Far-infrared observations of an unbiased sample of gamma-ray burst host galaxies
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ABSTRACT

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are the most energetic phenomena in the Universe; believed to result from the collapse and subsequent explosion of massive stars. Even though it has profound consequences for our understanding of their nature and selection biases, little is known about the dust properties of the galaxies hosting GRBs. We present analysis of the far-infrared properties of an unbiased sample of 20 BeppoSAX and Swift GRB host galaxies (at an average redshift of $z = 3.1$) located in the Herschel Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey, the Herschel Virgo Cluster Survey, the Herschel Fornax Cluster Survey, the Herschel Stripe 82 Survey and the Herschel Multi-tiered Extragalactic Survey, totalling 880 deg$^2$, or $\sim$3 per cent of the sky in total. Our sample selection is serendipitous, based only on whether the X-ray position of a GRB lies within a large-scale Herschel survey – therefore our sample can be considered completely unbiased. Using deep data at wavelengths of 100–500 $\mu$m, we tentatively detected 1 out of 20 GRB hosts located in these fields. We constrain their dust masses and star formation rates (SFRs), and discuss these in the context of recent measurements of submillimetre galaxies and ultraluminous infrared galaxies. The average far-infrared flux of our sample gives an upper limit on SFR of $<114$ M$_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$. The detection rate of GRB hosts is consistent with that predicted assuming that GRBs trace the cosmic SFR density in an unbiased way, i.e. that the fraction of GRB hosts with SFR > 500 M$_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ is consistent with the contribution of such luminous galaxies to the cosmic star formation density.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Long-duration gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) have, in many cases, been proven to be connected with supernovae (e.g. Galama et al. 1998; Hjorth et al. 2003), suggesting that their progenitor stars are short lived (Heger et al. 2003). As a result, GRBs are expected to be located in regions undergoing active star formation, making GRBs potentially ideal tracers of star formation across a large range of redshifts and helping us to chart the star-forming history of our Universe (e.g. Wijers et al. 1998; Yonetoku et al. 2004).

Many properties of GRBs are useful for accomplishing the above task. Their extremely high luminosity at gamma-ray wavelengths allows for their detection out to very high redshift, with minimal extinction from gas or dust. The average GRB redshift is $z \sim 2.2$ (Jakobsson et al. 2006, 2012; Fynbo et al. 2009; Hjorth et al. 2012), but in principle GRBs should be visible out to $z \geq 15–20$ (Lamb & Reichart 2000), and in practice they have been observed out to $z \sim 9$ (Salvaterra et al. 2009; Tanvir et al. 2009; Cucchiara et al. 2011). Moreover, detections of GRBs are independent of the luminosity of their host galaxies, which allows us to probe fainter galaxies than in typical flux-limited samples (e.g. Tanvir et al. 2012). Previous studies have found that GRB hosts are mostly faint and blue (Le Floc’h et al. 2003), with the GRB occurring in (rest-frame) UV-bright regions (Bloom, Kulkarni & Djorgovski 2002; Fruchter et al. 2006; Leloudas et al. 2010, 2011), which is consistent with active star formation. More recent studies (e.g. Greiner et al. 2011; Krühler et al. 2011; Rossi et al. 2012; Perley et al. 2013) show that GRB hosts span a wider range of properties, suggesting that previous optically-selected host surveys were biased towards these faint blue hosts.

Before we can use GRBs to quantitatively trace star formation across cosmic history, such biases of GRB and GRB host galaxy samples must be well understood. Using The Optically Unbiased GRB Host survey (Hjorth et al. 2012), Michalowski et al. (2012) and Perley et al. (2014) analysed the radio-derived star formation rates (SFRs) of GRB hosts at $z < 2$. They found that the distributions of SFRs of GRB hosts were consistent with that of other star-forming galaxies at $z < 2$, suggesting that GRBs may be able to trace cosmic star formation; however, they made clear that further study of potential biases in the morphology and metallicity of GRB hosts is required. Similarly, Hunt et al. (2014) and Schady et al. (2014) concluded that SFRs in GRB hosts are consistent with other star-forming galaxies, and found no strong evidence for GRB hosts being biased tracers of the global star formation rate density (SFRD).

However, their sample favoured infrared-bright hosts. In their study of the hosts of dust-obscured GRBs, Perley et al. (2013, 2014) found that they are not massive enough to bring the overall GRB host population into the expected territory of an unbiased SFR-tracing population for $z \sim 1$. They found that GRB hosts appear to be biased toward low-mass galaxies and suggested that the GRB rate relative to SFR is highly dependent on host-galaxy environment at this redshift, and highlighted that further studies of metallicity are needed. These findings are corroborated by Boissier et al. (2013).

Here, we attempt to study the distribution of SFRs of GRB hosts using the unbiased sample of GRB hosts that are located inside wide-area Herschel surveys. It is important to study this aspect at both ultraviolet/optical and infrared wavelength, since these give access to unobscured and dust-obscured star formation activity, respectively. The latter is still poorly understood for GRB hosts, where deep observations and detections have been largely limited to low-redshifts and biased samples (Frail et al. 2002; Berger et al. 2003; Tanvir et al. 2004; de Ugarte Postigo et al. 2012; Wang, Chen & Huang 2012; Hatsukade et al. 2014; Hunt et al. 2014; Michalowski et al. 2014; Schady et al. 2014; Symeonidis et al. 2014). The objectives of this paper are to (1) measure the dust-obscured SFRs and dust masses of GRB host galaxies using an unbiased sample; and (2) test whether or not GRBs are unbiased tracers of cosmic star formation at $z > 2$.

The layout of this paper is as follows. Section 2 contains an overview of the Herschel surveys whose data we used to acquire our sample. We then discuss the measured properties of the GRB hosts in Section 3, before moving on to a more extensive discussion of these properties as compared to recent analyses of other galaxy types in Section 4. We provide out conclusions in Section 5.

We use a cosmological model of $H_0 = 70 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.7$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.3$ and a Salpeter (Salpeter 1955) initial mass function.

2 SAMPLE AND DATA

The Herschel Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey (H-ATLAS; Eales et al. 2010) is the largest open-time survey conducted by the Herschel Space Observatory. It covers approximately 600 deg$^2$ in the far-infrared (FIR) and submillimetre wavelengths, using PACS (100, 160 μm; Poglitsch et al. 2010) and SPIRE (250, 350 and 500 μm; Griffin et al. 2010). The Herschel Virgo Cluster Survey (HeViCS; Davies et al. 2010) used PACS and SPIRE to survey a total area of 84 deg$^2$ (Auld et al. 2013; Baes et al. 2014) of the Virgo galaxy cluster, with diminishing sensitivities beyond the central 55 deg$^2$. Similarly, the Herschel Fornax Cluster Survey (HeFoCS; Davies et al. 2013) used PACS and SPIRE to survey a total area of 16 deg$^2$ in the Fornax cluster. The Herschel Stripe 82 Survey (HerS; Viero et al. 2014) covered 79 deg$^2$ along the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) ‘Stripe 82’ field using SPIRE. The Herschel Multi-tiered Extragalactic Survey (HerMES; Roseboom et al. 2010; Oliver et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2012; Viero et al. 2009)

Table 1. Details of Herschel surveys used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Area (deg$^2$)</th>
<th>Total noise (mJy beam$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-ATLAS</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeFoCS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HerMES$^b$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HerS$^c$</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeViCS</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. $^a$ The wavelength in microns of each band is given in the header.

$^b$ HerMES data have different noise levels depending upon the field surveyed. The average noise levels for each band are presented here, given in reference (4).

$^c$ Coverage of the HerS maps is not uniform. The average noise levels are presented here for the deeper part of the survey (where GRB 060908 is located, see Table 2 and reference 5).

Hypothesis indicates that data were not taken or not available in that band.

References: (1) Auld et al. (2010); (2) Pascale et al. (2011); (3) Fuller et al. (2014); (4) Smith et al. (2012); (5) Viero et al. (2014) and (6) Auld et al. (2013).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRB</th>
<th>α (J2000)</th>
<th>δ (J2000)</th>
<th>90 per cent error (arcsec)</th>
<th>Herschel field</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>990308</td>
<td>185.797 6667</td>
<td>6.734 7500</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>HeViCS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Schaefer et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050412</td>
<td>181.105 3333</td>
<td>−1.200 1667</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>GAMA12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hjorth et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050522</td>
<td>200.144 0417</td>
<td>24.789 0833</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>NGP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Butler (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>051001</td>
<td>350.953 0417</td>
<td>−31.523 1389</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>SGP</td>
<td>2.4296</td>
<td>Butler (2007); Hjorth et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060206</td>
<td>202.931 2917</td>
<td>35.050 7778</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>NGP</td>
<td>4.048</td>
<td>Butler (2007); Fynbo et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060908</td>
<td>31.826 7500</td>
<td>0.342 277 78</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>HerS</td>
<td>1.884</td>
<td>Hjorth et al. (2012); Jakobsson et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>070611</td>
<td>1,992 416 67</td>
<td>29.755 4722</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>SGP</td>
<td>2.0394</td>
<td>Butler (2007); Hjorth et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>070810A</td>
<td>189.963 5000</td>
<td>10.750 8889</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>HeViCS</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>Hjorth et al. (2012); Schady et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>070911</td>
<td>25.809 5417</td>
<td>−33.484 1389</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>SGP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Butler (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>071028B</td>
<td>354.161 7917</td>
<td>−31.620 3611</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>SGP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clemens et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080310</td>
<td>220.057 5000</td>
<td>−0.174 8889</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>GAMA15</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>Littlejohns et al. (2012); Fox et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>091130B</td>
<td>203.148 1250</td>
<td>34.088 5278</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>NGP</td>
<td>2.339</td>
<td>Butler (2007); Sparre et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110128A</td>
<td>193.896 2917</td>
<td>28.065 4444</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>HeViCS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chuang et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110407A</td>
<td>186.031 3917</td>
<td>15.711 8417</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>NGP</td>
<td>2.339</td>
<td>Butler (2007); Sparre et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111204A</td>
<td>336.628 3750</td>
<td>−31.374 8056</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>SGP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sonbas et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120703A</td>
<td>339.356 7083</td>
<td>−29.723 2500</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NGP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Xu et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120927A</td>
<td>136.613 7500</td>
<td>0.146 1944</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>GAMA09</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beardo et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121121A</td>
<td>195.533 2917</td>
<td>30.148 5000</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NGP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chesler &amp; Mangoano (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130502A</td>
<td>138.568 9583</td>
<td>−0.123 3056</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>GAMA09</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beardo et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140102A</td>
<td>211.919 3750</td>
<td>15.711 8417</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>GAMA15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hagen (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140515A</td>
<td>186.065 0000</td>
<td>15.104 5556</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>HeViCS</td>
<td>6.327</td>
<td>Butler (2007); Chernock et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 www.astro.caltech.edu/hers/
3 hedam.lam.fr/HerMES/
4 The catalogue (butler.lab.asu.edu/Swift/xrt_pos.html) is constantly updated. We accessed the catalogue on 2014 July 25. At this time, it had 780 entries.

These were 9.4, 13.4, 18.2, 24.9 and 36.3 arcsec for the 100, 160, 250, 350 and 500 μm bands, respectively.
Figure 1. Herschel images at the positions of the GRBs in our sample. At the centre of each frame, a blue box indicates the position of the GRB, as listed in Table 2. Additional sources, which were simultaneously fitted together with the GRB positions, are marked with red circles (see Section 3). PACS images are shown from $-2$ (white) to 2 mJy pixel$^{-1}$ (black). The pixel sizes are 3 and 4 arcsec for 100 and 160 µm, respectively. SPIRE images from $-10$ (white) to 30 mJy beam$^{-1}$ (black). Each panel is 2 arcmin on a side. The first five columns show 100, 160 µm PACS bands, and 250, 350, 500 µm SPIRE bands, respectively, while blank frames indicate that PACS maps of these regions (HerS) are not available. The last column shows the optical data [from Hjorth et al. (2012) for 050412, 051001, 060908, 070611 and 070810A; from Thöne et al. (2008) for 060206; from de Ugarte Postigo et al. (2011) and Sparre et al. (2011) for 110128A; from SDSS (Ahn et al. 2012) for 990308, 050522 and 110407A and from GAMA (Driver et al. 2009) for 070911, 080310, 091130B, 111204A, 120703A, 120927A, 121211A, 130502A, 140102A and 140515A].
Figure 1 – continued
beam size at 250 µm). This source (HATLAS J133235.2+340526) has measured flux densities of 43 ± 6, 21 ± 7 and 7 ± 8 mJy in the 250, 350 and 500 µm bands, respectively (Rigby et al. 2011). An association between the GRB and the source is unlikely – their 9 arcsec separation is large compared to the 2 arcsec positional accuracy of the Herschel source.

SFRs were calculated according to Kennicutt (1998), based on the infrared luminosity integrated over 8-1000 µm using the SED of ULIRG Arp 220 (Silva et al. 1998), scaled to the flux densities of our GRB hosts. The use of Arp 220 is appropriate, since its dust temperature is close to that of typical GRB hosts (Priddey et al. 2006; Michalowski et al. 2008, 2009, 2014; Watson et al. 2011; Hatsukade et al. 2014; Hunt et al. 2014; Symeonidis et al. 2014). However, since we probe close to the peak of dust emission, the choice of the template does not influence the results substantially. For example, the median difference between the SFRs calculated using the SED of Arp 220 and the SED of a typical SMG (Michałowski, Hjorth & Watson 2010) is ~10 per cent.

![Figure 1](http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/)

**Table 3.** Herschel flux density at GRB positions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRB</th>
<th>F100 (mJy)</th>
<th>F160 (mJy)</th>
<th>F250 (mJy)</th>
<th>F350 (mJy)</th>
<th>F500 (mJy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>990308</td>
<td>−13 ± 9</td>
<td>7 ± 12</td>
<td>−1 ± 7</td>
<td>2 ± 8</td>
<td>−2 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050412</td>
<td>−6 ± 13</td>
<td>−24 ± 18</td>
<td>7 ± 7</td>
<td>2 ± 8</td>
<td>9 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050522</td>
<td>12 ± 13</td>
<td>−17 ± 17</td>
<td>−10 ± 8</td>
<td>−7 ± 8</td>
<td>0 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>051001</td>
<td>10 ± 7</td>
<td>3 ± 12</td>
<td>−5 ± 8</td>
<td>−4 ± 10</td>
<td>−6 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060206</td>
<td>20 ± 14</td>
<td>2 ± 20</td>
<td>8 ± 8</td>
<td>6 ± 11</td>
<td>7 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060908a</td>
<td>− −</td>
<td>−8 ± 8</td>
<td>−3 ± 9</td>
<td>−2 ± 9</td>
<td>−2 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07611</td>
<td>−14 ± 20</td>
<td>14 ± 20</td>
<td>11 ± 5</td>
<td>12 ± 6</td>
<td>−3 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076810A</td>
<td>−30 ± 17</td>
<td>−19 ± 24</td>
<td>5 ± 10</td>
<td>15 ± 10</td>
<td>28 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076911</td>
<td>8 ± 11</td>
<td>22 ± 20</td>
<td>9 ± 12</td>
<td>3 ± 13</td>
<td>−7 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076928B</td>
<td>6 ± 14</td>
<td>0 ± 20</td>
<td>−2 ± 9</td>
<td>0 ± 8</td>
<td>3 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080310</td>
<td>−3 ± 11</td>
<td>19 ± 14</td>
<td>−12 ± 9</td>
<td>−19 ± 10</td>
<td>−24 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>091110</td>
<td>−9 ± 14</td>
<td>−23 ± 18</td>
<td>−11 ± 9</td>
<td>4 ± 8</td>
<td>4 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110128A</td>
<td>13 ± 14</td>
<td>−7 ± 18</td>
<td>15 ± 8</td>
<td>6 ± 9</td>
<td>5 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110407A</td>
<td>−10 ± 11</td>
<td>11 ± 18</td>
<td>−15 ± 5</td>
<td>−14 ± 9</td>
<td>−13 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112017A</td>
<td>23 ± 14</td>
<td>−34 ± 17</td>
<td>−17 ± 9</td>
<td>−3 ± 13</td>
<td>5 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120703A(^b)</td>
<td>33 ± 13</td>
<td>−39 ± 20</td>
<td>4 ± 10</td>
<td>0 ± 9</td>
<td>4 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120927A</td>
<td>−20 ± 13</td>
<td>0 ± 22</td>
<td>16 ± 11</td>
<td>−1 ± 10</td>
<td>−9 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121211A</td>
<td>−3 ± 13</td>
<td>−16 ± 16</td>
<td>−14 ± 7</td>
<td>−3 ± 8</td>
<td>−2 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130502A</td>
<td>22 ± 11</td>
<td>7 ± 14</td>
<td>−10 ± 5</td>
<td>−21 ± 8</td>
<td>−31 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140102A</td>
<td>−18 ± 12</td>
<td>−13 ± 17</td>
<td>−1 ± 8</td>
<td>−6 ± 9</td>
<td>−3 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140515A</td>
<td>0 ± 9</td>
<td>−8 ± 9</td>
<td>−14 ± 8</td>
<td>−13 ± 10</td>
<td>−20 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWM(^c)</td>
<td>2 ± 3</td>
<td>−4 ± 4</td>
<td>−3 ± 2</td>
<td>−2 ± 2</td>
<td>−3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacking</td>
<td>1 ± 3</td>
<td>−2 ± 4</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>3 ± 4</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) GRB 060908 is inside HerS, a SPIRE-only survey. 
\(b\) Tentative detection. 
\(c\) Noise-weighted mean.
To derive dust masses of GRB hosts, we assumed $T_{\text{dust}} = 50$ K (a similar temperature to Arp 220; Klaas et al. 1997; Dunne et al. 2000; Lisenfeld, Isaak & Hills 2000; Rangwala et al. 2011), emissivity $\beta = 1.5$ (which yields conservative upper limits) and a dust absorption coefficient $k_{12\text{mm}} = 0.67$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Silva et al. 1998). Assuming lower $T_{\text{dust}} = 30$ K results in masses larger by a factor of $\sim 10$. Derived properties of the GRB hosts (at $T_{\text{dust}} = 30$ and 50 K) with known redshift are presented in Table 4. Fig. 2 shows the spectral energy distributions of those GRBs with known redshifts, and are overlaid on the SED of Arp 220, which was used to calculate the SFR of each host.

Stacked images in the five Herschel bands were obtained by averaging cut-out images around the central pixel corresponding to the J2000 coordinates of each GRB host in the SPIRE and PACS images. Using PSF-filtered images in each band, a region of 15 × 15 pixels was extracted around each position, and these images were combined using a weighted mean. Each pixel in the stacked image is the weighted mean of the corresponding pixels from all the cut-outs, weighted by the inverse variance of the flux density measurement at the GRB host position. The size of the stacked images corresponds to 45, 60, 90, 120 and 180 arcsec at each of the five bands, respectively. We did not detect any significant signal at any of the stacked images (flux densities are presented in the last row of Table 3).

### 4 DISCUSSION

GRBs are closely linked with star formation, but they can be used as a probe of star formation only if the number of GRBs at a given redshift is proportional to the cosmic SFRD at this epoch. In such a case the distribution of SFRs of GRB hosts should reflect the contribution of galaxies to the SFRD. In particular, the fraction of hosts above some SFR threshold should be equal to the fractional contribution of such luminous galaxies to the SFRD. If the fraction of luminous GRB hosts is lower (higher) than this contribution, then we would conclude that GRBs are biased towards less (more) actively star-forming galaxies.

Integrating the $1.8 < z < 2.3$ infrared luminosity function of Magnelli et al. (2013) above $L_{\text{IR}} > 5 \times 10^9 L_\odot$ (SFR > 500 M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) we infer that such galaxies contribute 8.5% per cent (a 2$\sigma$ range of 3–24 per cent) to the cosmic SFRD at $z \sim 2$. If GRBs trace star formation density in an unbiased way, we would expect this percentage of GRB hosts (1.7$^\pm$0.6 hosts) in our sample to be detected, because Herschel sensitivity allows us to detect galaxies with $L_{\text{IR}} > 5 \times 10^{12} L_\odot$ (assuming the Arp 220 template at $z = 2.5$ scaled to the 3$\sigma$ limit of 15–20 mJy).

Out of our 21 GRB hosts, we found just one tentative detection ($5 \pm 5$ per cent), namely GRB 120703A with a significance of $\sim 2.7\sigma$, suggesting that GRBs may trace star formation density in an unbiased way, at least for redshift 2 < z < 4 (and the expectation of 1–3 detected hosts is an upper limit, since we are assuming that GRBs in our sample with no redshift information are at similar redshifts as the other ones). This is supporting evidence for the much sought-after relationship between GRB rate and SFR (e.g. Blain & Natarajan 2000; Lloyd-Ronning, Fryer & Ramirez-Ruiz 2002; Herrquist & Springel 2003; Christensen, Hjorth & Gorosabel 2004; Yonetoku et al. 2004; Yüksel et al. 2008; Kistler et al. 2009; Elliott et al. 2012; Michalowski et al. 2012; Robertson & Ellis 2012; Hunt et al. 2014).

However, our analysis suffers from low number statistics as none of our Herschel flux measurements is of high significance, we consider how our conclusions change if they are not real (0.5–4 per cent detection rate). That would produce a $<2\sigma$ tension between the data and the expectation that GRBs trace the star formation in an unbiased way at $z \sim 2$ (i.e. 4.9 per cent detection rate). This demonstrates that a FIR survey of a larger unbiased sample of GRB hosts is crucial to reach a statistically significant conclusion.

These conclusions are not contested. Perley et al. (2013) found a biased correspondence between the GRB host galaxy population and SFRD (at least at $z < 1.5$). In their extensive study of the hosts of Swift-observed GRBs, they found that GRB rate is a strong function of host-galaxy properties. In particular, GRB hosts were found to be less massive and bluer than what one would expect if the GRB rate is proportional to SFR in galaxies at $z < 1.5$ (Perley et al. 2013). We probe GRB hosts at higher redshifts, but more significant samples of GRB hosts observed in the FIR are needed to investigate this issue.

The average 2$\sigma$ upper limit of the dust mass for our sample is $\log(M_{\text{dust}}/M_\odot) = 7.4 \pm 0.3$ (50–30 K). This suggests that GRB hosts are less dusty than SMGs, as Michalowski et al. (2010) found the typical SMG to contain $M_{\text{dust}}/M_\odot = 9.02 \pm 0.36$ (also see Chapman et al. 2005; Kovács et al. 2006; Laurent et al. 2006; Coppin et al. 2008; Magnelli et al. 2012; Swinbank et al. 2014). The dust masses of GRB hosts in our sample are only consistent with those of SMGs if we assume a very low temperature of 30K (e.g. Michalowski et al. 2010 found and average temperature of 35K for SMGs). Calzetti et al. (2000) and Smith et al. (2013) found that dust emission at much lower temperatures (20–30 K) could account for a large fraction of total flux in starburst galaxies, but other studies (Priddy et al. 2006; Michalowski et al. 2008, 2009, 2014; Symeonidis et al. 2014) suggest this is an unrealistically low temperature for an infrared-bright GRB host (however none of these studies is based on an unbiased GRB sample). Moreover, high dust temperature was found to be typical among Herschel-selected ULIRGs at high redshifts (Symeonidis et al. 2013).

The noise-weighted average of the flux densities at all 20 GRB positions and flux densities measured in the stacked maps are shown in Table 3. At an average GRB redshift of $z = 2.14$ (Hjorth, Gall & Michalowski 2014), this corresponds to a 2$\sigma$ limit of $F_{\text{IR}} < 114 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ ($L_{\text{IR}} < 2 \times 10^{12} L_\odot$) using the Arp 220 template, and 2$\sigma$ limits on dust masses of $M_{\text{dust}} < 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ for $T_{\text{dust}} = 50$ K, and $M_{\text{dust}} < 2 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ for $T_{\text{dust}} = 30$ K. Measurements of stacked images provide consistent limits on the dust mass...
Figure 2. 2σ upper limits on the SEDs of GRBs with known redshifts overlaid with the SED of Arp 220, used to calculate the SFR of each GRB host galaxy. The SED of Arp220 has been redshifted to match each GRB, shown on the upper right of each plot. Upper limits are from the base of the arrow.
of typical GRB hosts: \( M_{\text{host}} < 6 \times 10^7 M_\odot \) for \( T_{\text{host}} = 50 \) K, and \( M_{\text{host}} < 2.4 \times 10^8 M_\odot \) for \( T_{\text{host}} = 30 \) K. The deepest limit on SFR comes from the stacked 250 \( \mu \)m band, giving a typical GRB host SFR of \(< 0.114 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\).

If GRBs trace the cosmic SFRD in an unbiased way, then the average luminosity of GRB hosts should be equal to the average luminosity of other galaxies weighted by their SFRs (because a galaxy with a higher SFR will have a higher probability to host a GRB). From the luminosity function \((\phi)\) of Magnelli et al. (2013), we calculated the weighted mean \((L)_{\text{SFR}} = \int L_{\text{max}} \phi \times \text{SFR} \times L \, dL / \int L_{\text{max}} \phi \times \text{SFR} \, dL\). Assuming \( \text{SFR} \propto L_{\text{IR}} \) this gives \((L/(L/\odot))_{\text{SFR}} = 12.23 \pm 0.15\), or \((SFR)_{\text{SFR}} = 290^{+120}_{-80} M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) using the Kennicutt (1998) conversion and assuming the Salpeter IMF (propagating the errors on luminosity function parameters using the Monte Carlo method). This value is only weakly dependent on the adopted cut-off luminosities, \((L_{\text{max}}/L/\odot) = 7\) and \((L_{\text{max}}/L/\odot) = 13\), as it is mostly constrained by the shape of the luminosity function close to its knee. Again, this value is only \(< 2\sigma\) away from the limit we measured for GRB hosts \(< 114 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\), so we cannot rule out that GRB hosts are simply drawn based on the SFRs from the general population of galaxies, and we highlight that larger samples of unbiased GRB hosts observed at the FIR are needed.

The average flux density suggests that a typical GRB host has a flux below the confusion limit of \(1502\) \(\mu\text{m}\) band, giving a typical GRB host \(\sim 2\) (Magnelli et al. 2011, 2013). This may support the thesis that the GRB rate and SFR are fundamentally related, and that GRBs may trace SFRD in an unbiased way. Analysing a larger sample of GRB hosts selected in such an unbiased way is necessary to give a definite answer on whether this is indeed the case.

5 CONCLUSION

We have measured the FIR flux densities of 20 GRB host galaxies. The sample was selected in a novel, unbiased fashion, in which we used a data base of GRB positions to cross-reference the positions surveyed by the H-ATLAS, HeViCS, HeFoCS, HerMES and HerS data bases. For 8 out of the 20 GRB, redshifts are available, and for these we were able to calculate or place an upper limit on the SFR and dust mass of the host galaxy. One host was (tentatively) detected, consistent with the contribution of such bright galaxies to the SFRD in the Universe at \(z \sim 2\) (Magnelli et al. 2011, 2013). This may support the thesis that the GRB rate and SFR are fundamentally related, and that GRBs may trace SFRD in an unbiased way. Analysing a larger sample of GRB hosts selected in such an unbiased way is necessary to give a definite answer on whether this is indeed the case.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Daniele Malesani and Christina Thöne for their contributions of additional optical data, and the anonymous referee for their helpful comments, which improved the quality of the paper. MJM acknowledges the support of the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council. NB is supported by the EC FP7 SPACE project ASTRODEEP (Ref. No. 312725). EI acknowledges funding from CONICYT/CONDECYT postdoctoral project no. 3130504. LD, RJI and SIM acknowledge support from ERC Advanced Grant COSMICISM. JGN acknowledges financial support from the Spanish CSIC for a JAE-DOC fellowship, co-funded by the European Social Fund, by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, AYA2012-39475-C02-01, and Consolider-Ingenio 2010, CSD2010-00064, projects.

The Herschel-ATLAS is a project with Herschel, which is an ESA Space Observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA. The H-ATLAS website is http://www.h-atlas.org/.

GAMA is a joint European-Australasian project based around a spectroscopic campaign using the Anglo-Australian Telescope. The GAMA input catalogue is based on data taken from the SDSS and the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey. Complementary imaging of the GAMA regions is being obtained by a number of independent survey programmes including GALEX MIS, VST KIDS, VISTA, VIKING, WISE, Herschel-ATLAS, GMRT and ASKAP providing UV to radio coverage. GAMA is funded by the STFC (UK), the ARC (Australia), the AA and the participating institutions. The GAMA website is http://www.gama-survey.org/.

This research has made use of data from HerMES project (http://hermes.sussex.ac.uk/). HerMES is a Herschel Key Programme utilising Guaranteed Time from the SPIRE instrument team, ESAC scientists and a mission scientist. The HerMES data were accessed through the Herschel Database in Marseille (HeDaM – http://hedam.lam.fr) operated by CeSAM and hosted by the Laboratoire d’Astrophysique de Marseille.

Funding for SDSS-III has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, and the US Department of Energy Office of Science. The SDSS-III website is http://www.sdss3.org/.

REFERENCES

Chester M. M., Manganovo V., 2012, GCN Circ., 14063, 1
de Ugarte Postigo A., Malesani D., McCormac J., Jakobsson P., 2011, GCN Circ., 11605, 1
de Ugarte Postigo A., Malesani D., McCormac J., Jakobsson P., 2011, GCN Circ., 11605, 1
Driver S. P. et al., 2009, Astron. Geophys., 50, 12
Eales S. et al., 2010, PASP, 122, 499

Unbiased FIR observations of GRB hosts


Fruchter A. S. et al., 2006, Nature, 441, 463


Hagen L. M. Z., 2014, GCN Circ., 15690, 1


Leloudas G. et al., 2011, A&A, 530, A95


Salvaterra R. et al., 2009, Nature, 461, 1258


Sonbas E. et al., 2011, GCN Circ., 12612, 1

Sparre M. et al., 2011, GCN Circ., 11607, 1


Tanvir N. R. et al., 2009, Nature, 461, 1254


Xu D., Malesani D., Fynbo J. P. U., Tanvir N. R., Karhunen K., 2012, GCN Circ., 13411, 1


This paper has been typeset from a T\TeX/\LaTeX\ file prepared by the author.