ABSTRACT

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced by metabolic pathways in almost all cells. As signaling components, ROS are best known for their roles in abiotic and biotic stress-related events. However, recent studies have revealed that they are also involved in numerous processes throughout the plant life cycle, from seed development and germination, through to root, shoot and flower development. Here, we provide an overview of ROS production and signaling in the context of plant growth and development, highlighting the key functions of ROS and their interactions with plant phytohormonal networks.

Introduction

Plant development, growth and survival are continuously shaped and driven by genotypic and environmental cues. As plants are sessile, they have evolved mechanisms that allow them to take advantage of their metabolism and thus grow in highly variable environments, for instance by integrating primary metabolic products into vital processes. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are one example of metabolic products that regulate plant growth and development (Foyer and Noctor, 2009; Mittler, 2017; Noctor et al., 2017). ROS levels are determined by a tightly controlled balance between production and breakdown that is achieved via compartmentalized and highly complex antioxidant systems (Mittler et al., 2011; Noctor et al., 2012). Together, these systems and the tight control of ROS-associated pathways determine plant plasticity and flexibility under fluctuating conditions and, thus, control plant growth and survival (Mittler, 2017; Wszczak et al., 2018).

What are ROS? ROS refer to any oxygen derivative that is more reactive than an oxygen molecule (O₂) itself (Foyer and Noctor, 2017). Although specific insights are rather scarce and many questions remain outstanding (Considine and Foyer, 2014; Diaz-Vivancos et al., 2015), a number of studies have uncovered key roles for them during plant development. Here, we discuss these emerging roles in ROS-mediated control of the cell cycle, cell division, cell expansion and cell death.

ROS have long been recognized for their roles in mediating the response to abiotic and biotic stress conditions. However, in recent years, a number of studies have uncovered key roles for them during plant growth and development. Here, we discuss these emerging roles of ROS and redox-dependent mechanisms during plant development, highlighting their interactions with plant phytohormonal networks. First, we discuss how ROS can affect basic cellular processes, such as the cell cycle and division, and then review the roles of ROS at various stages of plant development, within seeds and meristems, and during organ and tissue development.

ROS-mediated control of the cell cycle, cell division, cell expansion and cell death

In plants, exposure to stress is often accompanied by decreased growth and cell cycle arrest, although the mechanisms underlying this response remain largely unexplored. In particular, the molecular factors of the cell cycle that are influenced by ROS or redox-dependent mechanisms are rather poorly studied in plants. It is known that redox cycles are conserved within the cell cycle and that reductive and oxidative signals are required for transitions within...
**Fig. 1. Atmospheric oxygen-derived reactive oxygen species.** A number of oxygen-derived reactive oxygen species (ROS) are known to exist in plants. The excitation of oxygen (O$_2$) produces singlet oxygen (O$_2^*$), while reduction produces superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and hydroxyl radicals (OH$^-$). The Lewis structure of each of these ROS is presented in blue, with impaired electrons highlighted in red. The half life ($t_{1/2}$) is given for each type of ROS and is colour coded with highest value for H$_2$O$_2$ (red) and lowest value for OH$^-$ (yellow).

The cell cycle phases (Menon and Goswami, 2007; Diaz-Vivancos et al., 2015; de Simone et al., 2017). These phase-to-phase progressions and transitions are mainly governed by a complex machinery of interacting cyclins (CYCs) and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), and recent studies have begun to elucidate how ROS and changes in redox states can influence these factors.

Both the activities and transcript levels of CYCs and CDKs are affected by redox perturbations (Reichheld et al., 1999; Féher et al., 2008; Foy et al., 2018). For instance, redox reactions directly affect cell cycle components via the TASINTE BRANCHED1-CYCLOIDEA-PROLIFERATING CELL FACTOR1 (TCP) transcription factors (Kadota et al., 2005). TCPs transcriptionally regulate CYCs levels, possibly through interactions with CYC promoters, and have a conserved redox-sensitive cysteine residue that is required for DNA binding. This suggests that, under oxidizing conditions, the interaction between a TCP transcription factor and its promoter might be inhibited as a result of disulfide bond formation (Viola et al., 2013, 2016).

CYKs and CDKs are functional in the S1-to-M phase transition of the cell cycle, and their differential expression has been associated with cell cycle arrest in the Arabidopsis glutathione-deficient ROOTMERISTEMLESS (rml1) mutant (Vernoux et al., 2000; Schnaubelt et al., 2015). Glutathione is the most important redox buffer in plants and, hence, the strong growth defect phenotype of rml1 mutants demonstrates the importance of glutathione-buffered redox homeostasis during cell division. Although glutathione is recruited into the nucleus during cell division, it has been reported that glutathione pools in the nuclei are in equilibrium with those in the cytosol but that glutathione is more easily depleted from the cytosol than the nucleus after treatment with buthionine sulfoximine (García-Giménez et al., 2013; Pellny et al., 2009). Of note, a redox cycle within the cell cycle has been described in which ROS levels along with ascorbate and glutathione fluctuate, with the reduced versus oxidized pools of these metabolites regulating the transition through specific cell cycle checkpoints (Diaz-Vivancos et al., 2010; Schnaubelt et al., 2015; Diaz-Vivancos et al., 2015; Tognetti et al., 2017). In line with these reports, it has also been shown that ascorbate deficiency increases the oxidation degree of the nucleus and delays cell cycle progression (de Simone et al., 2017).

ROS and redox homeostasis are also required for cytokinesis. Pharmacological perturbation of ROS homeostasis in wheat (Triticum sp.) and Arabidopsis root tip cells induces mainly atypical tubulin polymer formation and affects efficient cell plate formation, ultimately resulting in perturbed cytokinesis (Livanos et al., 2012a,b). Similarly, the genetic disruption of NADPH oxidases (ROS generators) and mitogen-activated protein kinases involved in ROS signaling leads to tubulin disorganization and, hence, reinforces the necessity of a tightly controlled ROS balance during cytokinesis (Foreman et al., 2003; Takeda et al., 2008; Kosetsu et al., 2010; Yao et al., 2011).

ROS are also able to modulate cell expansion, via their effects on the cell wall. Apoplastic H$_2$O$_2$, hydroxyl radicals and superoxides, for example, influence cell wall stiffness and relaxation and hence affect cell expansion rates. Various oxidant sources are recognized, although their regulation remains poorly understood. In addition to NADPH oxidases, amine and oxalate oxidases, the peroxidative and hydroxylatic activities of apoplastic class III peroxidases have antagonistic effects on rigidity of cell walls (Passardi et al., 2004; Schmidt et al., 2016). In general, in a peroxidative modus, peroxidases regulate the levels of H$_2$O$_2$ by oxidizing various substrates. In this way, they contribute to the crosslinking of phenolics and extensins, which leads to increased stiffening, and hence reduced elongation capacity, of the cell walls. On the other hand, hydroxyl radical formation has been demonstrated to cleave xyloglucans and pectins and thereby facilitate cell wall loosening (Fry, 1998; Passardi et al., 2004). This feature of peroxidases being associated with both cell elongation and growth-restricting processes is reflected by their contrasting effects on growth rates, as revealed by genetic perturbations of various class III peroxidases (Lu et al., 2014; Raggi et al., 2015; Schmidt et al., 2016). The concerted transcriptional repression of at least seven peroxidases by a MYB-like transcription factor, KUODA1, positively correlates with growth elongation capacities. Increased peroxidase activities lead to restricted leaf growth, without affecting cell division (Lu et al., 2014). The above concept of transcriptional repression of ROS production to favor organ growth can certainly not be generalized to different organs. For example, in Arabidopsis roots, the absence of the repressive transcription factor UPBEAT1 leads to an increased number of meristem cells and an increase in the length of cortical cells (Tsukagoshi et al., 2010).

Increased ROS production, in either a transient or a stable manner, also known as an oxidative burst, occurs in response to various stimuli, including development and bacterial challenges, and can initiate signaling towards cell death (Van Breusegem and Dat, 2006). Development-associated programmed cell death (PCD) occurs in various tissues and organs, such as the tapetum, seed coat, endosperm and lateral root cap (Daneva et al., 2015). For example, tapetal cells undergo PCD that is essential for
microspore development. Interestingly, the rice (*Oryza sativa*) mutant *defective in tapetum cell death 1* (*dtc1*) fails to accumulate ROS and shows delayed tapetum PCD resulting in male sterile plants (Yi et al., 2016). Despite the potential role for ROS here, the type of ROS and the mechanisms by which they trigger developmental cell death are unclear (Van Aken and Van Breusegem, 2015). In this context, the apoplastic RBOHs have been implicated in the control of development-related processes, such as proper growth of pollen tube and the self-incompatibility response. The altered expression of RBOH also drives altered PCD (Xie et al., 2014; Duan et al., 2014; Serrano et al., 2015; Jiménez-Quesada et al., 2016). Moreover, intracellular H$_2$O$_2$, produced via photospiration, triggers lesion formation in leaves in a photoperiod-dependent manner (Queval et al., 2007). The factors necessary for the development of such lesions include salicylic acid and glutathione (Chaouch et al., 2010; Mhamdi et al., 2010b; Han et al., 2013a).

Overall, the effects of ROS on basic cellular processes – the cell cycle, cell division, cell expansion and cell death – are thought to contribute, acting in concert via interactions between plant phytohormonal pathways, to the multiple functions of ROS during the plant life cycle.

### The role of ROS during germination

In dry and dormant seeds, plant embryos and the surrounding endosperm display very limited metabolic activities, and ROS production is thus speculated to be very low (Bailly et al., 2008). However, after seed imbibition and during germination, metabolism rapidly resumes (Rajjou et al., 2012) and such a swift metabolic start seems to be correlated with increased ROS production via various pathways and at various subcellular sites. This includes production via NADPH oxidases, lipid catabolism and lipid β-oxidation in the glyoxysomes and mitochondrial respiration (Rajjou et al., 2012; Wojtyla et al., 2016; Ishibashi et al., 2017). The spatiotemporal correlation of increased ROS production and accumulation during the onset of germination has been corroborated with experiments in which exogenously applied oxidants, such as H$_2$O$_2$ (El-Maarouf-Bouteau et al., 2015), and a pharmacologically or genetically provoked decrease in catalase or in other antioxidant activities, were shown to positively influence the release of dormancy and the onset of germination (Fig. 3) (Leymarie et al., 2012; Cembrowska-Lech et al., 2015; Basbouss-Serhal et al., 2017). Reciprocally, overexpression of CAT in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) seeds was shown to suppress precocious germination (Ishibashi et al., 2017). Therefore, increased ROS levels are key to proficient germination and are positive signals for the release of dormancy (Bailly, 2008; Singh et al., 2016).

ROS levels increase after seed imbibition and act as a positive signal for germination. However, above certain limits, ROS are either too low to allow germination or too high and affect embryo viability and therefore prevent or delay germination (Bailly et al., 2008). Thus, ROS homeostasis during germination needs to be tightly controlled and this creates an "oxidative window" for germination that restricts proficient seedling development within certain borders of increased ROS levels (Stacey et al., 2006; Bailly et al., 2008). Consistently, several phenotypes are observed in mutants with perturbed antioxidant homeostasis. For instance, knocking out cytosolic APX6, the transcript levels of which are usually high in dry seeds, leads to reduced germination rates owing to increased protein carbonylation (Chen et al., 2014). These *apx6* mutants also exhibit increased sensitivity to stress and to ABA, triggered by disturbed ABA and auxin signaling. This suggests that these signaling pathways are interdependent, and that ABA and auxin accumulation and activation of ROS and redox signals are required. By contrast, mitochondrial thioredoxin O1 (*trxO1*) mutants exhibit accelerated germination together with increased H$_2$O$_2$ levels (Ortiz-Espín et al., 2017). It was also recently shown that, in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the transcription factor ABI5 regulates H$_2$O$_2$ homeostasis in addition to its core role in ABA-dependent signaling; specifically, ABI5 assists the germination process by binding to the promoter of the CATALASE 1 gene and regulating its expression and hence H$_2$O$_2$ levels (Skubacz et al., 2015; Bi et al., 2017).

ROS concentrations also increase during endosperm weakening, cell wall loosening and radicle elongation. Accordingly, the treatment of pea (*Pisum sativum*) seeds with H$_2$O$_2$ facilitates seed germination and seedling growth (Barba-Espin et al., 2010). ROS-mediated effects on germination in *Arabidopsis* are inhibited by ABA, and this can be counteracted by the action of GA (Müller et al., 2009). In barley, H$_2$O$_2$ is required for alleviating dormancy and this relies on GA accumulation and the expression of GA synthesis and signaling genes, rather than on the repression of ABA signaling (Bahn et al., 2011; Graeber et al., 2010). In the ascorbate-deficient *Arabidopsis* mutant *vtc1*, ABA levels are increased due to upregulation of synthesis genes (Pastori et al., 2003), and it has also been shown that ascorbate-defective *vtc2* *vtc5* mutants show seedling-lethal phenotypes that can be rescued by treating with ascorbate or its precursor galactose (Dowdle et al., 2007). In the same way, the *apx6* mutants show moderate changes in the ascorbate pool (Chen et al., 2014).

Overall, these findings reinforce the notion that ROS action during seed germination relies heavily on interactions with ABA and GA, the two main phytohormones that antagonistically participate in regulation of the seed germination process (Fig. 3). Certainly, a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms that underlie ROS function in seed physiology (Oracz et al., 2007; Bazin et al., 2011; El-Maarouf-Bouteau et al., 2015; Wojtyla et al., 2016) will open up new routes for improving seed quality and tolerance to pathogen infection and provide new directions for engineering germination-recalcitrant species.
The interplay between phytohormones and redox-linked reactions dictates meristem development

In both the shoot apical meristem (SAM) and the root apical meristem (RAM), stem cells are organized in a central zone (CZ) surrounding an organizing center, which is termed the organizing zone (OZ) or the quiescent center (QC) in shoots and roots, respectively. The maintenance of both meristems relies on signal exchange between the CZ and the OZ/QC but also on feedback from the already differentiated tissues. The major difference between both systems is the gene network that regulates their activity and their sensitivity to growth hormones, such as cytokinins and auxins. In short, while SAM activity is determined by WUSCHEL (WUS) and CLAVATA (CLV) peptides, QC establishment and stem cell maintenance in roots is ensured by SCARECROW (SCR), SHORT ROOT (SHR) and PLETHORA (PLT) (Stahl and Simon, 2010). Importantly, studies have revealed that the activities of both the SAM and the RAM are affected by interactions between ROS, redox components and phytohormones (Schippers et al., 2016).

RAM activity is highly sensitive to alterations in cellular redox status. For example, treatment with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) decreases the number of meristem cells (Tsukagoshi et al., 2010). DNA damage also promotes \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) accumulation, through FLAVIN-CONTAINING MONOOXYGENASE 1 (FMO1), and reduces root meristem size, hence indicating \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) as a negative regulator of the RAM (Tsukagoshi et al., 2010; Chen and Umeda, 2015). In addition, ROS gradients have been described in different zones of the root, with superoxide maxima correlating with cell division zones, and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) maxima occurring in the elongation zone (Dunand et al., 2007; Tsukagoshi et al., 2010; Tsukagoshi, 2016), suggesting that superoxide and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) act antagonistically. The molecular mechanism underlying the antagonistic actions of superoxide and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) has been elucidated in the context of the SAM (Zeng et al., 2017). This study showed that superoxide is associated with transcriptional upregulation of the transcription factor WUS, whereas \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) displays an inhibitory action, accumulates in the peripheral zone and is associated with cell differentiation.

Within the RAM, QC cells are in a highly oxidized environment compared with their adjacent rapidly dividing cells. Both ascorbate and glutathione are mainly present as oxidized forms [dehydroascorbic acid (DHA) and glutathione disulfide (GSSG)] and NADPH is hardly detected, while higher antioxidant capacities and a more reducing environment is detected in the adjacent cells (Jiang et al., 2003). In line with this, cell type-specific transcriptomic analyses have revealed that ROS-associated genes are differentially expressed in specific SAM and RAM tissues (Tognetti et al., 2017 and references therein). In addition, specific glutathione- and thioredoxin-dependent reductive systems seem to be essential for appropriate meristem development. For instance, while the cytosolic form of glutathione reductase 1 (GR1) is not needed for development, loss of function of the chloroplast/mitochondrial form (GR2) is embryonic lethal, pointing to a key role for glutathione reduction in chloroplasts and mitochondria during early development (Chew et al., 2003; Tzafir et al., 2004). In addition, a weak GR2 allele increases oxidized glutathione levels and provokes strong defects in the root meristem. This oxidizing environment (and the accumulation of GSSG) triggers decreased expression of the auxin efflux facilitator PIN-formed, PLT1 and PLT2 genes, clearly demonstrating that reduced glutathione is required for functional auxin signaling in the RAM (Yu et al., 2013).

Disrupted glutaredoxin (GRX) activity is also associated with meristem deficiencies. In Arabidopsis, GRXS17 regulates auxin sensitivity and transport (Cheng et al., 2011; Knuesting et al., 2015; Schippers et al., 2016) and in maize (Zea mays) GRX ABERRANT PHYLLOTAXY (ABPHYL2) influences shoot meristem size and phyllotaxy, probably through post-translational modification of the bZIP transcription factor FASCIATED EAR4 (Yang et al., 2015; Pautler et al., 2015). This was also demonstrated earlier for the Arabidopsis GRXs ROXY1 and ROXY2, which reduce disulfide bonds in the heteromeric TGA9/TGA10 transcription factor complex, a reductive step that is necessary to activate gene expression during floral transition (Murmu et al., 2010). Intriguingly, the auxin-synthesizing flavin monooxygenase YUCCA6 also exhibits thiol reductase activity, thereby hinting towards an intimate link between redox and auxin pathways (Cha et al., 2015).

Besides affecting auxin signaling and transcription factors, the redox environment affects the cell-to-cell communication events and other hormonal pathways that are needed for SAM maintenance. The plastidial thioredoxin, TRXm3, regulates ROS homeostasis in the vicinity of plasmodesmata and is proposed to affect callose deposition and hence transport through plasmodesmata (Benitez-Alfonso et al., 2009). ROS also interact with the plant defense hormone SA. In both rice and Arabidopsis, ABNORMAL INFLORESCENCE MERISTEM (AIM1), which is involved in SA biosynthesis, is needed for meristem development (Busell et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2017). This interplay acts at the transcriptional level: SA downregulates a couple of plant-specific WRKY transcription factors and thereby alleviates their repressive effects on the expression of several antioxidative enzymes, such as CATs, GSTs and PRXs (Xu et al., 2017).

**ROS homeostasis drives organ growth**

The indeterminate growth characteristics of most plant roots not only entails continuous cell division and cell expansion of the primary root, but also the development of lateral roots (LRs) and
root hairs. Studies have shown that altered ROS homeostasis affects all of these processes, restricting growth of the primary root, triggering LR emergence, and enhancing root hair growth (Table 1) (Foreman et al., 2003; Orman-Ligeza et al., 2016). An overview of ROS function in controlling root growth and development was recently provided by Tsukagoshi (2016) and highlights that interactions between ROS and auxin signaling, which play a crucial role in shaping root architecture (Du and Scheres, 2018), partially govern root growth and development.

In root hairs, for example, the auxin-controlled transcriptional regulation of NADPH oxidases and class III peroxidases promotes root hair elongation through at least two auxin-regulated transcriptional regulators: ROOT HAIR DEFECTIVE 6-LIKE 4 (RSL4) and MEDIATOR 25 (MED25) (Foreman et al., 2003; Sundaravelpandian et al., 2013; Mangano et al., 2017). In addition, an analysis of ROS levels has suggested that a fine-tuned balance between H$_2$O$_2$ and superoxide levels acts as a signal determining root hair cell differentiation (Sundaravelpandian et al., 2013).

By contrast, the RBOH-peroxidase system, which also generates ROS, regulates LR emergence independently of auxin (Li et al., 2015). Genetic manipulation of LR-specific peroxidases also abolishes LR emergence (Manzano et al., 2014). However, it is worth mentioning that all RBOH transcripts are auxin inducible and that H$_2$O$_2$ generation mediated by RBOHD and RBOHE facilitates LR emergence by promoting cell wall remodeling in the overlying

---

**Table 1. Overview of development and growth defects linked by perturbation of ROS and ROS-processing systems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Gene locus</th>
<th>Subcellular localization</th>
<th>Mutant phenotypes</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxidases, superoxide dismutases and catalases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBOHC</td>
<td>AT5G51060</td>
<td>Plasma membrane</td>
<td>rhd2, root hair defective</td>
<td>Foreman et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBOHD</td>
<td>AT5G47910</td>
<td>Plasma membrane</td>
<td>Atypical tubulin formation</td>
<td>Yao et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBOHD/RBOHF</td>
<td>AT5G47910/AT1G64060</td>
<td>Plasma membrane</td>
<td>Early emergence of LR and enhanced density of LRs</td>
<td>Li et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBOHE</td>
<td>AT1G19230</td>
<td>Plasma membrane</td>
<td>Aborted pollen and reduced fertility</td>
<td>Xie et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBOOH/RBOHJ</td>
<td>AT5G60010/AT3G45810</td>
<td>Plasma membrane</td>
<td>Root hair defective</td>
<td>Mangano et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBOOH/RBOHJ</td>
<td>AT5G60010/AT3G45810</td>
<td>Plasma membrane</td>
<td>Reduced fertility and impaired pollen tube growth</td>
<td>Kaya et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSD1</td>
<td>AT3G10920</td>
<td>Mitochondria</td>
<td>Defect in embryo sac development</td>
<td>Martin et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT2</td>
<td>AT4G23100</td>
<td>Peroxisomes</td>
<td>Delayed growth and small hyponastic leaves</td>
<td>Queval et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbate synthesis and dependent enzymes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTC1</td>
<td>AT2G39770</td>
<td>Cytosol, nucleus</td>
<td>Early flowering and senescence</td>
<td>Barth et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTC2</td>
<td>AT4G26850</td>
<td>Cytosol, nucleus</td>
<td>Early flowering and senescence</td>
<td>Kotchoni et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTC3</td>
<td>AT3G02870</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Early flowering and senescence</td>
<td>Kotchoni et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTC1/VTC2</td>
<td>AT2G39770/AT4G26850</td>
<td>Cytosol, nucleus</td>
<td>Seedling lethal</td>
<td>Dowdle et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APX1</td>
<td>AT1G07890</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Reduced growth and embryo defects</td>
<td>Pagnussat et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APX6</td>
<td>AT4G32320</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Reduced germination</td>
<td>Chen et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione synthesis and reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH1</td>
<td>AT4G23100</td>
<td>Chloroplasts</td>
<td>mft1, arrest of cell cycle on G1</td>
<td>Vernoux et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH2</td>
<td>AT5G27380</td>
<td>Chloroplasts/cytosol</td>
<td>cad2, pad2, rax2, defect in LR development</td>
<td>Marquez-Garcia et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR2</td>
<td>AT3G54660</td>
<td>Chloroplasts/mitochondria</td>
<td>Seeding lethal</td>
<td>Pasternak et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Embryo lethal</td>
<td>Tzafrir et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaredoxins and thioredoxins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Defects in root growth and in RAM maintenance</td>
<td>Yu et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRXS17</td>
<td>AT4G04950</td>
<td>Nucleus, cytosol</td>
<td>Compromised SAM, growth arrest and delayed bolting</td>
<td>Knuesting et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRXS13</td>
<td>AT1G03850</td>
<td>Nucleus, cytosol</td>
<td>Reduced growth</td>
<td>Laporte et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROXY1</td>
<td>AT3G02000</td>
<td>Nucleus, cytosol</td>
<td>Impaired petal development</td>
<td>Xing et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROXY2</td>
<td>AT5G14070</td>
<td>Nucleus, cytosol</td>
<td>Defective anther development</td>
<td>Xing and Zachgo (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRXC11/ROXY4</td>
<td>AT3G62950</td>
<td>Nucleus, cytosol</td>
<td>Defective anther development</td>
<td>Hou et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL1</td>
<td>OS07G05630</td>
<td>Nucleus, cytosol</td>
<td>Defective anther development and impaired meiosis</td>
<td>Hong et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCA1</td>
<td>CAX52135</td>
<td>Nucleus, cytosol</td>
<td>Male sterile</td>
<td>Chaubal et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRa/NTRb</td>
<td>AT2G17420/AT4G35460</td>
<td>Cytosol/nucleus/mitochondria</td>
<td>Growth defect and reduced fertility</td>
<td>Reichheld et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRc</td>
<td>AT2G41680</td>
<td>Chloroplasts</td>
<td>Retarded growth of shoots and roots and defective LR formation</td>
<td>Kirchsteiger et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRXm3</td>
<td>AT2G15570</td>
<td>Chloroplasts</td>
<td>Embryo lethal, impaired meristem development</td>
<td>Benitez-Alfonso et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR x z</td>
<td>AT3G06730</td>
<td>Chloroplasts</td>
<td>Albino phenotype</td>
<td>Arsova et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR x o</td>
<td>AT2G35010</td>
<td>Mitochondria</td>
<td>Accelerated germination</td>
<td>Ortiz-Espin et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR x h9</td>
<td>AT3G08710</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Impaired growth of shoots and roots</td>
<td>Meng et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRX1</td>
<td>AT1G60420</td>
<td>Nucleus, cytosol</td>
<td>Impaired fertility</td>
<td>Marchal et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD1</td>
<td>AT2G47470</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Defect in embryo development</td>
<td>Pagnussat et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione peroxidases and peroxiredoxins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPX5</td>
<td>AT3G63080</td>
<td>Plasma membrane</td>
<td>Defect in embryo development</td>
<td>Pagnussat et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPX1/GPX7</td>
<td>AT2G25080/AT4G31870</td>
<td>Chlortoplasts</td>
<td>Altered root architecture</td>
<td>Passaia et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For genes that are described together, single mutants do not show phenotypes, and phenotypes are revealed only by additive mutations for the respective genes.
cell layers. RBOH loss-of-function mutants show delayed LR emergence, whereas targeted RBOHD expression in LR primordia promotes organ development (Orman-Ligeza et al., 2016). Interestingly, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) treatment restores LR formation in mutants in which auxin-mediated cell wall accommodation and remodeling are disrupted, such as the aux1 lax3 and pCASPI:shy2-2 mutants (Orman-Ligeza et al., 2016).

Consistent with the described roles for glutathione in cell cycle regulation and meristem development, glutathione-deficient mutants, such as pad2, cad2 and rat2, exhibit defects in LR formation (Table 1) (Marquez-Garcia et al., 2014; Schnaebel et al., 2015). Furthermore, the pharmacological inhibition of glutathione synthesis affects root development and associated gene expression, similarly to phytohormone treatments and, in particular, exogenous auxin treatment (Koprivova et al., 2010). Unlike glutathione, ascorbate functions in root development are controversial and seem to be more subtle; ascorbate-deficient vrc mutants display only slightly altered root architecture and gravitropism (Olmos et al., 2006; Barth et al., 2010). The importance of redox control is further evidenced by altered root architecture phenotypes in individual mutants of all Arabidopsis GPX genes, although the chloroplastic isoforms GPX1 and GPX7 were found to be the major players in this context (Passaia et al., 2014; Attacha et al., 2017).

A number of mutants exhibiting growth defects related to the misexpression of ROS processing system components have been reported, and these include a non-exhaustive list of mutants with leaf growth defects (Table 1). The detailed analysis of some of these mutants has, again, revealed interplay between ROS processing systems and hormone signaling pathways. In particular, new insights have been gained from the analysis of cat2 mutants, which are characterized by growth inhibition due to increased availability of photosynthetic \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), which triggers SA accumulation and activation of a pathogenesis-related pathway in a photoperiod-dependent manner (Queval et al., 2007; Mhamdi et al., 2010a). Furthermore, although some ROS components are dispensable for the normal growth and placement of leaves (i.e. into a ‘rosette’ formation) in Arabidopsis, they have been shown to play specific functions in transmitting \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) signals and in linking \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) to phytohormone pathways (Mhamdi et al., 2010b; Tognetti et al., 2010; Vanderauwera et al., 2011; Han et al., 2013a,b; Kerchov et al., 2015, 2016; Waszczak et al., 2016; Rahantaniaina et al., 2017).

**Redox signaling in flower development**

The crucial involvement of ROS during the development of plant reproductive organs and tissues has recently been reviewed (Jiménez-Quesada et al., 2016; Schippers et al., 2016). Briefly, and as we highlight below, ROS play key roles in petal development, pollen tube development and gametophyte development.

The functions of glutathione/GRX systems in flower development have been evidenced by the analysis of plant-specific class III CC-type GRXs, known as ROXYs (Fig. 4) (Gutsche et al., 2015). The Arabidopsis roxy1 mutant was shown to exhibit an intriguing defect in petal development (Xing et al., 2005). Furthermore, it was shown that the phenotype of PETAL LOSS (ptl) mutants (Lampugnani et al., 2013) depends on ROXY1 function, and that PTL and ROXY1 interact to limit growth within and between sepals but to promote petal initiation (Quon et al., 2017). In this context, ROXY1 regulates petal development through TGA transcription factors, including PERIANTHIA and TGA2/TGA3/TGA7 (Li et al., 2009).

Pharmacological approaches and ROS-staining experiments have also indicated that ROS accumulation at the tip of pollen tubes is necessary for their efficient growth toward the female gametophyte (Potocký et al., 2012). Genetic evidence for the role for two RBOH genes (RBOHH and RBOHJ; Fig. 4) in pollen tube growth has been reported and has demonstrated the need for the activation of these NADPH oxidases by calcium and phosphorylation to allow proper growth (Duan et al., 2014; Kaya et al., 2014; Lassig et al., 2014). In particular, it was revealed that the growth rate oscillations of rbohH rbohJ pollen tubes show strong fluctuations in amplitude and frequency, ultimately leading to pollen tube collapse (Lassig et al., 2014). Interestingly, Rho-type GTPase (ROP)-mediated spatial localization of these NADPH oxidases might steer ROS production and pollen tube growth (Kaya et al., 2014; Duan et al., 2014). Similar to the ROS-driven directional growth of root hairs, the presumed mode of action of ROS is to affect cell wall extensibility and strength. Within this specific context, cell wall loosening of the female tissues has been proposed to allow a more fluent pollen tube penetration (Smirnova et al., 2014; Wudick and Feijó, 2014). The spatiotemporal expression of RBOHE has also been reported, and mutation of RBOHE or RBOHC was reported to result in a significant proportion of aborted pollen grains, severely compromised pollen development and reduced fertility (Xie et al., 2014). In female gametophytes, by contrast, mitochondrial ROS sources rather than RBOHs seem to be required; in particular, the absence of the mitochondrial manganese SOD (MSD1) is associated with defective embryo sac development (Martin et al., 2013, 2014).

Glutathione, GRXs and TRXs have been shown to be required for proper gametophyte development (Fig. 4; Table 1). Arabidopsis ntra ntrb mutants, in which the genes encoding for two NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductases are knocked out, show decreased fertility and slower growth (Reichheld et al., 2007). When glutathione deficiency (i.e. crossing with cad2 and rml1 mutants) is introduced in this background, meristem maintenance, growth...
and flower development are severely inhibited (Reichheld et al., 2007; Bashandy et al., 2010). The ntr1 ntr6 phenotypes can also be exacerbated, resulting in male sterility, if the gr1 mutation is introduced, whereas the lack of GR1 alone does not trigger developmental defects (Marty et al., 2009; Mhamdi et al., 2010b). Altogether, these results indicate the importance of cell thiol status and the interplay between TRX/NTR and glutathione systems during plant reproductive organ development.

**ROXY1 and ROXY2** are also expressed with overlapping patterns during anther development. The *Arabidopsis* single roxy1 and roxy2 mutants produce normal anthers whereas *roxy1 roxy2* double mutants are sterile (Xing and Zachgo, 2008). This effect is not only due to the function of ROXY1/ROXY2 in pollen production, but also to their function in female gametophyte development, with both functions being mediated via the regulation of gene expression. Consistently, the nuclear activity of ROXY genes and their interaction with TGAL/TGAL0 has been shown to be necessary for anther development (Murmu et al., 2010). The roles of GRX activity are conserved in rice and maize. Over-accumulation of ROS, lack of MALE STERILE CONVERTED ANther1 (MSCA1) or MICROSPORELESS1 (MIL1) trigger defects in anther development and are linked to male sterility in maize and rice (Chaubal et al., 2003; Kelliher and Walbot, 2012; Hong et al., 2012). Moreover, the rice genes OsROXY1 and OsROXY2 fully complement the *Arabidopsis* roxy1 mutant (Wang et al., 2009).

**ROS metabolism and senescence**

Plant senescence is a slow process and is accompanied by extensive reprogramming of gene expression (Breeze et al., 2011). A number of studies have revealed that developmentally regulated senescence is also associated with increased availability of ROS, which assist in degradation of cellular contents for recycling purposes but also play a role in initiating the senescence process (Guo and Gan, 2012; Munné-Bosch et al., 2013; Rogers and Munné-Bosch, 2016). Moreover, it is now known that ROS signaling impinges on the diverse hormone pathways that regulate senescence (Lim et al., 2007), including the auxin pathway, which is involved in regulating the timing of senescence (Mueller-Roemer and Balazadeh, 2014), and signaling via cytokinin, which is described as a senescence-delaying hormone (Swartzberg et al., 2011).

The expression of several ROS-induced transcription factors, including a significant proportion of genes encoding members of the NAC and WRKY gene families, is deregulated during senescence (Rosenwasser et al., 2011; Allu et al., 2014). Interestingly, NAC genes induced by H2O2 were found to determine senescence responses and stress tolerance. The effects on senescence gene expression driven by NAC3/ORS1, similarly to those driven by NAC2/ORE1, involve crosstalk with H2O2-dependent signaling pathways (Balazadeh et al., 2010, 2011). Overexpression of the NAC factor JUNGRUNNEN1 also results in stress tolerance and is accompanied by enhanced expression of ROS-responsive genes (Wu et al., 2012). More recently, reports suggest that the molecular link between age-dependent increased ROS and SA require WRKY75 (Guo et al., 2017), which promotes SA synthesis by inducing SA INDUCTION-DEFICIENT2 (SID2) and suppresses H2O2 metabolism by inhibiting CAT2 transcription (Guo et al., 2017).

Redox metabolism has also been directly implicated in the regulation of senescence. CAT2 levels drop in senescing leaves, allowing peroxisomal H2O2 to increase (Zimmermann et al., 2006). This CAT2 downregulation at the transcriptional level appears to be the initial trigger of the H2O2 peak during bolting time, whereas a decrease in APX1 activity is thought to be a secondary and amplifying effect (Zimmermann et al., 2006). Ascorbate levels also decrease during senescence (Bartoli et al., 2000); accordingly, ascorbate deficiency (e.g. in vtc1 mutants) enhances senescence and senescence-associated gene expression (Barth et al., 2004; Kotechi et al., 2009). Senescence timing is also dependent on the generation of reduced glutathione by GR2. The GR2-RNAi lines exhibit early senescence phenotypes and increased levels of the senescence markers SENESCENCE-ASSOCIATED GENES SAG12 and SAG13 (Ding et al., 2016). In line with the above findings, the profiling of redox compounds during *Arabidopsis* rosette development has revealed that ascorbate levels are higher during bolting and decrease significantly after flowering. By contrast, glutathione levels are maintained throughout development and tend to increase significantly with developmental age (Queval and Noctor, 2007). Changes in the redox states of ascorbate and glutathione do not occur, and both metabolites remain more than 80% reduced at all stages (Queval and Noctor, 2007). Of note, the least variable redox metabolite is NADPH, which is required for the regeneration of reduced glutathione.

**Concluding remarks and perspectives**

Over the last few decades, accumulating evidence has pointed to a crucial role for redox homeostasis in plant development. ROS production and ROS-related signaling has been implicated in almost all aspects of plant growth and development in a variety of organs and tissues (Table 1). A significant part of our current understanding of ROS functions has been gained through analyses of ROS-related components, the lack of function of which triggers aberrant developmental phenotypes. The analyses of development defective mutants clearly indicates that the spatial, temporal and compartment-specific distribution of ROS is governed by a complex network. However, currently, comprehensive insights into ROS production units, their interactions with the antagonistic ROS-processing pathways, and the precise in vivo modes of action of various ROS on both cellular building blocks and molecular processes are not available. This is, in part, due to the currently imperfect means to accurately monitor changes in ROS levels and associated redox perturbations in plant cells and tissues (Box 1). It should be noted that, although the studies cited in this Primer show how ROS distribution controls various developmental processes, we need to be cautious when interpreting data that are solely based on tissue staining methodologies. Current protocols that are used to visualize or quantify ROS signals are debatable and, in some cases, are not suitable or reliable for quantification (Box 1). This might be due to specificity issues and interference with other metabolites that might be present in the same tissue (Noctor et al., 2015, 2016; Ortega-Villasante et al., 2017). Quantitative information on ROS levels (steady state or inducible) in organelles, in specific cell types, or tissues is hence very scarce. New tools that facilitate ROS quantification in vivo with standardized protocols that are specific for individual ROS will hopefully help us to better elucidate the causes and consequences of ROS in plant developmental processes (Waszczak et al., 2014). Certainly, the development of sensors and reporters for in vivo imaging is a fast growing area that will allow us to solve the difficulties surrounding ROS assays. However, most of the commonly used fluorescent probes are from prokaryotic origin and are not plant specific and thus require further development. The recent discoveries of ROS gene networks, mainly via analyses of transcriptomes and protein-protein interactions, offer the possibility to test new candidates for the development of novel tools for ROS imaging that are plant specific. The use of such new technologies...
Box 1. ROS detection assays in plants: limitations and uncertainties

Various methods have been used for the detection and visualization of ROS in plant tissues and organs. However, several points need to be considered before making firm conclusions on ROS measurements in plants when using these approaches; detailed guidelines are presented in Noctor et al. (2016).

Biochemical assays
- As for other redox metabolites, ROS should not be extracted in water or neutral buffers due to the presence of contaminating antioxidant enzymes.
- Chemiluminescence probes have low selectivity and display high background levels (e.g. luminol); these issues should be considered when analyzing data.

Histochemical methods: diaminobenzidine (DAB) and nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) staining

Both methods are used to visualize hydrogen peroxide and superoxide radicals, respectively. They gained their credibility mostly from the argument that they are widely used and hence accepted within the community. However, DAB and NBT are not specific or direct measurements of both ROS. Even if the difference in staining can be manipulated by treatment with antioxidants, this is not a direct proof of ROS generation.
- Color formation does not always reflect measurement of the desired ROS (H$_2$O$_2$ for DAB and O$_2^-$ for NBT).
- NBT staining can reflect the presence of ascorbate or the activity of dehydrogenases; DAB brownish color accumulates in the presence of higher peroxidase activity.
- Differences in the uptake or the permeability of both dyes can lead to misinterpretation of the data.

Dichlorofluorescin (DCF)-derived fluorescent dyes

DCF is widely used for quantification for H$_2$O$_2$ in different systems. However, this method is not reliable and is not specific. ROS imaging can be further complicated by the presence of endogenous autofluorescent compounds, in particular in leaf tissues (e.g. chlorophyll, flavonoids, anthocyanins). The permeability of the dye and its stability over time are also factors that might contribute to the difficulties associated with ROS imaging.
- Dichlorofluorescin (DCFH) does not react with H$_2$O$_2$ or other ROS directly.
- DCF radicals can in fact produce O$_2^-$ or H$_2$O$_2$ via reaction with oxygen and therefore an artificial increase of ROS can be generated.
- Other cell components (transition metals, cytochrome c and peroxidases) can also enhance DCFH oxidation to DCF.
- Glutathione and NADPH can interact with the photoexcited DCF.

Genetically encoded probes

Genetically encoded sensors are more suitable for ROS imaging in plant systems because they are non-invasive, flexible (stable or transient expression in target tissues or compartments) and more stable over time. Ratiometric sensors offer the potential to overcome problems related to photobleaching and the expression of the proteins in different conditions (Ortega-Villasante et al., 2017).
- Fluorescent protein-based sensors are pH sensitive, and this has an impact on accurate quantification in organelles with different pH; therefore, pH controls need to be measured simultaneously.
- Silencing and problems with stable expression of sensors has been reported in plant systems.
- The dynamics of the intracellular thiol systems (glutathione, GRX, TRX, PRX, etc.) in plants expressing genetic probes (sensors are often fused to redox proteins) might be worth considering. The fluorescence signal of the probe depends on the equilibrium with thiol systems, and at the same time their H$_2$O$_2$-driven oxidation needs to be reversed by glutathione.

will be particularly relevant to addressing the key outstanding questions related to retrograde signaling, compartment-specific functions during development and the role of each ROS in regulating signaling and communication (Noctor and Foyer, 2017). Similarly, the advent of more sensitive redox proteomics tools will start to allow the in vivo detection of proteins for which function is directly modulated by ROS. In this context, the identification of ROS sensitive targets within cell cycle regulators is likely to provide a significant leap forward in our understanding how ROS and redox perturbations affect the growth of organs and organisms (Foyer et al., 2018). Overall, the implementation of these new technologies will hopefully enable us to identify ROS targets at the organ, cellular and subcellular levels, and will help us to further elucidate the pathways that are modulated by ROS during growth and development (Waszczak et al., 2014). Ideally, these targets will be amenable (e.g. through genome-editing technologies) to genetic alterations and might allow redox-based strategies to improve the growth and reproductive features of both model plants and economically relevant crops.
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