Thermo-mechanical coupling of a viscoelastic-viscoplastic model for thermoplastic polymers: thermodynamical derivation and experimental assessment

A. Krairi^{a,*}, I. Doghri^b, J. Schalnath^a, G. Robert^c, W. Van Paepegem^a

^a*Department of Materials, Textiles and Chemical Engineering (MaTCh), Ghent University, Technologiepark 903, 9052 Zwijnaarde, Belgium*

^b*Université catholique de Louvain (UCL), IMMC, Bâtiment Euler, 4 Avenue G.Lemaître B-1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium*

^c*Solvay Performance Polyamides, Avenue Ramboz, 69190 Saint-Fons, France*

Abstract

In this paper, a new constitutive model is proposed for the behavior of thermoplastic polymers under non-isothermal conditions. The model couples linear viscoelasticity and viscoplasticity and thermal effects. It is formulated within the framework of irreversible thermodynamics. The total strain is the sum of viscoelastic, viscoplastic and thermal strains. General hereditary integrals describe the thermo-viscoelastic response. The viscoplastic part accounts for both isotropic and kinematic hardenings. The stress-strain response and the material self-heating are predicted and compared to experimental data on Polyamide 66 (PA66) and Polypropylene (PP). Good agreement between the numerical simulations and experimental data was obtained for the two materials.

Keywords: Polymeric material, constitutive behavior, rate-dependent material, thermomechanical processes, self-heating

1. Introduction

- 2 As their domain of application is in continuous expansion, thermoplastic
polymers especially, semi-crystalline ones, are being exposed to more and more
4 challenging working conditions with complex thermo-mechanical loading. In
particular, the variation of temperature and loading rate have important effects

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `anouar.krairi@ugent.be` (A. Krairi), `issam.doghri@uclouvain.be` (I. Doghri), `Joanna.Schalnat@ugent.be` (J. Schalnath), `gilles.robert@solvay.com` (G. Robert), `wim.vanpaepegem@ugent.be` (W. Van Paepegem)

6 on the material response ([Zhou and Mallick, 2002](#); [Dasari and Misra, 2003](#);
7 [Krempl and Khan, 2003](#); [Khan and Farrokh, 2006](#); [Farrokh and Khan, 2010](#); [Reis](#)
8 [et al., 2013](#)). Crystalline and amorphous phases of the material are responsible
for its complex behavior, which is characterized by reversible and irreversible
10 deformations ([Kennedy et al., 1994](#); [Ayoub et al., 2011](#)).

Different approaches were proposed to model semi-crystalline polymers. These
12 approaches can be classified in two classes: the first class is physical models in-
spired from the material micro-structure characterized by crystalline and amor-
14 phous phases. The second class is phenomenological models that treat the ma-
terial as an homogeneous medium which exhibits reversible and/or irreversible
16 deformations.

The first class of models is motivated by the difference in response of each
18 phase of the material: amorphous and crystalline phases. Some authors believe
that the crystalline phase has the most important contribution to the material
20 behavior, especially at small deformation conditions and the amorphous phase
is rather important at large deformation stage, or more specifically in the post-
22 yielding regime of the material response ([Garcia-Gonzalez et al., 2017](#)). In order
to take into account the effect of the material crystallization degree, multi-scale
24 approaches were employed in several works such as ([Nikolov and Doghri, 2000](#);
[Nikolov et al., 2002](#); [Van Dommelen et al., 2003](#); [Makradi et al., 2005](#); [Bedoui](#)
26 [et al., 2006](#); [Gueguen et al., 2008](#); [Ayoub et al., 2011](#); [Uchida and Tada, 2013](#);
[Alisafaei et al., 2016](#)).

28 On the other hand, those who believe that the amorphous phase has the most
important contribution and focus on the study of polymers with large defor-
30 mations, were able to benefit from the important amount of work dedicated
to the pure amorphous polymers (see for review [Bouvard et al. \(2009\)](#)). This
32 work started since the middle of the twentieth century and mainly two refer-
ence models were extensively used and developed through the years: [Edwards](#)
34 [and Vilgis \(1986\)](#) model and [Haward and Thackray \(1968\)](#) model. The model
of Edwards and Vilgis ([1986](#)) is also famous as the network model, it is based
36 on the work of [Ball et al. \(1981\)](#), that modified the classical rubber elasticity
(e.g. hyper-elasticity) by proposing the concept of slip-link to account for the
38 entanglement slippage along the network chains. The original work of Edwards

and Vilgis was employed and extended by several authors for the amorphous
40 glassy polymers such as [Sweeney and Ward \(1995\)](#) and [Billon \(2012\)](#). The
theory was also applied and extended for semi-crystalline polymers in several
42 works such as [Sweeney et al. \(2002\)](#) and [Maurel-Pantel et al. \(2015\)](#). In the
work of [Maurel-Pantel et al. \(2015\)](#), the authors extended the model of [Bil-](#)
44 [lon \(2012\)](#) to non-isothermal conditions, The original model was developed for
time-dependent mechanical behavior of polymers close to the glass transition.
46 [Haward and Thackray \(1968\)](#) proposed a 1D model for large deformation of
polymers below their glass transition temperature. Boyce, Parks and Argon
48 (1988) extended the original model to 3D description famous as BPA model.
The model was initially based on the three chain concept, then it was extended
50 by [Arruda et al. \(1995\)](#) to the eight chain model. Further development based
on the original Haward and Thackray model was carried out by several au-
52 thors (e.g. [Wu and Van Der Giessen, 1993](#); [Arruda et al., 1995](#); [Govaert et al.,](#)
[2000](#)), other versions for amorphous polymers were proposed by Buckley and
54 co-workers (e.g. [Buckley and Jones, 1995](#); [Li and Buckley, 2009](#)) and, Anand
and co-workers (e.g. [Anand and Gurtin, 2003](#); [Anand et al., 2009](#); [Ames et al.,](#)
56 [2009](#)).

The second class consists of phenomenological models, which focus on the re-
58 versible part of the material behavior or the irreversible one or both of them, as
the non-linearity is one of the key features of the material behavior. If the ma-
60 terial deformation is assumed to be governed by reversible deformations, several
authors proposed to model the material as non-linear viscoelastic material (e.g.
62 [Lai et al., 2005](#); [Khan et al., 2006](#)), based on the theory proposed by [Schapery](#)
(1969). Other works focus on the rate dependency of the irreversible behavior by
64 using viscoplastic models (e.g. [Bardenhagen et al., 1997](#); [Colak, 2005](#); [Drozdov](#)
[and Christiansen, 2007](#); [Ghorbel, 2008](#); [Dusunceli and Colak, 2008](#); [Drozdov,](#)
66 [2011](#); [Khan and Yeakle, 2011](#)) mainly employing the over-stress (VBO) model.
Another set of models couples the viscoelastic (VE) and viscoplastic (VP) be-
68 haviors (e.g. [Hasan and Boyce, 1995](#); [Frank and Brockman, 2001](#); [Miled et al.,](#)
[2011](#); [Yu et al., 2016](#); [Gudimetla and Doghri, 2017](#)).

70 The progressive material degradation was also modeled by coupling the damage
to the elasto-viscoplastic (EVP) behavior (e.g. [Zairi et al., 2008](#); [Balieu et al.,](#)

2013) or to the viscoelastic and viscoplastic behavior such as the model proposed by two of the authors [Krairi and Doghri \(2014\)](#) based on the work of [Miled et al. \(2011\)](#). [Praud et al. \(2017\)](#) also proposed a model which couples VE, VP and ductile damage, mainly with different description of the viscoelastic part of the behavior using differential representation instead of integral description in the work of [Krairi and Doghri \(2014\)](#), more differences are given in details in [Praud et al. \(2017\)](#). The later model was described as a multi-mechanisms (MM) constitutive model, since several mechanisms are involved to simulate the overall behavior of the material. [Cayzac et al. \(2013a\)](#) also proposed to model the damage in semi-crystalline polymers using a new version of the MM model of [Regrain et al. \(2009\)](#).

The vast majority of the above listed models are isothermal models. In order to be used under non-isothermal conditions, their material parameters need to be calibrated for different temperatures. This method is only valid under a field of temperature with constant magnitude. However, for coupled thermo-mechanical analysis with variable temperature field, non-isothermal models are more suitable such as the hyperelastic-thermoviscoplastic constitutive model proposed by [Garcia-Gonzalez et al. \(2017\)](#).

In this work, based on an extension of the model published by [Miled et al. \(2011\)](#), a new model is proposed for thermoplastic polymers within the framework of irreversible thermodynamics. It couples viscoelasticity and viscoplasticity under non-isothermal loading conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents detailed development of the constitutive model based on a thermodynamics framework. In section 3, numerical simulations using the model, are compared with experimental tests on PA66 and PP under different temperatures and different strain rates. Finally, a discussion and possible enhancements of the model are presented in section 4.

In the text, bold symbols designate second or fourth-rank tensors, as indicated by the context. **The contracted tensors products are expressed as:**

$$\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{b} = a_{ij}b_{ji}, (\mathbb{A} : \mathbf{b})_{ij} = A_{ijkl}b_{lk},$$

where summation over a repeated index is supposed. The symbols $\mathbb{I}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ are respectively the fourth and the second order symmetric identity tensors. The

spherical and deviatoric fourth order operators $\mathbb{I}^{vol}$ and $\mathbb{I}^{dev}$ are given by:

$$\mathbb{I}^{vol} \equiv \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \mathbb{I}^{dev} \equiv \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{I}^{vol},$$

104 2. Constitutive equations

The model is formulated under the small perturbation hypothesis. The total
106 strain is decomposed into three parts: a viscoelastic (VE) strain ϵ^{ve} , a thermal (TH) strain ϵ^{th} and a viscoplastic (VP) one ϵ^{vp} :

$$\epsilon = \epsilon^{ve} + \epsilon^{th} + \epsilon^{vp} \quad (1)$$

108 The thermal strain is considered to be expressed as:

$$\epsilon^{th}(T) = \underbrace{(T - T_0)}_{\theta} \alpha \mathbf{I} \quad (2)$$

here T is the absolute temperature at the current time and T_0 is the initial
110 temperature, and α is the thermal expansion coefficient for an isotropic polymer, which may be temperature dependent for some polymers.

112 2.1. Helmholtz free energy

The proposed Helmholtz's free energy per unit mass ψ is decomposed into a
114 viscoelastic (VE) part denoted by strain energy function ψ^{ve} and a hardening energy function ψ^h .

$$\psi = \psi^{ve} + \psi^h \quad (3)$$

116 The VE part will be studied in sections 2.2 and 2.3. The hardening part of the energy is an extension of the expression defined in Doghri (1993) as:

$$\rho\psi^h = \frac{1}{2} \chi(t, T) : \chi(t, T) + \int_0^{p(t)} R(\xi, T) d\xi \quad (4)$$

118 In this work, $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{vp}, \mathbf{V} = \{p, \boldsymbol{\chi}\})$ are internal variables, where the scalar vari-
 120 able p models isotropic hardening and the strain-like tensor $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ models kine-
 122 matic hardening. Internal variables $\mathbf{V}$ are associated to thermodynamic forces
 $\mathbf{A} = \{R, \mathbf{X}\}$. The scalar variable R measures the radius of the yield surface
 in the space of deviatoric stresses while the tensor variable $\mathbf{X}$ measures the
 translation of the center of that surface in the same space. The Cauchy stress
 124 is denoted $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$.

2.2. Temperature-independent viscoelastic properties

126 First we consider the case of temperature-independent viscoelastic proper-
 ties. The expression of ψ^{ve} is form-similar to the Helmholtz free energy proposed
 128 by Christensen and Naghdi (1967) for linear non-isothermal viscoelastic solids,
 but instead of the total strain in the purely VE latter formulation, we choose
 130 to write ψ^{ve} in terms of the thermo-viscoelastic strain:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{th} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{ve} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{vp} \quad (5)$$

The expression of ψ^{ve} is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\psi^{ve} = & \rho\psi_0^{ve} + \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbf{D}(t-\tau) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}}{\partial \tau} d\tau - \int_{-\infty}^t \beta(t-\tau) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} d\tau \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^t \left\{ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} : \mathbb{C}^{ve}(t-\tau, t-\eta) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \right\} d\tau d\eta \\ & - \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^t \left\{ \varphi(t-\tau, t-\eta) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial \theta(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \right\} d\tau d\eta \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^t \left\{ m(t-\tau, t-\eta) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial \theta(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \right\} d\tau d\eta + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

132 where $\rho[kg/m^3]$ is the mass density, ψ_0^{ve} is the Holmholtz free energy density
 of the material in the initial stress-free state. The functions $\mathbf{D}$, β , $\mathbb{C}^{ve}$, φ and
 134 m are the relaxation mechanical functions, they are assumed to be continuous
 for arguments $\tau_i < 0$; i.e.,

$$136 \quad D_{ij}(\tau_1) = 0, \beta(\tau_1) = 0, \mathbb{C}_{ijkl}^{ve}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = 0, \varphi_{ij}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = 0,$$

$$m(\tau_1, \tau_2) = 0, \text{ for } \tau_1 < 0 \text{ and } \tau_2 < 0$$

138 The terms of $O(\epsilon^3)$ in equation 6 are neglected.

The *Clausius-Duhem* inequality requires the dissipation ϕ to be non-negative
140 and reads as:

$$\phi = \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} - \rho \left(\dot{\psi} + S\dot{T} \right) - \nabla T \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{T} \geq 0 \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{q}$ is the heat flux vector and S is the entropy per unit mass. After
142 differentiation with respect to time of the free energy expression, using Leibnitz's
rule, the *Clausius-Duhem* inequality leads to the following expressions of the
144 stress and the entropy after canceling $\mathbf{D}$ and β terms (cf. Appendix A for details)

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{C}^{ve}(t-\tau) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau - \int_{-\infty}^t \boldsymbol{\varphi}(t-\tau) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \quad (8)$$

$$\rho S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t m(t-\tau) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t \boldsymbol{\varphi}(t-\tau) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \quad (9)$$

The dissipation defined in equation 7 can be re-expressed as follows:

$$\phi = \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{vp} - \rho \dot{\psi}^h + \Lambda - \nabla T \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{T} \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

146 The expression of the thermo-VE term Λ is given in Appendix A. Following
Christensen (1982), the aim is to develop a first order theory and Λ is a second-
148 order term which can be neglected in front of the others. Consequently, and
using the expression of ψ^h (eq.4). The dissipation is rewritten as:

$$\phi = -\nabla T \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{T} + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{vp} - R\dot{p} - a\boldsymbol{\chi}(t) : \dot{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(t)}_{\phi_{\text{mec}}} \geq 0 \quad (11)$$

150 where ϕ_{mec} is the mechanical dissipation transferred to heat. This effect is called
self heating. Generally, it is important at high strain rates under monotonic
152 loading or at high frequencies under cyclic loading. It can be the origin of
important thermal softening that may lead to material failure.

154 The first law of thermodynamics can be expressed in the following form [See
details in Doghri (2000), Chapter 12]:

$$\rho \dot{e} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \rho r_{ext} - \text{div} \mathbf{q} \quad (12)$$

156 where $e [J/kg]$ is an internal energy per unit mass, and $r_{ext} [W/kg]$ a mass
density of internal heat production due to external sources. Internal and free
158 energies per unit mass, e and ψ , are related by:

$$\psi = e - TS \quad (13)$$

Using the equations of state (and neglecting the Λ term again) equation 12 can
160 be rewritten as follows:

$$\rho T \dot{S} = \phi_{mec} + \rho r_{ext} - \text{div} \mathbf{q} \quad (14)$$

162 This can again be rewritten as follows:

$$\rho c_p \dot{T} = (\phi_{mec} + \rho r_{ext} - \rho T \mathcal{H}) - \text{div} \mathbf{q} \quad (15)$$

where $c_p [J/kg/K]$ is the specific heat capacity and $\mathcal{H}$ is the structural heating,
164 given by the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} c_p &\equiv T \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \\ \mathcal{H} &\equiv \frac{\partial S}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

According to Fourier's law, the heat conduction in an isotropic material can be
166 expressed as:

$$\mathbf{q} = -\mathbf{k} \nabla T \quad (17)$$

The conductivity tensor $\mathbf{k}$ is assumed to be an isotropic tensor defined as $\mathbf{k} = k \mathbf{I}$.

168 2.3. Temperature-dependent viscoelastic properties

Let us start with purely VE case. When the properties are temperature-
170 dependent, then according to Schapery (1967) a thermodynamically valid con-
stitutive relation is:

$$\sigma(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau - \int_{-\infty}^t \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \quad (18)$$

where $\bar{t}$ and $\bar{\tau}$ are reduced times defined by

$$\bar{t} = \int_0^t \frac{d\xi}{a_T(T(\xi))} ; \bar{\tau} = \int_0^{\tau} \frac{d\xi}{a_T(T(\xi))} \quad (19)$$

with $a_T(T)$ being a temperature shift function. This formalism has been widely used since the 1960's. As noted also by Schapery 1967, eq 18 can be rewritten equivalently as:

$$\sigma(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\bar{t}} \mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\bar{\tau})}{\partial \bar{\tau}} d\bar{\tau} - \int_{-\infty}^{\bar{t}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\bar{\tau})}{\partial \bar{\tau}} d\bar{\tau} \quad (20)$$

This relation is form-identical to classical one for temperature-independent properties, provided that time is replaced by reduced time. Going back to coupled VE-VP, with temperature-dependent VE properties, we assume that the VE part of the free energy remains form-identical to that of equation (6) on the condition that the following substitutions are made:

$$t \rightarrow \bar{t} ; \tau \rightarrow \bar{\tau} ; \eta \rightarrow \bar{\eta} \quad (21)$$

The time derivative is given by

$$\frac{\partial \bar{t}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{a_T(T(t))} \quad (22)$$

Using equations 21 and 22, and following the same procedure as in section 2.2 and Appendix A, the following equations of state are found for the stress and the entropy:

$$\sigma(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau - \int_{-\infty}^t \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \quad (23)$$

$$\rho S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t m(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \quad (24)$$

The dissipation equation (11) and the heat equation (15) remain unchanged
 186 (after neglecting the Λ term). In the isotropic case, $\mathbb{C}^{ve}$ could be written as
 follows:

$$\mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t}) = 2G(\bar{t})\mathbb{I}^{dev} + 3K(\bar{t})\mathbb{I}^{vol} \quad (25)$$

188 where $G(\bar{t})$ and $K(\bar{t})$ are shear and bulk relaxation functions, respectively, which
 can be expressed using the Prony series:

$$G(\bar{t}) = G_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^I G_i \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{t}}{g_i}\right); \quad K(\bar{t}) = K_\infty + \sum_{j=1}^J K_j \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{t}}{k_j}\right) \quad (26)$$

190 Here, $g_i (i = 1..I)$ and $k_j (j = 1..J)$ are the deviatoric and volumetric relaxation
 times respectively; $G_i (i = 1..I)$ and $K_j (j = 1..J)$ are the corresponding moduli
 192 or weights, and G_∞ and K_∞ are the long-term elastic shear and bulk moduli.

We recall that for the isotropic case, the thermal expansion is defined by
 194 equation 2. After replacing the thermo-viscoelastic strain by its expression and
 in order to obtain familiar expression for the stress, an assumption was made for
 196 the function φ to be defined as $\varphi_{ij}(t) = \varphi(t)\delta_{ij} = 3\alpha K(\bar{t})\delta_{ij}$. The following
 expressions for stress and entropy are obtained (cf. Appendix A for details). The
 198 Cauchy stress is divided into deviatoric and hydrostatic parts:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = \mathbf{s}(t) + \sigma_H(t)\mathbf{1} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} s_{ij}(t) &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^t G(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \xi_{ij}^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \\ \sigma_H(t) &= 3 \int_{-\infty}^t K(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \epsilon_H^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

200 with the viscoelastic strain tensor is also divided into deviatoric and dilatational
 parts:

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{ve}(t) = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{ve}(t) + \epsilon_H^{ve}(t)\mathbf{1} \quad (29)$$

202 The equation 28 is equivalent to:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \quad (30)$$

The entropy is then expressed as

$$\rho S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t (m(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) + 9\alpha^2 K(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau})) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \quad (31)$$

2.4. Viscoplastic flow rules

Using the generalized normality as in (Krairi and Doghri, 2014; Chaboche, 1997), we have the following evolution laws:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{vp} = \dot{\gamma} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{V}} = \dot{\gamma} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{A}}, \quad (32)$$

here $\dot{\gamma}$ is a viscoplastic multiplier and the potential F is the non-isothermal extension of the one proposed by (Lemaitre and Chaboche, 1994):

$$F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, R, \mathbf{X}, T) = f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, R, \mathbf{X}, T) + \frac{b}{2a} \mathbf{X} : \mathbf{X} \quad (33)$$

where $f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, R, \mathbf{X}, T)$ represents the viscoelastic domain if $f < 0$, and viscoplastic flow if $f > 0$. The following expression for f is considered:

$$f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, R, \mathbf{X}, T) = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{X})_{eq} - \sigma_Y(T) - R(T, p) \quad (34)$$

here $\sigma_Y(T)$ is the viscoelastic limit at a given temperature (T) and $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{X})_{eq}$ is chosen as the von Mises measure of $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{X})$:

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{X})_{eq} = \left[\frac{3}{2} (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{X}) : (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{X}) \right]^{1/2} \quad (35)$$

here $\mathbf{s}$ is the deviatoric part of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Using (eq. 32), the following evolution equations are found :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{vp} &= \dot{\gamma} \mathbf{N}, \\ \dot{p} &= \dot{\gamma}, \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\chi}} &= \dot{\gamma} \left(\mathbf{N} - \frac{b}{a} \mathbf{X} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where the following notation was introduced :

$$\mathbf{N} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathbf{s}}{\sigma_{eq}} \quad (37)$$

Since $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{vp}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ are deviatoric and $(\mathbf{N} : \mathbf{N} = 3/2)$, the accumulated viscoplastic strain rate $\dot{p}$ is related to $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{vp}$ by :

$$\dot{p} = \left(\frac{2}{3} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{vp} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{vp} \right)^{1/2} \quad (38)$$

and it is defined by:

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } f \leq 0 & \dot{p} = 0 \\ \text{if } f > 0 & \dot{p} = g_v(\sigma_{eq}, p, T) > 0 \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

where g_v is the viscoplastic function.

2.5. Summary of constitutive equations

In summary, the main constitutive equations are the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{th} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{ve} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{vp} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{ve} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{ve} + \epsilon_H^{ve} \mathbf{1} \\ s_{ij}(t) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^t G(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \xi_{ij}^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \\ \sigma_H(t) = 3 \int_{-\infty}^t K(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \epsilon_H^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{vp} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{X})}{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{X})_{eq}} \dot{p}, \\ f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, R, \mathbf{X}, T) = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{X})_{eq} - \sigma_Y(T) - R(T, p) \\ \text{if } f > 0 \quad \dot{p} = g_v(\sigma_{eq}, p, T) > 0 \text{ otherwise } \dot{p} = 0 \\ \dot{\mathbf{X}} = (a \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{vp} - b \mathbf{X} \dot{p}) \\ \rho S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t (m(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) + 9\alpha^2 K(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau})) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \end{array} \right. \quad (40)$$

The dissipation is expressed by equation 11 and the heat equation is given by equation 15. It should be noted that using the von Mises yield criterion makes

the viscoplastic behavior insensitive to change in hydrostatic pressure. However the thermo-viscoelastic response should be influenced. This assumption is further discussed in section 4.

In the above equations the concept of reduced time is employed as in Schapery (1969), however we are using a generalized shift function A_{Sh} which allows to take into account the aging and the moisture effect, such that the reduced time ($\bar{t}$) is related to the real time (t) by the following expression:

$$\bar{t} = \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{A_{Sh}(t')} \quad (41)$$

with A_{Sh} defined as follows:

$$A_{Sh} = a_T a_m a_a \quad (42)$$

where a_T is representing the effect of temperature, a_m is representing the effect of moisture and a_a is representing the time shift due to material aging.

3. Experimental validation

In order to validate the proposed thermo-mechanical model against experimental tests, it was implemented into the commercial finite element code ABAQUS by combining user subroutines UMAT and UMATHT. The subroutine UMAT allows to define the stress-strain response and the heat production from mechanical dissipation at each integration point, based on an implicit numerical algorithm following the methods in (Simo and Hughes, 1998; Doghri, 2000). At each time increment, the subroutine UMAT compute heat generated due to dissipation through variable RPL. The temperature field is computed by ABAQUS as part of an iterative solution where UMATHT provides the heat flux vector and the thermal constitutive behavior. In the following, the thermo-mechanical behavior of Polyamide 66 and Polypropylene were studied. FE models for the specimens used to perform the experimental characterization were created and the numerical simulation results are compared to experimental data. Two type of specimens are modeled and simulated by finite elements: tensile samples and shear samples. For the tensile samples which have rectangular and circular cross

246 sections, based on the symmetry of the geometry and loading symmetry only
 fourth of the sample is modeled and meshed. Symmetry boundary conditions
 248 are applied on the 3 symmetry surfaces. A controlled displacement is applied on
 the clamps specimen shoulders contact surface. However, for the shear sample
 250 the whole geometry sample according to the standard ASTM D 5379. The ini-
 tial testing temperature is defined as a predefined field throughout the model.
 252 The convection heat transfer is not taken into account since the external envi-
 ronmental conditions (i.e ambient air temperature and convection coefficient)
 254 are not known. The FE models are meshed using element C3D20T, a 20 node
 triquadratic displacement and trilinear temperature brick element.
 256 The selected models and functions for the numerical simulations are the fol-
 lowing: The kinematic hardening is assumed to be negligible in the studied
 258 cases. For the shift function (A_{sh}), a_m and a_a are equal to 1, since constant
 relative humidity and no effect of aging are assumed. The function a_T is the
 260 Williams–Landel–Ferry (WLF) equation defined as:

$$\log(a_T) = -\frac{C_1(T - T_{ref})}{C_2 + (T - T_{ref})} \quad (43)$$

For the viscoplastic behavior,

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_y(T) &= \Gamma(T) \sigma_{y,ref} \\ R(T, p) &= \Gamma(T) R(T_{ref}, p) \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

262 with $\sigma_{y,ref}$ is a yield stress at a reference temperature T_{ref} , it represents the
 limit of linear viscoelastic response of the material in term of stress. $R(T_{ref}, p)$
 264 corresponds to the isotropic hardening with material parameters identified at
 the reference temperature. $\Gamma(T)$ is a temperature sensitivity function expressed
 266 as:

$$\Gamma(T) = \Gamma(\beta, T) = \exp(-\beta(T - T_{ref})) \quad (45)$$

β is a material parameter, $\Gamma(T_{ref}) = 1$, this function is inspired from the
 268 observation made by [Zhou and Mallick \(2002\)](#) on polypropylene (PP) and talc-
 filled polypropylene under different strain rates and different temperatures. The

power law is selected for the viscoplastic function:

$$g_v = \frac{\sigma_y}{\eta} \left(\frac{f}{\sigma_y} \right)^m \quad (46)$$

η is a variable that is taken constant for PP, since the temperature sensitivity of σ_y is enough to capture the temperature sensitivity of the viscoplastic behavior. For PA66, η is considered to be temperature dependent.

For each material PA66 and PP, the needed material parameters are the viscoelastic parameters (Table 1 for PA66 and Table 3 for PP), the viscoplastic parameters (Table 2 for PA66 and Table 4 for PP) and the WLF parameters, in addition to thermal properties which are given in the text in each corresponding section.

3.1. Case of Polyamide 66 (PA66)

Baquet (2011) and Maurel-Pantel et al. (2015) reported the results of thermo-mechanical uniaxial tensile and shear experimental tests on PA66 under different strain rates and temperatures. Digital image correlation (DIC) technique was used by the authors in order to analyze the deformation field on the front surface of the samples. The authors also presented measurements of the self heating at the specimen's external surface. They employed an infrared camera (see Maurel-Pantel et al. (2011) for more details about the employed procedure). The material was supplied by Solvay Performance Polyamides, it was conditioned until equilibrium was reached with an air containing 50% of relative humidity during the experiment, because of the high influence of relative humidity on the Polyamide material.

The proposed model is employed to simulate the material thermo-mechanical response and its self heating. In order to identify the viscoelastic properties, DTMA is employed. It was performed at temperatures between $-100^\circ C$ and $210^\circ C$. For the current study, the selected range was $0^\circ C$ to $100^\circ C$. Master curves for the storage and loss moduli were built in order to take into account the effect of time and temperature simultaneously (cf. figure 1). These curves were used in order to identify the Prony series coefficients. Several numbers of terms in the Prony series were tested and a minimum number of 20 terms was found to be needed in order to fit the master curve correctly. The instantaneous modulus E_0 is not given for confidentiality reasons, the Poisson's ratio

is assumed to be constant and equal to $\nu = 0.42$ and the identified viscoelastic parameters are listed in table 1. It should be noted that the Poisson's ratio is generally not constant for thermoplastic polymers and that the use of shear and bulk time functions, expressed using Prony series determined directly from experiments is more appropriate to model the multiaxial aspects of material behavior. However, if the only available experimental data are uniaxial tests, then an estimate of the shear and bulk moduli may be found, assuming a constant Poisson's ratio. With the latter assumption, parameters G_i , K_i , g_i and k_j are obtained by the following relations :

$$\begin{cases} G_\infty = \frac{E_\infty}{2(1+\nu)} ; G_i = \frac{E_i}{2(1+\nu)} ; g_i = \frac{\tau_i E_i}{G_i} \text{ (no sum)} \\ K_\infty = \frac{E_\infty}{3(1-2\nu)} ; K_i = \frac{E_i}{3(1-2\nu)} ; k_i = \frac{\tau_i E_i}{K_i} \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

More details about the viscoelastic material parameter identification can be found in Krairi and Doghri (2014). The parameters for the WLF equation are identified using the shift factors at each temperature employed to construct the master curves (cf. figure 2), $T_{ref} = 25^\circ C$, $C_1 = 26.21$ and $C_2 = 153.16^\circ C$. The material density, the thermal expansion coefficient, the thermal conductivity and the specific heat capacity are $\rho = 1140 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $\alpha = 70.10^{-6} K^{-1}$, $k = 0.27 \text{ W/(mK)}$ and $c = 1670 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$, respectively.

Figure 1: Master curve for PA66 conditioned at 50%

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\log(\tau_i)$	-6.59	-5.80	-5.01	-4.22	-3.42	-2.63	-1.84	-1.05	-0.26	0.53
E_i/E_0	0.07	0.073	0.076	0.078	0.077	0.073	0.068	0.062	0.056	0.050
i	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
$\log(\tau_i)$	1.32	2.12	2.91	3.70	4.49	5.28	6.07	6.87	7.66	8.45
E_i/E_0	0.027	0.023	0.019	0.016	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.018	0.024	0.034

Table 1: Identified VE parameters for PA66 at T=23°C

Figure 2: Shift factors for PA66 conditioned at 50%, employed to calibrate the WLF shift function

318 The experimental stress strain curves at different strain rates at temperatures
 $T = 21.5^\circ\text{C}$ and $T = 26^\circ\text{C}$ (See figures 6 and 3) are used to identify the param-
 320 eters for the yield stress, the isotropic hardening and the viscoplastic function,
 and to calibrate the function $\Gamma(T)$. The identified viscoplastic material param-
 322 eters are listed in table 2. In the following, the stress-strain curves for PA66 are
 plotted in terms of normalized stress (true stress divided by a constant stress
 324 σ_0) for confidentiality reasons.

The predicted stress strain curves at temperatures $T = 40^\circ C$ and $T = 60^\circ C$ are
 compared to the experimental ones in figures 7 and 8. An acceptable agreement
 can be seen between the experimental data and numerical predictions.
 The average self-heating at the specimens surface is predicted at temperatures
 $T = 21.5^\circ C$ and $T = 26^\circ C$. From figure 3, we can see that the self heating is well
 predicted at $T = 26^\circ C$ and a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-1} s^{-1}$, the field of temperature
 is plotted in figure 4 at a strain of 25% . For lower strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-3} s^{-1}$ at
 the same temperature a slight over-estimation of predicted rise of temperature
 can be seen in figure 5, but it is still within the measurement noise. It seems
 that at small strain rates, the increase of the temperature is small, therefore
 it is difficult to obtain accurate experimental measurements. In figure 6, for
 the case of the temperature $T = 21.5^\circ C$ and strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 1.8 \cdot 10^{-2} s^{-1}$, a
 drop of temperature occurred mainly when the material response is linear, but
 when the nonlinear regime is dominant, an increase of the temperature can be
 seen. The model is able to predict the increase and temperature with a small
 overestimation for this case.

Yield stress: $\sigma_y(T) = \Gamma(\beta_1, T) \sigma_{y,ref}$ $T_{ref} = 25^\circ C, \beta_1 = 0.011, \sigma_{y,ref} = 15.5 \text{ MPa}$
Isotropic hardening: $R(T, p) = \Gamma(\beta_1, T) k p^n$ $k = 103 \text{ MPa}, n = 0.32$
Viscoplastic function: $g_v = \frac{\sigma_y}{\eta} \left(\frac{f}{\sigma_y} \right)^m$ with $\eta = \eta_0 \Gamma(\beta_2, T)$ $\eta_0 = 74 \text{ MPa.s}, m = 2, \beta_2 = 0.07$

Table 2: Viscoplastic parameters for PA66

Figure 3: Tensile tests under a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-1} s^{-1}$ at the temperature $T = 26^\circ C$

Figure 4: An example of the self-heating field within the dogbone specimen of PA66 under uniaxial test at $T = 26^\circ C$ and a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-1} s^{-1}$. The average strain is equal to 0.25. The values of temperature are in $^\circ K$.

Figure 5: Tensile test under a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at the temperature $T = 26^\circ\text{C}$

Figure 6: Tensile test under a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 1.8 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at the temperature $T = 21.5^\circ\text{C}$

Figure 7: Tensile tests under different strain rates at the temperature $T = 40^\circ\text{C}$

Figure 8: Tensile tests under different strain rates at the temperature $T = 60^\circ\text{C}$

342 The proposed model is also employed to predict the behavior of PA66 un-
under shear loading using Iosipescu configuration (described in standard “ASTM
344 D 5379”), with different strain rates at temperature $T = 29^\circ\text{C}$. The model
predictions are compared to experimental data and numerical simulations of

346 Maurel-Pantel et al. (2015) in figures 9, 10, 11 and 12. It is seen that the
 proposed model better captures the material response under shear loading as
 348 compared to Maurel-Pantel et al. (2015) model.

Figure 9: Shear test under a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 4.0 \cdot 10^{-1} s^{-1}$ at temperature $T = 29^\circ C$

350

Figure 10: Shear test under a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 3.7 \cdot 10^{-2} s^{-1}$ at temperature $T = 29^\circ C$

Figure 11: Shear tests under a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 1.38 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at temperature $T = 29^{\circ}\text{C}$

352

Figure 12: Shear tests under a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 3.64 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at temperature $T = 29^{\circ}\text{C}$

3.2. Case of polypropylene (PP)

354 In this section, experimental uniaxial tests were performed on injected polypropylene (PP 575P from Sabic) at different temperatures and strain rates to calibrate
356 and validate the proposed constitutive material model. The digital image correlation (DIC) technique was employed in order to measure the local field of
358 deformation during the loading. The strain measurement was verified with an extensometer at room temperature. The temperature was controlled by a thermocouple inside the heat chamber. A second measurement was performed with
360 a K-type thermocouple directly next to the sample. Dogbone-shaped samples according to DIN EN ISO 527-2, type 1A were tested on an Instron 5800 tensile
362 machine. The true strain was calculated with the correlation software VIC3D, using the logarithmic Hencky tensor.
364

Similar to the case of PA66, DMA was used in order to identify the viscoelastic properties (i.e. Prony series coefficients). Injected PP was grinded
366 and polished with water cooling to a thickness of 3 mm and dried afterwards in a desiccator until constant weight. According to DSC results, the crystallinity
368 remained unchanged. On a TA Q800 frequency sweeps of 0.1% strain were performed using a dual cantilever clamp at frequencies between 1 Hz and 50
370 Hz. In steps of 5°C with an isothermal step length of 15min to ensure uniform temperature distribution, the temperature was increased from 23°C to 60°C. From the results, master curves for loss and storage moduli were constructed (cf. figure 13). The instantaneous modulus is $E_0 = 2000$ MPa, the
372 Poisson's ratio is assumed to be constant and equal to $\nu = 0.42$ and the identified viscoelastic parameters are listed in Table 3. The parameters for the WLF equation are $T_{ref} = 23^\circ\text{C}$, $C_1 = 61.22$ and $C_2 = 178.5^\circ\text{C}$, identified using the
374 experimental shift factors (cf figure 14). The material density, the thermal expansion coefficient, the thermal conductivity and the specific heat capacity are
376 $\rho = 943 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $\alpha = 90.10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $k = 0.2 \text{ W/(mK)}$ and $c_p = 1920 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$,
378 respectively.
380

Figure 13: Master curves for PP

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\log(\tau_i)$	-1.70	-0.55	0.59	1.73	2.88	4.02	5.17	6.31	7.46	8.60
E_i [MPa]	163	122	126	129	126	119	112	105	99	93.5
i	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
$\log(\tau_i)$	9.74	10.9	12.0	13.1	14.3	15.5	16.6	17.8	18.9	20.0
E_i [MPa]	88.8	84.6	81.4	79.7	79.8	80.4	77.4	64.1	51.1	91.7

Table 3: Identified viscoelastic parameters for PP at T=23°C

Figure 14: Shift factors for PP, employed to calibrated the WLF shift function

The experimental stress strain curves at different strain rates at the reference
 384 temperature $T_{ref} = 23^\circ C$ (See figure 15) are used to identify the parameters
 for the yield stress, the isotropic hardening and the viscoplastic function, and
 386 only one stress-strain curve at different temperature than $T = 23^\circ C$, is used to
 calibrate the function $\Gamma(T)$, which is the one at the temperature $T = 45^\circ C$ and
 388 strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 9.3 \cdot 10^{-6} s^{-1}$. The identified viscoplastic material parameters
 are listed in table 4. The predicted stress strain curves at the temperatures
 390 $T = 45^\circ C$ and $T = 60^\circ C$ are compared to the experimental ones in figures 16
 and 17. Generally a good agreement can be seen between the experimental data
 392 and numerical predictions.

Yield stress: $\sigma_y(T) = \Gamma(\beta_1, T) \sigma_{y,ref}$ $T_{ref} = 23^\circ C, \beta_1 = 0.018, \sigma_{y,ref} = 3.5 \text{ MPa}$
Isotropic hardening: $R(T, p) = \Gamma(\beta_1, T) k p^{n_1} (1 - \exp(-n_2 p))$ $k = 24 \text{ MPa}, n_1 = 0.18, n_2 = 230$
Viscoplastic function: $g_v = \frac{\sigma_y}{\eta} \left(\frac{f}{\sigma_y} \right)^m$ $\eta = 1.29 \cdot 10^6 \text{ MPa.s}, m = 4.75$

Table 4: Viscoplastic parameters for PP

Figure 15: Tensile tests under different strain rates at temperature $T = 23^\circ\text{C}$

Figure 16: Tensile tests under different strain rates at temperature $T = 45^\circ\text{C}$

Figure 17: Tensile tests under different strain rates at temperature $T = 60^\circ\text{C}$

394 Similar to the case of PA66, the self heating of PP was estimated. The Figure
 18 shows an example of the self-heating field within the dogbone specimen of
 396 PP under uniaxial test at $T = 23^\circ\text{C}$ and a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 1.8 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The
 predictions of the average surface temperature caused by self heating under
 398 different strain rates at initial temperature of $T = 23^\circ\text{C}$ are plotted in figure 19.

Figure 18: An example of the self-heating field within the dogbone specimen of PP under uniaxial test at $T = 23^{\circ}\text{C}$ and a strain rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 1.8 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. For the strain equal to 0.1. The temperature values are in $^{\circ}\text{K}$.

Figure 19: The predictions of the average surface temperature caused by self heating under different strain rates at initial temperature of $T = 23^{\circ}\text{C}$.

The proposed model was also employed to simulate the behavior of PP under low cyclic fatigue loading. The results are compared to the experimental

data of Shukla et al. (2014). The materials parameters already identified (for
 402 PP 575P from Sabic) were used in the following simulations. The performed
 fatigue tests by Shukla et al. (2014) are strain controlled tests. Specimens were
 404 injected following the standard ASTM D368 - Geometry type I. The specimens
 are subjected to displacement controlled sinusoidal loading at 10 HZ with and
 406 amplitude of 0.2 mm or 0.35 mm and a mean displacement of 1 mm. The tests
 are performed at room temperature ($RT = 28^{\circ}C$). The force needed to keep the
 408 level of controlled strains, in the case of the amplitude equal to 0.2 mm, during
 the loading is plotted in figure 20. The temperature at the surface of sample was
 410 measured experimentally using a non-contact type Raytek MI (REYMID10LT)
 temperature sensor. The simulated and measured temperatures are plotted in
 412 figure 21. The temperature predictions are acceptable in the case of an am-
 plitude equal to 0.2 mm, however they are underestimated in the case of 0.35
 414 mm. Possible improvements of the model's predictive capabilities are discussed
 in section 4.

416

Figure 20: The average force variation with number of cycles for PP at initial temperature of $T = 28^{\circ}C$.

Figure 21: The surface temperature for PP specimens during the loading.

4. Discussion

We presented model a fully coupled thermo-viscoelastic and thermo-viscoplastic model which allows to capture the effects of both loading rate and temperature on the behavior of thermoplastic polymers. The thermodynamical derivation allows to obtain an estimation of the material self heating under different loading conditions. This effect may be the origin of material failure under specific loading conditions. The model was validated against available experimental data on Nylon 66 (PA66) and PP under different loading conditions (i.e. tensile and shear loadings, monotonic and cyclic). The comparison between the predicted self heating and the experimental measurements showed an acceptable agreement in the case of PA66 and PP. Some improvements discussed hereafter can only enhance the predictive capabilities of the proposed model.

This work is restricted to the regime of small perturbations (small strains, displacements and rotations). An extension to the large deformation regime can be developed following the work of Gudimetla and Doghri (2017) who extended the small strain viscoelastic-viscoplastic model of Miled et al. (2011). The authors proposed an expression for the Helmholtz free energy defined as

the sum of four contributions: viscoelastic, viscoplastic, softening and hyper-
436 elastic re-hardening. The viscoelastic part is defined by an extension of the
work of Christensen and Freund (1971) to large deformations. For the other
438 contributions, Gudimetla and Doghri (2017) took advantage of employed the
huge knowledge about finite strain elasto(visco)plasticity which was developed
440 mainly for metals, with special choices in term of strain and stress measures.

In the experimental validation section, The Poisson's ratio (PR) is assumed
442 to be constant. However, this is a simplifying assumption because the PR for
thermoplastic polymers, is proven to be time, stress and thermal expansion de-
444 pendent, which makes PR values determined from a uniaxial test not applicable
to other uniaxial loadings with different time histories or to multi-axial load-
446 ings and thermal expansions. Rigorously, PR is not a material parameter for
thermoplastics as already stated in Krairi and Doghri (2014). The use of shear
448 and bulk time functions, expressed using Prony series determined directly from
experiments is more appropriate to model the multi-axial aspects of material be-
450 havior. However, if the only available experimental data are uniaxial tests, then
an estimate of the shear and bulk moduli may be found, assuming a constant
452 Poisson's ratio using expressions given in eq.(47).

Thermal properties mainly specific heating capacities, thermal conductivity
454 and thermal expansion are proved to be temperature dependent experimen-
tally. In the presented simulations those parameters are assumed to be con-
456 stant. In the studied temperature range (lower than the melting temperature)
small changes are expected. However further experimental investigations of the
458 studied materials are needed to confirm this assumption.

From modeling point of view, sophisticated evolution laws needs to be developed,
460 based on the material caloric behavior using accurate representation of material
micro-structure characterized by its degree of crystallinity. Wunderlich (2003)
462 showed that semi-crystalline polymers micro-structure consists of three phases:
crystalline phase, mobile amorphous phase and rigid amorphous phase. Those
464 phases exhibit changes in their densities and concentrations because thermo-
mechanical histories of loading.

466 As already mentioned in the introduction taking into account the material
micro-structure may be performed using micro-mechanical models such as Nikolov

468 and Doghri (2000), or by phenomenological approach (e.g. Lion and Jöhlitz,
2016; Lion et al., 2017). In the presented approach the effect of these micro-
470 structural changes are modeled in a macroscopic phenomenological way by us-
ing thermo-viscoelasticity employing the TTS principle (via WLF function) and
472 thermo-viscoplasticity with temperature sensitivity function.

For some thermoplastic polymers, it is important to take into account the
474 hydrostatic pressure sensitivity. This sensitivity may be characterized by several
methods, for example by comparing the material response in terms of absolute
476 values of true stress and true strain under uniaxial tension and uniaxial compres-
sion. Maurel-Pantel et al. (2015) assumed that PA66 is incompressible since the
478 volume variation remains close to zero under uniaxial tensile loading. However,
the uniaxial tensile tests are not enough to judge about the pressure sensitivity
480 of the material. A complete multi-axial testing campaign is needed in order to
check this sensitivity, an example of this study is the work of Farrokh and Khan
482 (2010) who studied the multi-axial behavior of Nylon 101, under several loading
conditions: tension, compression, tension-torsion, torsion, biaxial compression
484 and reverse torsion. The authors were able to construct an experimental yield
surfaces at different strain rates, whose shape should show the pressure sensi-
486 tivity of the material. If the von Mises yield criterion is enough to capture the
multi-axial behavior of the studied polymer, the proposed model may be used.
488 Otherwise, plastic deformation may occur due to hydrostatic pressure which
is not captured by the proposed model. In fact, using von Mises yield crite-
490 rion makes the viscoplastic behavior insensitive to hydrostatic pressure, but the
thermo-viscoelastic response should be influenced. Possible enhancement may
492 be achieved by using a pressure sensitive yield criterion such as Drucker-Prager
(e.g. Gudimetla and Doghri, 2017).

494 As an experimental method to better capture the influence of hydrostatic pres-
sure, several authors proposed the use of a notched bar (e.g. Cayzac et al.,
496 2013b; Laiarinandrasana et al., 2016; Ognedal et al., 2014). According to these
authors a triaxial stress state dominated by hydrostatic pressure is expected near
498 the notch. A so called plastic delation is observed by the authors for HDPE
and PVC (Ognedal et al., 2014), and for PA6 (Laiarinandrasana et al., 2016).
500 Microscopic investigations showed that this deformation is found to be related

to the creation of voids inside the materials during loading and it is related to material softening and damage. From a modeling point of view, mechanical damage (or softening) may be taken into account by two different approaches. The first approach is based on micromechanics, e.g. Gurson’s model (Gurson, 1975, 1977). The second one is continuum damage mechanics (CDM) (e.g. Lemaitre and Chaboche, 1978; Lemaitre, 1992); (a list of works employing the different approaches may be found in (Krairi and Doghri, 2014). Using CDM may allow to capture the effect of hydrostatic pressure implicitly on the material behavior, through the damage evolution laws. The present model can be extended in order to take the damage effect following the approach proposed in Krairi and Doghri (2014).

Actually, it is expected that better predictions of self-heating under cyclic loadings can be achieved by making the viscoelastic response nonlinear instead of linear in the present model. Indeed, in the isothermal case, Krairi and Doghri (2014) rendered the viscoelastic response nonlinear by coupling it to a damage model, and obtained better predictions of hysteresis loops under cyclic loadings than with other models. Other nonlinear viscoelastic formulations exist (e.g. Schapery, 1967).

The proposed approach allows to model thermal softening. In the following, a notched round bar is studied under uniaxial tensile loading with different displacement rates. The presence of hydrostatic pressure is expected to increase self heating compared to our model’s predicted temperature increase. Experimental investigations are needed to check this assumption. The bar geometry is taken from Laiarinandrasana et al. (2016) cf. figure 22-(a). In order to show the effect of the notch on notched bar response, the case of unnotched sample (the same geometry without a notch) is also studied. Based on the axi-symmetry of the sample, one fourth was used in the FEM simulation (cf. figure 22-(b) and (c)). The bars are assumed to be made with PA66 and the material parameters identified in the previous section are used. Controlled displacement tensile tests at different displacement rates of the unnotched and notched bars are simulated. The figure 23 shows the triaxiality ratio in the case of notched and unnotched bars at their mid-sections. The triaxiality ratio is defined as the ratio between the hydrostatic pressure and the von Mises equivalent stress (σ_H/σ_{eq}). It allows

534 to quantify the contribution of hydrostatic pressure to the stress state. It can
 be seen from figure 23 that for the unnotched bar the triaxiality is constant
 536 and rate independent, and its value is lower than the triaxiality in the case of
 notched bar. For the notched bar the triaxiality near the center of the bar mid-
 538 section is higher than the one near the notch and its value is increasing with
 the increase of applied displacement. In contrast to the case of unnotched bar,
 540 the triaxiality for notched bar is rate dependent. The presence of the notch
 caused a stress concentration and a hydrostatic pressure dominated stress state,
 542 which influences the overall response of the notched samples in terms of reaction
 forces and generated temperature under increasing applied displacement with
 544 different rates. The figures 24 and 25 show the reactions forces versus the ap-
 plied displacement, respectively for unnotched and notched bars. A drop of the
 546 force can be noticed for the case of notched bar, which is explained by thermal
 softening due to high self heating near the notch as showed in figure 26. The
 548 values of self-heating in the case of unnotched bars at their external surface are
 plotted in figure 27. Compared to notched bar case, these values are small and
 550 are not influencing the bar response as in the case of notched bars.

Figure 22: (a) The dimensions of the notched bar from Laiarinandrasana et al. (2016), (b)
 The employed mesh for the FE simulations on Notched bar, (c) The employed mesh for the
 FE simulations on Unnotched bar

Figure 23: Unnotched and Notched PA66 bar. Triaxiality versus applied displacement curves with different displacement rates.

Figure 24: Unnotched PA66 bar. Predicted force versus applied displacement curves with different displacement rates.

Figure 25: Notched PA66 bar. Predicted force versus applied displacement curves with different displacement rates.

Figure 26: Notched PA66 bar. Predicted temperature near the notch versus applied displacement, for different displacement rates.

Figure 27: Unnotched PA66 bar. Predicted temperature near the notch versus applied displacement, for different displacement rates.

5. Conclusions

The proposed constitutive behavior model is formulated within the framework of thermodynamics of irreversible processes, as an extension of the isothermal viscoelastic and viscoplastic model proposed by Miled et al. (2011). Based on the work of Christensen and Naghdi (1967); Christensen and Freund (1971) on linear viscoelasticity, the time temperature superposition principle (Schapery, 1969) is employed to extend the linear viscoelastic behavior to the non-isothermal case. The model couples viscoelasticity to viscoplasticity which is also non-isothermal. The separation between the viscoelastic and the viscoplastic transformations is made using a yield function based on the von Mises equivalent stress.

The experimental validation using uniaxial and shear tests at different strain rates and different temperature on Polyamide 66 (PA66) and Polypropylene (PP) showed that the model captures successfully the rate dependency and temperature sensitivity of the polymer materials. Possible enhancement and improvement of the proposed approach are presented in the discussion section.

Acknowledgments

574 This work has been undertaken partially in the framework of the strategic basic project VLAIO SBO-150013 project Composite Heat Exchangers (www.compohehex.ugent.be)
 576 funded by Flanders Innovation & Entrepreneurship (VLAIO). It has been also undertaken partially in the framework of the DURAFIP project funded by
 578 France under the FUI (Fonds unique interministériel) and the Walloon Region under the EUREKA programme (convention 1117479).

580 AppendixA. Thermodynamical derivation of constitutive relations

We make a unified presentation for the two cases when viscoelastic properties
 582 are temperature independent or dependent. In the former case, it suffices to take $\bar{t} = t$ and $a_T = 1$ in the following equations, while in the latter, $\bar{t}$ and a_T do
 584 represent the reduced time and the time shift function, respectively.

The proposed Helmholtz free energy function ψ is defined as:

$$\psi = \psi^{ve} + \psi^h \quad (\text{A.1})$$

586 ψ^{ve} and ψ^h are defined by the expressions 6 and 4, respectively. We also recall the following decomposition $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{ve} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{th} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{vp}$ where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the total strain, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{vp}$
 588 the viscoplastic strain and the thermo-viscoelastic strain ($\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{th} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{ve}$). In the following, due to the hypothesis of small perturbation, we have $\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$
 590 and similarly to Christensen (1982) in pure viscoelasticity, the expressions of the Cauchy stress and the entropy are found using the inequality of Clausius-Duhem which requires the dissipation to be non-negative
 592

$$\phi = \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \rho \left(\dot{\psi} + S\dot{T} \right) - \nabla T \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{T} \geq 0 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Applying the Leibnitz rule to time derivative of the free energy equations (3) to

(6) . the Clausius-Duhem inequality can be re-expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) - \mathbf{D}(0) - \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}, 0) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t \boldsymbol{\varphi}(0, \bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \right\} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{tve}(t) \\
& + \left\{ -\rho S(t) + \beta(0) + \int_{-\infty}^t m(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}, 0) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}, 0) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \right\} \dot{\theta}(t) \\
& - \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau + \Lambda \\
& + \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{vp} - R(r) \frac{dp(t)}{dt} - a\boldsymbol{\chi}(t) : \frac{d\boldsymbol{\chi}(t)}{dt} - \nabla T \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{T} \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Where Λ is the dissipation term due to the time dependence of the relaxation functions and is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda = & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} : \frac{\partial \mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}, \bar{t} - \bar{\eta})}{\partial t} : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\eta)}{\partial \eta} d\tau d\eta \\
& + \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}, \bar{t} - \bar{\eta})}{\partial t} : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\eta d\tau \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\partial m(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}, \bar{t} - \bar{\eta})}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \theta(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\eta d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

The following symmetry properties (Christensen and Freund, 1971; Christensen, 1982) and particular forms are used

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}_{ijkl}^{ve}(\tau, \eta) &= \mathbb{C}_{klij}^{ve}(\eta, \tau) = \mathbb{C}_{klij}^{ve}(\eta + \tau) \\
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{ij}(\tau, \eta) &= \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{ji}(\eta, \tau) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{ij}(\eta + \tau) \\
m(\tau, \eta) &= m(\eta, \tau) = m(\eta + \tau)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

The inequality A.3 must hold for all arbitrary values of the derivatives $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{tve}(t)$ and $\dot{\theta}(t)$. Therefore their coefficients should vanish. Hence,

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = \mathbf{D}(0) + \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau - \int_{-\infty}^t \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \tag{A.6}$$

$$\rho S(t) = \beta(0) + \int_{-\infty}^t m(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \tag{A.7}$$

The dissipation is then expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi = & - \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \\ & + \Lambda - \nabla T \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{T} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{vp} - R\dot{p} - a\boldsymbol{\chi}(t) : \dot{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(t) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$\mathbf{D}(0)$ and $\beta(0)$ are the initial stress and initial entropy, respectively, and they
 598 are assumed to be null. According to Christensen (1982) in order to satisfy the
 inequality A.8 for all processes, it is necessary that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} D(\bar{t}) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta(\bar{t}) = 0 \quad (\text{A.9})$$

consequently

$$\phi = - \nabla T \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{T} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{vp} - R\dot{p} - a\boldsymbol{\chi}(t) : \dot{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(t) \geq 0 \quad (\text{A.10})$$

600 where Λ being a second order term, it must be neglected. In equation A.6, if
 we replace $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve}$ by its expression ($\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{tve} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{th} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{ve}$) then

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \left\{ \mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial (\boldsymbol{\alpha}\theta(\tau))}{\partial \tau} \right) - \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} \right\} d\tau \quad (\text{A.11})$$

602 In the isotropic case, φ can be expressed as $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi(t) \delta_{ij}$. After replacing the
 relaxation tensor by its expression (cf. equation 25). The Cauchy stress may be
 604 divided into deviatoric and hydrostatic stresses:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = \mathbf{s}(t) + \sigma_H(t) \mathbf{1} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

The viscoelastic strain tensor may be also divided into deviatoric and dilata-
 606 tional parts:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{ve}(t) = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{ve}(t) + \epsilon_H^{ve}(t) \mathbf{1} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{cases} s_{ij}(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t 2G(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \xi_{ij}^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \\ \sigma_H(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t \left\{ 3K(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_H^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} + \alpha(\tau) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \alpha(\tau)}{\partial \tau} \theta(\tau) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \varphi(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} \right\} d\tau \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

608 We assume that the absolute value of $\frac{\partial \alpha(\tau)}{\partial \tau} \theta(\tau)$ is negligible in front of
the absolute value of $\alpha(\tau) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau}$. In order to retrieve familiar expressions by
610 choosing $\varphi(t) = 3\alpha K(\bar{t})$, the final expressions of the stress is

$$\begin{cases} s_{ij}(t) &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^t G(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \xi_{ij}^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \\ \sigma_H(t) &= 3 \int_{-\infty}^t K(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \frac{\partial \epsilon_H^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

These expressions are equivalent to:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{C}^{ve}(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{ve}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \quad (\text{A.16})$$

612 The final expression of the entropy is

$$\rho S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \left(m(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) + 9\alpha^2 K(\bar{t} - \bar{\tau}) \right) \frac{\partial \theta(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \quad (\text{A.17})$$

References

- Alisafaei, F., Han, C.-S., Garg, N., 2016. On couple-stress elasto-plastic constitutive frameworks for glassy polymers. *International Journal of Plasticity* 77, 30–53.
- Ames, N. M., Srivastava, V., Chester, S. A., Anand, L., Aug. 2009. A thermomechanically coupled theory for large deformations of amorphous polymers. Part II: Applications. *International Journal of Plasticity* 25 (8), 1495–1539.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S074964190800171X>
- Anand, L., Ames, N. M., Srivastava, V., Chester, S. A., Aug. 2009. A thermomechanically coupled theory for large deformations of amorphous polymers. Part I: Formulation. *International Journal of Plasticity* 25 (8), 1474–1494.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749641908001708>
- Anand, L., Gurtin, M. E., Mar. 2003. A theory of amorphous solids undergoing large deformations, with application to polymeric glasses. *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 40 (6), 1465–1487.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0020768302006510>
- Arruda, E. M., Boyce, M. C., Jayachandran, R., Jan. 1995. Effects of strain rate, temperature and thermomechanical coupling on the finite strain deformation of glassy polymers. *Mechanics of Materials* 19 (2), 193–212.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/016766369400034E>
- Ayoub, G., Zaïri, F., Fréderix, C., Gloaguen, J. M., Naït-Abdelaziz, M., Seguela, R., Lefebvre, J. M., Apr. 2011. Effects of crystal content on the mechanical behaviour of polyethylene under finite strains: Experiments and constitutive modelling. *International Journal of Plasticity* 27 (4), 492–511.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749641910000963>
- Balieu, R., Lauro, F., Bennani, B., Delille, R., Matsumoto, T., Mottola, E., 2013. A fully coupled elastoviscoplastic damage model at finite strains for mineral filled semi-crystalline polymer. *International Journal of Plasticity*.
- Ball, R. C., Doi, M., Edwards, S. F., Warner, M., Aug. 1981. Elasticity of entangled networks. *Polymer* 22 (8), 1010–1018.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0032386181902846>
- Baquet, E., 2011. Modélisation du comportement mécanique des polymères semi-cristallins. Application au PA66 sous chargement complexe et non monotone. Ph.D. thesis, CEMEF, Ecole des Mines de Paris, France.

- 646 Bardenhagen, S. G., Stout, M. G., Gray, G. T., May 1997. Three-dimensional, finite
deformation, viscoplastic constitutive models for polymeric materials. *Mechanics of*
648 *Materials* 25 (4), 235–253.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167663697000070>
- 650 Bedoui, F., Diani, J., Régnier, G., Seiler, W., 2006. Micromechanical modeling of
isotropic elastic behavior of semicrystalline polymers. *Acta Materialia* 54 (6), 1513–
652 1523.
- Billon, N., 2012. New constitutive modeling for time-dependent mechanical behavior
654 of polymers close to glass transition: Fundamentals and experimental validation.
Journal of Applied Polymer Science 125 (6), 4390–4401.
- 656 Bouvard, J. L., Ward, D. K., Hossain, D., Nouranian, S., Marin, E. B., Horstemeyer,
M. F., Sep. 2009. Review of Hierarchical Multiscale Modeling to Describe the Me-
658 chanical Behavior of Amorphous Polymers. *Journal of Engineering Materials and*
Technology 131 (4), 041206–041206–15.
660 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.3183779>
- Boyce, M. C., Parks, D. M., Argon, A. S., 1988. Large inelastic deformation of glassy
662 polymers. Part I: rate dependent constitutive model. *Mechanics of Materials* 7 (1),
15–33.
- 664 Buckley, C. P., Jones, D. C., Jan. 1995. Glass-rubber constitutive model for amorphous
polymers near the glass transition. *Polymer* 36 (17), 3301–3312.
666 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/003238619599429X>
- Cayzac, H.-A., Saï, K., Laiarinandrasana, L., 2013a. Damage based constitutive
668 relationships in semi-crystalline polymer by using multi-mechanisms model. *Inter-*
national Journal of Plasticity 51, 47–64.
- 670 Cayzac, H.-A., Saï, K., Laiarinandrasana, L., 2013b. Damage based constitutive re-
lationships in semi-crystalline polymer by using multi-mechanisms model. *Interna-*
672 *tional Journal of Plasticity* 51, 47–64.
- Chaboche, J.-L., 1997. Thermodynamic formulation of constitutive equations and ap-
674 plication to the viscoplasticity and viscoelasticity of metals and polymers. *Interna-*
tional Journal of Solids and Structures 34 (18), 2239 – 2254.
- 676 Christensen, R., 1982. Theory of viscoelasticity: an introduction. Access Online via
Elsevier.

- Christensen, R. M., Freund, L., 1971. Theory of viscoelasticity. *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 38, 720.
- Christensen, R. M., Naghdi, P. M., 1967. Linear non-isothermal viscoelastic solids. *Acta Mechanica* 3 (1), 1–12.
- Colak, O. U., Jan. 2005. Modeling deformation behavior of polymers with viscoplasticity theory based on overstress. *International Journal of Plasticity* 21 (1), 145–160.
- URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S074964190400049X>
- Dasari, A., Misra, R. D. K., Oct. 2003. On the strain rate sensitivity of high density polyethylene and polypropylenes. *Materials Science and Engineering: A* 358 (1), 356–371.
- URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921509303003307>
- Doghri, I., 1993. Fully implicit integration and consistent tangent modulus in elastoplasticity. *International journal for numerical methods in engineering* 36 (22), 3915–3932.
- Doghri, I., 2000. *Mechanics of deformable solids: linear, nonlinear, analytical and computational aspects*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Drozдов, A., 2011. Cyclic strengthening of polypropylene under strain-controlled loading. *Materials Science and Engineering: A* 528 (29), 8781–8789.
- Drozдов, A., Christiansen, J. d., 2007. Cyclic viscoplasticity of high-density polyethylene: experiments and modeling. *Computational materials science* 39 (2), 465–480.
- Dusunceli, N., Colak, O. U., 2008. Modelling effects of degree of crystallinity on mechanical behavior of semicrystalline polymers. *International Journal of Plasticity* 24 (7), 1224–1242.
- Edwards, S. F., Vilgis, T., Apr. 1986. The effect of entanglements in rubber elasticity. *Polymer* 27 (4), 483–492.
- URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0032386186902314>
- Farrokh, B., Khan, A. S., 2010. A strain rate dependent yield criterion for isotropic polymers: low to high rates of loading. *European Journal of Mechanics-A/Solids* 29 (2), 274–282.
- Frank, G. J., Brockman, R. A., 2001. A viscoelastic-viscoplastic constitutive model for glassy polymers. *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 38 (30), 5149–5164.

- Garcia-Gonzalez, D., Zaera, R., Arias, A., 2017. A hyperelastic-thermoviscoplastic constitutive model for semi-crystalline polymers: Application to peek under dynamic loading conditions. *International Journal of Plasticity* 88, 27–52.
- Ghorbel, E., 2008. A viscoplastic constitutive model for polymeric materials. *International Journal of Plasticity* 24 (11), 2032–2058.
- Govaert, L., Timmermans, P., Brekelmans, W., 2000. The influence of intrinsic strain softening on strain localization in polycarbonate: modeling and experimental validation. *Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology* 122 (2), 177–185.
- Gudimetla, M. R., Doghri, I., 2017. A finite strain thermodynamically-based constitutive framework coupling viscoelasticity and viscoplasticity with application to glassy polymers. *International Journal of Plasticity* 98, 197–216.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749641917301286>
- Gueguen, O., Richeton, J., Ahzi, S., Makradi, A., Apr. 2008. Micromechanically based formulation of the cooperative model for the yield behavior of semi-crystalline polymers. *Acta Materialia* 56 (7), 1650–1655.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1359645407008488>
- Gurson, A., 1977. Continuum theory of ductile rupture by void nucleation and growth: Part I. Yield criterion and flow rules for porous materials. *J. Eng. Mater. Technol* 2.
- Gurson, A. L., 1975. Continuum theory of ductile rupture by void nucleation and growth. Part I. Yield criteria and flow rules for porous ductile media. Tech. rep., Brown Univ., Providence, RI (USA). Div. of Engineering.
- Hasan, O., Boyce, M., 1995. A constitutive model for the nonlinear viscoelastic viscoplastic behavior of glassy polymers. *Polymer Engineering & Science* 35 (4), 331–344.
- Haward, R. N., Thackray, G., Jan. 1968. The use of a mathematical model to describe isothermal stress-strain curves in glassy thermoplastics. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 302 (1471), 453–472.
URL <http://rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/302/1471/453>
- Kennedy, M., Peacock, A., Mandelkern, L., 1994. Tensile properties of crystalline polymers: linear polyethylene. *Macromolecules* 27 (19), 5297–5310.

- 740 Khan, A. S., Farrokh, B., 2006. Thermo-mechanical response of nylon 101 under uni-
axial and multi-axial loadings: Part I, Experimental results over wide ranges of
742 temperatures and strain rates. *International journal of plasticity* 22 (8), 1506–1529.
- Khan, A. S., Lopez-Pamies, O., Kazmi, R., 2006. Thermo-mechanical large deformation
744 response and constitutive modeling of viscoelastic polymers over a wide range
of strain rates and temperatures. *International Journal of Plasticity* 22 (4), 581–601.
- 746 Khan, F., Yeakle, C., Apr. 2011. Experimental investigation and modeling of non-
monotonic creep behavior in polymers. *International Journal of Plasticity* 27 (4),
748 512–521.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749641910000975>
- 750 Krairi, A., Doghri, I., 2014. A thermodynamically-based constitutive model for ther-
moplastic polymers coupling viscoelasticity, viscoplasticity and ductile damage. In-
752 ternational Journal of Plasticity 60, 163–181.
- Krempf, E., Khan, F., 2003. Rate (time)-dependent deformation behavior: an overview
754 of some properties of metals and solid polymers. *International Journal of Plasticity*
19 (7), 1069–1095.
- 756 Lai, D., Yakimets, I., Guigon, M., Sep. 2005. A non-linear viscoelastic model developed
for semi-crystalline polymer deformed at small strains with loading and unloading
758 paths. *Materials Science and Engineering: A* 405 (1), 266–271.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921509305005629>
- 760 Laiarinandrasana, L., Klinkova, O., Nguyen, F., Proudhon, H., Morgeneyer, T. F.,
Ludwig, W., Aug. 2016. Three dimensional quantification of anisotropic void evo-
762 lution in deformed semi-crystalline polyamide 6. *International Journal of Plasticity*
83, 19–36.
764 URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0749641916300444>
- Lemaitre, J., 1992. *A course on damage mechanics*. Springer-Verlag Berlin.
- 766 Lemaitre, J., Chaboche, J.-L., 1978. Aspect phé̃nologique de la rupture
par endommagement. *J Mec Appl* 2 (3).
- 768 Lemaitre, J., Chaboche, J.-L., 1994. *Mechanics of solid materials*. Cambridge univer-
sity press.
- 770 Li, H. X., Buckley, C. P., Apr. 2009. Evolution of strain localization in glassy polymers:
A numerical study. *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 46 (7), 1607–1623.
772 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0020768308005039>

- Lion, A., Johlitz, M., May 2016. A thermodynamic approach to model the caloric
774 properties of semicrystalline polymers. *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*
28 (3), 799–819.
776 URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00161-015-0415-8>
- Lion, A., Mittermeier, C., Johlitz, M., 2017. Heat capacities and volumetric changes
778 in the glass transition range: a constitutive approach based on the standard linear
solid. *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics* 29 (5), 1061–1079.
- 780 Makradi, A., Ahzi, S., Gregory, R. V., Edie, D. D., Apr. 2005. A two-phase self-
consistent model for the deformation and phase transformation behavior of polymers
782 above the glass transition temperature: application to PET. *International Journal*
of Plasticity 21 (4), 741–758.
784 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749641904000816>
- Maurel-Pantel, A., Baquet, E., Bikard, J., Billon, N., 2011. Coupled Thermo Mechan-
786 ical Characterisation of Polymers Based on Inverse Analyses and IR Measurements.
Applied Mechanics and Materials 70, 393–398.
788 URL <https://www.scientific.net/AMM.70.393>
- Maurel-Pantel, A., Baquet, E., Bikard, J., Bouvard, J. L., Billon, N., 2015. A thermo-
790 mechanical large deformation constitutive model for polymers based on material
network description: Application to a semi-crystalline polyamide 66. *International*
792 *Journal of Plasticity* 67, 102–126.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749641914001983>
- 794 Miled, B., Doghri, I., Delannay, L., 2011. Coupled viscoelastic–viscoplastic modeling of
homogeneous and isotropic polymers: Numerical algorithm and analytical solutions.
796 *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 200 (47), 3381–3394.
- Nikolov, S., Doghri, I., 2000. A micro/macro constitutive model for the small-
798 deformation behavior of polyethylene. *Polymer* 41 (5), 1883–1891.
- Nikolov, S., Doghri, I., Pierard, O., Zealouk, L., Goldberg, A., Nov. 2002. Multi-
800 scale constitutive modeling of the small deformations of semi-crystalline polymers.
Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 50 (11), 2275–2302.
802 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022509602000364>
- Ognedal, A. S., Clausen, A. H., Dahlen, A., Hopperstad, O. S., May 2014. Behavior of
804 PVC and HDPE under highly triaxial stress states: An experimental and numerical

- study. *Mechanics of Materials* 72, 94–108.
- 806 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167663614000234>
- Praud, F., Chatzigeorgiou, G., Bikard, J., Meraghni, F., 2017. Phenomenological
808 multi-mechanisms constitutive modelling for thermoplastic polymers, implicit im-
plementation and experimental validation. *Mechanics of Materials* 114, 9–29.
- 810 Regrain, C., Laiarinandrasana, L., Toillon, S., Sã, K., Jul. 2009. Multi-mechanism
models for semi-crystalline polymer: Constitutive relations and finite element im-
812 plementation. *International Journal of Plasticity* 25 (7), 1253–1279.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749641908001496>
- 814 Reis, J. M. L., Pacheco, L. J., da Costa Mattos, H. S., 2013. Influence of the tempera-
ture and strain rate on the tensile behavior of post-consumer recycled high-density
816 polyethylene. *Polymer Testing* 32 (8), 1576–1581.
- Schapery, R., jul 1967. Stress analysis of viscoelastic composite materials. *Journal of*
818 *Composite Materials* 1 (3), 228–267.
- Schapery, R. A., 1969. On the characterization of nonlinear viscoelastic materials.
820 *Polymer Engineering & Science* 9 (4), 295–310.
- Shukla, R. S., Mertens, J., Senthilvelan, S., 2014. Hysteresis Heating of Polypropy-
822 lene Based Composites. In: 5 th International & 26 th All India Manufacturing
Technology, Design and Research Conference (AIMTDR 2014). p. 6.
- 824 Simo, J., Hughes, T., 1998. *Computational Inelasticity*.
- Sweeney, J., Collins, T. L. D., Coates, P. D., Unwin, A. P., Duckett, R. A., Ward, I. M.,
826 Mar. 2002. Application of a large deformation model to unstable tensile stretching
of polyethylene. *International Journal of Plasticity* 18 (3), 399–414.
828 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749641900001042>
- Sweeney, J., Ward, I. M., Jan. 1995. Rate dependent and network phenomena in the
830 multiaxial drawing of poly(vinyl chloride). *Polymer* 36 (2), 299–308.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/003238619591317Z>
- 832 Uchida, M., Tada, N., Oct. 2013. Micro-, meso- to macroscopic modeling of defor-
mation behavior of semi-crystalline polymer. *International Journal of Plasticity*
834 49 (Supplement C), 164–184.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749641913000776>

- 836 Van Dommelen, J. v., Parks, D., Boyce, M., Brekelmans, W., Baaijens, F., 2003. Mi-
cromechanical modeling of the elasto-viscoplastic behavior of semi-crystalline poly-
838 mers. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 51 (3), 519–541.
- Wu, P., Van Der Giessen, E., 1993. On improved network models for rubber elasticity
840 and their applications to orientation hardening in glassy polymers. *Journal of the
Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 41 (3), 427–456.
- 842 Wunderlich, B., Mar. 2003. Reversible crystallization and the rigid-amorphous phase
in semicrystalline macromolecules. *Progress in Polymer Science* 28 (3), 383–450.
844 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0079670002000850>
- Yu, C., Kang, G., Lu, F., Zhu, Y., Chen, K., 2016. Viscoelastic–viscoplastic cyclic
846 deformation of polycarbonate polymer: experiment and constitutive model. *Journal
of Applied Mechanics* 83 (4), 041002.
- 848 Zairi, F., Nait-Abdelaziz, M., Gloaguen, J.-M., Lefebvre, J.-M., 2008. Modelling of
the elasto-viscoplastic damage behaviour of glassy polymers. *International Journal
850 of Plasticity* 24 (6), 945–965.
- Zhou, Y., Mallick, P. K., Dec. 2002. Effects of temperature and strain rate on the tensile
852 behavior of unfilled and talc-filled polypropylene. Part I: Experiments. *Polymer
Engineering & Science* 42 (12), 2449–2460.