Evaluation of Cystatin C for the Detection of Chronic Kidney Disease in Cats


Background: Serum cystatin C (sCysC) and urinary cystatin C (uCysC) are potential biomarkers for early detection of chronic kidney disease (CKD) in cats. An in-depth clinical validation is required.

Objectives: To evaluate CysC as a marker for CKD in cats and to compare assay performance of the turbidimetric assay (PENIA) with the previously validated nephelometric assay (PENIA).

Animals: Ninety cats were included: 49 CKD and 41 healthy cats.

Methods: Serum CysC and uCysC concentrations were prospectively evaluated in cats with CKD and healthy cats. Based on plasma exo-iohexol clearance test (PexICT), sCysC was evaluated to distinguish normal, borderline, and low GFR. Sensitivity and specificity to detect PexICT < 1.7 mL/min/kg were calculated. Serum CysC results of PENIA and PETIA were correlated with GFR. Statistical analysis was performed using general linear modeling.

Results: Cats with CKD had significantly higher mean ± SD sCysC (1.4 ± 0.5 mg/L) (P < .001) and uCysC/urinary creatinine (uCr) (291 ± 411 mg/mol) (P < .001) compared to healthy cats (sCysC 1.0 ± 0.3 and uCysC/uCr 0.32 ± 0.97). UCysC was detected in 35/49 CKD cats. R² values between GFR and sCysC or sCr were 0.39 and 0.71, respectively (sCysC or sCr = μ + GFR + ε). Sensitivity and specificity were 22 and 100% for sCysC and 83 and 93% for sCr. Serum CysC could not distinguish healthy from CKD cats, nor normal from borderline or low GFR, in contrast with sCr.

Conclusion: Serum CysC is not a reliable marker of reduced GFR in cats and uCysC could not be detected in all CKD cats.
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Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is common in geriatric cats, with a prevalence from 30% up to 60% in cats older than 10 years.1–3 Since CKD is an irreversible and progressive disease, early detection and treatment is of major importance, aiming to slow down disease progression and to improve quality of life and longevity.4,5 Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is considered the gold standard method to evaluate kidney function, but measurement is time-consuming and is not routinely used. Therefore, the indirect GFR markers, serum creatinine (sCr), and urea, are routinely measured to estimate GFR. However, these markers are insensitive. It is widely reported but poorly documented that their serum concentration only increases when approximately 75% of the functional renal mass is lost.6 Moreover, they are both influenced by muscle mass, age, feeding status, sex, and intraindividual variation.7–9 All those disadvantages support the need for new indirect biomarkers that can be measured easily and reliably.

Cystatin C (CysC), a 13 kDa protein, is a proteinase inhibitor, produced in every nucleated cell at a constant

Abbreviations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBC</td>
<td>complete blood count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>chronic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>creatinine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>coefficient of variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CysC</td>
<td>cystatin C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSH/DLH</td>
<td>domestic shorthair and longhair cats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>glomerular filtration rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRIS</td>
<td>International Renal Interest Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>limit of detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PECCT</td>
<td>plasma exogenous creatinine clearance test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEC-ICT</td>
<td>plasma exogenous creatinine-iohexol clearance test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PenICT</td>
<td>plasma endo-iohexol clearance test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PexICT</td>
<td>plasma exo-iohexol clearance test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENIA</td>
<td>particle enhanced nephelometric assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETIA</td>
<td>particle enhanced turbidimetric assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICT</td>
<td>plasma iohexol clearance test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>reference interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCr</td>
<td>serum creatinine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCysC</td>
<td>serum cystatin C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uCr</td>
<td>urinary creatinine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uCysC</td>
<td>urinary cystatin C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPC</td>
<td>urinary protein:creatinine ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USG</td>
<td>urine specific gravity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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rate, that is responsible for intracellular protein catabolism. Most of the properties required for an ideal endogenous GFR marker apply for CysC. Compared to sCr, several human and canine studies have shown a better correlation of sCysC with GFR. In addition, urinary Cystatin C (uCysC) is a biomarker for tubular damage in humans and dogs. In a pilot study, our group observed a significant difference in sCysC and uCysC concentration between CKD and healthy cats. We also validated the human particle enhanced nephelometric immunoassay (PENIA) for CysC measurement in cats and established a reference interval (RI) of 0.58–1.95 mg/L for sCysC. In addition, we demonstrated that there is no influence of breed, age, and sex on feline sCysC and that it is not mandatory to withhold food in cats prior to evaluation of feline sCysC. These findings make sCysC a promising marker to estimate GFR in feline medicine.

Three human CysC quantitation devices are currently available: ELISA, particle enhanced turbidimetric assay (PETIA) and particle enhanced nephelometric assay (PENIA). The latter 2 analytical methods are more suitable for clinical use, as a ELISA is more expensive, labour-intensive, and time-consuming. No commercial veterinary assays are currently available, which requires validation of human assays. The PENIA was validated previously by our group. The validation of the PETIA has been added as supporting information to this article.

The objectives of this study were 4-fold. Firstly, sCysC and uCysC were compared between a large number of CKD and healthy cats. Secondly, the correlation of sCysC and sCr with GFR measured using plasma exogenous creatinine clearance test (PECCT), plasma endo-iohexol clearance test (PenICT) and plasma exogenous creatinine clearance test (PexICT), was compared. Thirdly, the sensitivity and specificity of sCysC to detect decreased GFR were determined and compared with sCr. Fourthly, to determine which assay would be most suitable for clinical use, PENIA versus PETIA measurements of sCysC were each correlated with GFR estimated by PECCT, PenICT, and PexICT.

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The protocol was approved by the Local Ethical and Deontological Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University (EC2011_197). Informed consent was obtained from all owners whose animals participated in the study.

Animals

Adult CKD and healthy cats were included, regardless of breed and sex. In all cats, physical examination, complete blood count (CBC), serum biochemistry (BC), including total thyroxine (TT4) measurement in cats older than 6 years, and urinalysis were performed to assess the general health status. Diagnosis of CKD was made prior to inclusion. Cats were diagnosed with CKD based on the presence of compatible clinical and laboratory findings (i.e. renal azotemia (sCr and urea exceeding the RI we established in a previous study) i.e. (0.73–1.83 mg/dL; 64.5–161.8 μmol/L for sCr and (17.4–35.6 mg/dL; 6.2–12.7 μmol/L) for serum urea) and urine specific gravity (USG) <1.035). Cats with CKD were classified into four stages according to the IRIS guidelines. Cats with borderline sCr, but abnormal renal ultrasonographic findings at time of inclusion, with available follow-up data confirming CKD, were also included. CKD cats with evidence of relevant concurrent systemic diseases based on their history, physical examination, CBC, BC, or urinalysis were excluded. Cats that were receiving calcium antagonists were excluded from inclusion in the study because of concerns that these medications would modify GFR. For patients that were receiving angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE)-inhibitors, or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB), treatment was discontinued at least 1 week prior to inclusion in the study. Renal diet and phosphorus binders did not have to be withdrawn.

Cats with lack of important abnormalities in history, physical examination, CBC, BC an urinalysis were defined as "healthy". Criteria for normal urinalysis were: USG >1.035, inactive urine sediment, urinary protein:creatinine ratio (UPC) <0.4, and negative bacterial urine culture. Healthy cats receiving medication within 1 month prior to inclusion that could affect kidney health, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) corticosteroids, antibiotics, β-blocking agents, calcium antagonists, and ACE-inhibitors or ARB, were excluded.

Assays

Serum CysC and uCysC were analyzed with PENIA using the nephelometer, previously validated for cats, and with PETIA using the Cobas auto-analyzer. The validation report of the PETIA for CysC measurement in cats has been added as Data S1. Urinary CysC was expressed as a ratio to the urinary Cr (μCr) concentration, to compensate for differences in urine flow rates.
Pharmacokinetics for GFR determination

All analyses were performed using WinNonlin. The data were subjected to nonparametric analysis, as described by Watson et al. The area under the plasma concentration versus time curve (AUC) was calculated using the trapezoidal rule with extrapolation to infinity. The ratio of endo- and exo-iodixanol was determined per batch method and the administered dose of each stereoisomer was calculated. The plasma clearance of Cr, endo- and exo-iodixanol was determined by dividing the dose administered by AUC and indexed to bodyweight (mL/min/kg).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using statistical software and at the 0.05 significance level. The renal parameters SBP, sCr, serum urea, sCysC, USG, UPC, and uCysC/uCr approached normal distribution and the Student’s t-test was used to test for significant differences between the healthy cats and cats with CKD. The effect of status (CKD, healthy) on sCysC and uCysC, the effect of the IRIS stage on sCysC and uCysC, the relationship between uCysC/uCr and UPC and the comparison between sCysC measured with PENIA versus PETIA were tested by ANOVA. In case of a significant effect, pairwise comparisons were performed with the Tukey’s test. If the uCysC concentration was of a significant effect, pairwise comparisons were performed with the Student’s t-test. If the uCysC concentration was < limit of detection (LOD), it was arbitrarily fixed to 0.

The correlation between GFR and either sCysC or sCr were determined with ANOVA using general linear model (GLM); Marker = μ + GFR + ε with marker being sCysC, sCrPENIA, sCysCPETIA, 1/sCr, 1/sCysCPENIA, 1/sCysCPETIA; GFR was GFR-Cr, GFR-exo or GFR-endo; ε, error term of the model. For each marker, the R² of the regression was determined.

We also studied if sCysC could distinguish cats with normal, borderline, or low GFR, based on PexICT, compared with sCr. The cut-off concentrations for normal GFR were defined as GFR ≥1.7 mL/min/kg, for borderline GFR as GFR 1.2–1.7 mL/min/kg and for low GFR as GFR <1.2 mL/min/kg, as determined previously by our group.

Sensitivity and specificity of sCr and of sCysC to detect decreased GFR (PexICT < 1.7 mL/min/kg) were calculated. “Positive test” was defined as sCr >1.83 mg/dL (161.8 μmol/L) and sCysC >1.95 mg/L. “Negative test” was defined as sCr <1.83 mg/dL (161.8 μmol/L) and sCysC <1.95 mg/L. These concentrations were based on the reference intervals (RIs) that we established in a previous study. The nonparametric receiving operating curve (ROC) for sCysC and sCr were additionally configured.

Results

Study Population

In total, 90 cats were recruited (age range: 1.1 years to 19 years), namely 49 CKD and 41 healthy cats.

For the 49 CKD cats, breed distribution was: 1 Siamese, 1 Oriental Shorthair, 1 Persian, 1 Maine Coon, 1 Burmese, 2 Ragdolls, 2 Birmans, 4 British Shorthair cats, and 36 domestic shorthair and longhair cats (DSH/DLH). Four cats were female intact, 19 female neutered, and 26 male neutered. Mean ± SD age was 10 ± 4.7 years and mean ± SD body weight was 4.1 ± 1.2 kg. One cat had IRIS stage 1 nonproteinuric CKD and was diagnosed based on ultrasonographic findings, low USG and borderline sCr. The cat had IRIS stage 2 CKD and IRIS stage 3 CKD, 5 months and 2 years after inclusion respectively. Twenty cats had IRIS stage 2 CKD, of which 5 were proteinuric (UPC > 0.4), 5 borderline proteinuric (UPC [0.2–0.4]), and 10 did not have proteinuria. Thirteen cats had IRIS stage 3 CKD. Six of those cats were proteinuric, 3 were borderline proteinuric, and the other 4 cats did not have proteinuria. Fifteen cats had IRIS stage IV CKD, of which 11 were proteinuric and 4 borderline proteinuric. One cat with severe proteinuria (UPC = 4.22) also had glucosuria without hyperglycemia. Three CKD cats were treated with ACE-inhibitors but treatment was ceased 1 month prior to inclusion. One CKD cat was treated with ARB, and treatment was stopped 2 weeks prior to inclusion.

For the 41 healthy cats, breed distribution was: 1 Birman cat, 1 Persian cat, 2 Ragdolls, 2 British Shorthair, and 35 domestic short- or longhair (DSH/DLH) cats. Two cats were female intact, 25 female neutered, and 14 male neutered. Mean ± SD age was 9.9 ± 3.5 years and mean ± SD body weight was 4.4 ± 1.2 kg.

Systolic blood pressure measurement was performed in 45 CKD and 37 healthy cats. The other 8 cats were not cooperative enough, to reliably determine SBP. Four CKD cats were hypertensive, of which only 1 was proteinuric. Also 4 of the healthy cats had SBP >160 mmHg. Although fundic exam was not undertaken to distinguish white-coat hypertension from true hypertension, white-coat hypertension was a likely explanation, since those cats were very stressed during the measurement.

Serum blood pressure, sCr, serum urea, sCysC, USG, UPC, of both CKD and healthy cats are presented in Table 1.

Comparison of sCysC and uCysC between cats with CKD and healthy cats

Serum Cystatin C was measured in all cats and uCysC in 44 CKD cats and all healthy cats. Urinary Cystatin C was

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of both CKD and healthy cats. The variables are presented as mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (unit)</th>
<th>CKD (n = 49)</th>
<th>Healthy (n = 41)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>135 ± 27</td>
<td>142 ± 20</td>
<td>P &lt; .06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCr (mg/dL)</td>
<td>4.06 ± 2.53</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.26</td>
<td>P &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Urea (mg/dL)</td>
<td>74.5 ± 54.3</td>
<td>26.3 ± 5.9</td>
<td>P &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCysC (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>P &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USG</td>
<td>1.019 ± 0.009</td>
<td>1.045 ± 0.007</td>
<td>P &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPC</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.92</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.14</td>
<td>P = .003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uCysC/uCr (mg/mmol)</td>
<td>291 ± 411</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.97</td>
<td>P &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CKD, chronic kidney disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; sCr, serum creatinine; sCysC, serum cystatin C; USG, urine specific gravity; UPC, urinary protein:creatinine ratio; uCysC/uCr, urinary cystatin C:creatinine ratio.
<LOD (0.049 mg/L) in 15/44 CKD cats and in all but 5 healthy cats. We observed that there was a significant effect \((P < .001)\) of the status (CKD or healthy) on sCysC and uCysC/uCr with significantly higher concentrations in CKD cats (Table 1). The IRIS stage also had a significant positive effect \((P < .001)\) on both sCysC and uCysC/uCr, with increasing mean concentrations as IRIS stage increased (Table 2). However, \(R^2\) between IRIS stage and CysC was weak (0.31 for sCysC and 0.29 for uCysC). Also UPC had a significant effect \((P < .001)\) on uCysC/uCr in the whole population and in cats with CKD. \(R^2\) was 0.54 for the whole population and 0.50 for the cats with CKD.

### Comparison of correlation between GFR and sCysC versus sCr

The PEC-ICT was performed in 17 CKD and 15 healthy cats. The mean ± SD Cr, endo- and exo-iohexol clearances of the CKD and healthy cats are presented in Table 3. In 1 healthy cat, the serum sample 60 minutes after injection was not available, and therefore the GFR of that cat was calculated based on 9 samples instead of 10 samples. Both for the PENIA and the PETIA, there was a significant correlation between GFR and sCysC. The scatter plots of sCr and sCysC PENIA, sCysC PETIA versus PexICT are presented in Fig 1. The other GFR-markers showed comparable results. The regression coefficients with \(P\)-values are presented in Table 4.

### Determination of Sensitivity and Specificity of sCysC

Results from the clearance test demonstrated that one cat classified as “healthy” actually had borderline GFR and another classified as “healthy” had low GFR. In addition, one “CKD” cat actually had borderline GFR and another had normal GFR. The boxplots of sCysC and sCr from cats classified with normal, borderline, and low GFR are presented in Fig 2. For sCysC, the overlap was much larger compared to sCr between cats with normal GFR, cats with borderline and low GFR. Serum CysC exceeded the RI previously established by our group, in only 4 of 16 cats with low

### Table 2. Serum CysC (sCysC) and urinary cystatin C to creatinine ratio (uCysC/uCr) measured with the nephelometric assay in the healthy cats and cats with CKD for each IRIS stage. Data are presented as mean ± SD. n represents number of cats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>sCysC (mg/L)</th>
<th>uCysC/uCr (mg/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy (n = 41)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD IRIS stage 1 (n = 1)</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD IRIS stage 2 (n = 17)</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.40</td>
<td>123.62 ± 374.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD IRIS stage 3 (n = 11)</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.50</td>
<td>254.0 ± 206.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD IRIS stage 4 (n = 15)</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.57</td>
<td>526.80 ± 489.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CKD, chronic kidney disease; IRIS, international renal interest society; SD, standard deviation LOD, limit of detection.

### Table 3. Mean ± SD of plasma clearance (mL/min/kg) of creatinine, exo-iohexol and endo-iohexol in CKD and healthy cats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CKD (n = 17)</th>
<th>Healthy (n = 15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PECCT</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PexICT</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PenICT</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cr, creatinine; CKD, chronic kidney disease; PECCT, plasma exogenous creatinine clearance test; PexICT, plasma exogenous iohexol clearance test; PenICT, plasma endogenous iohexol clearance test.

GFR. In contrast, sCr exceeded the RI in all of them. Indeed, the sensitivity of detecting decreased GFR (<1.7 mL/min/kg) was 22% for sCysC compared with 83% for sCr. In contrast, the specificity for sCysC was 100% compared with 93% for sCr. The ROC is presented in Fig 3.

### Comparison between PETIA and PENIA for sCysC Analysis

The two methods were highly correlated \((R^2 = 0.94 \quad P < .001)\), but sCysC concentrations measured with PETIA were significantly higher \((P < .001)\) than those measured with PENIA. No significantly better correlation between sCysC PETIA and GFR (whatever the marker) than between sCysC PENIA and GFR could be observed (Fig 2, Table 4). Fig 4 presents the Bland-Altman Plot in which PENIA and PETIA are compared. The mean ± SD difference was calculated as 1.84 ± 0.95 mg/L. The limits of agreement were wide and the difference increases as the mean increases.

### Discussion

The main results of this study are: (1) sCysC was significantly higher in cats with CKD, compared with healthy cats, but an important overlap was present; (2) urinary CysC was not present in all cats with CKD; (3) the correlation between GFR measured with PEC-ICT and sCysC was weaker than that between GFR and sCr, regardless of the sCysC assay.

Although sCysC was significantly higher in CKD versus healthy cats, several other findings argue against the use of sCysC in cats: an obvious overlap in sCysC between both groups was present, as previously described in cats \(^{25,26}\) and dogs. \(^{37}\) In addition, only 6 of the 49 CKD cats had sCysC above the upper limit (1.95 mg/L) of the RI, determined in a previous study. \(^{7}\) Furthermore, although significant, the correlations between sCysC and IRIS stage were weak and probably not clinically relevant, since not all cats with CKD IRIS stage 3 or 4 had a higher sCysC concentration compared with the healthy cats or cats with CKD IRIS stage 2.

Urinary CysC was also significantly different between CKD and healthy cats. In contrast with sCysC, an overlap for uCysC was absent. As for sCysC, a
significant but weak correlation between uCysC/uCr and the IRIS stage was present, and not all CKD cats with IRIS stage 3 or 4 had higher uCysC/uCr compared to healthy cats and CKD cats with IRIS stage 2. Indeed, 5 of the 41 healthy cats had a detectable uCysC concentration. With normal kidney function CysC is completely reabsorbed and catabolized in the tubules.38,39 Small quantities can still be found in the urine, but one would expect this concentration to be <LOD.40 Two of the 5 healthy cats with detectable uCysC were borderline proteinuric. None of those 5 cats had overt proteinuria, azotemia, or isostenuric urine, so CKD is unlikely. Nevertheless, no follow-up or GFR results are available for those cats, so early

Table 4.  $R^2$ values and associated $P$-values of sCr and sCysC measured with the PENIA and PETIA versus plasma clearance of creatinine, exo-and endo-iohexol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PECCT</th>
<th>PexICT</th>
<th>PenICT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sCysC PENIA</td>
<td>0.46  ($P &lt; .001$)</td>
<td>0.34  ($P &lt; .001$)</td>
<td>0.37  ($P &lt; .001$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCysC PETIA</td>
<td>0.44  ($P &lt; .001$)</td>
<td>0.31  ($P = .003$)</td>
<td>0.36  ($P = .001$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCr</td>
<td>0.74  ($P &lt; .001$)</td>
<td>0.68  ($P &lt; .001$)</td>
<td>0.67  ($P &lt; .001$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sCr, serum creatinine; sCysC, serum cystatin C; PENIA, particle enhanced nephelometric immunoassay; PETIA, particle enhanced nephelometric immunoassay; PECCT, plasma exogenous creatinine clearance test; PexICT, plasma exo-iohexol clearance test; PenICT, plasma endo-iohexol clearance test.

Fig 1. Scatter plots of the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) determined with a plasma exogenous iohexol clearance test (PexICT) and serum creatinine (sCr), cystatin C analyzed with the particle enhanced nephelometric immunoassay (sCysC PENIA) and cystatin C analyzed with the particle enhanced turbidimetric immunoassay (sCysC PETIA).

Fig 2. Boxplot of serum cystatin C (sCysC) for cats with normal GFR (GFR $\geq$ 1.7 mL/min/kg) determined with a plasma exo-iohexol clearance test (PexICT); borderline GFR (GFR (1.2–1.7 mL/min/kg)) and low GFR (GFR < 1.2 mL/min/kg).
CKD cannot be excluded. Unexpectedly, 15 of the 49 CKD cats had uCysC < LOD, of which 2 cats were proteinuric and 2 borderline proteinuric. In a previous study of our group, uCysC could also not be detected in 5 of the 10 included cats with CKD. Those observations are surprising. Most of the cats with CKD have tubulo-interstitial lesions. Therefore, we expected detectable uCysC in most of the CKD cats. However, without histopathology, a less typical form of CKD cannot be excluded. In contrast, uCysC seemed to be valuable as marker for local proximal damage in hyperthyroid cats. Therefore, the prognostic value and ability to detect early tubular damage of uCysC should be evaluated further with investigation of renal biopsies.

The major objective of the present study was to compare the correlation of GFR with sCysC and sCr. In contrast with human studies and studies in dogs, a weaker correlation was found between PECCT, PenICT, PexICT, and sCysC measured with PENIA or PETIA compared with sCr. In addition, the use of the inverse values increased $R^2$ value for sCr, but not for sCysC PENIA or sCysC PETIA. From these findings we can conclude that sCysC does not appear to be advantageous over sCr for detection of CKD in cats.

Our results are different from a similar study in cats, demonstrating a significantly better correlation of sCysC with PICT compared to that with sCr. In the study of Poświotowska-Kączyszyn a one-compartmental model and the slope-intercept method corrected with the Brochner-Mortensen formula was used to calculate GFR. It has been shown that one-compartmental models overestimate true GFR, because of underestimation of AUC and the slope-intercept method with the Brochner-Mortensen formula can cause increasing errors with increasing clearances. Since we used a different method for GFR calculation, it is difficult to compare the results from the present study with the study from Poświotowska-Kączyszyn. In addition, in this study we determined GFR with 3 different markers (Cr, endo-and exo-iohexol) to evaluate sCysC. However, no better correlation of each of the 3 methods with sCysC could be observed.

Early kidney impairment in some of the healthy cats could not be excluded. Therefore, in the subgroups in which GFR was measured, we correlated sCysC and sCr with renal function. Only 2 of the 15 cats previously classified as "healthy" had low and borderline GFR respectively. These 2 cats did not show high sCysC value exceeding the RI. Serum CysC overlapped between cats with low, borderline, and normal GFR, indicating that sCysC cannot distinguish between those three groups. For sCr, the overlap was less severe and could mainly be observed between cats with low and borderline GFR, as would have been expected. In dogs on the other hand, a significantly better correlation between sCysC and PECCT or PICT has been shown. However, an overlap in sCysC between healthy dogs and dogs with CKD was also present, and canine sCysC also seemed to overlap between dogs with normal and borderline GFR in the study from Wehner and co-workers. Nevertheless, in contrast with studies in dogs, no higher sensitivity of sCysC than of sCr to detect decreased GFR in cats could be observed in the present study. In contrast, a higher specificity was present, which means that if sCysC is increased in cats, CKD is definitely present.

The findings of the present study do not encourage the clinical use of CysC measurement in cats. However, suboptimal CysC determination in cats cannot be completely ruled out. We obtained a signal with the PETIA and PENIA, but we cannot exclude that measurement of CysC in cats by human assays is suboptimal. Western blot analysis with antibodies from the
PENIA\textsuperscript{32} could not demonstrate good cross-reactivity at 13 kDa, in contrast with the antibodies from the PETIA (Data S1). For both assays, bands at 26 and 52 kDa were visible. In humans, it has been shown that denaturing agents or high temperature can cause di-and polymerization of CysC\textsuperscript{48}. It is unknown whether this occurs in dogs and cats. Alternatively, the human polyclonal anti-human CysC antibodies might detect polymers and not 13 kDa CysC in cats. Another explanation for suboptimal testing could be the relatively limited homology between human and CysC in cats. A homology of 70\% between cat and human CysC has been described,\textsuperscript{49,50} but the epitope sequence to which the antibody binds is not provided by the manufacturers, which makes evaluation of cross-reactivity between the anti-human CysC antibodies and feline CysC difficult. Therefore, a cat assay should be developed, followed by a re-evaluation of this marker. Until then, we do not recommend the use of CysC as renal marker in cats.

**Conclusion**

Serum CysC was not able to distinguish healthy cats from cats with CKD. Furthermore, uCysC was not present in all CKD cats. A limitation of this study was the requirement to fix the value of CysC concentration when it was below LOD. There is no consensus regarding how to manage this situation but whichever value is chosen, it will have the effect of decreasing variability and inducing a statistical bias. An alternative option would have been to use a value other than 0, i.e. half of LOD, however, overall this would not have altered the significant difference identified between the healthy and CKD cats. Whatever the marker for GFR determination and assay for CysC measurement, a markedly weaker correlation between GFR and sCysC compared with sCr was demonstrated. Therefore, we do not advise to use sCysC in cats as an indirect marker for GFR.

**Footnotes**

\begin{itemize}
  \item[a]\textsuperscript{a} Advia 2120, Siemens, Brussels, Belgium
  \item[b]\textsuperscript{b} Architect C16000, Abbott Max-Planck-Ring, Wiesbaden, Germany
  \item[c]\textsuperscript{c} Atago, Tokyo, Japan
  \item[d]\textsuperscript{d} Iricell velocity, chemical system, Instrumentation Laboratory, Zaventem, Belgium
  \item[e]\textsuperscript{e} IQ 200 SPRINT, Instrumentation Laboratory, Zaventem, Belgium
  \item[f]\textsuperscript{f} Immulite 2000 system, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany
  \item[g]\textsuperscript{g} Wask Copan, MLS, Vitek 2 system, Biomerieux, Brussels, Belgium
  \item[h]\textsuperscript{h} Anhydrous creatinine, Sigma Chemical Co, St Louis, MO
  \item[i]\textsuperscript{i} Omnipaque 300, GE Healthcare, Amersham Health, Wemmel, Belgium
  \item[j]\textsuperscript{j} Particle enhanced nephelometric assay, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany
  \item[k]\textsuperscript{k} BN Prospec Nephelometer, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany
  \item[l]\textsuperscript{l} Particle enhanced turbidimetric assay, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark
  \item[m]\textsuperscript{m} Cobas C system, Roche Diagnostics Gmbh, Mannheim, Germany
  \item[n]\textsuperscript{n} Vettest, Idexx laboratories Europe B.V., Amsterdam, the Netherlands
  \item[o]\textsuperscript{o} Med Vet Lab, Antwerp, Belgium
  \item[p]\textsuperscript{p} WinNonlin version 4.0.1., Scientific Consulting Inc. Apex, NC
  \item[q]\textsuperscript{q} Systat 12, Systat Software Inc
\end{itemize}
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Data S1. Materials and methods.
Fig S1. Western Blot analysis with chemiluminiscent detection of the polyclonal rabbit anti-human cystatin C antibody from the particle enhanced turbidimetric immunoassay (PETIA) in feline serum (IA) and urine (IB).
Fig S2. Sequential dilution of serum (2A) and urine (2B) of a cat with chronic kidney disease (CKD) analyzed with PETIA illustrating linearity.