THERMAL HYDRAULIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A TRIANGULAR CROSS CORRUGATED PLATE
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ABSTRACT

One of the most popular kind of compact heat exchangers is cross corrugated plate. The thermal and hydraulic performances of cross corrugated plates are strongly influenced by geometrical parameters. Computational fluid dynamics is used to investigate the performance of cross corrugated plate heat exchangers with triangular corrugation. More specifically the influence of the apex angle on the flow and heat transfer characteristics is studied while the corrugation angle is unvaried. In order to solve the transitional flow regime, the Reynolds stress model is used. For a periodic unitary cell of the triangular cross corrugated plates, several three dimensional simulations are carried out. The numerical results are in a good agreement with existing experimental correlations. The results are discussed for different heat and flow characteristics such as Colburn j factor and friction factor variation with respect to the apex angle. Moreover, several correlations are proposed to predict the Colburn j factor and friction factor for different corrugation angles in transitional flow regime.

KEY WORDS: Numerical simulation and super-computing, Heat exchanger, Triangular cross corrugated plate

1. INTRODUCTION

Cross corrugated plate heat exchangers are frequently used in industry for different applications such as air conditioning, electronic cooling and exhaust heat recovery. The flow in the cross corrugated channel has a complex nature and transition from laminar regime to turbulent regime happens at low range of Reynolds number (100-1500) depending on the geometry [1], [2] and [3]. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) is an effective numerical method to study the thermal hydraulic characteristics of corrugated channels. Several authors used one or several periodic unitary cells to reduce the computational time [4], [5], [6] and [7]. The flow in a cross corrugated channel has a transition at the Reynolds number between 100 and 1500 [8]. For the Reynolds numbers larger than 150, purely laminar flow does not exist [9]. Different turbulence models are used to simulate the flow in the cross corrugated heat exchangers. For example the k-ω SST and the Reynolds Stress Model (RSM) model are used respectively for the Reynolds numbers in the range of 500-6000 [10] and [11] and 1060-3980 [1].

As it is known from the literature, the most popular corrugation profile that has been studied numerically and experimentally is sinusoidal [1], [2], [3], [12], [13] and [14]. Despite all the efforts done to study the sinusoidal cross corrugated heat exchangers as mentioned above, only a few researchers investigated the performance characteristics of triangular heat exchangers numerically and experimentally. Scott et al. [15], Hall et al. [16] Scott and Lobato [17] and Leung and Probert [18] investigated the performance of triangular cross corrugated heat exchangers experimentally. Zhang [19] investigated numerically the flow and heat transfer for the Reynolds number in range of 100 to 6000 in a triangular cross corrugated heat exchanger with the apex angle of 90°. The lack of a comprehensive performance study of triangular cross corrugated heat exchangers urge a thorough analysis. In this work, the influence of different apex angles on the heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics will be analysed numerically. The Reynolds number in the range of 426-2021 is considered for this study.

2. METHOD
2.1 Computational Domain A periodic unitary cell used as the computational domain is shown in Figure 1. The geometry parameters of the triangular corrugation are the base (B) and the apex angle (α). The corrugation angle (γ) is the angle between two neighboring plates and is fixed at 90° in this study. A full factorial sampling plan described by Barrentine [20] is used to investigate the influence of two design parameters: the frontal velocity and the apex angle. Three levels are used for each parameter, resulting in nine simulations (9²).

![Figure 1. A unitary cell](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal velocity</td>
<td>uf [m/s]</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex angle</td>
<td>α [°]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Levels of design parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>uf (m/s)</th>
<th>α (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Test cases used for full factorial analysis

2.2 Boundary Conditions Assumptions of no slip boundary condition (Eq. 1) and constant temperature (333K) for velocity and temperature components respectively are applied to the wall. At the left and right sides of the domain, symmetry conditions are used. This means no flow and scalar flux across the boundary. Normal velocities are set to zero at the symmetry boundary and all other properties just outside the solution domain (i=1), are equal to their values at the nearest node just inside the domain (j=2), see Eq. 2.

\[ u = v = 0 \] (Eq. 1)
\[ \Phi_{1,j} = \Phi_{2,j} \] (Eq. 2)

It is assumed that the flow is fully developed in the computational domain. Therefore, streamwise periodic boundary conditions with constant temperature are imposed in the flow direction, linking the inlet and outlet. This means that the flow field entering the domain is identical to the one leaving it (Eq. 3). Assuming linear pressure drop characteristics in the main flow direction, the pressure drop is calculated from (Eq. 4). Where \( \beta \) is a constant, representing the non-periodic pressure gradient and \( P^* \) is the periodic pressure. A periodic dimensionless temperature is defined in Eq. 5. The dimensionless temperature of the flow entering the domain is equal to the dimensionless temperature of the flow leaving the domain (Eq. 6).

\[ \begin{align*}
    u_{\text{inlet}} &= u_{\text{outlet}} \\
    P &= -\beta x_i + P^* \\
    \theta &= \frac{T - T_w}{T_b - T_w} \\
    \theta_{\text{inlet}} &= \theta_{\text{outlet}}
\end{align*} \] (Eq. 3) (Eq. 4) (Eq. 5) (Eq. 6)

The fluid considered in this study is air with constant properties (Table 3).

**Table 3** Air properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Specific heat (J/kg·K)</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity (W/m·K)</th>
<th>Viscosity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>1006.43</td>
<td>0.0242</td>
<td>1.789e-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 **Computational Model** The steady state three-dimensional numerical simulations of flow and heat transfer in the corrugated channel are obtained with the aid of a commercial CFD code, FLUENT 16.2. It is based on a finite volume technique. The range of Reynolds numbers for the air flow in this study is 426-2021. As it is explained in the introduction section, in this range of Reynolds numbers the flow is not in laminar regime and flow shows the turbulent characteristics. The governing equations are the mass, momentum and energy and are summarized in Eq. 7-9. Where, \( (-\rho u \overline{\nu}) \) and \( (-\rho c_p \overline{\nu T}) \) are the turbulent stresses and turbulent heat fluxes. Additional turbulence model is required to close these equations. In this study the Reynolds stress model (RSM) is used. A detailed description of the RSM model is given by Versteeg and Malalasekera, [21].

\[ \begin{align*}
    \frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial x} &= 0 \\
    \frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial y} &= -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( -\rho \overline{u \nu} \right) \\
    \frac{\partial \rho c_p \overline{T}}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ (\mu_{\rho} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + (-\rho c_p \overline{\nu T}) \right]
\end{align*} \] (Eq. 7) (Eq. 8) (Eq. 9)

The discretization of the convective terms in the governing equations is done via a second order upwind scheme. The gradients are evaluated via the least squares cell based method. The pressure gradient in the momentum equations is treated via a second order discretization scheme. Convergence criteria is set to \( 10^{-5} \) for continuity and velocity components and to \( 10^{-8} \) for the energy equation. The inlet temperature (mass weighted average temperature at inlet) and velocity (area weighted average velocity) are monitored. It was observed that for some
calculation after the aforementioned convergence criteria, the value for inlet temperature and velocity become independent of further calculations. For each simulation, the mass balance is checked. The difference between the air inlet and outlet mass flow rates is within numerical accuracy. Furthermore, the heat balance is well closed: the heat transfer rate obtained integrating the local heat flux over the wetted surface is compared with the change of bulk temperature multiplied by the heat capacity rate. The error on the heat balance is 3.3e-6%.

2.4 Data Reduction The Reynolds number is calculated based on the velocity in the minimum cross sectional flow area $u_c$ (inlet velocity) and the hydraulic diameter (Eq. 10). \( v \) is the kinematic viscosity of the air. The hydraulic diameter for the unitary cell is defined by Eq. 11. Where $A_c$ is the minimum cross sectional area, $L$ is the flow length and $A_{ws}$ is the wetted surface area.

\[
Re = \frac{u_c D_h}{v}
\]  
(Eq. 10)

\[
D_h = \frac{4LA_c}{A_{ws}}
\]  
(Eq. 11)

The mean Nusselt number ($\tilde{N}u$) is obtained from Eq. 12 and Eq. 13, in which $\tilde{q_w}, k$ and LMTD are respectively the surface averaged wall heat flux, the thermal conductivity of air and the logarithmic mean temperature difference. $T_w$ is the wall temperature, $T_{in,1}$ is the inlet bulk temperature of air and $T_{out,2}$ is the outlet bulk temperature of air.

\[
\tilde{N}u = \frac{\tilde{q}_w D_h}{k LMTD}
\]  
(Eq. 12)

\[
LMTD = \frac{(T_w-T_{out,2})-(T_w-T_{in,1})}{\ln \left(\frac{T_w-T_{out,2}}{T_w-T_{in,1}}\right)}
\]  
(Eq. 13)

The Colburn j-factor is a dimensionless representation of the convective heat transfer coefficient (Eq. 14), where \( Pr \) is the Prandtl number.

\[
j = \frac{N\tilde{u}}{Re Pr^{1/3}}
\]  
(Eq. 14)

The friction factor $f$ is a dimensionless representation of pressure drop. In Eq. 15, $\Delta p$ and $\rho$ are respectively the pressure drop of the unitary cell and the density of air.

\[
f = \frac{2\Delta p D_h}{\rho L u_c^2}
\]  
(Eq. 15)

2.5 Mesh Independence Study Structured meshes consisting of hexahedral and wedge elements are generated. A fine and a coarse mesh are generated for each geometry, with element size of 0.03 and 0.025 mm, respectively. The grid independence study is performed for the highest Reynolds number for each geometry. Furthermore the relative error and grid convergence index (GCI) introduced by Roache [22] for the Colburn and friction factors are reported. The results are shown in Table 4. The maximum differences between both meshes is 0.19% for the Colburn j-factor and 0.46% for the friction factor. The maximum GCI for coarse mesh is 1.96% for the j-factor and 4.7% for the friction factor. In order to save the computational costs the coarse mesh is used for all the test cases. Depending on the geometry parameters, 1.3M to 4.5M grid cells are used in this study.

3. RESULTS
5.1 Model Verification It is necessary to compare the numerical simulation results with experimental results in order to evaluate the quality of the simulations. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, such experimental results corresponding to the geometrical parameters used in this study are not available in literature. Therefore, a CFD model with geometrical parameters identical to the triangular cross corrugated plate used in Scott and Lobato [15] experimental study is simulated. In Figure 2, the Nusselt numbers obtained from the CFD simulations with RSM and laminar models and correlation proposed by Scott and Lobato [13] are plotted. As it is seen, the CFD results and experimental results are in good agreement showing deviations between 0.8 – 4.84 %. In contrast, the laminar model predicts a negligible change of Nu with respect to Re i.e. it gives rise to a constant Nu.

![Comparison between CFD and experimental correlation for Nu number](image)

**Figure 2.** Comparison between CFD and experimental correlation for Nu number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Fine mesh</th>
<th>Coarse mesh</th>
<th>Δj (%)</th>
<th>Δf (%)</th>
<th>j-GCI (%)</th>
<th>f-GCI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>0.007430</td>
<td>0.308718</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>0.010296</td>
<td>0.468565</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1399</td>
<td>0.010939</td>
<td>0.376597</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Colburn j-factor and Friction factor Figure 3, shows the Colburn j-factor as a function of the Reynolds number for three different apex angles. Increasing the Reynolds number decreases the j-factor. Increasing the Reynolds number increases the Nusselt number. The reason is that the thickness of the thermal boundary layer decreases in both the upper wall and the troughs of the lower wall and the wall temperature gradient increases (see the temperature distribution in mid plane along the flow direction for the case with the angle of 120°, Figure 5). Therefore, the heat transfer enhancement increases by increasing the Reynolds number. The maximum j-factor is obtained at the angle of 90° and 120°. Based on Figure 4, the highest heat transfer
coefficient is achieved with the angle of 120° and the lowest with the angle of 55°. At the Reynolds number of 1370, the heat transfer coefficient with the apex angle of 120° is higher than with the apex angle of 90° and 55° respectively, by 34.6% and 121.1%. With observing the temperature distribution of different angles at this Reynolds number (Figure 6) the influence of angle on the thermal boundary layer is evident. At the angle of 120°, the thermal boundary layer has the smallest thickness due to less turbulent mixing.

The effect of apex angle on the pressure drop of triangular corrugated plate is shown in Figure 7. The highest pressure drop is related to the apex angle of 120° and the lowest is for the apex angle of 55°. The pressure drop for the apex angle of 120° is higher than with the apex angle of 90° and 55° respectively, by 474% and 109%.

Friction factor is a dimensionless representation of the pressure drop. The variation of friction factor by apex angle and Reynolds number is demonstrated in Figure 8.

The highest friction factor is obtained by the angle of 90°. For the Reynolds number lower than 1300 the friction factor is higher with the angle of 120° and for the Reynolds number higher than 1300 the friction factor is higher with the angle of 90°. For the same Reynolds number for example 1370, the friction factor with the angle of 90° is higher than with the angle 55° and 120° respectively by 38% and 34%. By using the least square method, some correlations for the Nusselt number and friction factor are obtained for each angle in a general form that is presented in Eq. 16. The slope and the exponent of all correlations for the Nusselt number and friction factor are presented in Table 5 and Table 6.

\[ X = MRe^N \]
\[ X: Nu \text{ or } f \]

(Eq. 16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Values of constant M and exponent N for Nusselt number correlations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha=55° )</td>
<td>( \alpha=90° )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1903</td>
<td>0.5612</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6</th>
<th>Values of constant M and exponent N for friction factor correlations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha=55° )</td>
<td>( \alpha=90° )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.9259</td>
<td>-0.425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Colburn j-factor of triangular cross corrugated plate with different apex angles

Figure 4. Heat transfer coefficient of triangular cross corrugated plate with different apex angles
4. CONCLUSION

*Corresponding Author: f.author@affiliation.com
Several three-dimensional numerical studies are performed to investigate the influence of the apex angle on
the heat transfer and flow characteristics of triangular corrugated plates. It is shown that the numerical and
experimental correlation results are in very good agreement. This indicates that RSM model is an appropriate
turbulence model for simulating the flow with the Reynolds number in the range of 426-2021 in the cross
corrugated channel. Numerical simulations demonstrates that the thermohydraulic characteristics are strongly
dependent on the apex angle. Three Nusselt number correlations are proposed for each angle. The highest
Nusselt number is achieved by the apex angle of 90° and 120°. In addition, three correlations are proposed for
the friction factor with different angles. The heat exchanger with the apex angle of 90° has the highest friction
factor. When the Reynolds number is under 1300, the friction factor associated to the apex angle of 120° is the
smallest. However, for the Reynolds number higher than 1300, the heat exchanger with the apex angle of 55°
shows the lowest friction factor.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>apex angle</td>
<td>°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>base</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>corrugation angle</td>
<td>°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>dimensionless temperature</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>general dependent variable</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>velocity in x direction</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>velocity in y direction</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>`'</td>
<td>fluctuation</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>frontal</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hydraulic</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>periodic</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>turbulent</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ws</td>
<td>wetted surface</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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