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Further delineation of the 15q13 microdeletion and duplication syndromes: a clinical spectrum varying from non-pathogenic to a severe outcome


ABSTRACT

Background: Recurrent 15q13.3 microdeletions were recently identified with identical proximal (BP4) and distal (BP5) breakpoints and associated with mild to moderate mental retardation and epilepsy.

Methods: To assess further the clinical implications of this novel 15q13.3 microdeletion syndrome, 18 new probands with a deletion were molecularly and clinically characterised. In addition, we evaluated the characteristics of a family with a more proximal deletion between BP3 and BP4. Finally, four patients with a duplication in the BP3–BP4–BP5 region were included in this study to ascertain the clinical significance of duplications in this region.

Results: The 15q13.3 microdeletion in our series was associated with a highly variable intra- and inter-familial phenotype. At least 11 of the 18 deletions identified were inherited. Moreover, 7 of 10 siblings from four different families also had this deletion: one had a mild developmental delay, four had only learning problems during childhood, but functioned well in daily life as adults, whereas the other two had no learning problems at all. In contrast to previous findings, seizures were not a common feature in our series (only 2 of 17 living probands). Three patients with deletions had cardiac defects and deletion of the KLF13 gene, located in the critical region, may contribute to these abnormalities. The limited data from the single family with the more proximal BP3–BP4 deletion suggest this deletion may have little clinical significance. Patients with duplications of the BP3–BP4–BP5 region did not share a recognisable phenotype, but psychiatric disease was noted in 2 of 4 patients.

Conclusions: Overall, our findings broaden the phenotypic spectrum associated with 15q13.3 deletions and suggest that, in some individuals, deletion of 15q13.3 is not sufficient to cause disease. The existence of microdeletion syndromes, associated with an unpredictable and variable phenotypic outcome, will pose the clinician with diagnostic difficulties and challenge the commonly used paradigm in the diagnostic setting that aberrations inherited from a phenotypically normal parent are usually without clinical consequences.

The increased resolution of molecular karyotyping has led to the delineation of several new submicroscopic deletion and duplication syndromes.¹⁴ The occurrence of these genomic disorders is often mediated by low copy repeats (LCRs) which can give rise to various genomic changes by non-allelic homologous recombination (NAHR).⁶ Recently, Sharp et al reported a novel microdeletion syndrome caused by recurrent deletions of 15q13.3 mediated by NAHR.⁶ The estimated frequency of this syndrome among mentally retarded patients is 0.29%, which is comparable with the frequencies of Prader–Willi syndrome (PWS), Angelman syndrome (AS), and Williams–Beuren syndrome.⁶

The proximal 15q region is characterised by a high density of segmentally duplicated blocks⁵–¹⁰ and therefore prone to several genomic rearrangements leading to partial aneuploidy. The breakpoints (BPs) of such rearrangements cluster in the segmentally duplicated blocks, suggesting NAHR as the mechanism of rearrangement.¹¹ So far, six BPs have been characterised in the chromosome 15q11q14 region.¹² Deletions between BP1–BP3 and BP2–BP5 give rise to the clinically well known syndromes of PWS and AS caused by lack of expression of imprinted genes between BP2 and BP5.¹³ Duplications of the PW/AS region (BP1–BP5), either as a result of an interstitial duplication or due to a supernumerary derivative chromosome, are associated with learning disabilities, autistic behaviour and seizures.¹⁴ In addition, larger deletions and duplications, including the PWS/AS region, extending to BP4 and BP5, have been described and result in a more extensive phenotype than the original described syndromes.¹⁶–¹⁸ A more distal microdeletion comprising BP5 and BP6 was described in a mentally retarded patient with cleft palate and an atrial ventricular septal defect.¹⁹ Sharp et al were the first to describe interstitial deletions and a duplication, comprising the BP4–BP5 region only, leading to a novel microdeletion syndrome on 15q13.3.⁷ Rearrangements in this
RESULTS

Molecular findings

The results of the high resolution targeted 15q13.1q13.3 array are summarised in fig 1. Sixteen patients (cases 1–16) showed a BP4–BP5 deletion of which two were proven to be de novo, 11 were inherited (three paternally and eight maternally), and in three cases the parents were unavailable for testing. These 16 cases were found in a cohort of 6624 persons referred for idiopathic mental retardation and/or congenital anomalies (0.24%). Two patients (cases 17 and 18) had a BP3–BP5 deletion of which one was proved to be de novo and in the second case the inheritance was unknown. One patient (case 19) had a paternally inherited BP3–BP4 deletion. Three patients (cases 20–22) had a BP4–BP5 duplication of which one was de novo, and in two patients the parents were unavailable for testing. One patient (case 23) had a paternally inherited BP3–BP5 duplication.

In several families with a proband with a BP4–BP5 deletion siblings were tested for this deletion. The deletion was excluded in the brother of patient 2 (fig 2A). In the family of patient 3 the deletion was detected in two of the three siblings, and in the relatives of patient 4 the deletion was found in four out of five siblings (fig 2B and supplemental fig 1). In addition, the BP4–BP5 deletion was identified in the brother of patient 12 (fig 2D). In the family of patient 17 the BP3–BP4 deletion was excluded in a brother of the proband but appeared to be present in her oldest brother and a paternal uncle (fig 2E).

In addition to the de novo 15q13.3 deletion in patient 13, a 700 kb maternally inherited duplication on 22q11.21q11.22 was detected. Besides the BP3–BP5 duplication in patient 23, a 200 kb deletion at 14q31.1 was identified. Both the BP3–BP5 duplication and the 14q31.1 deletion were also present in her father.

Clinical data

All 23 patients are described in more clinical detail in the section below. The clinical features of all deletion (n = 19) and duplication (n = 4) patients are summarised in tables 1 and 2, respectively. Photographs of patients are shown in those cases where parents consented for publication.

Deletion cases

Patient 1

This female (fig 3A) was the first child of non-consanguineous parents and born after an uncomplicated pregnancy with a 166 000 probes including 42 698 within the same 15q13 interval (NimbleGen Systems Inc); patient 14 was analysed using a custom array with 72 000 probes, including 1142 probes within the same region (NimbleGen Systems Inc). Hybridisations were performed as described previously and a single normal male control was used as a reference (GM15724, Coriell).

Synthetic MLPA probes were developed in the 15q13.1q15.1 region (27 300 000–38 500 000, hg 18, http://genome.ucsc.edu/) to test for the BP4–BP5 deletion in the family members of patient 3, 4 and 12 (except for the father of patient 4 who was not available for testing). The 10 MLPA probes were designed to nine different genes (NDNL2, TJP1, TRPM1, KLF13, CHRNA7, GREM1 (2x), CHRM1, MEIS2, IVD) in accordance with a protocol provided by MRC-Holland (www.MRC-holland.com). Probe hybridisation and analysis was done as previously described by Schouten et al. All reagents for MLPA reaction and subsequent polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification were purchased from MRC-Holland (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

METHODS

Patients and DNA samples

In this study, 19 probands with a submicroscopic deletion and four patients with submicroscopic duplications in the BP3–BP4–BP5 region at 15q13.1q13.3 were included. DNA was isolated according to standard procedures. All patients showed a normal karyotype at G banded chromosome studies and clinical information was obtained from the referring physicians. Except for patients 10 and 15 who were referred for a congenital cardiac defect solely, all patients were referred because of developmental delay, and the 15q13 aberrations were found during their diagnostic work-up. Apart for patient 1, who was tested for a CHRNA7 deletion by targeted multiplex ligation probe amplification (MLPA) analysis in an ongoing study in epilepsy patients, all the submicroscopic deletions and duplications of 15q13.1q13.3 were identified with different diagnostic microarray platforms. The following array platforms were used: patients 3 and 4—32 k bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) array; patients 5 and 6—1 Mb custom array; patients 2, 7, 8, 9 and 23—44 k Agilent array; patient 10—custom Agilent 105 k array that included high density oligonucleotide coverage of the 15q13.3 region; patient 11—Signature commercial BAC array; patients 14 and 15—244 k Agilent array; patients 12 and 17—HumanCNV370Duo from Illumina; patients 16, 18, 19 and 22—customised 4x44 K Agilent oligonucleotide array; patients 13, 20 and 21—Affymetrix 250 Nsp single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array. When parents and other family members were available, de novo or inherited occurrence was investigated using either fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH), MLPA or microarray analysis.

Molecular testing

The majority of 15q13.1q13.3 rearrangements were fine mapped using a custom high resolution oligonucleotide array (NimbleGen Systems Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) consisting of 155 000 isothermal probes (length 45–75) including 1555 probes within the chromosome 15q13 BP3–BP4–BP5 region (chr15:25 500 000–31 500 000, hg 18, http://genome.ucsc.edu/). DNA from patient 1 was analysed using a custom array with
weight of 2960 g (25th centile). At the age of 1 month seizures started. She could walk at the age of 22 months and she pronounced her first words at 4 years. She showed aggressive behaviour in infancy. At adult age she was severely mentally retarded and speech was restricted to few repetitive sentences. She showed apathetic behaviour, epilepsy and had sleeping problems. She had a short stature of 147.5 cm (<3rd centile) and microcephaly with an occipitofrontal circumference (OFC)
rotation of the feet, and multiple pigmented naevi. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain, at 26 years of age, showed several abnormalities consisting of an arachnoidal cyst, parenchymal hypoplasia, subcerebellar picking with dislocation of parenchymal structures, lateral ventricle dilatation and a mild corpus callosum hypogenesis. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion, which was also present in the normal mother. Family history revealed a sister in good health and a second grade cousin of maternal lineage reported with autism.

Patient 2
This boy (fig 3B) was born after a normal pregnancy with a weight of 4170 g (>99th centile) at 37 weeks of gestation. Early development was normal apart from a speech delay. At 5.5 years of age he attended a normal school, but with extra help because of a poor attention span (without hyperactivity) and difficulties in reading and writing. At that time he was healthy apart from asthma and he had a normal growth with a height of 120 cm (91st centile), an OFC of 55 cm (>95th centile), and a weight of 24.3 kg (50th centile). At physical examination full eyebrows, S shaped upper eyelids, a fleshy nose and pigmented naevi were noted. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion which was also present in his mother (fig 2A). She had attended special school during childhood because of similar reading and writing difficulties as her son. At 55 years of age she had raised two children and showed normal adaptive behaviour. She had an OFC of 57 cm (90th centile), coarse hair, a low frontal hairline, coarse hands and pigmented naevi. Her other son had similar learning problems to the proband, but did not have the BP4–BP5 deletion. Evaluation of the family history revealed a maternal uncle with learning problems.

Patient 3
This girl (fig 3C) was born of non-consanguineous healthy parents after 42 weeks of pregnancy with a weight of 5000 g (50th centile). She was treated for a congenital hip dysplasia. After 4 years of age she developed serious behaviour difficulties with temper tantrums and sleeping problems with a disrupted day–night rhythm. At the age of 10 years she had a mild mental retardation (performance IQ 48, verbal IQ 62, total IQ 53) and attended special schooling. At 14 years she had a height of 161 cm (20th centile), an OFC of 54 cm (30th centile) and obvious obesity. She had a hypotonic face, short palpebral fissures, epicantic folds, a full nasal tip, a small mouth, and clinodactyly of the fifth fingers.

Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion which was also present in the healthy father and in two sisters out of three siblings (fig 2B). The father had finished normal level education. Remarkably both sisters attended special school for a couple of years during the initial primary school period. However, they both continued regular schooling afterwards. They both had a flat mid-face and short palpebral fissures, epicantic folds, a full nasal tip, a small mouth, and clinodactyly of the fifth fingers. In addition, the youngest sister had hyperactivity, anxiety problems and sleeping difficulties. Their parents after 42 weeks of pregnancy with a weight of 5000 g (50th centile). She was treated for a congenital hip dysplasia. After 4 years of age she developed serious behaviour difficulties with temper tantrums and sleeping problems with a disrupted day–night rhythm. At the age of 10 years she had a mild mental retardation (performance IQ 48, verbal IQ 62, total IQ 53) and attended special schooling. At 14 years she had a height of 161 cm (20th centile), an OFC of 54 cm (30th centile) and obvious obesity. She had a hypotonic face, short palpebral fissures, epicantic folds, a full nasal tip, a small mouth, and clinodactyly of the fifth fingers.

Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion which was also present in the healthy father and in two sisters out of three siblings (fig 2B). The father had finished normal level education. Remarkably both sisters attended special school for a couple of years during the initial primary school period. However, they both continued regular schooling afterwards. They both had a flat mid-face and short palpebral fissures, epicantic folds, a full nasal tip, a small mouth, and clinodactyly of the fifth fingers. In addition, the youngest sister had hyperactivity, anxiety problems and sleeping difficulties. Their parents after 42 weeks of pregnancy with a weight of 5000 g (50th centile). She was treated for a congenital hip dysplasia. After 4 years of age she developed serious behaviour difficulties with temper tantrums and sleeping problems with a disrupted day–night rhythm. At the age of 10 years she had a mild mental retardation (performance IQ 48, verbal IQ 62, total IQ 53) and attended special schooling. At 14 years she had a height of 161 cm (20th centile), an OFC of 54 cm (30th centile) and obvious obesity. She had a hypotonic face, short palpebral fissures, epicantic folds, a full nasal tip, a small mouth, and clinodactyly of the fifth fingers.
### Table 1

Clinical features of all probands with a 15q13 deletion: patients 1–16 (BP4–BP5), patients 17 and 18 (BP3–BP5), and patient 19 (BP3–BP4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deletion</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Mental retardation</th>
<th>Epilepsy/abnormal EEG</th>
<th>Birth weight</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Other features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited pat</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1 year 6 mo</td>
<td>Inherited pat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 yrs, 6 mo</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited pat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP4–BP5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited pat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP5–BP5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2 yrs, 8 mo</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP5–BP5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP3–BP4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP3–BP4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 mo</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP3–BP4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP3–BP4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP3–BP4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP3–BP4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP3–BP4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
<td>Inherited mat</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP3–BP4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 mo</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestation</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>Inherited pat</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>De novo</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Inherited pat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental retardation</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>De novo</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>Inherited mat</th>
<th>De novo</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Inherited pat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other features</th>
<th>Craniofacial anomalies</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pharyngeal hypoplasia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bilateral narrowing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ears</th>
<th>Folded helix</th>
<th>Large ears</th>
<th>Large ears</th>
<th>Large ears</th>
<th>Large ears</th>
<th>Large ears</th>
<th>Large ears</th>
<th>Large ears</th>
<th>Large ears</th>
<th>Large ears</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoliosis region</th>
<th>Strabismus, blepharophimosis, synophrys</th>
<th>S-shaped eyelids, full eyelashes</th>
<th>Strabismus</th>
<th>Strabismus</th>
<th>Down slant palpebral fissures</th>
<th>Full eyebrows, synophrys</th>
<th>Blepharophimosis, hypertelorism</th>
<th>Up slant palpebral fissures, hypertelorism</th>
<th>Down slant palpebral fissures, hypertelorism</th>
<th>Up slant palpebral fissures, hypertelorism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nose</th>
<th>Bulbous</th>
<th>Full nasal tip</th>
<th>Narrow nasal bridge, pointed tip</th>
<th>Upward position of nares</th>
<th>Bulbous nose</th>
<th>Full nasal tip</th>
<th>Diverted nasal airflow</th>
<th>Thin upper lip</th>
<th>Thin upper lip, broad mouth, smooth philtrum</th>
<th>Smooth philtrum</th>
<th>Large mouth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extremities</th>
<th>Tapered fingers, deep palmar creases, 4th and 5th toes hypoplasia</th>
<th>Glossoptosis, 5th fingers</th>
<th>Patellar laxity</th>
<th>Genu valgum</th>
<th>Ondine syndrome, 5th finger, broad first toe sandal gap</th>
<th>Sinus venosus, bifid artery, 5th fingers, broad first toe sandal gap</th>
<th>Condylar, bifid, brachydactyly, broad fingers, long fingers</th>
<th>Brachydactyly</th>
<th>Brachydactyly</th>
<th>Brachydactyly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spine</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other features</th>
<th>Scoliosis, spine bifida-oculocauda S1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Other features | Scoliosis, spine bifida-oculocauda S1 | | | | | | | | | |

| Other features | Scoliosis, spine bifida-oculocauda S1 | | | | | | | | | |

AVS6: atrial ventricular septal defects; CT: computed tomography; EEG: electroencephalography; mat: maternal; mo: months; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; NA: information not available; OFC: occipitofrontal circumference; pat: paternal; yrs: years.
showed no abnormalities. At physical examination at the age of 44 years he was severely mentally retarded, he had a height of 168 cm (<3rd centile), and an OFC of 55 cm (7th centile). He presented with a high forehead with receding hair, strabismus convergens, a thin nasal bridge, a pointed nasal tip with the columella below the alae nasi, a grooved chin, and a mild pectus excavatum.

Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion. The same deletion was present in his healthy mother and four of his five siblings (fig 2C and supplemental fig 1). The mother had attended normal schooling. Two of the four siblings had had learning problems in childhood and attended special schooling, and the other two siblings had visited regular schooling. All siblings showed normal adaptive skills and two of them had in total four children of which one had visited special schooling.

**Patient 5**

This male was born after 40 weeks of gestation with a normal birth weight of 3425 g (50th centile). Although he was born with a normal head circumference of 36 cm (50th centile) he was noted to have developed a macrocephaly with a head circumference of 54.5 cm (>97th centile) at 5 years 4 months of age. He could sit at 8 months and walk at 17 months of age. At the age of 6 years 10 months he showed an apparent speech delay, had a normal weight and height, macrocephaly, a mild hypotonia, retinal dystrophy, myopia and several café au lait spots.

---

**Table 2** Clinical features of all probands with a 15q13 duplication: patients 20–22 (BP4–BP5) and patient 23 (BP3–BP5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duplication</th>
<th>Patient 20</th>
<th>Patient 21</th>
<th>Patient 22</th>
<th>Patient 23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>17 yrs</td>
<td>4 yrs, 6 mo</td>
<td>20 yrs</td>
<td>4 yrs, 10 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inheritance</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>De novo</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Inherited pat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Mild-moderate</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy/abnormal EEG</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotonia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>P50</td>
<td>&gt;p98</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>P3–P98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>P30</td>
<td>P50</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>P25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFC</td>
<td>P60</td>
<td>P45</td>
<td>P60</td>
<td>P50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current weight</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>&gt;P98</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>&gt;P98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour problems</td>
<td>Autistic features, bipolar disorder</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Preoccupation with food, extremely talkative</td>
<td>Autistic features, self mutilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular region</td>
<td>Synophrys</td>
<td>Hypertelorism</td>
<td>Slightly deep set eyes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nose</td>
<td>High nasal bridge</td>
<td>Low set ears, recurrent ear infections</td>
<td>Low set ears, recurrent ear infections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ears</td>
<td>Low set ears, recurrent ear infections</td>
<td>Cut, syndactyly fingers, brachydactyly, cut syndactyly 2–3 toes</td>
<td>Single palmar crease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremities</td>
<td>Cut. syndactyly fingers, brachydactyly, cut syndactyly 2–3 toes</td>
<td>Single palmar crease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen/urogenital</td>
<td>Cryptorchid testes</td>
<td>Inverted nipples</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain MRI/CT</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT, computed tomography; EEG, electroencephalogram; mo, months; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NA, information not available; OFC, occipitofrontal circumference; pat, paternal; yrs, years.

**Figure 3** Probands with a deletion comprising BP4–BP5. Photographs of probands with a deletion of BP4–BP5 from left to right: (A) Patient 1 at 26 years. (B) Patient 2 at 5 years 6 months. (C) Patient 3 at 14 years. (D) Patient 4 at 40 years. (E) Patient 8 at 1 year 6 months. (F) Patient 9 at 2 years 8 months. (G) Patient 11 at 4 years 9 months. (H) Patient 13 at 11 years. (I) Patient 16 at 3.5 years of age. In addition, one patient (J) with a deletion of BP3–BP5 at 15q13.1q13.3: patient 17 at 17 years. No recognisable facial phenotype could be established based upon the facial and further clinical appearance.
spots, and no obvious dysmorphisms. MRI of the brain at 1 year 11 months of age showed diffuse changes, and periventricular and subcortical white matter in the left parietal and temporal regions. He was not having seizures, behavioural or sleeping problems. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion.

**Patient 6**

This female proband was born term with a birth weight of 4050 g (>97th centile). She is a member of a complex socially disadvantaged family and has two brothers and two sisters. Another two sisters died in early life, one from sudden death at 5 weeks of age and the other after complications related to seizures at 2 years of age. The proband attended special primary school and a career orientated high school during childhood. At the age of 28 years she functioned at a low normal mental level. Her stature was 182 cm (98th centile) and she had a weight of 105 kg (>97th centile). Medical history revealed two surgeries for strabismus and patella luxation, respectively. She never had seizures or behaviour problems. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion which she inherited from her father.

**Patient 7**

This male was born after 40 weeks of gestation with a normal weight (20th centile), length (50th centile) and OFC (80th centile). He could sit at 18 months and walk unsupported at 3 years of age. At the age of 4 years he had an average height (50th centile) and weight (60th centile) and a large OFC (90th centile). He showed a severe mental retardation without speech development, hypotonia and aggressive and impulsive behaviour. He did not show apparent dysmorphisms and did not have seizures. Family history revealed several mild mentally retarded relatives and two sibs with a severe mental retardation. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion which was inherited from his mother.

**Patient 8**

This female (fig 3E) was prematurely born after 28 weeks of gestation with a weight of 1100 g (50th centile), a length of 36 cm (45th centile), and an OFC of 23 cm (3rd centile). Neonatal cerebral ultrasound was normal. She started to sit unsupported at 12 months post-term date and showed a delay in speech development. At 1 year and 6 months she showed a moderate developmental delay and had a length of 75.5 cm, an OFC of 44.5 cm (both 10th centile corrected for prematurity) and a weight of 9290 g (40th centile). She had downslanting palpebral fissures, ptosis, epicanthic folds, an upward position of the nares and large low set ears. Family history revealed a mild mental retardation of the father and two miscarriages. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion which was maternally inherited.

**Patient 9**

This female (fig 3F) was born after an uncomplicated pregnancy at 59 weeks 6 days with a weight of 2750 g (<3rd centile) and a length of 47 cm (<3rd centile). She could walk independently at 2 years of age and had a delayed speech development. At the age of 2 years 8 months she had a height of 98.5 cm (84th centile), a weight of 15.6 kg (50th centile) and an OFC of 50 cm (75th centile). She presented with a mild to moderate mental retardation and showed autistic behaviour, avoiding eye contact. An MRI of the brain was normal. She showed no obvious dysmorphisms except for a clinodactyly of both fifth fingers and a dry skin. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion, the inheritance of which remained unknown.

**Patient 10**

This 9-year-old female presented at birth with a congenital cardiac defect consisting of a tetralogy of Fallot and a triphalangeal thumb; the latter was also present in the father. Birth and current parameters were unavailable. She showed a normal cognitive development without a history of mental delay, autism or seizures. She had no apparent dysmorphisms except for a mild hypertelorism. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion, the inheritance of which remains unknown.

**Patient 11**

This girl (fig 3G) was born after 40 weeks of pregnancy complicated by polyhydramnios with a weight of 4400 g (95th centile). She could sit independently at 9 months and walk at 19 months of age. Until the age of 3 years she expressed fewer than five words. At 4 years 9 months of age she showed developmental delay with fairly poor expressive language and around 60 words of vocabulary. She did not have seizures and brain MRI was normal. She has had behaviour problems consisting of obsessive cleanliness of mouth, face and hands; she is easily emotionally upset and hypersensitive with crowds and sounds. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion which she inherited from her father. He also had been delayed in walking and in speech development and attended special school during childhood. However, at adult age he spoke very well, could spell and read, and showed normal adaptive behaviour and raised one child.

**Patient 12**

This male was born after an uncomplicated pregnancy at 42 weeks of gestation. Speech development was significantly delayed. At the age of 13 years he was moderately mentally retarded with an IQ of 49. He had no epilepsy and an MRI of the brain was normal. Cardiac and ophthalmological examination were also normal. On a skeletal survey he had a marked scoliosis and a spina bifida occulta of S1. On clinical examination he had a nasal speech, a height on the 10th centile, a weight below the 3rd centile, and a head circumference between the 3rd and 25th centile. He had a long face, thick eyebrows with a synophrys, a bulbous nose and large low set ears. The hands showed bilateral simian creases. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion that was also identified in his mother and his brother (fig 2D). Although his mother was not formally assessed she appeared to have a borderline level of intelligence. His brother was mentally retarded with an IQ of 50–56 at the age of 13 years.

**Patient 13**

This 11-year-old daughter (fig 3H) of non-consanguineous parents was born at 40 weeks gestation with a weight of 2850 g (3rd centile). At birth bilateral pre-auricular tags were noted and later surgically removed. She started to walk unsupported at the age of 2.5 years. An MRI of the brain at 3.5 years of age was normal. At age 5 years she was known to have a developmental delay of approximately half a year, short stature (<3rd centile) and absences. At age 7 years her IQ was estimated to be 50. At that time she visited a regular primary school, however, with difficulties for which she received extra help. An EEG at 9 years showed frontal ictal epileptic activity.
At 11 years she still had a short stature (155.5 cm, <3rd centile) and a relative high weight (54 kg, 80th centile), and a normal OFC. Several dysmorphic features were noted, such as hypertelorism, blepharophimosis, a full nasal tip, a thin upper lip, clinodactyly of the fifth fingers, broad hands and feet, and distal brachydactyly of fingers and toes. Furthermore, she had a nasal speech and showed normal behaviour. Microarray analysis revealed a de novo BP4–BP5 deletion.

**Patient 14**

This 5-year-old male was born at term with a weight of 3150 g (50th centile) and a length of 49 cm (50th centile). Pregnancy was complicated with gestational diabetes. Prenatal amniocentesis showed a normal 46,XY karyotype. At the age of 18 months, he was first evaluated due to developmental delay, hypotonia and dysmorphic features. On MRI, an unspecified increased size of the subarachnoid space was reported. He never had seizures. Family history was unremarkable and both parents had normal intelligence as well as his only sister of 11 years. On examination, he had a height of 102 cm (3rd centile), a weight of 15 kg (3rd centile), and an OFC of 48 cm (10th centile). He had a long face and long forehead, downslanting palpebral fissures with lack of eyelashes in the medial third, arched eyebrows, low set and protruding ears with overfolded helices, thin upper lip and a large mouth. Sloping shoulders with protruding scapulae as well as hyperlaxity were also noticed. He showed friendly behaviour and poor concentration skills. Formal IQ testing had not been performed but at 5 years of age he was functioning at about a 3.5 years level on a developmental scale for language and motor skills. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion, the inheritance of which remained unknown.

**Patient 15**

A prenatal diagnosis of cardiac malformation was detected by fetal ultrasounds at 16 weeks gestation in an otherwise normal pregnancy and verified 2 weeks later as right cardiac hypoplasia with severe tricuspid stenosis. Prenatal amniocentesis showed a normal 46,XX karyotype. Pregnancy was subsequently interrupted due to the bad prognosis of the heart malformation. The fetal necropsy confirmed the cardiac findings and detected no other malformations. Both parents were apparently healthy and with normal intelligence as well as their two living children, a boy and a girl. The brother of the mother was reported to have an unspecified cardiac congenital cardiac defect but he was not available for examination. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion that was inherited from the asymptomatic mother.

**Patient 16**

This 3.5-year-old girl (fig 3I) was born at 34 weeks of gestation due to maternal health problems (severe asthma and bronchiectasis). After birth she was found to have a pneumothorax. Family history revealed a mother without educational qualifications and a father who had learning problems. Furthermore, she had a brother, who was diagnosed with epilepsy, but had a normal development. A maternal sister attended special school during childhood and two of her three children were visiting special school. At 3.5 years she had a moderate to severe developmental delay, a poor concentration and behavioural problems. She had a height of 113.5 cm (91–98th centile), a weight of 17 kg (75th–90th centile), and an OFC of 51.1 cm (50th–75th centile), curly blond hair and a large forehead. She had a broad mouth with a thin upper lip and a protuberant tongue. She had small widely spaced teeth and a smooth philtrum. Furthermore, she had faint terminal creases on her fingers and broad big toes with a wide sandal gap. Microarray analysis showed a BP4–BP5 deletion that was inherited from the mother.

**Patient 17**

This boy (fig 3J) was born with a normal birth weight, length and OFC. He started to walk at the age of 14 months. His speech development was delayed and he was diagnosed with a mild mental retardation for which he received special schooling. The family history revealed two maternal half brothers, children of the grandmother, who had had learning difficulties. At the age of 15 years he presented with signs of pubertas praecox with a DHEAS (dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate) concentration of 7.55 μmol/l and gynaecomastia. MRI of the brain at 14.5 years of age showed no abnormalities. An ultrasound of the heart showed mitral valve prolapse and the size of the left ventricle was on the upper border of normal. At the age of almost 17 years he demonstrated profound hyperactive behaviour, enuresis nocturna, and apparent speech problems including dyspraxia and agrammatism. He had a weight of 83 kg (body mass index 30 kg/m²), a height of 166 cm (between 3rd and 10th centile), and microcephaly with an OFC of 54 cm (3rd centile). At physical examination he showed mild hypotonia, obesity, upsloping palpebral fissures, a synophrys, a short nose with an upturned nasal tip, a smooth philtrum and several naevi in the neck. Furthermore, he had a shawl scrotum, scoliosis, clinodactyly of the fifth fingers and brachydactyly. Microarray analysis showed a BP3–BP5 deletion which was proven to have occurred de novo.

**Patient 18**

The pregnancy of this patient was complicated by premature rupture of membranes at 30 weeks of gestation. This boy was born at 35 weeks by emergency caesarean section after failure of induction of labour which had been initiated due to poor fetal growth. After birth he was treated for minor respiratory difficulties and mild jaundice. He also had polycythaemia which resolved spontaneously. His birth weight was 2520 g (50th centile). At 20 months, he was noted to have developmental delay, hypotonia and dysmorphic features. Family history revealed a mother with mild to moderate learning difficulties. He had three siblings with the same parents, one brother with learning difficulties, and two unaffected siblings. He also had one half sister with developmental delay and a half twin sibling, less than 1 year old, with a possible developmental delay. The proband was fostered from an early age because his mother was unable to cope looking after her children. For this reason information on early development was scarce. At 5.5 years of age his developmental age for gross motor and speech development was 3.5 years. On examination, at the age of 8 years, his height was 124.7 cm (25th centile). At 20 months, he was noted to have developmental delay, hypotonia and dysmorphic features. Family history revealed a mother with mild to moderate learning difficulties. He had three siblings with the same parents, one brother with learning difficulties, and two unaffected siblings. He also had one half sister with developmental delay and a half twin sibling, less than 1 year old, with a possible developmental delay. The proband was fostered from an early age because his mother was unable to cope looking after her children. For this reason information on early development was scarce. At 5.5 years of age his developmental age for gross motor and speech development was 3.5 years. On examination, at the age of 8 years, his height was 124.7 cm (25th centile), his weight was 22.1 kg (9th centile), and he had an OFC of 53 cm (25th centile). He had long, downslanting palpebral fissures and long eyelashes, arched eyebrows, large ears and a large mouth. He had hirsutism on his back, prominent finger pads and broad finger tips, with short fifth fingers. He was generally hypotonic in his limbs. He showed friendly behaviour and sometimes had difficulties in maintaining his concentration. He had not had any seizures and a cerebral MRI or CT scan had not been performed. Microarray analysis showed a BP5–BP5 deletion, the inheritance of which remained unknown.
Patient 19
This girl was born with a weight of 2250 g (<3rd centile) at 39 weeks of gestation. The pregnancy had been complicated by intrauterine growth retardation and an elevated α-fetoprotein (AFP), prompting concern for spina bifida. However, fetal ultrasound showed a normal anatomy and she presented with a closed spine at birth. At 9 months she appeared to have a degree of central hypotonia and failure to thrive. At 2 years of age her weight had caught up to the 9th centile at 10.7 kg but her height remained below the 3rd centile. She was microcephalic with an OFC of 45 cm (<3rd centile). She was functioning at approximately 16 months for her gross motor skills and other areas of development. During physical examination hypertelorism, upward slanting palpebral fissures, a prominent nasal root and brachydactyly were noted. Microarray analysis showed a BP3–BP4 deletion, which she had inherited from her father who showed a normal cognitive function. The same deletion was also confirmed in her 5-year-old brother and paternal uncle, who had both had a normal development. Her other brother, aged 4 years, was also mentally retarded but did not have the BP3–BP4 deletion (fig 2E).

Duplication cases
Patient 20
This male patient was born after 36.5 weeks of gestation with a weight of 2900 g (50th centile). The family history revealed psychiatric problems in both parents—the mother had a personality disorder and recurrent depressions, and the father was known to have schizophrenia. During childhood he was fostered for several periods when his mother was hospitalised for recurrent depression and a borderline personality, therefore information on early childhood is minimal. CT of the brain and an EEG at 6 years of age were normal. He had recurrent ear infections and received a transplant tympanic membrane because of cholesteatomatosa. At the age of 15 years he was operated on for a right sided undescended testis. He had a mild mental retardation and received special education both in primary and secondary school. He was known to have aggressive behaviour from childhood onwards. At 17 years he was diagnosed with a bipolar disorder type II, PDD-NOS (pervasive development disorder not otherwise specified) and showed autistic features. At physical examination he presented with a slender build with small shoulders and narrow thorax, height of 179.5 cm (50th centile) and an OFC of 58.2 cm (60th centile). He had a hypotonic narrow face, coarse hair with a white forelock, synophrys, apparent low set ears with folded helices and a prominent lobule, a high nasal bridge and wide spaced teeth. Furthermore, he had short fingers bilaterally with a mild cutaneous syndactyly of the second, third and fourth fingers. In addition, he had a partial cutaneous syndactyly of the second and third toes bilaterally. Microarray analysis revealed a BP4–BP5 duplication, the occurrence of which could not be assessed in both parents.

Patient 21
This girl was born with a weight of 4850 g (>98th centile) and a length of 51 cm (50th centile). At the age of 4 years 6 months she had a mild developmental delay. At that time she presented with a stiff way of moving, mild behaviour problems, obesity and recurrent ear infections. She had a height of 109 cm (50th centile), an OFC of 50.2 cm (45th centile) and a weight of 26.2 kg (>98th centile). Physical examination revealed mild dysmorphic facial features consisting of brachycephaly, a broad and short forehead, low set simple formed ears with flat upper helices and hypertelorism (the latter also present in mother). Furthermore she had inverted nipples, a right sided transverse palmar crease, flat arches of both feet, hypermobility of joints and dry skin. An MRI of the brain showed no abnormalities. Microarray analysis revealed a BP4–BP5 duplication which had occurred de novo.

Patient 22
This female patient had been in foster care since infancy. Early information was therefore not available and family history was unknown.
During childhood she attended a special school and had severe learning difficulties. She did not have major behavioural problems but was said to have a preoccupation with food and a tendency to overeat. She was extremely talkative. At 20 years her height (25th centile) and OFC (60th centile) were normal. She progressed through puberty normally and had normal sexual development. On examination she had no apparent dysmorphisms.

Patient 23
This girl was born after an uneventful pregnancy with a normal weight, length and OFC. She showed a delayed motor development and started to walk at 19 months of age. At the age of 4 years 10 months she had a severe mental retardation with no obvious motor problems any more. She was overweight with a weight of 24 kg (>98th centile), and had a normal height of 105 cm (25th centile) and an OFC of 50 cm (50th centile). MRI of the brain at the age of 2 years showed no abnormalities. She had no obvious dysmorphic features although she did not resemble her parents. She presented with distant behaviour reminiscent of autism with repetitive rocking and head banging. In addition to a BP3–BP4 duplication, a 200 kb deletion at 14q51.1 was identified in this girl. Both rearrangements were also present in her healthy father, who was of normal intelligence and appearance.

DISCUSSION
To determine the clinical and molecular characteristics of segmental aneuploidies in the 15q13.3 region, we studied 18 newly identified probands with a deletion comprising the BP4–BP5 region (including two cases with a deletion of BP3–BP5 region), one patient with a BP3–BP4 deletion only, and four new probands with a duplication comprising the BP4–BP5 region (including one case with a duplication of BP3–BP5). The 16 cases with a BP4–BP5 deletion were found in a cohort of 6624 persons with idiopathic mental retardation and congenital abnormalities (0.24%).
The deletion cases showed a broad phenotypic variability. Of the 17 living probands with a BP3–BP5 (n = 2) or BP4–BP5 (n = 15) deletion, 16 had a degree of cognitive impairment varying from mild learning problems to all levels of mental retardation. Thirteen relatives carrying the deletion and one proband (case 10) functioned at a normal cognitive level: the latter was ascertained for array analysis because of tetralogy of Fallot and limb abnormalities. In the majority of patients, no significant dysmorphisms were noted. Behavioural problems were frequent in our patient cohort (10/17 probands, 59%) and mainly comprised poor attention span, hyperactivity, and aggressive and impulsive behaviour (table 1). Another common feature was hypotonia (8/17 probands, 47%) and a prominent or bulbous nasal tip (6/17 probands, 35%). Less frequently...
observed features were short stature (4/17 probands, 24%), strabismus (3/17 probands, 18%), large ears (4/17 probands, 24%), cardiac defects (3/18 probands, 17%), clinodactyly of the fifth fingers (4/17 probands, 24%), and pigmented naevi (3/17 probands, 18%). However, all these frequencies would be significantly lower if healthy relatives with the same deletion were included. In addition, only two patients had a history of seizures which is less frequent in our cases than those reported previously, and does not support the idea that seizures are a common manifestation in patients with a 15q13.3 microdeletion. Based on the phenotypic features in the individual patients in this series with a deletion including BP4–BP5, no straightforward clinically recognisable phenotype could be established (fig 3, table 1).

We identified one patient (case 18, fig 2E) with a deletion of BP3–BP4, which was also present in her oldest brother, father and uncle, who all had normal cognitive development, but was not present in her mentally retarded brother. A further inherited BP3–BP4 deletion was previously described in a developmentally delayed girl and her normal father, but not in over 2000 controls in different studies describing copy number variation in control individuals. Based upon these numbers, the BP3–BP4 deletion appears to be a rare event which is likely to reflect the genomic architecture of this region in which there is greater homology between the inverted repeats at BP4–BP5 than BP3–BP4. The limited data so far indicate that the BP3–BP4 deletion is a novel copy number variation of doubtful clinical significance, especially as the phenotype of both the BP3–BP5 cases (case 16 and 17) was not more severely affected than that of patients with a deletion comprising BP4–BP5 only.

Remarkably, at least 11 of the 16 BP4–BP5 deletions were inherited. One of these parents had a mild mental retardation (mother of patient 7), one appeared to have a borderline mental functioning (mother of patient 12), and four had some learning difficulties as a child only (parents of patients 2, 3, 11 and 16). In four different families all siblings were tested, and seven of 10 siblings carried the same deletion (fig 2A–D). One sibling had mild developmental delay, four had learning problems in childhood, but functioned normally at a later age, and two never had learning problems at all. All, but one, parents and adult siblings showed normal adaptive function and 13 of them raised children.

In addition, in two recent studies reporting recurrent microdeletions associated with schizophrenia, a 15q13.3 deletion was identified in seven out of 4123 schizophrenia patients and eight out of 39 800 controls in the first study, and in nine out of 3591 patients and none of the 5181 controls in the second. The fact that we found the deletion in several normal family members of mentally retarded probands and in several controls suggests that the BP4–BP5 deletion alone does not lead to mental retardation per se.

Therefore, we assume that the BP4–BP5 deletion plays a significant contributing role in the pathogenesis of different conditions affecting the brain, such as mental retardation and schizophrenia, in the affected individuals, but the variable phenotypic outcome of the deletion is likely to be determined in conjunction with other factors. This is similar to the 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome which was initially found in patients with DiGeorge syndrome and velocardiofacial syndrome predominantly. However, several later studies showed that individuals, often parents of affected children, with “subclinical deletions” were more common than previously considered. The familial occurrence (parent–child) of the 22q11.2 deletion varied in different studies from 5–28%.

Another deletion with a comparable complex inheritance pattern is the 1q21 microdeletion associated with the thrombocytopenia absent radius (TAR) syndrome. Although this deletion was not observed in a normal control population, approximately 75% of the TAR patients inherited the 1q21 deletion from an unaffected parent. This suggests that haploinsufficiency of the deleted region alone is not sufficient to cause TAR syndrome in the carrier parent, but is a prerequisite for the phenotype in the affected offspring. Located slightly more distal on chromosome 1q21.1, other recurrent reciprocal rearrangements have also been described. These (most commonly 1.35 Mb deletions and duplications) result in a considerable variability in expressivity among the probands and in several cases have also been inherited from apparently unaffected parents.

A further, recently described, disease susceptibility locus is 16p13.1. Deletions of a 1.5 Mb segment on chromosome 16p13.1 are associated with mental retardation, microcephaly, seizures and behavioural problems. Duplications seem to predispose to autism in males. However, both aberrations show incomplete penetrance which is demonstrated by their presence in apparently unaffected relatives.

The 15q13.3 microdeletion is associated with a highly variable intra- and inter-familial clinical phenotype despite the uniformity of the chromosome deletion. For the 22q11.2 syndrome and other microdeletion syndromes various hypotheses have been proposed to explain the broad phenotypic spectrum also applicable to the 15q13.3 deletion. Besides the contributing contiguous genes, one other molecular mechanism might be the presence of a masked recessive mutation or functional polymorphism in one of the genes on the remaining allele, such as COMT polymorphism described in the 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome. In the 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome, the presence of the low activity variant, in addition to a deletion of the other allele, is a risk factor for prefrontal cortical volume decline, a lower cognition and developing psychotic symptoms.

Epigenetic or environmental factors are another possible explanation as suggested by discordance in clinical outcome of monozygotic twins with a 22q11.2 deletion. The possibility of expression differences between phenotypically diverse family members with a 15q13.3 deletion caused by an imprinting defect, such as in PWS or AS, seems unlikely since several of the BP4–BP5 deletion cases were inherited from both males and females as parents of origin (two paternal, five maternal). Moreover, none of the genes in this region have been described to possess imprinting characteristics (Genomic Imprinting Website: www.geneimprint.com/site/genes-by-species, September 2008).

A further explanation might be that some individuals are able to overcome one or more impairments, caused by haploinsufficiency of specific genes, during pre- or postnatal development. This is consistent with the observation that some individuals showed a normal development from birth onwards, whereas others did have some learning problems during childhood, but functioned at a normal level in adulthood. A similar mechanism had been proposed for the occurrence of the specific heart defects in 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome, where reduction in penetrance during development was demonstrated in a 22q11.2 deletion mouse model. As in humans, not all carrier mice show a cardiac defect at birth. However, all embryos show abnormal small fourth pharyngeal arch arteries during early embryogenesis in contrast to their wild type counterparts. Many embryos (68%) later overcome this early defect and develop normally. This ability to overcome the primary embryological death...
appears to be a key factor in determining the final penetrance. We hypothesise a similar mechanism could play a role for cognitive functioning in 15q13.3 cases.

In addition, we described four patients with a duplication on 15q13.1q13.3: three cases with a duplication of the BP4–BP5 region and one patient with a BP3–BP5 duplication. Features noted in at least two out of four affected individuals in addition to the mental retardation were behavioural problems, autism, hypotonia, obesity, recurrent ear infections and low set ears. None of these patients had structural brain abnormalities or epileptic seizures. Although some dysmorphic features were noted in individual patients, these patients did not share a common recognisable phenotype (Table 2).

To conclude whether this duplication is pathogenic is rather difficult with the limited number of patients (n = 4) in our cohort and the unknown inheritance of the duplication in two of them. Remarkably, the duplication was proven de novo in one of the patients, which could be interpreted as evidence of pathogenicity, but it was inherited from a normal parent in one of the other patients and two duplications in the BP3–BP4–BP5 region have been identified in a control set consisting of 960 non-retarded individuals. The duplication might be a genetic modifier contributing to mental retardation and future studies of persons with 15q13 duplications are needed to determine whether there is a correlation with psychiatric disease and cognitive functioning in these patients.

There are six known genes (fig 1) within the BP4–BP5 region (29.0–30.5 Mb, hg 18), any or all of which may contribute to the phenotypes observed in patients with deletions. The CHRNA7 gene encodes a synaptic ion channel protein mediating neuronal signal transmission. It has been suggested that the CHRNA7 gene is an interesting candidate gene in the pathogenesis of epilepsy, but the frequency of epilepsy in our cohort probands was relatively low (12%). If all BP4–BP5 carriers (proband and relatives) are considered, the frequency becomes even lower (6%). Interestingly, several patients with a deletion showed apparent behavioural problems such as aggressive and impulsive behaviour, autism, short attention span and hyperactivity. Moreover, in two patients with a duplication, clear psychiatric disease was reported: one patient presented with autistic features and bipolar disorder and another patient showed autistic behaviour and self mutilation. Interestingly, patients with supernumerary marker(15) chromosomes frequently show autistic behaviour. Although these duplications are often confined to the FWS/AS region (BP1–BP5), patients with larger markers including BP4 and BP5 have been described. Different studies have shown linkage of markers in the proximity of the CHRNA7 gene with schizophrenia, with an endophenotype of schizophrenia (the P50 sensory gating disorder) and with bipolar disorder. However, these findings were not consistently replicated. Recently BP4–BP5 deletions have been described in 16 individuals with schizophrenia, one of whom also had autism. This association with psychiatric disease suggests an even broader developmental impact of the BP4–BP5 deletion than previously recognised. Remarkably, none of our deletion carriers, probands and relatives had schizophrenia, although one patient had autism and several cases showed apparent behaviour problems. Neither of the two schizophrenia studies discusses whether duplications of the same region have been found in these individuals. In our series, one duplication case with bipolar disorder, a disease closely related to schizophrenia, had a father with schizophrenia who was unfortunately unavailable for testing. In order to establish a possible relationship of duplications in this region with psychiatric disorders further studies will be necessary.

Cardiac defects were noted in three deletion patients (18%): one patient with mitral valve prolapse and a slightly enlarged left ventricle, one patient with tetralogy of Fallot, and a fetal case with right cardiac hypoplasia with severe tricuspid stenosis. Interestingly, one of the genes within the BP4–BP5 region is KLF13 (Kruppel-like transcription factor 13) encoding a member of the Kruppel-like family of zinc finger proteins that was recently identified as a regulator of cardiac gene expression and heart morphogenesis. KLF13 is a well conserved gene and a functional knockout of KLF13 in Xenopus embryos results in a cardiac phenotype. In these embryos initial heart development was normal but, 5 days post-fertilisation, the heart was visibly smaller and histological examination of the KLF13 depleted hearts showed several defects including a lack of ventricular trabeculation, atrial septal defects, delayed atrioventricular cushion formation and maturation of valves. We suggest that the finding of a 15q13.3 deletion, comprising the KLF13 gene, in a patient should warrant further cardiac evaluation.

In conclusion, the clinical significance of the 15q13.3 microduplication remains uncertain, although it may be associated with cognitive impairment and psychiatric disease. The 15q13.3 microdeletion does not lead to an as yet clinically recognisable syndrome but comprises an extensive clinical spectrum ranging from normal development to learning problems, to mild and even severe mental retardation, and may also predispose to psychiatric disease. The existence of microdeletions, associated with an unpredictable and variable phenotypic outcome, will present the clinician with diagnostic difficulties and will challenge us to re-evaluate the commonly used paradigm in the diagnostic setting—that aberrations inherited from a phenotypically normal parent are usually non-pathogenic.
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