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In this paper, three electrical techniques (frequency dependent conductance analysis, AC transconductance (AC-gm), and positive gate bias stress) were used to evaluate three different gate dielectrics (Plasma-Enhanced Atomic Layer Deposition Si3N4, Rapid Thermal Chemical Vapor Deposition Si3N4, and Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) Al2O3) for AlGaN/GaN Metal-Insulator-Semiconductor High-Electron-Mobility Transistors. From these measurements, the interface state density (Dit), the amount of border traps, and the threshold voltage (VTH) shift during a positive bias gate stress can be obtained. The results show that the VTH shift during a positive gate bias stress is highly correlated to not only interface states but also border traps in the dielectric. A physical model is proposed describing that electrons can be trapped by both interface states and border traps. Therefore, in order to minimize the VTH shift during a positive gate bias stress, the gate dielectric needs to have a lower interface state density and less border traps. However, the results also show that the commonly used frequency dependent conductance analysis technique to extract Dit needs to be cautiously used since the resulting value might be influenced by the border traps and, vice versa, i.e., the gm dispersion commonly attributed to border traps might be influenced by interface states. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4930076]

Gallium Nitride (GaN) based metal-insulator-semiconductor high electron mobility transistors (MIS-HEMTs) are highly promising for power switching applications.1 However, the threshold voltage (VTH) instability of MIS-HEMTs remains a critical issue. Especially, VTH hysteresis after a positive gate voltage sweep and VTH shift during a positive bias gate stress3–5 are important reliability challenges. Therefore, it is important to understand the origin of VTH shift in order to provide technology solutions to minimize these instability issues. We can distinguish two main types of papers in literature. A first type focuses on the analysis of the VTH drift during stress.3–5 In contrast, a second type focuses on the characterization of the quality of the gate dielectrics in terms of interface states and border traps on the fresh devices.6–9 Without discussing the implications on VTH instability during operations. The link between these two type of analyses is still unclear. In this work, we compare three different gate dielectrics: Rapid Thermal Chemical Vapor Deposition (RTCVD) Si3N4, Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) Al2O3, and Plasma-Enhanced Atomic Layer Deposition (PEALD) Si3N4, by means of (1) a conventional frequency dependent conductance analysis, (2) an AC transconductance (AC-gm) technique, and (3) a study of the VTH shift after a positive gate bias. By correlating the results of the electrical analyses, the physical mechanism behind the VTH instability is proposed.

All wafers were fabricated with a Au-free CMOS-compatible process on 200 mm Czochralski-grown (111) Si wafers with a resistivity of 10 Ω-cm, starting with an AlN nucleation layer, a 2.3 μm AlGaN buffer, a 150 nm GaN channel, a 15 nm Al0.25Ga0.75N barrier, and a 3 nm GaN cap layer, which is used to avoid the oxidation of the AlGaN barrier. The epitaxy of the GaN cap/AlGaN/GaN stack was grown by means of metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) at a temperature of 1010°C with Trimethylgallium (TMGa), trimethylaluminum (TMAI), and Ammonia as precursors for Ga, Al, and N, respectively. The 2DEG resistivity is approximately 450 Ω/sq. Atomic Layer Etching (ALE) process was used to recess the AlGaN barrier by means of cycles of oxidation and lower power BCl3 etching. Unlike fully recessed gate Metal-Insulator-Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistors (MIS-FETs), where the channel is touching the gate dielectric, several device properties could be easily influenced by the proximity of the dielectric, e.g., electron mobility and subthreshold swing. The partial recessed gate structure focuses on trapping phenomena without these influences since the channel between AlGaN barrier and GaN buffer is not touching the gate dielectric. Therefore, this study was performed on recessed gate devices with 3.7 nm AlGaN barrier thickness remaining under the gate dielectric (Fig. 1) and with three different gate dielectrics: 15 nm PEALD Si3N4 deposited in an ASM system, 15 nm ALD Al2O3, and a 15 nm RTCVD Si3N4. PEALD Si3N4 film (N-rich) was deposited at 300°C with SiH4 and N2 alternating cycles of SiH4 and N2 as precursors.10
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RTCVD Si₃N₄ film (Si-rich) was deposited under 700 °C with SiH₄ and NH₃ as precursors, and ALD Al₂O₃ film was deposited at 300 °C with TMA and H₂O as precursors. The gate dielectric is annealed at 700 °C for 1 min in forming gas (10% H₂, 90% N₂). The CMOS compatible gate metal stack consists of 30/20/250 nm W/Ti/Al, and the ohmic metal stack used consists of 5/100/60 nm Ti/Al/TiN, resulting in a contact resistance of 0.65 Ω mm.¹¹

Fig. 2 shows typical Iₖ-V₆ characteristics of devices with the three different gate dielectrics. The different V₆H values are most probably due to the different fixed charges brought by different gate dielectrics.¹²

The frequency-dependent conductance technique was proposed by Nicollian and Goetzberger¹³ and has been successfully applied to characterize the interface states for depletion-mode (D-mode) AlGaN/GaN MIS-HEMTs.⁶,⁷ Considering the case in AlGaN/GaN MIS-HEMTs, a positive gate bias is needed to transfer the electrons from the channel to the interface between the AlGaN barrier and the gate dielectric where electrons might be trapped at interface states.

The following conditions were measured on the capacitor structures: $V_G = 2.4\text{ V–3.4}\text{ V}$ (RTCVD Si₃N₄), $V_G = 2.6\text{–3.6}\text{ V}$ (ALD Al₂O₃), and $V_G = 3\text{ V–4}\text{ V}$ (PEALD Si₃N₄). The slightly different measuring conditions were chosen depending on $V_{G\text{spill-over}}$ where the electrons start accumulating below the interface as schematically shown in Fig. 3.

However, one of the most critical assumptions in this technique is that electrons only interact with the interface states without considering the influence of border traps in the gate dielectric.¹³ Fig. 4 shows the extracted Dᵦ values at the interface between the gate dielectric and the AlGaN barrier. The Dᵦ is significantly lower for the device with a PEALD Si₃N₄ ($D_{\text{ᵦ}} \sim 1 \times 10^{11–1.3 \times 10^{12}\text{ cm}^{-2}\text{ eV}^{-1}}$) gate dielectric than for the device with an ALD Al₂O₃ ($D_{\text{ᵦ}} \sim 2.2 \times 10^{13–2.4 \times 10^{13}\text{ cm}^{-2}\text{ eV}^{-1}}$) or an RTCVD Si₃N₄ ($D_{\text{ᵦ}} \sim 3.3 \times 10^{13–3.5 \times 10^{13}\text{ cm}^{-2}\text{ eV}^{-1}}$) gate dielectric. A recent publication¹⁴ indicates that the conventional conductance measurement could underestimate the interface states value. For this reason, two additional electrical evaluations were used: AC-gm dispersion⁸,¹⁵ and the V₆H shift during a positive gate bias stress.

![FIG. 1. Cross-section of a recessed gate AlGaN/GaN MIS-HEMT.](image1)

![FIG. 2. Iₖ-V₆ characteristics (linear-linear scale (a) and logarithmic-linear scale (b)) of devices with different gate dielectrics.](image2)

![FIG. 3. Schematic band diagram of a GaN based MIS-HEMT in thermal equilibrium (a) and in the spill-over case where a second channel at the dielectric interface is generated (b).](image3)

![FIG. 4. Dᵦ values extracted from the frequency dependent conductance technique for MIS-HEMTs with different gate dielectrics. The trap state energy was estimated based on the Shockley–Read–Hall statistical model with a capture cross-section of $1 \times 10^{-15}\text{ cm}^2$.](image4)
The AC transconductance (AC-\(g_m\)) technique was developed to examine the effect of border traps on the carrier transport, and it is assumed that the AC-\(g_m\) dispersion reflects the border trap density in the gate dielectric.\(^8,15\) Significant \(g_m\) peak dispersion with respect to the different frequencies was observed, both for devices with RTCVD Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) (Fig. 5(a)) and an ALD Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (Fig. 5(b)) gate dielectric. In contrast, significantly lower \(g_m\) peak dispersion was observed on the device with a PEALD Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) gate dielectric as shown in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d). Consequently, based on the analysis from the conventional conductance method and AC-\(g_m\) dispersion, PEALD Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) layer shows both a smaller Dit and less border traps compared to the other two gate dielectrics.

Analysis of the \(V_{TH}\) shift during a positive gate bias stress was the third technique we applied to compare the three different dielectrics. In order to avoid the pre-charge or discharge during each \(I_D-V_G\) sweep, similar to Refs. 4 and 16, the \(I_D-V_G\) was only measured up to the threshold voltage (\(V_{TH}\)) (\(V_{meas} = V_{TH}\) shown in the inset of Fig. 6) value with respect to the fresh device with 1 ms delay after stress. The threshold voltage shift was estimated from the drain current degradation as shown in Fig. 6. A shift of the threshold voltage (\(\Delta V_{TH}\)) was observed already for short stress time (2 s) for the devices with a RTCVD Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) and a ALD Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) gate dielectric. Again, the devices with a PEALD Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) gate dielectric show the smallest \(V_{TH}\) shift.

Fig. 7 shows a summary of Dit, \(g_m\) peak dispersion and the \(V_{TH}\) shift. Note that the \(V_{TH}\) shift values were extrapolated after a 2 s stress as shown in Fig. 6. We observe a high correlation between Dit, \(g_m\) peak dispersion, and \(V_{TH}\) shift. This indicates that the \(V_{TH}\) shift is not only due to the interface states but also to the border traps inside the gate dielectric. As shown in Fig. 8, the conduction band of the AlGaN barrier is pulled down for a positive gate voltage. Hence, the electrons can be transferred from the channel to the interface between the gate dielectric and the AlGaN barrier, where they are trapped by interface states or border traps, leading to a \(V_{TH}\) shift. From this point of view, in order to minimize the \(V_{TH}\) shift, a good gate dielectric should both have a low interface state density and a low amount of border traps. However, it is important to note that (1) the frequency conductance technique was originally developed to characterize...
interfaces with the physical assumption that the electrons only interact with the interface states, and (2) the AC trans-conductance (AC-$g_m$) technique was originally used to examine the effect of border traps on the carrier transport without considering the impact from the interface states. However, such a high correlation between Dit, $g_m$ peak dispersion, and VTH hysteresis suggests that the Dit values from typical conductance measurement could be influenced by border traps, especially since a high positive gate bias is needed (more than 2 V) to allow electrons to be injected across the AlGaN barrier and to interact with the gate dielectric interface in D-mode AlGaN/GaN MIS-HEMTs. On the other hand, the peak $g_m$ dispersion could be affected by the interface states as well.

In this work, we used three different gate dielectrics to study the correlation between interface states, border traps, and VTH shift during a positive gate bias stress. We conclude that the VTH shift is highly related to the interface state density Dit and to the border traps, indicating that the electrons are trapped by both the interface states and the border traps. In order to minimize the VTH instability in MIS-HEMTs, a gate dielectric with both a low Dit and a small amount of border traps is needed, e.g., PEALD Si$_3$N$_4$. We also showed that the frequency dependent conductance analysis and AC-$g_m$ method need to be carefully used since the border traps influence the Dit measurement results and vice versa.
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