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Abstract

The rising antimicrobial resistance contributes to 25000 annual deaths in Europe. This threat to the public health can only be tackled if novel antimicrobials are developed, combined with a
more precise use of the currently available antibiotics through the implementation of fast, specific, diagnostic methods. Nucleic acid mimics (NAMs) that are able to hybridize intracellular bacterial RNA have the potential to become such a new class of antimicrobials and additionally could serve as specific detection probes. However, an essential requirement is that these NAMs should be delivered into the bacterial cytoplasm, which is a particular challenge given the fact that they are charged macromolecules.

We consider these delivery challenges in relation to the gastric pathogen *Helicobacter pylori*, the most frequent chronic infection worldwide. In particular, we evaluate if cationic fusogenic liposomes are suitable carriers to deliver NAMs across the gastric mucus barrier and the bacterial envelope. Our study shows that DOTAP-DOPE liposomes post-PEGylated with DSPE-PEG (DSPE Lpx) can indeed successfully deliver NAMs into *Helicobacter pylori*, while offering protection to the NAMs from binding and inactivation in gastric mucus isolated from pigs. DSPE Lpx thus offer exciting new possibilities for *in vivo* diagnosis and treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infections.
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**Introduction**

Infectious diseases are responsible for 14 million annual deaths, representing around 90% of the health problems worldwide [1]. Infections that could be treated for decades by classic antibiotics have become a serious threat to human health due to the advent of antimicrobial resistance [1, 2]. However, nucleic acid mimics (NAMs) designed to specifically hybridize *in situ* with complementary bacterial RNA, hold promise both for treatment and diagnosis of infections. Contrary to traditional oligonucleotides, NAMs are composed of modified DNA or
RNA sugars that make them resistant to endonuclease degradation and improve their affinity towards RNA targets [3-6].

NAMs can be designed to act as antisense antimicrobials, by hybridizing and consequently inhibiting the expression of selected genes [7-9]. These can be essential bacterial genes, thus preventing bacteria growth, or genes involved in the resistance to antibiotics, thus restoring bacteria susceptibility to antibiotics. This strategy provides a potentially endless source of active antibacterials. Even if the bacterial target undergoes a point mutation, which is the most common form of resistance to antibiotics [10], the oligonucleotide can be easily redesigned to become effective again.

In addition, NAMs conjugated with a contrast agent could serve as detection probes for rapid and comprehensive in vivo diagnosis. They could detect not only the presence of specific bacteria, but also the presence of bacterial genes responsible for resistance to antibiotics, so that an effective antibacterial drug can be prescribed in time [3, 11-14]. Compared to the current diagnostic techniques, labelled NAMs have the potential to overcome the traditional time-consuming culture methods as well as the need of bacteria isolation and extraction of target genetic material associated with other molecular methods, like PCR [2, 12, 15].

In addition to their value for therapy and clinical diagnosis, the opportunity to directly localize bacteria in vivo is also of interest for research purposes. The host-microbial and microbial-microbial interactions, which can have an impact on the immune system and disease state, are mostly missed by the lack of a technique to visualize live bacteria within their in vivo environment [13, 16, 17]. NAMs hold the potential to respond to this need.

For NAMs to fulfil their promise as a flexible platform for diagnosis and treatment of bacterial infections, they should be safely delivered across biological barriers in the body. Mucus in the gastrointestinal, respiratory, reproductive and urinary tracts presents a first barrier for NAMs [18-20]. Mucus is a highly complex and viscoelastic network able to bind foreign
entities (through electrostatic, hydrophobic or hydrogen bonds) and/or sterically obstruct particles which are larger than the size of the pores in mucus [19, 21, 22]. Therefore, NAMs need to be able to pass through mucus to reach the target bacteria [23]. Importantly, they should do so without losing their activity. Indeed, we have recently shown that interactions with gastric mucus can significantly compromise the ability of NAMs to hybridize with *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) [24].

Apart from crossing the mucus layer, it is pivotal that the NAMs are delivered into the cytoplasm of bacterial cells. Unlike eukaryotic cells, bacteria possess a multi-layered cell envelope which is recognized as a challenging barrier for oligonucleotide penetration [8, 25]. Strategies to permeabilize the bacterial envelope *in vitro* include electroporation, enzymatic (e.g. lysozyme) or chemical (e.g. ethanol) treatment. None of those are, however, easily transferable to *in vivo*. While cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) conjugated to antisense NAMs may be promising [14, 26-30], conventional CPPs induce cytotoxicity and possess low *in vivo* stability [31-34]. In addition, bacterial resistance to CPP-mediated penetration has been reported [32].

In this work we have considered both delivery challenges, i.e. crossing mucus and the cell envelope, in the context of gastric *H. pylori* infection (Figure 1a), which is the most frequently occurring chronic bacterial infection worldwide [35]. *H. pylori* reside within the gastric mucus layer as well as in close proximity with the epithelial cells underlying the gastric mucus layer [36]. Here we investigated if fusogenic stealth liposomes are suitable nanocarriers for NAMs to target *H. pylori* infections. Liposomes have been extensively studied to deliver nucleic acids into eukaryotic cells [37]. Until now their application to treat bacterial infections is almost exclusively limited to the delivery of traditional small molecule antibiotics [38-41]. So far, only two papers report on the use of liposomes to deliver phosphorothioate DNA in *Escherichia coli*.
and in *Staphylococcus aureus* [42, 43]. In spite of its promise it shows that liposomal delivery of nucleic acids into bacteria is a virtually unexplored area.

In the current study we use fusogenic cationic liposomes, made from the lipids DOTAP and DOPE, which are well-characterized carriers for nucleic acids in eukaryotic cells [44-46]. We reasoned that DOTAP-DOPE liposomes may be of interest for delivery in gram negative bacteria due to the presence of the fusogenic lipid DOPE which may promote fusion of the liposomes with the cell envelope, thus delivering its content into the cell’s cytoplasm [47, 48]. Based on our previous work, locked nucleic acids (LNA) and 2’-OMethyl RNA (2’OMe), with either phosphodiester (PO) or phosphorothioate (PS) as backbone linkages (Figure 1b), were used as NAMs to hybridize to *H. pylori* rRNA [24, 49]. Electrostatic complexes between (anionic) NAMs and (cationic) DOTAP-DOPE liposomes were formed, which were further modified by post-insertion of PEG-lipids with the aim to improve the stability and mobility of the complexes in the harsh gastrointestinal environment [50, 51]. We tested two PEGylation strategies: DSPE-PEG that stably incorporates into liposomes and Cer-PEG that can diffuse out of the liposomes over time [52, 53]. First, we evaluated if those PEGylated lipoplexes have good diffusional mobility in gastric mucus isolated from pigs, being a clear prerequisite to be able to reach the bacteria dispersed in the mucus. Next, it was investigated whether the PEGylated lipoplexes could deliver NAMs into *H. pylori* in suspension by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH). Finally, we assessed if the PEGylated lipoplexes could still successfully deliver functional NAMs in *H. pylori* in the presence of gastric mucus.
Figure 1. (a) Illustration of the study concept: representation of liposomal delivery of NAMs across the gastric mucus to target *H. pylori*. (b) Monomers of the NAMs used in FISH, compared to RNA. (c) Procedure followed for the collection of mucus from the stomach of pigs. (Adapted from [24] and [49]).

Materials and Methods

Materials

(2,3-Dioleoyloxy-propyl)-trimethylammonium-chloride (DOTAP), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DOPE), 1,2-Distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(methoxy(polyethyleneglycol)-2000) (DSPE-PEG), N-octanoyl-sphingosine-1-succinyl[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)2000] (CerC8-PEG) and DOPE-LissamineRhodamineB were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). Yellow-green (505/515) fluorescent carboxylate-modified polystyrene FluoSpheres® of 40, 100, 200 and 500 nm of diameter were purchased from Invitrogen Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR). N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-N′-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) and N-
Hydroxysulfosuccinimide sodium salt (sulfo-NHS) were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (Bornem, Belgium) and 2 kDa methoxy-polyethylene glycol-amine (mPEGa) from Creative PEGWorks (Winston Salem, USA). Chloroform, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 2-Amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol hydrochloride (Tris-HCl), sodium chloride (NaCl) and triton X-100 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Bornem, Belgium). Paraformaldehyde was acquired from Fluka – Sigma-Aldrich, (Bornem, Belgium). Urea was purchased from Vel – VWR (Haasrode, Belgium). Pepsin (from porcine gastric mucosa) was purchased from Merck Millipore (Madrid, Spain). Trypticase soy agar plates supplemented with 2% (v/v) sheep blood were purchased from Becton Dickinson GmbH (Erembodegem, Belgium). CampyGen sachets to generate microaerobic conditions were acquired from Oxoid – Thermo Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA).

Collection of porcine gastric mucus

Mucus scraped from the stomach of pigs was used in this study, as its bio-relevance is markedly higher than mucus solutions prepared from commercial mucins [54, 55]. Also, pigs have a gastric physiology similar to humans, making them representative animal models for Helicobacter infection studies [56, 57].

Stomachs were collected from a local slaughterhouse, opened at the greater curvature and gently rinsed with tap water to remove most of the food debris [58]. The mucus, loosely bound and adherent, was then gently scraped off with a glass slide, aliquoted and stored at -80°C. Mucus from three different pigs was included in each experiment.

NAMs synthesis

Two oligonucleotides complementary to a sequence of the H. pylori 16S rRNA gene, were used in this study. These are composed of LNA and 2’OMe, possess the same sequence and
differ only in the internucleotide bonds. One possesses normal phosphodiester oligonucleotides (PO), while the other has one of the two non-bridging oxygen atoms replaced by a sulphur atom at each internucleotide linkage (PS) (Figure 1b). These oligonucleotides will be herein designated as PO and PS, respectively. The sequence of PO is 5’-lGmeAmeCITmeAmeAlGmeCmeClC-3’, while the sequence of PS is 5’-lG*meA*meC*IT*meA*meA*IG*meC*meC*IC*-3’, where “l” represents the LNA monomers, “me” the 2’OMe monomers and * the phosphorothioate linkages. These oligonucleotides were fluorescently labelled at 5’ with Cy3. They were synthetized and purified according to [49].

Preparation of liposomes and lipoplexes and colloidal stability in simulated gastric juice

Cationic liposomes composed of DOTAP and DOPE (in a 1:1 molar ratio) were prepared as reported before [59]. In brief, the lipids dissolved in chloroform were mixed and a dry lipid film was prepared by rotary evaporation of the chloroform at 40 °C. The lipid film was hydrated with 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.2) and sonicated using a probe sonicator (Branson Ultrasonics Digital Sonifier®, Danbury, USA), resulting in a final concentration of 5 mM DOTAP and 5 mM DOPE. The average hydrodynamic size and zeta potential of the cationic liposomes was routinely checked by dynamic light scattering (Zetasizer Nano-ZS, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK).

Lipoplexes were prepared through complexation of cationic liposomes with Cy3 labeled NAMs at a +/- charge ratio of 15; the +/- charge ratio is calculated as the molar amount of positive charges on the DOTAP molecules, divided by the molar amount of negative charges on the NAMs (with 1 NAM molecule containing 10 negatively charged phosphate groups).
Complexation was performed by addition of a diluted dispersion of cationic liposomes to a NAM-solution (PS or PO), followed by incubation for 30 min, at room temperature. PEGylation of the thus obtained lipoplexes was performed by ‘post-insertion’ of PEG lipids (2kDa CerC8-PEG or 2kDa DSPE-PEG) [60]. Therefore, the PEG lipids were first re-dissolved in sterile milli-Q water (after chloroform removal by nitrogen flush), added to the DOTAP-DOPE-NAM complexes and incubated for 1h at 37 ºC. The PEG lipids accounted for 10% of the total lipids in the lipoplexes. The post-PEGylation efficacy was evaluated from the decrease in the (absolute) zeta potential value of the complexes, measured with the Zetasizer Nano-ZS. Throughout the paper, cationic lipoplexes are referred as Lpx, lipoplexes post-PEGylated with DSPE-PEG as DSPE Lpx, and lipoplexes post-PEGylated with Cer-PEG as Cer Lpx.

Upon oral intake, the formulations will come in contact with the gastric juice. Therefore, we first tested if the liposomes are colloidally stable in simulated gastric juice (sGJ). The sGJ was prepared according to the US pharmacopeia: a solution of 0.2% (w/v) NaCl, 0.32% (w/v) gastric pepsin and 0.7% (v/v) HCl, final pH \( \approx 1.2 \). The liposomes were diluted (10 times) in sGJ and incubated during 4 hours at 37 ºC. Their hydrodynamic diameter was measured by dynamic light scattering.

**PEGylation of polystyrene nanospheres**

To assess the effect of the size of nanoparticles on their diffusion through gastric mucus isolated from pigs, polystyrene FluoSpheres® were PEGylated to render them muco-inert. Indeed, PEGylation may avoid the potential binding of the nanospheres to compounds present in mucus [20, 22].

Yellow-green (505/515) fluorescent carboxylate-modified polystyrene FluoSpheres® of 40, 100, 200 and 500 nm nominal diameter were covalently modified with PEG via amine coupling, as described elsewhere [50, 61]. In brief, mPEGa, EDC and sulfo-NHS were dissolved in
HEPES Buffered Saline (HBS, pH 8). The nanospheres were added to this mixture so that a final concentration of 4 mg/mL EDC, 1.13 mg/mL sulfo-NHS, 10 mg/mL mPEGa and 1% solids (nanospheres) was obtained. The reaction mixture was left shaking overnight, at 200 rpm.

Purification was then performed using a centrifugal filter (Amikon ultra centrifugal filters, 100 K membrane, Millipore, MA, USA) for 12 min at 14,000 rpm, followed by two washings in HBS (12 min at 14,000 rpm). The filter was then placed upside down in a new vial and the purified PEGylated nanospheres were collected after centrifugation for 3 min at 1,000 rpm.

They were resuspended in HBS to a final concentration of 2% solids (nanospheres) and stored at 4°C, until use. To allow optimal PEG coverage a slightly different protocol was used for the polystyrene nanospheres of 40 nm nominal diameter. The reaction mixture contained 0.5% solids (40 nm nanospheres) and it was left rotating for 5 days, at 30 rpm, using a Hulamixer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Purification was done by dialysis for 6h, employing a Slide-A-Lyzer™ dialysis cassette with a 10 kDa molecular weight cut off (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), followed by ultracentrifugation (L8-70 M, Beckman, Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). The purified PEGylated 40 nm nanospheres were resuspended in HBS to a final concentration of 1% solids and stored at 4 °C.

PEGylation of the polystyrene nanospheres was confirmed by measuring the zeta potential of the nanospheres in HEPES buffer, before and after reaction, using a Zetasizer Nano-ZS.

**Diffusion measurements of polystyrene nanospheres and lipoplexes in gastric mucus by single particle tracking (SPT)**

Diffusion measurements on the PEGylated polystyrene nanospheres and the (fluorescent) lipoplexes in mucus from the stomach of pigs were performed by fluorescence single particle tracking (SPT), at 37 °C, as described by our group elsewhere [50, 62]. For this, the PEGylated nanospheres were first sonicated for 5 min and diluted in sterile milli-Q water to a concentration suitable for SPT-measurements. Five µL of the diluted PEGylated nanospheres was gently
mixed with \( \approx 30 \mu L \) of mucus (taken with a plastic syringe, due to its high viscosity). This mixture was placed between a microscope slide and a coverslip with a double-sided silicon spacer of 1 mm thickness and 20 mm diameter in between (Press-to-seal silicone isolators; Molecular Probes, Leiden, The Netherlands). Measurements in sterile milli-Q water were performed as a control. For these, 7 µL of properly diluted PEGylated nanospheres was placed between a microscope slide and a coverslip with a double-sided adhesive spacer of 0.12 mm thickness and 9 mm diameter in between (Secure-Seal spacer; Molecular Probes, Leiden, The Netherlands). Before starting the SPT-measurements, the samples were equilibrated for 10 min at 37 °C in a stage-top incubator (Tokai Hit, Fujinomiya, Japan) mounted on the microscope.

The diffusion measurements on the lipoplexes (Lpx, Cer Lpx and DSPE Lpx) were performed in the same way as described above for the PEGylated nanospheres. For these measurements, the liposomes were prepared to contain 1 mol% DOPE-LissamineRhodamineB for fluorescence imaging within the mucus.

To study the diffusion of the fluorescent nanospheres and lipoplexes, typically 25 to 35 ‘SPT-movies’ were recorded at different locations in the mucus. Each SPT-movie was 5 s long and consisted of about 155 frames (recorded at a rate of 30.9 frames per second). The SPT-measurements were done on a custom-built laser wide field microscope setup [50, 62]. The videos were analysed using an in-house developed software [63] to translate the nanoparticles trajectories into a distribution of apparent diffusion coefficients.

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization in *H. pylori* in suspension by free NAMs and lipoplexes**

*H. pylori* 26695 (ATCC 700392) was grown in trypthiace soy agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood (Becton Dickinson GmbH, Germany) for 48 hours, at 37 °C, under microaerobic conditions. The bacteria were then harvested from the agar plates, using sterile saline solution,
and diluted to nearly 2x10^8 cells/mL. The FISH procedure was based on a previously reported protocol [64], with some modifications. The bacteria in suspension were either treated with ethanol or mixed directly with the lipoplexes.

For FISH experiments by ethanol based permeabilization of the bacteria, 100 µL of the bacterial suspension was pelleted by centrifugation for 15 min at 10,000 x g and resuspended in 500 µL of 50% (v/v) ethanol, for 15 min. Large *H. pylori* aggregates were removed by filtration through a sterile 10 µm pore size filter (CellTrics®, Görliz, Germany). 100 µL of the ethanol treated bacteria was then resuspended in 100 µL of hybridization solution (900 mM NaCl, 500 mM Urea, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7) containing 400 nM of Cy3 labelled NAM PS or PO.

For FISH experiments using lipoplexes, 100 µL of the initial bacterial suspension (diluted to a similar concentration as described above for the ethanol treatment) was resuspended in 100 µL of hybridization solution containing lipoplexes (Lpx, Cer Lpx or DSPE Lpx) instead of free NAM. The amount of lipoplexes added was such that 400 nM of NAM PS or PO was present in the hybridization mixture. To be able to take into account the auto-fluorescence of *H. pylori*, negative control experiments were performed on bacteria resuspended in hybridization solution without NAM, for the conditions tested (with/without ethanol permeabilization and liposomes).

As all negative controls looked the same, we only present example images of bacteria without exposure to ethanol nor liposomes. Hybridization was then performed by incubating the sample at 37 °C, for 30 min. Hybridization was followed by a washing step. For this, the bacteria were pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 5 min, and resuspended in 500 µL of pre-warmed (37 °C) aqueous solution at pH 7. Instead, some samples were resuspended in 500 µL of a 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 solution to remove lipoplexes that remain bound to the bacterial outer membrane. The samples were then incubated at 37 °C, for 15 min. After washing, the bacteria were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 5 min and resuspended in sterile milli-Q water. 20 µL of
bacterial suspension was placed on a diagnostic slide (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and allowed to dry at 37 °C.

The slides were mounted with immersion oil and a coverslip and visualised under the microscope. Microscopy images were acquired using a Nikon TE2000 microscope equipped with a Nikon DS-Qi 1Mc digital camera and a Plan Apo VC 100× 1.4 NA oil immersion objective lens (Nikon). Cy3 fluorescence was visualized using a TRITC filter (excitation: 530-560 nm; emission: 590-650 nm, Nikon). For fluorescence quantification, all samples were analysed using the same exposure time and the same excitation intensity; around 10 images per slide well were taken at different locations of the well.

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization in *H. pylori* by free NAMs and DSPE Lpx exposed to gastric mucus**

The hybridization efficiency of free NAMs and DSPE Lpx (being the Lpx which transfected *H. pylori* in suspension the most successfully, see above), mixed with porcine gastric mucus was subsequently tested. As this required the application of mucus on top of the bacteria, it was not feasible to perform FISH on bacteria in suspension; instead FISH was performed on bacteria applied on glass slides, following the method we reported before [24]. Briefly, *H. pylori* in the exponential growth phase was harvested from the agar plates, using sterile saline solution, and diluted to nearly 1.6x10^6 cells/mL. Smears were prepared on glass slides (20 µL per slide well) by drying at 37 °C. To avoid cytotoxic effects of porcine mucus, as reported by others [65], the bacterial cells were fixed before contact with the mucus by incubation with 40 µL of 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde, for 10 min. The free NAMs or the DSPE Lpx were 10x diluted in hybridization solution. 20 µL of these samples was added to approximately 30 µL of mucus and gently mixed. The final concentration of NAMs (whether free or in lipoplexes) within this mucus mixture was 400 nM (as is the case in the FISH experiments described above on FISH
in suspension). The bacteria smear on the glass slide was covered by the mucus mixture and closed by a coverslip. The slide was placed in a dark moist chamber, hybridization was allowed for 30 min, at 37 °C. After hybridization the coverslip was removed together with the mucus mixture and the slide was washed during 15 min, 37 °C, in pre-warmed aqueous solution, at pH 7. The slide was allowed to dry and fluorescence quantification was done as described above.

To be able to take into account the auto-fluorescence of \textit{H. pylori}, negative controls were performed using hybridization solution without free NAMs nor lipoplexes.

**Quantification of \textit{H. pylori} hybridization**

For both hybridization with and without mucus the quantification was based on fluorescence microscopy and image processing, so that a reliable comparison could be done between all conditions; flow cytometry quantification would not be an option since it is not applicable to hybridization with mucus.

The fluorescence of the bacteria obtained in the FISH experiments was quantified using an in-house developed software based on image processing routines available in Matlab (The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). First, bacteria were identified in each image based on an automatically determined intensity threshold. This results in a binary mask that corresponds to the location of bacteria in the image. For the regions within the mask, the average fluorescence intensity in the original image ($F_{image}$) was quantified as:

$$F_{image} = \frac{\sum_{b=1}^{n}(f_{b}-BG_{b}) \times #pix_{b}}{\sum_{b=1}^{n} #pix_{b}},$$

where \(b\) refers to each bacterium, \(n\) corresponds to the number of bacteria in the image, \(f_{b}\) corresponds to the mean pixel fluorescence of each bacterium, \(BG_{b}\) is the local background value around each bacterium and \(#pix_{b}\) is the number of pixels comprising each bacterium.

Per sample, around 10 images were analysed in this fashion. Three repeated samples were analysed per treatment, from which the average fluorescence intensity was calculated. These
absolute values were normalized to the respective positive control of PS or PO. Three independent experiments were performed and the average normalized fluorescence from the 3 treatment replicates was finally obtained.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed by GraphPad Prism6 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA). The FISH results were analysed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Sidak’s multiple comparison test, comparing the samples with the respective reference (fluorescence 1) within the same NAM. Fluorescence 1 corresponds to ETH+NAM (Figure 3 and 5), or NAM (Figure 6). Significance was set at $P \leq 0.05$ (**** $P \leq 0.0001$, *** $P \leq 0.001$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, * $P \leq 0.05$).

**Results**

**Characterization of the liposomes and lipoplexes**

Cationic liposomes were prepared from DOTAP and DOPE, in a 1:1 molar ratio, following the lipid film hydration method [59]. The liposomes had an average hydrodynamic diameter of around 80-100 nm and an average zeta potential about +40-55 mV. Cationic lipoplexes were prepared by incubation of DOTAP-DOPE liposomes with NAM PS or PO. The cationic lipoplexes (Lpx) were subsequently post-PEGylated with Cer-PEG (Cer Lpx) or DSPE-PEG (DSPE Lpx). Both types of PEG chains were successfully inserted into the complexes, as was observed from the decrease in zeta potential of the lipoplexes (Figure S1a). In all cases the final zeta potential of the PEGylated lipoplexes was around 15 mV, with an average hydrodynamic diameter ranging from 98 nm to 133 nm (Figure S1a). Upon oral intake, liposomes will come into contact with the gastric juice. We, therefore, tested the colloidal stability of the liposomes
both for non-PEGylated and PEGylated liposomes) in simulated gastric juice, and found that their size remains constant for at least 4h (Figure S2a). Together with the chemical stability of free NAMs under gastric pH [64], it is a strong indication that the gastric juice will not impose a constraint in a clinical application.

**Diffusion measurements of polystyrene nanospheres and lipoplexes in gastric mucus**

**by single particle tracking (SPT)**

As gastric mucus represents a challenging diffusional barrier, we first evaluated how the size of nanoparticles influences their mobility in gastric mucus. To that end, the diffusion of model nanospheres of defined sizes was assessed. We noticed that the nanospheres of 40 nm nominal size were actually bigger with a hydrodynamic radius of approximately 60 nm, as measured by dynamic light scattering (Figure S1b); therefore, in our results we refer to them as ’60 nm’ nanospheres (instead of ’40 nm’). The nanospheres were first PEGylated to avoid muco-adhesion [21, 50]. The PEG could be conjugated to the polystyrene nanospheres, as judged from the clear zeta potential change towards neutral values (Figure S1b).

As expected, due to the size-filtering effect of mucus [19], the larger the PEGylated nanospheres, the lower their diffusional mobility in gastric mucus, as measured by SPT (Figure 2a and Table S1; example movies 1-4 in supplementary material). Both 60 and 100 nm nanospheres showed a bimodal distribution of diffusion coefficients, indicating that a part of those particles is mobile while another fraction is immobilized. The mobile fraction was clearly smaller for the 200 nm nanospheres and almost non-existing for the 500 nm particles. This shows that the mesh size of gastric mucus is quite heterogeneous, as already observed before for gastrointestinal mucus [18, 19]. Other types of mucus do not seem to show such high level of heterogenicity; for instance in cystic fibrosis sputum PEGylated nanospheres of 100 nm and 200 nm (similar to the ones used here) showed good and uniform mobility with an unimodal
distribution of diffusion coefficients [50]. Our diffusion results in gastric mucus indicate that nanoparticles should preferably be as small as possible for efficient diffusion in gastric mucus, and in any case they should be smaller than 200 nm.

While the lipoplexes under investigation do fulfill this criterion, their diffusion in porcine gastric mucus was experimentally verified by SPT as well (example PS movies 5-7 in mucus and movies 8-10 in water in supplementary material). Figure 2b show that non-PEGylated Lpx (Lpx) clearly had the lowest mobility, as could be expected due to interactions with mucus constituents. Post-PEGylation (Cer Lpx and DSPE Lpx) increases the mobile fraction slightly, resulting in a 3 to 5-fold higher average diffusion coefficient (Table S1). Nevertheless, the improvement of the diffusion by PEGylation is rather moderate and a substantial non-mobile fraction remains present. It confirms that nanoparticle size poses a serious limitation to diffusion in the dense gastric mucus.

In our previous study it was found that the type of internucleotide linkage (PS or PO) affected the diffusion and interaction with mucus [24]. In particular, the PS modification (generally used to increase oligonucleotides stability against nucleases and affinity towards the target sequence [66]) led to slower diffusion and increased interaction with mucus components. The current results show that the use of liposomes as carriers for the NAMs removes these differences as they diffuse at a similar rate irrespective of the type of NAM used.
Figure 2. Distribution of diffusion coefficients of polystyrene nanospheres and lipoplexes in gastric mucus isolated from pigs. Results obtained on gastric mucus from 3 different porcine mucus samples were pooled. (a) PEGylated nanospheres of around 60 nm (PEG 60 nm), 100 nm (PEG 100 nm), 200 nm (PEG 200 nm) and 500 nm (PEG 500 nm) in diameter. (b) Cationic lipoplexes (Lpx) compared with Cer Lpx and DSPE Lpx. The dotted line shows the diffusion of Lpx in water.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization in H. pylori in suspension by free NAMs and lipoplexes

After crossing the gastric mucus, lipoplexes should be able to deliver the NAMs across the cell envelope (Figure 1a). To test this, we first compared the hybridization efficiency of lipoplexes in a suspension of H. pylori to the ‘standard procedure’ involving ethanol mediated membrane permeabilization [64]. As presented in Figure 3, similar results were found for PO and PS NAMs. Free NAMs are only slightly taken up by untreated cells, which increases 2-3 fold upon permeabilization of the bacteria with ethanol. Interestingly, Lpx and Cer Lpx performed equally well as the standard ethanol treatment. The lipoplexes functionalized with
DSPE-PEG performed even better, with a 4-fold higher hybridization efficiency as compared to ethanol treatment. Based on our transfection experience with eukaryotic cells it was rather unexpected that non-PEGylated Lpx did not have the best performance, since PEGylation typically reduces the interactions with the cell membrane [67, 68]. We reckon that this is due to the extensive aggregation of non-PEGylated Lpx in the hybridization solution (Figure S2b), which likely reduces the liposomal interaction with the bacterial envelope. PEGylated Lpx, on the other hand, showed excellent colloidal stability in the hybridization solution (Figure S2b). This improved stability did not prevent effective lipoplexes interactions with \textit{H. pylori} membrane (as shown in Figure 3). This is in line with previous reports on antimicrobials delivery into bacteria by PEGylated liposomes [69, 70] which were shown to interact with bacterial cell membranes [71].

Figure 3. FISH in \textit{H. pylori} in suspension. The hybridization efficiency of Lpx, Cer Lpx and DSPE Lpx was compared with the hybridization efficiencies observed with free NAMs in \textit{H. pylori} respectively not treated (NAM) and pre-treated with ethanol (ETH+NAM), for both PS
and PO. Negative controls without NAM (neg) were also included. (a) Representative epifluorescence microscopy images, all taken with the same exposure time. (b) FISH fluorescence normalized to that of free NAM in ethanol permeabilized *H. pylori* (ETH+NAM). Within one experiment, each condition was performed in triplicate. Three independent experiments were performed. Results are presented as mean values and respective standard deviation.

**Confirmation of the intracellular delivery of NAMs in *H. pylori* by DSPE Lpx**

The FISH results as presented in Figure 3 are obtained by fluorescence microscopy. It is to be noted, however, that the width of the bacteria is only 0.5-1 µm, which is rather close to the optical resolution limit (~ 0.25 µm). As such, we wanted to confirm that the fluorescence of the bacteria is coming from intracellular NAMs and not just from DSPE Lpx that remain attached to the outer surface of the bacteria. To this end, DSPE Lpx were applied to *H. salomonis*, a morphologically similar *Helicobacter* to which the NAMs should not hybridize [49, 64]. Interestingly, for both PS and PO, DSPE Lpx appeared as fluorescent halos around *H. salomonis* cells, with no fluorescence in the cytosol (Figure 4). This is in stark contrast with the uniform fluorescence that is observed throughout the *H. pylori* cells (Figure 4). This confirms that the observed fluorescence in *H. pylori* at least in part stems from intracellular NAMs retained by hybridization with the target rRNA.
Figure 4. FISH using DSPE Lpx PS and DSPE Lpx PO, respectively, in *H. pylori* and *H. salomonis*. Uniform fluorescence is seen in *H. pylori*, while *H. salomonis* shows a hollow interior with an extracellular fluorescent halo.

It cannot be excluded, however, that part of the observed fluorescence in *H. pylori* results from remaining membrane-bound DSPE Lpx. To find this out, a mild triton wash was performed after hybridization. The samples were washed with a 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 solution (instead of the regular washing solution), to remove any remaining lipoplexes that are bound to the outer bacterial membrane. As expected, the extracellular fluorescent halos in *H. salomonis* could be almost completely removed by the triton wash (Figure S3), which demonstrates that this protocol works as intended. When applied to *H. pylori*, the triton did lower the observed fluorescence intensity, leaving only the fluorescence coming from the intracellular NAMs (Figure 5). For PS NAMs, the remaining fluorescence was at the same level as for ethanol permeabilized cells treated with free NAMs. For PO NAMs, the fluorescence remained about 3-fold higher. The reason for this difference is not clear. In conclusion, although a part of the DSPE Lpx PS fluorescence in *H. pylori* did come from membrane bound lipoplexes, these results give clear evidence that NAMs were successfully delivered inside *H. pylori* to an extent that is at least as good as the standard *in vitro* ethanol permeabilization method.
Figure 5. Discrimination between extracellular adhesion and intracellular delivery of NAMs into *H. pylori* cells by lipoplexes. The *H. pylori* fluorescence obtained upon regular wash (representing the total, i.e. intra- plus extracellular, fluorescence) was compared with the one obtained upon mild triton wash (representing the intracellular fluorescence). DSPE Lpx were compared with free NAMs in ethanol treated bacteria (ETH+NAM). Negative controls without NAMs (neg) were also included. (a) Representative epifluorescence microscopy images (all taken with the same exposure time). (b) FISH fluorescence normalized to that of free NAMs in ethanol treated (ETH+NAM) *H. pylori*. Within one experiment, each condition was performed in triplicate. Three independent experiments were performed. Results are presented as mean values and respective standard deviation.
Fluorescence in situ hybridization in *H. pylori* by free NAMs and DSPE Lpx exposed to gastric mucus

We showed above that DSPE Lpx can successfully deliver NAMs in *H. pylori* in suspension (Figure 4 and 5) while at least a fraction of DSPE Lpx is mobile in gastric mucus isolated from pigs (Figure 2b). In a next step, we investigated whether hybridization in *H. pylori* by DSPE Lpx is still successful in the presence of gastric mucus. To this end, free NAMs or DSPE Lpx dispersed in hybridization solution were mixed with porcine gastric mucus (in a 2:3 ratio) and applied on top of an *H. pylori* bacterial smear on a glass slide. After incubation for 30 min, the mucus mixture was removed, the slide was washed (regular wash) and cells were imaged by fluorescence microscopy. Compared to free NAMs, the DSPE Lpx show a 2-2.7 fold increase in fluorescence intensity of *H. pylori*, both for PO and PS NAMs (Figure 6). The question again comes if perhaps part of this fluorescence is coming from membrane bound lipoplexes. When the same experiments were performed with *H. salomonis*, the outer fluorescent rim, as observed in solution, was no longer present (Figure S4). This suggests that excessive sticking of lipoplexes to the outer cell envelope does not happen in the presence of mucus, so that the observed fluorescence in *H. pylori* (Figure 6a and 6b) can be ascribed to intracellularly delivered and hybridized NAMs. Taken together we conclude that DSPE Lpx (both PS and PO) have the capacity to deliver NAMs into *H. pylori* even in the presence of the tough gastric mucus barrier.
Figure 6. FISH in *H. pylori* (on a glass slide) by free NAMs and DSPE Lpx exposed to gastric mucus isolated from pigs. Note that there was no pre-treatment of the bacteria with ethanol. Negative controls without NAMs (neg) were also included. (a) Representative epifluorescence microscopy images, all taken with the same exposure time. (b) FISH fluorescence normalized to that of free NAMs. Within one experiment, each condition was performed in triplicate. Independent experiments were done using mucus from 3 different pigs. Results are presented as mean values and respective standard deviation.

**Discussion**

For NAMs to become a novel platform to target infections *in vivo* for diagnosis and therapy, they have to cross biological barriers, like the bacterial cell envelope and the mucus that covers the epithelia, in order to reach their intracellular bacterial targets without loss of activity [23, 72]. We investigated whether liposomes, composed of the cationic lipid DOTAP and the neutral fusogenic lipid DOPE, would be suitable nanocarriers for delivering NAMs across the gastric mucus barrier in *H. pylori* cells. We compared cationic and post-PEGylated lipoplexes, anticipating the need of PEG stabilization to safely cross the harsh gastric environment. Based on previous experiments with mammalian cells, PEGylation typically comes at the price of
reduced cell interactions. Therefore, two different PEGs were tested: DSPE-PEG, which stably incorporates into liposomes, and Cer-PEG, which can diffuse out of the liposomes over time [52, 53].

Before reaching *H. pylori* in the stomach, lipoplexes will encounter the gastric mucus (Figure 1a) which is a tough barrier that limits the diffusion of nanoparticles and molecules [18]. Recently we showed that, while gastric mucus does not hinder the diffusion of the small sized NAMs, binding of mucus components to NAMs does happen which hampers efficient hybridization in *H. pylori* [24]. We reasoned that a nanocarrier that (i) shields NAMs from such interactions and (ii) can penetrate into the mucus where bacteria reside could be valuable [23, 55]. Nanoparticle diffusion through mucus is determined both by their size and surface properties [73]. PEGylation is the commonly employed strategy to limit the adhesive interactions of nanoparticles with mucus [39, 51]. Furthermore, PEGylation may offer additional protection to the NAMs bound at the surface of liposomes by providing a steric barrier against muco-interactions. As the size of the pores in human gastric mucus is still not well characterized [20] we first studied the diffusion of PEGylated nanospheres, varying in size, in mucus isolated from the stomach of pigs. It is of note that porcine gastric mucus is considered to better resemble human mucus than gastric murine or rabbit mucus [57]. Our results suggest that nanoparticles should be smaller than 200 nm to maintain a mobile fraction in gastric mucus (Figure 2a). Although similar diffusion studies in porcine gastric mucus have not yet been performed to our knowledge, our observations are in line with studies in gastrointestinal mucus. For instance in intestinal mucus, using porcine and murine models, it was also reported that PEGylated 500 nm nanospheres were hindered, while PEGylated 200 nm nanospheres were able to penetrate murine small intestinal mucus, but not colonic mucus [20, 74]. This is further supported by the observation that PEGylated 200 and 500 nm nanoparticles distributed
throughout the colorectal epithelium of mice in vivo less uniformly than PEGylated 100 and 40 nm nanospheres [22].

Moving on to the diffusion of NAM lipoplexes, we found that PEGylation did improve mobility, although a fraction of the lipoplexes remained immobilized (Figure 2b). This fraction appeared to be higher than for PEGylated nanospheres of similar size (Figure 2a). Although both lipoplexes and nanospheres have a PEGylated surface and thus a substantially reduced surface charge, compared to nanospheres the lipoplexes possess a slightly higher and net positive charge. This may contribute to increased binding, and hence slower diffusion in mucus, as also reported for other cationic nanoparticles in other types of mucus [50, 62, 75].

In future work one could consider developing even smaller nanocarriers, such as micelles or self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery systems (SNEDDS) to further improve diffusion in dense gastric mucus [76, 77]. Also, it could be attractive to focus on smaller liposomes which could be prepared by microfluidic mixing [78, 79]. DOTAP-DOPE liposomes of 50 to 75 nm could be reproducibly manufactured in this way [80]. An alternative to enhance diffusion through gastric mucus could be the use of mucolytics. However, the effect of mucolytic agents like N-acetyl-L-cysteine on nanoparticle penetration through mucus is not always clear, while it can irreversibly compromise the functionality of mucus as a defensive barrier against pathogens [76, 81, 82].

Next, we evaluated if (PEGylated) Lpx have the potential to deliver NAMs across the cell envelope and into the cytoplasm of H. pylori cells. The envelope of gram negative bacteria, composed of a cytoplasmic membrane, periplasm, peptidoglycan layer and an outer membrane [83], is repeatedly reported as a hurdle for oligonucleotide penetration in different bacteria [9, 84, 85]. It is believed that oligonucleotides are too large to passively diffuse through the bacterial envelope [8]. The traditional method, therefore, to deliver NAMs in gram negative bacteria in vitro involves membrane permeabilization with ethanol [64]. Clearly, ethanol
mediated permeabilization is not transferable to in vivo conditions which necessitates other methods for the delivery of NAMs into bacterial cells. In this study we evaluated if fusogenic DOTAP-DOPE liposomes could be suitable nanocarriers. As the fluorescent NAMs in the hybridization solution were designed to specifically hybridize in H. pylori [49], FISH could be used to evaluate successful intracellular delivery. We found that while the cationic and Cer Lpx hybridization performance was nearly similar to free NAMs in ethanol treated cells, DSPE Lpx performed even markedly better (Figure 3). After removing extracellular membrane bound DSPE Lpx, still a 1-3 fold increase in hybridization efficiency was retained as compared to the standard ethanol protocol (Figure 5). This demonstrates the capacity of DSPE Lpx to successfully deliver NAMs in the cytosol of H. pylori.

Having found that DSPE Lpx have partial mobility in gastric mucus and can deliver NAMs in the cytosol of H. pylori, we finally tested the hybridization efficacy of DSPE Lpx in the presence of gastric mucus. A more than 2 fold improvement in hybridization efficiency was found with DSPE Lpx when compared to free NAMs (Figure 6). This enhancement shows the ability of DSPE Lpx to protect NAMs from interacting with mucus constituents, thus retaining their hybridization activity. We noticed that the remaining adhesion of DSPE Lpx to the bacterial outer membrane, as observed in solution, was almost entirely invisible in the presence of mucus. This may be due to the presence of mucins that compete with lipoplexes for interaction with the bacterial envelope, thereby reducing the sticking of DSPE Lpx to the outer membrane [86, 87]. When the aim is to specifically detect H. pylori by FISH in a diagnostic setting, this could be an advantage as it may avoid unspecific fluorescence due to the binding of the DSPE Lpx to non-target bacteria. However, when the aim is to treat the infection, one could argue that reduced interaction with the cell envelope may reduce the delivery efficiency. In that sense active targeting of the lipoplexes towards H. pylori membranes, e.g. by conjugation
of mannose-specific or fucose-specific lectins [88, 89], could be evaluated to improve interactions with the bacterial cell envelope and increase the intracellular delivery efficiency. Based on our encouraging in vitro results, future investigation should focus on the in vivo performance of DSPE Lpx PS and PO. The necessary dose to diagnose/treat the infection needs to be investigated, considering the potential dose dependent toxicity to the animal. For the diagnosis of the H. pylori infection, the in vivo detection of the fluorescence signal, its sensitivity and specificity need to be considered. Detection in the stomach could be performed using an existing confocal endomicroscopy probe [90, 91]. Although accessibility may be a limitation, we believe a porcine model would be the indicated in vivo model, as it resembles the human gastric environment and mucus barrier much better than mice models [56, 57]. Moreover, it would be feasible for the detection by the endomicroscope probe, as the small size of mice may limit a proper assessment of the endomicroscopy’s capability to visualize the fluorescence signal in the animal stomach.

Conclusions

This study shows, for the first time, successful delivery of NAMs into bacterial cells by DSPE-PEGylated liposomes in which also the mucus associated to the bacterial infection is considered as a potential barrier. It shows that such PEGylated liposomes represent a valuable opportunity in the post-antibiotic era to deliver NAMs as a novel class of therapeutic antimicrobials and diagnostic agents. Future research will focus on evaluating DSPE Lpx in other important pathogens, developing smaller carriers to further improve the diffusion of the carriers in mucus and testing their in vivo performance.
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