Deferiprone and Gallium-Protoporphyrin Have the Capacity to Potentiate the Activity of Antibiotics in Staphylococcus aureus Small Colony Variants
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Small colony variants (SCVs) of bacteria like Staphylococcus aureus are characterized by a reduced colony size and are linked to increased antibiotic tolerance and resistance. Their altered expression of virulence factors, slow growing properties and their ability to form biofilms make the eradication of SCVs challenging. In the context of biofilm-related infectious diseases involving S. aureus SCVs, a therapy targeting bacterial iron metabolism was evaluated. The combination of the iron-chelator deferiprone (Def) and the heme-analog gallium-protoporphyrin (GaPP), in solution and incorporated in a surgical wound gel, was tested for activity against planktonic and sessile SCVs. To this end, the activity of Def-GaPP was assessed against planktonic S. aureus SCVs, as well as against in vitro and in vivo biofilms in the colony biofilm model, an artificial wound model and a Caenorhabditis elegans infection model. While Def alone failed to show substantial antibacterial activity, GaPP and the combination of Def-GaPP demonstrated concentration- and strain-dependent antibacterial properties. Specifically, the Def-GaPP combination significantly reduced the bacterial load in an artificial wound model and increased the survival of S. aureus SCV infected C. elegans. When Def-GaPP were combined with gentamicin or ciprofloxacin, the triple combinations exceeded the antibiofilm activity of the individual compounds in the colony biofilm model. In targeting bacterial iron metabolism, Def-GaPP showed significant activity against planktonic and sessile SCVs. Moreover, Def-GaPP could potentiate the activity of gentamicin and ciprofloxacin. Delivered in a wound healing gel, Def-GaPP showed promise as a new topical strategy against infections with S. aureus SCVs.
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INTRODUCTION

Small colony variants (SCVs) are naturally occurring bacteria derived from a parent strain characterized by a small colony morphology (~10% the size of the parent strain colony), slow growth rate, altered virulence factors and increased antibiotic tolerance or resistance (Proctor et al., 2006). The switch to a phenotypic altered strain can be inheritable or transient (Johns et al., 2015). Staphylococcus aureus SCVs are frequently non-pigmented, non-hemolytic, and dependent on external growth factors like menadione, hemin, and thymidine; they are able to survive inside eukaryotic cells, including human macrophages (von Eiff et al., 1997b; Proctor et al., 2006; Garcia L. et al., 2012). Due to their intracellular lifestyle, S. aureus SCVs can escape the immune attack and are protected against antibiotics leading to persistence of disease. SCVs are associated with antibiotic-refractory and recalcitrant infections, such as chronic rhinosinusitis, respiratory tract infections in cystic fibrosis, osteomyelitis, chronic wounds, or implant infections (Proctor et al., 2006; Garcia et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2014). The recovery of SCVs in routine clinical investigations requires special nutrients and prolonged culture, making SCV isolation and identification difficult (von Eiff, 2008). Prolonged treatment regimens with a variety of antibiotics are required to treat SCV-associated infections, often combined with surgical interventions. However, clinical outcomes are frequently unsatisfying due to treatment failure and recurrence of disease (Garcia et al., 2013).

Worsening the situation, SCVs can be induced by medical therapies e.g., by exposure to antibiotics such as gentamicin, or disinfectants such as triclosan (von Eiff et al., 1997a; Bayston et al., 2007). It is furthermore known that sub-therapeutic antibiotic exposure can trigger biofilm formation of S. aureus SCVs and their parent strains, further complicating treatment (Costerton et al., 1995; Mitchell et al., 2010a,b). Despite the clinical significance there is little knowledge concerning S. aureus SCV biofilms and their susceptibility to antibiotics. Innovative treatment approaches, based on compounds with a different mode of action, such as disrupting bacterial iron metabolism (Richter et al., 2017a), may be a strategy worth approaching. S. aureus SCVs, like all bacteria, rely on iron for growth and survival (Andrews et al., 2003), hence, the iron metabolism could be an interesting therapeutic target.

In the present study, the antimicrobial activity of a treatment combining the iron-chelator deferiprone (Def) and the heme analog gallium-protoporphyrin (GaPP) was assessed against planktonic and biofilm-associated SCVs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains

Bacterial strains were collected from the sinonasal cavities of chronic rhinosinusitis patients. Ethics approval was obtained from The Queen Elizabeth Hospital Human Research Ethics Committee, Woodville, SA, Australia. Strains included one S. aureus clinical isolate (parent strain P1), which was prolonged subcultured at MIC or higher concentrations of gentamicin (≥2 µg/ml). This induced small colony variants (SCV1) that featured elevated gentamicin tolerance. Another strain was a clinically isolated S. aureus small colony variant (SCV2).

Characteristics of Bacterial Strains Catalase, Coagulase, and Hemolytic Activity

Catalase and coagulase activity of bacterial strains were determined by suspending cells in saline in a glass tube. The catalase activity was observed by gas formation following addition of hydrogen peroxide. The coagulase activity was determined by clumping of bacterial cells after addition of plasma. Hemolytic activity was determined by streaking out bacteria on sheep blood agar (Oxoid, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Scoresby, VIC, Australia). Following incubation at 37°C for 24 h the presence of a hemolysis zone was observed.

Auxotrophy Determination

The auxotrophy type of bacteria was determined as previously described (van de Rijn and Kessler, 1980). Briefly, a bacterial suspension adjusted to 1.0 McFarland units (~3 × 108 CFU/ml) was diluted 1:100 in physiological saline. One hundred microliters were spread on chemically defined medium agar (van de Rijn and Kessler, 1980). Sterile disks were infiltrated with 10 µl of hemin, menadione, and thymidine, respectively, and placed on top of the agar. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 37°C. According to the growth zones around the disks auxotrophy was determined.

MIC Determination

The colony suspension and broth microdilution method (Wiegang et al., 2008) were used to determine the MIC of deferiprone (Def, 3-hydroxy-1,2-dimethylpyridin-4(1H)-one, Sigma, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia) and gallium-protoporphyrin IX (GaPP, Frontier Scientific, Logan, UT) over 48 h. The concentrations ranged from 0.08 to 40 mM Def (i.e., 10.8–5,568 µg/ml) and 0.1–50 µg/ml GaPP. In addition, the MICs of ciprofloxacin (Cip), gentamicin (Gent), mupirocin (Mup), doxycycline (Dox), chloramphenicol (Chlor), cephallexin (Ceph), vancomycin (Van), amoxicillin (Amoxi), and streptomycin (Strep) were determined (concentration range 0.06–32 µg/ml). All compounds were purchased from Sigma unless stated differently.

Bacterial Growth

Bacteria were suspended in tryptone soya broth (Oxoid) and adjusted to an OD 600 of 0.01. Bacterial growth was measured in a 96-well plate over 40 h at 37°C using an EnSpire Multimode Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Loops were taken after 24 and 40 h to determine the colony morphology.

Hydrogel Preparation

Hydrogels were prepared by adequate mixing of dextran-aldehyde, succinyl-chitosan, and a buffer solution, as previously described (Richter et al., 2017b). Def (20 mM) and/or GaPP (100 or 500 µg/ml) were incorporated in the gel and compared to blank gel and antibiotic loaded gel including 5 µg/ml ciprofloxacin (Cip) and 100 µg/ml gentamicin (Gent). Cip and Gent were chosen due to their clinical relevance as antibiotic therapies for respiratory tract, skin, blood, bone, and soft tissue...
infections. Furthermore, fluoroquinolone antibiotics (such as Cip) were described as being highly effective against SCVs in vitro and in vivo (Garcia L. et al., 2012; Garcia et al., 2013).

**In vitro Activity in the Colony Biofilm Model**

A bacterial suspension was prepared in 0.9% saline and adjusted to 1.0 McFarland units (∼3 × 10^8 CFU/ml). After diluting bacteria 1:1,000 in tryptone soya broth, 2 µl were spotted on UV-sterilized Whatman polycarbonate membrane filters (0.2 µm pore size, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) and placed on tryptone soya agar (Merritt et al., 2005). Following biofilm formation after 24 h incubation at 37°C, the membrane filters were transferred onto AB trace agar (minimal growth agar including 0.5% glucose and 0.5% peptone). One hundred microliters of freshly prepared hydrogel was placed on biofilms and incubated for 2.5 days at 37°C. The filter membranes were then aseptically transferred to new AB trace agar plates and incubated for 2.5 days at 37°C. Finally, the filters were collected in PBS to extract bacteria by vortexing (1 min) and sonication (15 min), prior to serial dilutions and plating on tryptone soya agar for CFU counting and colony morphology determination after 3 days incubation at 37°C.

The antibiofilm effect of drug loaded gels was rated using the Bliss Independence Model (Morones-Ramirez et al., 2013; Thangamani et al., 2015). The synergy of treatment combinations (Def-GaPP100, Def-GaPP100-Cip, Def-GaPP100-Gent, Def-GaPP500) was calculated according to the following equation, with values above zero corresponding to synergistic effects.

\[
S = \left( \frac{a}{MG} \right) \times \left( \frac{b}{MG} \right) - \left( \frac{ab}{MG} \right) \tag{1}
\]

\(S\) = synergistic effect, \(a = \log_{10}\) of biofilm after exposure to compound \(a\), \(b = \log_{10}\) of biofilm after exposure to compound \(b\), \(ab = \log_{10}\) of biofilm after exposure to treatment combination \(ab\), \(MG = \log_{10}\) of untreated biofilm (maximum growth).

**In vitro Activity in an Artificial Wound Model**

Hyaluronic acid (1.20–1.80 MDa, Lifecore Biomedical, Chaska, MN) and collagen (Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY) were used to prepare an artificial dermis (Brackman et al., 2016), which was immersed in lyophilized bovine plasma, 19 ml Bolton broth (Oxoid), 1 ml horse blood and 10 IU of heparin. Subsequently, 10 µl of an overnight culture adjusted to 1 × 10^6 CFU/ml was spotted on top of the dermis and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The formed biofilms were exposed to 150 µl gel (blank gel, Def, GaPP 500, Def-GaPP500, and Cip gels) for 24 h at 37°C. Following a washing step, the dermis was immersed in 10 ml of 0.9% saline to recover the bacteria by vortexing and sonication (alternating cycles of 3 × 30 s), prior to serial dilutions and plating for CFU counting. In addition, the colony morphology was determined.

**In vivo Activity in a C. elegans Infection Model**

Synchronized nematodes, *Caenorhabditis elegans* AU37 (glp-4; sek-1), were grown to L4 stage, suspended in OGM medium (95% M9 buffer, 5% brain heart infusion broth, 10 µg/ml cholesterol) and added into 96-well plates with at least 20 worms per well (Brackman et al., 2011). Nematodes were infected with 25 µl of an overnight culture adjusted to 2 × 10^9 CFU/ml in OGM medium and exposed to 25 µl of treatment (Def 20 mM, GaPP 500 µg/ml or a combination of both). Uninfected nematodes in OGM medium as well as infected but untreated nematodes were used as controls. The number of viable and dead nematodes was assessed every 24 h over 3 days incubation at 25°C. Subsequently, nematodes were first washed in M9 buffer containing 1 mM sodium azide, then washed in PBS prior to counting. The nematodes were mechanically disrupted by vortexing the worms in microtubes with 1.0 mm silicon carbide beads for 10 min (BioSpec Products, Bartlesville, OK). Serial dilutions of the supernatants were plated (tryptone soya agar with 7.5% NaCl) for CFU counting and colony morphology determination.

**Statistics and Software**

All experiments were conducted in triplicate and are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Results were analyzed using two-way analysis of variance with Dunnett’s test (GraphPad Prism version 7.02, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CAL). Statistical significance was assessed at the 95% confidence level.

![FIGURE 1](image-url) S. aureus small colony variant SCV1 (A), SCV2 (B), and parent strain P1 (C).
**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of Bacterial Strains**

The colony morphology of bacterial strains is shown in Figure 1 and the growth curves are displayed in Figure 2. A summary of bacterial characteristics is shown in Table 1.

SCV1 was observed to be a catalase positive, coagulase positive, and hemolysis positive strain with hemin/ menadione auxotrophy. SCV2 was determined as a catalase negative, coagulase positive, and hemolysis negative strain with thymidine auxotrophy. P1 was identified to be catalase positive, coagulase negative, and hemolysis positive with hemin/ menadione auxotrophy. As depicted in Figure 2, during 24 h SCV1 and SCV2 showed a slower growth rate and a lower OD 600 value than the parent strain P1. While SCV1 reached stationary and decline phase after 24 h, SCV2 continued to grow reaching an OD 600 of 0.95 after 40 h. Loops taken after 24 h revealed a small colony morphology for both SCV1 and SCV2, while after 40 h SCV2 presented as a mix of small colonies and very few normal sized colonies. SCV1 showed a small morphology after 40 h.

SCV1 and SCV2 were 4- and 16-fold more susceptible to Def and 4- and 8-fold less susceptible to Cip and Gent compared to the parent strain P1. SCV1 and P1 had low MICs for GaPP (6.25 and 12.5 µg/ml, respectively), while SCV2 was observed to have a MIC above 50 µg/ml for GaPP. The MICs for the Def-GaPP combination were typically lower than the MICs for individual compounds, however, the extent of this difference was strain-dependent. As displayed in Table 1, SCV1 was susceptible to Mup and Van, and showed increasing MIC values for Ceph, Doxy, and Chlor, and was not susceptible to Amoxi and Strep. SCV2 was susceptible to Doxy, less susceptible to Chlor and not susceptible to Mup, Ceph, Van, Amoxi, and Strep. P1 showed low MIC values for Doxy and Mup, and increasing MICs for Van, Ceph, Amoxi, and Chlor, and was not susceptible to Strep.

**Colony Biofilm Model**

The blank gel and the Def gel showed no antibiofilm activity (data not shown), while the GaPP gel demonstrated a concentration- and strain-dependent effect. Gel loaded with a low concentration of GaPP (100 µg/ml) showed a log10 reduction of 1.7 and 1.8 against biofilms of SCV1 and its parent strain P1, respectively, but no antibiofilm activity against SCV2 (data not shown), while at 500 µg/ml GaPP showed a log10 reduction of 4.3, 1.4, and 2.0 in SCV1, SCV2, and P1 biofilms (Figure 3). Cip and Gent loaded gels were observed to have only a minor effect against SCV1, SCV2, and P1 (log10 reduction of 0.4, 0.1, and 1.1, respectively for Cip and 0.2, 0.8, and 1.1, respectively for Gent). A small colony morphology of both SCV1 and SCV2 has been observed when analyzing CFUs after treatment exposure.

The hemin auxotroph SCV1 (Figure 3A) showed increased susceptibility to gel loaded with Def-GaPP100-Cip, Def-GaPP100-Gent, GaPP 500, and Def-GaPP500 (log10 reduction of 3.4, 5.4, 4.3, and 4.4, respectively), and decreased susceptibility to monotherapy with Cip or Gent compared to its parent strain P1. Interestingly, Def-GaPP100 combined with Gent showed a high degree of synergy (Figure 4) and significant activity against the highly Gent-tolerant SCV1 compared to Gent alone and Def-GaPP100 (log10 reduction of 5.4 for Def-GaPP100-Gent vs. 0.2 for Gent and 1.3 for Def-GaPP100, p < 0.0001).

The thymidine auxotroph SCV2 (Figure 3B) showed elevated susceptibility to gel incorporating Def-GaPP100-Cip, Def- GaPP100-Gent, GaPP 500, and Def-GaPP500 (log10 reduction of 3.1, 1.7, 1.4, and 2.5, respectively), and no susceptibility to Cip or Def-GaPP100. Notably, Def-GaPP100 combined with Cip showed high synergy (Figure 4) and significant activity against SCV2, manifestly exceeding the effect of the individual compounds and Def-GaPP100 (log10 reduction of 3.1 for Def-GaPP100-Cip vs. 0.1 for Cip and 0.1 for Def-GaPP100, p < 0.0001).

---

**TABLE 1** Characteristics of small colony variant SCV1, SCV2, and parent strain P1, including catalase, coagulase and hemolytic activity, auxotrophy type, as well as MICs (in µg/ml) of deferiprone (Def), gallium-protoporphyrin (GaPP), the combination of both compounds, ciprofloxacin (Cip), gentamicin (Gent), mupirocin (Mup), doxycycline (Doxy), chloramphenicol (Chlor), cephalixin (Ceph), vancomycin (Van), amoxicillin (Amoxi), and streptomycin (Strep).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCV 1</th>
<th>SCV 2</th>
<th>P1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalase</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulase</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemolysis</td>
<td>Hemin/menadione</td>
<td>Thymidine</td>
<td>Hemin/menadione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Def</td>
<td>1,392</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>5,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaPP</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Def-GaPP</td>
<td>348/3.125</td>
<td>174/1.56</td>
<td>1,392/12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cip</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gent</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mup</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlor</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceph</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxi</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strep</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Against the hemin auxotroph P1 (Figure 3C) the combination of Def-GaPP100 gel with Cip or Gent showed significantly higher activity than the antibiotics alone (log\(_{10}\) reduction of 1.8 for Def-GaPP100-Cip vs. 1.1 for Cip, \(p < 0.001\); and 1.9 for Def-GaPP100-Gent vs. 1.1 for Gent, \(p < 0.0001\)). However, no synergistic effect was observed compared to the Def-GaPP100 gel (1.8 log\(_{10}\) reduction). The highest activity against P1 was achieved with Def-GaPP500 gel (2.4 log\(_{10}\) reduction).

**Macroscopic Biofilm Analysis**

The antibiofilm activity of loaded hydrogels was macroscopically analyzed over 5 days of treatment exposure. All biofilms grew extensively when exposed to blank gel and gel incorporating Def (not shown), Cip and Gent (Figure 5). A concentration- and strain-dependent antibiofilm effect was apparent after exposure to gels incorporating GaPP (not shown), Def-GaPP, Def-GaPP-Cip, and Def-GaPP-Gent. While Def-GaPP100 gel moderately inhibited bacterial growth, the combination of Def-GaPP100 with either Cip or Gent resulted in a substantial antibiofilm effect (Figure 5).

**Artificial Wound Model**

Biofilms grown on an artificial dermis were exposed to drug loaded hydrogels to determine the antibiofilm activity in an *in vitro* wound model (Figure 6). The visual analysis of CFUs after treatment exposure confirmed a small colony morphology for the majority of both SCV1 and SCV2. The untreated control and the blank gel showed similar growth of all biofilms, indicating no antibiofilm effect of the blank gel. The Def gel demonstrated substantial antibiofilm activity against SCV1 (log\(_{10}\) reduction of 0.9), but failed to be effective against P1 and SCV2. The GaPP 500 gel showed minor antibiofilm activity with a 0.2–0.4 log\(_{10}\) reduction. In contrast, Def-GaPP500 gel showed significant antibiofilm effects against SCV1, SCV2, and P1 with a 1.4, 1.0, and 0.9 log\(_{10}\) reduction, respectively, thereby demonstrating significantly higher activity than the individual compounds (\(p < 0.05–0.0001\)) and slightly higher activity than Cip gel (Figure 6).

**In vivo Infection Model in *C. elegans***

Nematodes were infected with bacteria and their survival rate was determined with and without Def, GaPP 500, or Def-GaPP500 treatment (Figure 7). The worm killing was strain-dependent with 75, 25, and 57% survival in SCV1, SCV2, and P1 infected worms, respectively, while uninfected controls showed 88% survival over 3 days. When worms were exposed to Def, 45, 73, and 71% of SCV1, SCV2, and P1-infected worms survived, respectively, while uninfected controls showed 88% survival over 3 days. When worms were exposed to Def, GaPP 500, or Def-GaPP500 treatment, the combination of Def-GaPP500 showed a similar survival rate in SCV1 and P1 infected worms as GaPP 500 alone (71 and 87% survival, respectively). In contrast, the survival rate of SCV2 infected worms was substantially higher (86% survival) when treated with Def-GaPP500 compared to the individual treatments. Compared to the uninfected control the Def-GaPP500 treatment achieved similar survival rates in SCV2.
and P1 infected worms. Furthermore, the survival of SCV1 and P1 infected worms after GaPP 500 treatment was not different to uninfected controls (Figure 7).

Following 3 days of infection the bacterial load per worm was quantified (Figure 8) by enumeration of CFU. Small colony phenotypes of SCV1 and SCV2 were observed. Consistent with results in the colony biofilm model and wound model, the treatment with Def alone showed no significant effect and failed to reduce the CFU per worm. In contrast, both GaPP 500 and Def-GaPP500 showed a significant ($p < 0.05$) reduction of the bacterial load, resulting in a log$_{10}$ of 2.0 for GaPP and 2.3 for Def-GaPP in SCV1 infected worms (SCV1 infection control: log$_{10}$ of 3.6 CFU/worm), 2.9 and 2.5 in P1 infected worms (P1 infection control: log$_{10}$ of 3.6 CFU/worm), and 3.0 and 2.8 in SCV2 infected worms (SCV2 infection control: log$_{10}$ of 4.5 CFU/worm).

**DISCUSSION**

*S. aureus* SCVs in planktonic and biofilm form have a global significance in the clinical environment being associated with treatment failure and recurrence of disease (Proctor et al., 2006; Garcia et al., 2013). Treatments are mostly based on antibiotics, however, the low growth rate, reduced antibiotic susceptibility and emerging resistance of SCVs pose a challenge for efficient medical therapies. In the present study, an alternative treatment that relies on the disruption of bacterial iron metabolism was evaluated. As previously described, the iron chelator Def and the heme analog GaPP show synergistic effects against *S. aureus* biofilms in vitro (Richter et al., 2016). Herein, the activity of Def-GaPP against planktonic and biofilm-associated SCVs and a parent strain with elevated antibiotic tolerance/resistance was evaluated in vitro and in vivo.

The Def-GaPP treatment exhibits antimicrobial activity based on the initial iron-chelation by Def, followed by iron depletion-induced upregulation of the bacteria’s iron acquisition systems (Haley and Skaar, 2012). The latter are exploited by the heme analog GaPP mimicking heme as the preferred iron source of *S. aureus* (Stojiljkovic et al., 1999; Skaar et al., 2004; Weinberg, 2009). Inside bacteria, GaPP disrupts the iron metabolism vital for bacterial growth, survival, and virulence (Weinberg, 2009; Skaar, 2010). Unlike heme, GaPP lacks the ability to transfer electrons, hence, GaPP cannot be part of redox reactions required for respiration, ATP production and DNA synthesis. Furthermore, GaPP cannot be cleaved by bacterial enzymes and cannot be utilized as
nutrient source leading to starvation. In addition, GaPP is able to block efflux pumps essential for heme homeostasis resulting in an intracellular accumulation of redox-active molecules (Stojiljkovic et al., 2001; Reniere et al., 2007). The subsequent antibacterial effects are based on starvation, limited respiration and elevated production of reactive oxygen species contributing to DNA and protein damage and ultimately cell death.

As shown in the present study, the combination of Def and GaPP exhibited significant antibacterial and antibiofilm activity against clinical isolates of *S. aureus* SCVs and a parent strain. In the colony biofilm model, where compounds were delivered in a surgical wound gel, a dose-dependent antibiofilm effect of GaPP and Def-GaPP was observed (Figures 3, 5). A colony biofilm comprises of a heterogeneous consortium of sessile cells with a mixture of metabolic active bacteria on the biofilm surface and metabolic retarded/inactive bacteria on the inside. The metabolic activity arises due to different oxygen levels (aerobic conditions on the biofilm surface, micro-aerobic/anaerobic inside the biofilm) and different nutrient availability according to the location bacteria occupy. While...
sessile bacteria on the biofilm surface can relatively easily be targeted, bacteria deeper in the biofilm are less exposed to compounds and show reduced susceptibility (Merritt et al., 2005). The blank gel and Def gel are thought to interfere only with the biofilm surface by attaching to bacterial cell wall proteins and depriving nutrients, respectively, resulting in minor antibiofilm activity. Likewise, gels incorporating low concentrations (100 µg/ml) of GaPP showed limited activity, indicating an insufficient GaPP penetration into the colony biofilm and/or a suboptimal GaPP concentration. A higher GaPP concentration in gels (GaPP 500, Def-GaPP500) resulted in higher antibiofilm activity. It was observed that the presence of Def was crucial to facilitate a significant activity of GaPP against SCV2 biofilms, which is likely based on a Def-induced upregulation of heme acquisition systems elevating GaPP uptake into bacteria for an improved antibiofilm effect. Another reason for differences in susceptibility of the strains used in this study can rely on auxotrophy (Garcia et al., 2013). SCVs can be classified in two types of auxotrophs, namely hemin/mandelionate auxotrophs and thymidine auxotrophs. This in return affects the susceptibility toward antibiotics and other antibacterial compounds (Garcia et al., 2013). SCV2 was observed to be auxotrophic for thymidine, hence, less dependent on hemin/iron which can explain the poor GaPP susceptibility. SCV1 was shown to be hemin/mandelionate auxotrophic, thus, more susceptible to the iron depriving and heme mimicking Def-GaPP treatment.

SCVs are electron-transport-defective strains with a reduced transmembrane potential, which impedes the penetration and activity of membrane active compounds and some antibiotic classes, such as aminoglycosides and antifolate agents (von Eiff et al., 1997a; McNamara and Proctor, 2000; Baumert et al., 2002). Therefore, Gent was expected to show low activity against both planktonic SCVs as confirmed in Table 1, and sessile SCVs as seen in Figures 3, 5. Surprisingly, when Gent was combined with Def-GaPP, the triple combination showed significant antibiofilm activity (Figures 3, 5), indicating a potentiation of Gent by Def-GaPP even against the Gent-tolerant SCV1 strain. This result implies that by disrupting bacterial iron metabolism Def-GaPP made the bacteria vulnerable and increased their susceptibility to Gent for a synergistic antibiofilm effect as confirmed in Figure 4. Similar results were observed for the treatment with Cip. In line with the literature, planktonic SCVs showed higher MIC values for Cip than the parent strain (Idelevich et al., 2011) and biofilm-associated SCVs were also poorly susceptible to Cip. In contrast, the combination of Def-GaPP-Cip showed synergistic effects (Figure 4) resulting in significantly higher antibiofilm activity, even when treatment with the individual compounds was unsuccessful (Figure 3, SCV2). This confirms that the combination of drugs with different modes of action can be effective in treating bacteria with poor antibiotic susceptibility. The higher efficacy of the triple combinations Def-GaPP-Cip and Def-GaPP-Gent might rely on the synergy between reactive oxygen species (resulting from the Def-GaPP treatment) and antibiotics, as described elsewhere (Garcia L. G. et al., 2012; Garcia et al., 2013).

The antibiofilm activity of Def-GaPP was furthermore determined in an in vitro wound model where biofilms were grown on an artificial dermis and exposed to loaded gels. In line with results obtained in the colony biofilm model, Def-GaPP gel showed substantial antibiofilm effects, though to a lower extent. This may be the result of a nutrient-rich environment with blood/heme as favorable iron source. Bacteria recognize the tetrapyrrrole ring of heme and GaPP, but can distinguish between both compounds (Stojiljkovic et al., 1999; Moriwaki et al., 2011). Therefore, GaPP gel showed only minor antibiofilm activity, in contrast to the Def-GaPP combination. The Def-induced chelation may have deprived bacteria of nutrients and increased the uptake of GaPP as heme-mimicking agent for a substantial antibiofilm effect of this “Trojan Horse” compound.

In an in vivo infection model in the nematode C. elegans the antibacterial effect of Def-GaPP was assessed. It was observed that the survival rate of infected nematodes were strain-dependent (Figure 7). Literature described that hemin/mandelionate auxotrophic strains were less virulent than parent strains and thymidine auxotrophic strains (Sifri et al., 2006). In line with this the order of virulence in the present study was observed to be SCV1 (hemin/mandelionate auxotroph) being the least and SCV2 (thymidine auxotroph) being the most virulent strain (Figure 7). The auxotrophy type can influence the strain’s susceptibility to antibacterial compounds. In the colony biofilm model SCV1 was more susceptible to GaPP and Def-GaPP than SCV2, which required the combination of Def-GaPP for a substantial antibiofilm effect. Consistent with these observations similar results were observed in vivo in the C. elegans model. While infected nematodes died over time due to bacterial colonization, biofilm formation and toxin production (Sifri et al., 2005), the exposure to Def-GaPP prolonged the lifespan of all infected nematodes (Figure 7) and reduced the bacterial burden per worm (Figure 8). Treatment with GaPP alone only increased the survival rate of SCV1 and P1 infected worms, but failed in SCV2 infected worms. Therefore, combining GaPP with Def appeared to be crucial for an elevated antibacterial activity against S. aureus SCVs of any auxotrophy type.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study confirmed strong antibacterial and antibiofilm properties of Def-GaPP against S. aureus SCVs in vitro and in vivo. When applied in a surgical hydrogel, Def-GaPP has potential to complement the gel’s wound healing properties with antibacterial and antibiofilm effects and could serve as an alternative treatment for biofilm and SCV-related infections. Due to the risk of emerging antibiotic resistance, which is in particular associated with prolonged antibiotic treatment, the combination of drugs with different modes of action is advantageous. The combination of Def-GaPP with antibiotics may facilitate a multi-pronged approach to increase the treatment efficacy against otherwise antibiotic tolerant/resistant S. aureus SCVs. While the results of the current pilot study are encouraging, the broader applicability of Def-GaPP for the treatment of SCVs derived from other species is on the way to be validated.
AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design of study: KR, NT, TC, and SV. Acquisition and analysis of data: KR, NT, GZ, and TC. Drafting of article and/or critical revision: KR, NT, TC, CP, PW, and SV. All authors approved the final article.

FUNDING

This work was supported by The Hospital Research Foundation, Woodville, Australia; the Department of Surgery, Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia; an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship and The National Health and Medical Research Council, Australia [grant number NHMRC: GNT1090898]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of data, or the decision to submit the work for publication. KR is grateful for having received the Bertha Sudholz Research Scholarship by the Florey Medical Research Foundation, Adelaide, Australia and the Trevor Prescott Memorial Scholarship by The Freemasons Foundation, Adelaide, Australia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Ilse Vanendeelaere and Jolien Claeyes (Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Ghent University, Gent, Belgium) are kindly acknowledged for their assistance.

REFERENCES


**Conflict of Interest Statement:** Competing financial interests: PW holds a patent on the chitosan-dextran hydrogel. PW and SV hold a patent application on the treatment combination of deferiprone and gallium-protoporphyrin. The other authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Richter, Thomas, Zhang, Prestidge, Coenye, Wormald and Vreugde. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.