms. Also, the use of inorganic NPs as radiosensitizers has been extensively reported [54, 55], despite remaining a challenge for clinical translation. Advances in immunotherapy research have resulted in many immunomodulatory nanostrategies, being inert NPs-delivering immune stimulating agents [56, 57], functionalized-NPs with antibodies [58], and combination therapeutic strategies [59, 60] the main deliverables. However, the inherent immunostimulatory/therapeutic features of some natural materials to strength radiotherapy is not deeply explored.

In the current preclinical study, we tested the combination of conventional RT with a nanomedicine-based approach, using the immunostimulatory Ch/γ-PGA NPs [24, 26] for the treatment of metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. For that, we used a mouse model that closely mimics the traits of human disease, with cancer cells systemically metastasizing to lungs. This therapeutic combination has never been explored before, and the current data provide the proof-of-concept that it can significantly elicit an antitumor immunity likely by breaking down the immunosuppressive microenvironment. The therapeutic advantage obtained through the combination of RT with the Ch/γ-PGA NPs resulted from the synergistic effects of each single treatments in potentiating the antitumor immunity. Whereas RT slightly decreased primary tumor burden, Ch/γ-PGA NPs attenuated the systemic immunosuppression. Additionally, we only observed a decrease in the percentage of myeloid cells and an increase in CD4⁺ T cell response in the spleen of animals submitted to the combination therapy, which suggests that such treatment promotes the antitumor immunity (Fig. 7). Curiously, single treatments were not enough to promote T cell activation neither their infiltration into the tumor, probably due to the immunosuppressive environment created by the established 4T1 tumors. Accordingly, it is known that primary 4T1 tumors are constituted by a high percentage of myeloid cells (Gr1b⁺ CD11b⁺), which generally sustain tumor progression [31, 36]. These cells can inhibit T cell activities [61], sustain an immunosuppressive environment and promote lung metastases formation [36].

When exploiting the underlying mechanisms, we observed that only the combination therapy triggered significant alterations in splenic CD11b⁺ cells, with a decrease in granulocytic-MDSCs and an increase in neutrophils and monocytic-MDSCs. This myeloid cell reduction can be associated to the splenic leukemoid reaction attenuation and of the reduction of cytokine levels
in the serum, specifically IL-3, IL-6, GM-CSF and VEGF, which impact myeloid differentiation [62, 63]. These data are in accordance with other studies that showed an association between leukemoid reaction and breast cancer progression [33]. Additionally, we noticed that the combination of Ch/γ-PGA NPs with RT changes the major cellular players- granulocytic-MDSCs - towards neutrophils and inflammatory macrophages. In fact, it was described that granulocytic-MDSCs are frequently associated with poor disease progression, supporting metastasis establishment [36], while the role of neutrophils is still questionable, depending of the tumor type, their abundance and profile. Although neutrophils have been shown to promote metastasis in breast cancer [64], there is also evidence that they inhibit lung metastatic seeding through $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ production [65]. More recently, Takeshima et al., evidenced that RT combined with G-CSF administration induced neutrophils with enhanced reactive oxygen species production, improving RT-mediated antitumor activities [66]. Thus, the accumulation of neutrophils might also explain the better outcome of the animals treated with the combination therapy.

Importantly, the combination of RT and Ch/γ-PGA NPs decreased lung metastasis in a NPs treatment-dependent way. This reduction can also be partially mediated by a diminished immunosuppressive (IL-3, IL-4, IL-6, IL-10) environment (Fig. 7). In fact, others have shown the impact of these cytokines on the metastatic process. For example, RNAi-mediated silencing of IL-4Rα, a component of the IL-4 receptor, was enough to reduce metastases growth in mammary tumor models. Similar results were obtained in IL-4-deficient mice [67]. Others demonstrated that targeting IL-4 signaling sensitized breast cancer cells to anticancer therapy and strengthened immune responses by increasing CD8+ T cells-expressing IFN-γ [68]. IL-6/JAK/STAT3 axis has been associated with tumor progression and metastasis [69] and a variant of IL-6 is associated with metastasis in breast cancer patients [70]. IL-10 levels in the serum have also been associated with poor prognosis in several types of cancer [71]. Additionally, the decrease in pro-angiogenic factors, as IL-3 and VEGF, might be contributing to the reduction of metastasis observed in the animals treated with NPs or with the combination therapy. In fact, IL-3 targeting was described to hamper tumor vessels formation [72], while VEGF inhibition associated with the suppression of breast cancer metastasis [73]. Moreover, high VEGF levels induce defective DC development and function and, are associated with and increased production of B cells and immature Gr-1+ myeloid cells, promoting abortive
myelopoiesis and systemic accumulation of MDSCs [63]. Importantly, CCL4 is reduced in the serum of animals treated with our combination therapy, and this chemokine has been associated with breast cancer metastasis to bone [74]. Altogether, the reduction of immunosuppressive and protumor mediators was associated with lower metastatic burden of the animals treated with the combination therapy or single NPs (Fig. 7).

Other studies combining RT with immunotherapeutic approaches have reported synergistic effects. Demaria and colleagues’ studies using a single dose of 12 Gy, in the presence or absence of anti-CTLA-4, showed a delay in the growth of 4T1 irradiated tumors, although no statistical differences were observed between RT- or RT+anti-CTLA-4-treated animals. Additionally, animals treated with RT+anti-CTLA-4 had an increased survival comparing to single treated animals, and this was correlated with inhibition of lung metastasis [41], which suggests that both treatments synergize to potentiate the antitumor response. The RT immunogenic effects are described to be dependent of TLR4 activation in DCs, and patients with breast cancer carrying a TLR4 loss-of-function allele relapse more rapidly after RT than those carrying the normal allele [75]. The exact mechanism by which RT and NPs are synergizing will likely occur via activation of Toll-like receptors 2 and 4, triggering innate immunity and activating a pro-inflammatory immune response, as already suggested by other authors [75-78]. Still, further studies will need to be conducted before translating this knowledge to clinical studies. In fact, both Ch-NPs and γ-PGA-NPs have been described to induce DCs activation through TLR4- and TLR2-dependent mechanisms [76-78], and we have previously demonstrated that Ch/γ-PGA NPs can revert immunosuppressive macrophages (IL-10-treated) and immature DCs towards an immunostimulatory profile in vitro [24]. This observation is in agreement with the decrease of systemic immunosuppressive cytokines in NPs-treated animals [24]. Furthermore, pro-/anti-inflammatory cytokines ratio was increased, possibly contributing to the delayed primary tumor growth and lung metastasis burden.

Furthermore, some strategies involving inorganic NPs combined with immune stimulants and/or tumor antigens to potentiate RT have been recently explored. Patel and colleagues developed NPs constituted by an inner polyplex core composed of PC7A and CpG oligodeoxynucleotide (Toll-like receptor (TLR) 9), and an outer layer composed of a bacterial membrane, modified on its surface with maleimide groups to enhance antigen uptake. These NPs, when combined with
RT (12 Gy, single dose), resulted in activation of DCs and effector T cells, marked tumor regression, and tumor-specific antitumor immune memory [79]. Also, Chen and co-workers developed core-shell nanoparticles based on poly(lactic-co-glycolic) acid (PLGA) encapsulating water-soluble catalase (Cat), an enzyme able to decompose $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in $\text{O}_2$, and loading Imiquimod, a TLR 7 agonist, within the PLGA shell. These NPs combined with anti-CTLA-4 enhanced RT (8 Gy, single dose) efficacy by relieving the tumor hypoxia and modulating the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment [56]. In our study, Ch/γ-PGA NPs exert their intrinsic immunostimulatory capacity and potentiate RT effects, without additional immunostimulatory agents. In fact, these Ch/γ-PGA NPs can act as agonists for TLR2, TLR4 and likely as activators of NLRP3 inflammasome [80], making them excellent biomaterials to use in combination therapies. Their combination with RT was enough to significantly reduce tumor growth and lung metastasis burden, but their ability to prevent cancer recurrence was not addressed. Additionally, this combination therapy reduced the secretion of immunosuppressive factors, without additional treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors, as anti-CTLA-4. Thus, our data suggest that RT+NPs can more efficiently prime an adaptive immune response when compared to RT alone, triggering whole-body systemic immunotherapeutic effects.

Behind their impact on immune response, Ch/γ-PGA NPs were described to be internalized through caveolae-dependent, related with the lipid raft-mediated route, but not through the inhibition of invagination of caveolae. Also, Ch/γ-PGA NPs internalization can occur via micropinocytosis, despite its minor role. Importantly, the lower percentage of co-localization of Ch/DNA/γ-PGA NPs with lysosomes when compared with their Ch/DNA NPs [81] underlines the γ-PGA worth for these strategies. In addition, it has been reported that macropinosomes can acidify but do not intersect with lysosomes, thus representing an alternative cell entry route of NPs for the avoidance of lysosomal degradation [82]. Overall, Ch/γ-PGA NPs present the ability to escape this defense mechanism, being able to act on intracellular signaling pathways, as the activation of cGAS-STING, leading to the enhanced transcription of IFN-γ-responsive genes [83].

Despite their huge potential as adjuvants to anticancer therapies, Ch and γ-PGA formulations have some manageable challenges [84]. While the Ch and γ-PGA biological effects are dependent on the source, purity, molecular weight (MW) and type of formulation, Ch degree of
deacetylation also impacts its physicochemical and immunological characteristics and, as a consequence, host immune responses [85, 86]. Also, Lee and colleagues showed that type I interferon response is dependent on $\gamma$-PGA MW through CD14/TLR4-MD2 complex activation [87]. On the other hand, the influence of Ch MW on immune response remains contradictory likely due to the ambiguous classification of low and high MW. While some authors claim that low MW chitosan (10 kDa) is more effective in immune system stimulation than high MW chitosan (300 kDa) [88], others show that MW around 300 kDa has a greater immunostimulatory effect than a low MW [89]. Furthermore, different sources of $\gamma$-PGA can strongly impact the host immune response [90] as well as Ch sources [91]. Thus, the advances on this area can undergo to the standardization of nanoformulation composition, ensuring the reproducibility of the preparation to obtain the desired biological effect.

In summary, the present study demonstrates that it is possible to achieve a systemic therapeutic immune response against a poorly immunogenic and spontaneously metastasizing mammary carcinoma through the combination of primary tumor irradiation with Ch/$\gamma$-PGA NPs local administration. This technically simple and relatively low cost radioimmunotherapy benefits from the immunogenic potential of RT and from the intrinsic immunomodulatory properties of Ch/$\gamma$-PGA NPs, establishing the basis for a novel therapeutic combination. In the near future, these NPs may also be exploited as carriers for specific drugs, proteins [26], peptides or targeted antibodies to deliver at both primary and metastatic sites.
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Supplementary Methods:

Transmission electron microscopy of Ch/γ-PGA NPs
Briefly, a drop of the Ch/γ-PGA NPs suspension was placed onto a 400-mesh copper grid. After 2 min of deposition, the grid was tapped with a filter paper. TEM micrographs were obtained using a Jeol JEM 1400 electron microscope.

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy of Ch/γ-PGA NPs
The Ch/γ-PGA NPs were analyzed by FTIR-ATR spectroscopy, on a FTIR system 2000, PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA. The samples were scanned 32x from 400 to 4,000 cm\(^{-1}\) with 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution.
Supplementary Figures:

Supplementary Figure S1
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<tr>
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<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>Polydispersity index</th>
<th>Zeta potential (mV)</th>
<th>Concentration (particles/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch/γ-PGA NPs</td>
<td>210.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.05</td>
<td>18.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.87 x 10^{12} ± 9.83 x 10^{7}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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Figure S1. Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles characterization. A. Schematic representation of Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles (NPs) preparation. NPs were obtained by complex co-acervation method, driven by electrostatic interactions between chitosan and poly(γ-glutamic acid) (γ-PGA) at pH 5. γ-PGA solution was dropped to Ch solution under high stirring at room temperature, as previously described. Ch/γ-PGA NPs morphology was evaluated using a Jeol JEM 1400 transmission electron microscope (TEM). Samples were prepared by placing a drop of the NPs suspension onto a 400 μm mesh copper grid coated with carbon. After 2 min of deposition, TEM images were acquired with a magnification of 50,000x. Scale bar: 0.2μm. B and C. Nanoparticles size (nm), polydispersion index, zeta potential (mV) were evaluated by dynamic light (DLS) scattering and the concentration (particles/mL) through NTA 3.3 Dev Build 3.3.104. D. Ch/γ-PGA NPs morphology was evaluated using a Jeol JEM 1400 transmission electron microscope (TEM). Samples were prepared by placing a drop of the NPs suspension onto a 400 μm mesh copper grid coated with carbon. After 2 min of deposition, TEM images were acquired with a magnification of 50,000x. Scale bar: 0.2μm. E. FTIR spectra of Ch/γ-PGA NPs.
**Figure S2.** The distinct treatments do not impact animal weight, neither liver nor kidney histology.

**A.** Animal body weight was evaluated every week during 4 weeks. Time 0 corresponds to the week before tumor cells inoculation.

**B.** Mice liver and kidney were processed for histological procedures and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Kidney images: magnification 200x; scale bar: 100 µm. Liver images: magnification 400x; scale bar: 50 µm. Data show the mean ± SEM and it is representative of at least 7 animals. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test relative to non-treated control or single treatments (NPs or RT). No significant differences were found.
Supplementary Figure S3

**Figure S3** – Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles did not impact the 4T1 cell line proliferation nor migration. 4T1-Luc cells were stimulated with 0.7 mg/mL of Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles, with 5 nM paclitaxel (positive control) or left without treatment. **A.** Real-time analysis of cell confluency of treated 4T1-Luc cells using IncuCyte technology. Microscopic pictures were taken every 2 h and confluency was determined using IncuCyte software (indicated by yellow mask, right panel). **B.** Relative wound density was analyzed using IncuCyte software (scratch wound is indicated by yellow mask, right panel). In **A and B** a representative example of three biological replicates is presented. Mean ± SD of six technical replicates is shown.
Figure S4 – Radiotherapy in combination with Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles decreases the accumulation of granulocytic-myeloid derived suppressor cells and increases the accumulation of neutrophils and monocytic-myeloid derived suppressor cells at the primary tumor site. Mice injected with 4T1-Luc cells on the mammary fat pad were treated with radiotherapy (RT) (2x5 Gy), with Ch/γ-PGA NPs (NPs) or with RT combined with Ch/γ-PGA NPs (RT+NPs). Non-treated animals were used as control (CTR). Tumors were collected and processed for flow cytometry analysis. The pseudocolor plots indicating the myeloid cells gate strategy is showed in Fig. 3A. CD45+Live Dead (LD)− cells were gated on single cells (FSC-A vs SSC-H). CD11b+ cells were gated on CD45+LD− cells. Ly6C+Ly6G−, Ly6C−Ly6G+ and F4/80+Ly6C+ cells were gated on CD11b+ cells. A-F. The percentage of positive cells was determined. Data is representative of at least 5 animals per group. Median is represented by the horizontal line inside the box plots. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (*p<0.05 and **p<0.01 relative to non-treated CTR or single treatments (NPs or RT)).
Figure S5 – Radiotherapy in combination with Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles does not significantly impact the accumulation of T cells at the primary tumor site. Mice injected with 4T1-Luc cells on the mammary fat pad were treated with radiotherapy (RT) (2x5 Gy), with Ch/γ-PGA NPs (NPs) or with RT combined with Ch/γ-PGA NPs (RT+NPs). Non-treated animals were used as control (CTR). Tumors were collected and processed for flow cytometry analysis. The T cells gate strategy was similar to the applied in the spleen analysis, Fig. 4A. CD3+Live Dead (LD)− cells were gated on single cells (FSC-A vs SSC-H). CD3+, CD3+CD4+, CD3+CD8+ T cells were gated on CD45+LD− cells. IFN-γ-producing T cells were gated on CD3+CD4+ or CD3+CD8+ T cells. FoxP3-expressing cells were gated on CD3+CD4+ T cells. A-F. The percentage of positive cells was determined. Data is representative of at least 5 animals per group. Median is represented by the horizontal line inside the box plots. All comparisons were performed using the Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test. p≤0.1 were represented in the graphs.
**Supplementary Figure S6**

Figure S6 – Radiotherapy in combination with Ch/γ-PGA nanoparticles modulates the systemic immune profile. Mice were subcutaneously injected with 4T1-Luc cells were treated with radiotherapy (RT) (2x5 Gy), with Ch/γ-PGA NPs (NPs) or with RT combined with Ch/γ-PGA NPs (RT+NPs). Non-treated animals were used as control (CTR). At day 28, animals were euthanized, and serum was collected for analysis through Multiplex immunobead assay technology. Outliers were calculated through ROUT method (Q=1%) and removed from the analysis. A. Heatmap of cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors (VEGF) in serum from at least 5 animals per group. Soluble factors concentration from treated-animals was compared to the concentration values of non-treated animals (CTR). Fold changes are presented as heatmap. Red, white, and blue indicate induction, no change, and inhibition, respectively.
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