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Highlights

- There is a robust, negative relation between materialism and well-being.
- A good deal of research implicates materialists’ consumption style.
- Most of the negative relation may be non-consumption-related.
- Materialistic consumption may not be detrimental, depending on its motives.

Abstract

Numerous studies find a negative relation between materialism and well-being. The present paper discusses the role of consumption in that relation. First, studies on experiential versus material purchases are reviewed. While a good deal of studies find an advantage for experiential purchases, this does not occur for materialists. On the other hand, materialists do not benefit more from material than from experiential consumption due to unrealistic expectations, especially about the pleasure it affords and the impression it conveys. Still, the relation between materialism and well-being is bidirectional and the path from ill-being to materialism seems stronger. The impact of materialistic consumption on materialists’ well-being may be limited and may depend on the underlying motives. Materialistic self-signaling may be especially non-detrimental for well-being.
Materialism is typically defined as the pursuit of status and happiness through the acquisition of wealth and material possessions [1]. It has also been defined as the emphasis on extrinsic goals like wealth, popularity, fame, and physical attractiveness compared to intrinsic goals like health, sense of community, and personal growth [2]. Although luxury consumption may positively affect well-being and materialists are especially likely to engage in it [3], a recent meta-analysis shows that higher levels of materialism are associated with lower levels of well-being [4]. Perhaps materialists are spending their money in the wrong way [5, 6].

**MATERIAL VS. EXPERIENTIAL CONSUMPTION**

Materialistic consumers seem to prefer purchasing products to purchasing experiences [7, 8]. However, consumption of experiences may benefit well-being more than consumption of products for several reasons [9, 10]. First, because it is less straightforward to compare experiences, one may be less likely to feel one’s experiences are inferior to that of one’s peers [11]. Second, experiences seem more self-expressive than products [12]. Third, as one often enjoys experiences with other people [13] and people are more likely to talk about one’s experiential rather than one’s material purchases [14], experiential consumption may serve the satisfaction of the basic need of relatedness. Interestingly, younger adults seem to be happier from engaging in extraordinary experiences while for older adults, any experience promote well-being [15]. Possibly, extraordinary experiences may better address the need of relatedness for younger adults than ordinary ones.

While experiential consumption seems to benefit well-being more than material consumption, several boundary conditions have been identified. First, the advantage of experiential consumption is limited to positive consumption outcomes; for negative outcomes, people are equally unhappy about experiences as they are about products [16]. Second, if people engage in
experiential consumption for non-autonomous reasons (e.g., because others do it, or to make a good impression), it does not contribute to their well-being [17]. Finally, the advantages of experiential consumption are emphasized when the experience is shared with other people, not when it is enjoyed in isolation, underscoring the importance of consumption practices that both satisfy individual needs and facilitate social bonds [13].

The research on experiential consumption suggests that materialistic people would be happier if they took a more balanced approach, i.e., if they purchased more experiences. This recommendation hinges on the assumption that materialistic and non-materialistic people equally appreciate, and benefit similarly from, experiential consumption. Several studies, however, indicate that materialism is negatively related to agreeableness and honesty-humility [18, 19]. Materialism is also positively related to anxious attachment [20]. Anxious attachment often causes people to become lonely, which in turn leads them to pursue material goals, perhaps as a substitute for the security offered by healthy social relationships [21]. Taken together, this research suggests that materialistic people may be less willing or able to connect with other people, in which case experiential consumption may not serve the purpose of relating to other people all that much. In all, then, materialistic people may benefit less from experiential consumption than less materialistic ones.

DO MATERIALISTS BENEFIT FROM EXPERIENTIAL CONSUMPTION?

Only a handful of studies investigated whether materialism moderates the effect of material (vs. experiential) consumption on happiness. Millar and Thomas [22] find that materialistic people are happier than less materialistic people when they recall a material purchase. Interestingly, though, high and low materialistic people were equally happy with experiential purchases. However, while low materialistic people were much happier after recalling an experiential
purchase than after recalling a material one, high materialistic people were equally happy in both conditions. As a result, the benefit of experiential (compared to material) consumption is evident for low materialistic people but not high materialistic people.

Zhang et al. [8] take a different approach to addressing whether materialism moderates the effect of type of consumption on happiness. Crucial in their research is the distinction they make between materialism as value or disposition, on the one hand, and the tendency to engage in material (vs. experiential) consumption, on the other hand. While some research suggests that materialistic consumers are more likely to engage in material consumption, being materialistic does not logically constrain people to engage in only, or even predominantly, material consumption. As such, some materialistic people may actually engage in a lot of experiential consumption too. Because of this distinction, Zhang et al. [8] investigate how materialism moderates the effect of experiential (vs. material) consumption on well-being as well as how the tendency to favor material consumption [7] moderates that relationship. While they found no moderation of the effect of experiential (vs. material) consumption on well-being, they did find that people who score low on the tendency to purchase experiences are less likely to benefit from them. Interestingly, experiential buyers were happier when buying experiences than when buying products, but material buyers were equally happy when buying experiences and products.

Although being materialistic and having a tendency to engage in material consumption are distinct conceptually, in many samples these constructs will be moderately to strongly correlated. As such, the main take-away from the studies above is that while less materialistic consumers benefit more from experiential consumption than from material consumption, highly materialistic consumers seem to benefit equally from both types of consumption. This is puzzling because materialistic people view material consumption as much more relevant than experiential
consumption [22]; one could thus expect that material buyers would be happier buying products compared to experiences.

WHY MATERIALISTS DO NOT BENEFIT MORE FROM MATERIAL CONSUMPTION

Materialists are more likely than non-materialists to believe that the acquisition of a product will offer a lot of pleasure, improve the impression one makes on others, facilitate one’s relationships with others, and help one become more efficient [23]. These expectations lead to pre-purchase anticipation which is associated with positive feelings. As many products probably fall short on their promise of significant life change, these positive feelings may diminish post-purchase. Materialists are more likely to show a decrease in positive feelings such as joy, contentment, and excitement from pre- to post-purchase than less materialistic consumers, who typically exhibit no decrease in these feelings after purchase [24].

There are several reasons why people may overestimate the pleasure they will derive from consumption. Materialistic people may be likely to splurge on big-ticket items because such items are more likely to impress other people, and because they strongly believe that price signals quality [25]. However, research has shown that it is better to spend rather frequently on small pleasures than more infrequently on large pleasures [26]. Furthermore, people often adapt quickly to improved life circumstances [27]. This applies to consumption as well. Not only do new, exciting products become pedestrian over time, any improvement in living standard may quickly become the new standard that one subsequently wants to surpass. Materialist are especially likely to want what they don’t have [28], and such chronic wanting is detrimental to well-being [28, 29]. Ironically, even when materialists are very successful in their pursuit of wealth, their abundance may limit their ability to savor the better things in life [30].
People may signal their identity to other people through (material) consumption [31]. Materialists are especially interested in conspicuous consumption [32, 33], a pattern of consumption aimed at signaling one’s status through luxurious and exclusive possessions. However, conspicuous consumption has ambivalent outcomes [34, 35]. On the one hand, it creates impressions of competence [34, 35] and status [36], which afford associated outcomes such as others’ compliance and preferential treatment [36]. At the same time, materialistic consumption is frowned upon [37] and people find conspicuous consumers of luxury brands less likeable [34, 35, 38]. This may partly explain why material consumption may fail to improve one’s relations with others, why materialism can cause loneliness [21], and why materialists are sometimes dissatisfied with their status products [39].

MATERIALISM AND ILL-BEING: DUE TO CONSUMPTION?

Ample research shows that materialists are, on average, not the happiest of people. While some research implicates their consumption style as contributing to their ill-being, several caveats should be noted. First, the fact that materialists seem to have inflated consumption expectations [23] seems almost inherent when one defines materialism as the belief that money and possessions buy happiness [1]. In fact, depending on how materialism is measured, the negative relation with well-being is either exacerbated or diminished [3]. The negative relation is stronger when the materialism measure includes negative traits like envy and non-generosity [40], and the pursuit of other extrinsic goals [2].

In addition, not every aspect of materialism may be detrimental. The often-used Material Values Scale [1] measures three different aspects of materialism. Research that uses this scale typically aggregates across these aspects; little research has focused on the individual aspects, and their potentially distinct relations to other variables [21, 41-44]. Most relevant for the present topic,
the belief that wealth and possessions are a road to happiness is negatively related to well-being but the belief that they signal success is not \([41, 45, 46]\). The former belief is also positively related to debt, while the latter is negatively related to it \([47]\). Finally, greed is also less negatively related to well-being than materialism is \([48]\).

Literature on the advantages of experiential consumption seems to imply that materialists would be happier if they would switch from material to experiential consumption, but very few studies have tested that idea. In addition, most research relies on the approach of having consumers rate how happy they are when they recall a material versus experiential purchase \([49]\), even though it is unclear how often people spontaneously think back on their experiential purchases. It is also possible that frequent use of material goods not only decreases how happy one is with them currently, but also how happy one thinks one was when acquiring them. Further, the research on experiential versus material consumption largely ignores how happy one is in the moment of initial acquisition or consumption, during which material purchases may outdo experiential ones \([50]\). The advantages of experiential consumption may thus be somewhat overstated, especially for materialists. Finally, while one may wonder why materialists are not happier with material consumption than with experiential consumption, truth of it is that they are also not less happy with the former than with the latter.

Finally, the relation between materialism and happiness not only seems bidirectional, but the relation from unhappiness to materialism seems more pronounced \([21]\). Insecurities \([42, 51-54]\), feelings of powerlessness \([55]\), low self-esteem \([56, 57]\), social exclusion \([58]\), financial constraints \([59]\), relative deprivation \([41, 60, 61]\) and exposure to other people’s wealth \([62, 63]\) may all spur materialism. This suggests that a large part of materialists’ unhappiness may not come from their consumption.
CONCLUSION: IS MATERIALISM NECESSARILY DETRIMENTAL?

Shrum et al. [64] redefine materialism as “the extent to which individuals attempt to engage in the construction and maintenance of the self through the acquisition and use of products, services, experiences, or relationships that are perceived to provide desirable symbolic value” (p. 1180). This definition not only broadens the concept of materialism but also renders it outcome-neutral. Everybody is to some extent materialistic, and materialistic consumption may not necessarily be bad. It may largely depend on the motives for it. Materialists’ concern with the impressions they make on others implies that their spending behavior is not fully autonomous, and hence, may not serve their need for autonomy. However, Shrum et al. hypothesize that materialism may not be detrimental for well-being if it involves self-signaling instead of other-signaling.

When people signal to themselves rather than to other people, the likelihood that the image they want to project will be disconfirmed is probably much lower. In addition, people are less likely to be confronted with potential negative reactions as self-signaling may involve private consumption. More importantly, self-signaling seems more autonomous than other-signaling and, as such, may serve the need for autonomy. Finally, as self-signaling is probably much more expressive of one’s true self, it may lead to less satiation [65]. If people are less frustrated with the outcomes of their consumption and satiate more slowly, perhaps this may slow down the frequency of spending. In addition to slowing down hedonic adaptation [66], it may also alleviate the problem of overspending and debt that typically results from a materialistic lifestyle [23, 46, 66-68]. This speculation is left for future research.
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   Most used scale to measure materialism. Distinguishes between three facets of materialism but these are often aggregated in research.


   A non-consumer perspective on materialism derived from self-determination theory. Includes goals that are not directly tied to either money or consumption.


   One of the first papers to look at the positive consequences of materialism. It shows that materialists benefit more from luxury consumption than non-materialists. Despite that, materialists are less happy than non-materialists.

Very thorough meta-analysis that underscores the robustness of the negative relation between materialism and well-being. Very few moderators are discovered and irrespective of any moderation, the relation stays negative.


Very good overview of the different ways that spending money can contribute to happiness.


Extremely thorough overview of the literature on experiential versus material consumption, with a good introduction to literature on the relation between income and happiness.


More concise description of the ideas discussed in the chapter above.


Paper showing how important sharing with other people is for the experiential advantage to occur.


   One of the few longitudinal studies that allows disentangling the impact of materialism on happiness and vice versa.


Introduces the concept of transformation expectations, the idea that people think that consumption may profoundly change an aspect of their lives.


Follow-up of the paper above. Tracks how emotions develop over time as a function of transformation expectations.


Very solid overview of the problem of adapting the changed life circumstances.


Nice idea that is being pursued on wanting not necessarily being negative.

Very interesting paper that shows how wealth may undermine some of the benefits it brings.


Classic paper on how people can use possessions to signal and cultivate an image.


One of the seminal papers on materialism. Introduces one of the prominent measures on materialism.


Introduces a scale to measure individual differences in greed.


Seminal paper on the distinction between material and experiential consumption.


   a. Offers an alternative, more neutral concept of materialism.


* Must-reads on materialism and material consumption