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Abstract
Mammal skin has a crucial function in several life-preserving processes such as hydration, protection against chemicals and pathogens, initialization of vitamin D synthesis, excretion and heat regulation. Severe damage of the skin may therefore be life-threatening. Skin wound repair is a multiphased, yet well-orchestrated process including the interaction of various cell types, growth factors and cytokines aiming at closure of the skin and preferably resulting in tissue repair. Regardless various therapeutic modalities targeting at enhancing wound healing, the development of novel approaches for this pathology remains a clinical challenge. The time-consuming conservative wound management is mainly restricted to wound repair rather than restitution of the tissue integrity (the so-called "restitutio ad integrum"). Therefore, there is a continued search towards more efficacious wound therapies to reduce health care burden, provide patients with long-term relief and ultimately scarless wound healing. Recent in vivo and in vitro studies on the use of skin wound regenerative therapies provide encouraging results, but more protracted studies will have to determine whether the effect of observed effects are clinically significant and whether regeneration rather than repair can be achieved. For all the aforementioned reasons, this article reviews the emerging field of regenerative skin wound healing in mammals with particular emphasis on growth factor- and stem cell-based therapies.
Introduction

Comprising 10% of the total body mass, the skin is the largest organ of vertebrates and is crucial for defense as well as survival. Each injury induces loss of the integrity of the skin resulting in functional imbalance, possibly accompanied by disability or even death [1]. Skin injury initiates mechanisms to limit damage and subsequently induce repair. Both phenomena cover a complex cascade of temporal and spatial events that are required for tissue homeostasis. These events include induction and resolution of inflammation on the one hand, and the formation and remodeling of tissue on the other hand with the goal to achieve complete reconstruction of the wounded area [2]. Repair of skin wounds in adults is commonly achieved with fibrosis resulting in a scar which is more fragile than the original skin because it consists of disorganized extracellular matrix (ECM) [3, 4]. This repair process differs from regeneration where the resulting tissue is almost indistinguishable from the original tissue [4, 5]. In this context it should be mentioned that in mammals the fetal skin regenerates flawlessly in contrast to adult skin. The underlying regulation of this switch in repair mechanism potential during mammalian development is not yet completely understood [6].

Full-thickness skin wounds may result in extensive damage to the different skin structures and the underlying tissues. This damage compromises the homeostatic mechanisms involved in spontaneous healing and therefore clinical intervention is often needed. The primary aim of clinical skin wound treatment is to promote rapid wound repair with functional and aesthetical satisfactory scar tissue formation [7].

Today, skin wound therapies are categorized as either conventional or regenerative. Conventional skin wound management includes debridement of necrotized tissue followed by the topical use of different types of wound dressings thus ensuring sufficient tissue perfusion, limiting wound pressure and reducing infection. Besides, any underlying health problem that could alter the healing process should be controlled [7]. Wounds healed by conventional therapy are characterized by scar formation with cosmetic and possible functional impairment. For example, skin scars are more sensitive to ultraviolet radiation, and lack sweat glands or hair follicles [8]. Regenerative skin wound therapy is a novel and rapidly developing field of biomedical research that aims to promote wound healing and to restore the damaged cells and diseased skin tissue without scar formation. Since quality care is a crucial aspect of wound healing [9], regenerative strategies should not be considered as a substitute for certain indispensable conventional treatments (e.g. debridement) but should be considered complementary. Therefore, in the present review information has been gathered on preclinical and clinical studies regarding regenerative therapies for mammalian skin wound management with particular emphasis on growth factor- and stem cell-based therapies.

Literature search

The standard research databases like PubMed and Web of Science between January 1955 and December 2014 and the Google Scholar search engine were consulted for collection of full papers and abstracts.

Mammalian skin and wound healing

Adult mammalian skin anatomy and physiology

Mammalian skin is the largest organ of the adult body and consists of several layers: (i) the superficial epidermis, (ii) the intermediate dermis [1, 10] and (iii) the hypodermis, and is supported by a matrix of loose connective tissue [10] (Fig. 1).
Noteworthy, there are significant differences in the anatomy and physiology of each skin layer between species [11]. Consequently, these differences result in differences in wound healing [12]. For instance, in animals with a more “loose” skin such as rats and mice, healing occurs very rapidly as a result of wound contraction initiated by the *musculus panniculus carnosus* in their subcutaneous tissues. On the other hand, in “tight-skinned” species such as human and porcine, which lack this muscle, wound contraction is not rapid thus skin heals mainly through re-epithelialization [13, 14]. Striking differences in wound healing can even be exhibited within the same species, and have been observed at gross, cellular and molecular level. For example an earlier start and termination of wound inflammation leading to earlier wound contraction and epithelialization was detected in ponies in comparison to horses [13, 15]. This difference in wound healing was attributed to a different local inflammatory response, where leukocytes in ponies were found to produce more inflammatory mediators. This resulted in an enhanced local defense, earlier cellular debridement, earlier transition to the repair phase and increased wound contraction [15].

Mammalian epidermis, which is a terminally differentiated and stratified squamous epithelium, consists of 4 to 5 sublayers (Fig. 1). The barrier function of intact epidermis depends on the quality of the present cells and the surrounding matrix [16, 17]. Epidermis and dermis are physically separated by the basement membrane or basal lamina, a highly specialized ECM structure, which provides a stabilizing and dynamic interface [18]. Besides providing structural adhesion of both skin structures, the basement membrane has a gatekeeping function tightly regulating diffusion of cells [19] and bioactive molecules [19, 20]. On the other hand, it can bind several cytokines and growth factors, indicating a “reservoir” function for a controlled release during physiologic remodeling and repair after injury [19].

The dermis is located below the epidermis and is composed of papillary and reticular layers (Fig. 1). The upper papillary layer edges into the epidermis across the basement membrane and nourishes it. This layer consists of (i) cellular components, including fibroblasts, mast cells, macrophages and dermal dendrocytes and (ii) ECM components namely stromal components (e.g. collagen and elastic fibers) and matrix component (glycoproteins, proteoglycans, cell regulating macromolecules etc.) [21]. The lower reticular
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**Fig. 1.** Schematic representation of mammalian skin structure and its cell populations. The skin contains three layers: epidermis, dermis and hypodermis. The epidermis is a stratified epithelium composed of 4 to 5 layers: stratum corneum (a), stratum lucidum (b), stratum granulosum (c), stratum spinosum (d) and stratum basale (e). Other structures in the skin include the hair (f), sweat glands (g), sebaceous glands (h), hair follicles (i), nerves (j) and blood vessels (k).
layer is characterized by an ECM containing collagen, elastic fibers and fibroblasts [6]. The dermis is vascularized, innervated and invaded by epidermal appendages. The hypodermis is situated underneath the dermis and mainly consists of adipose tissue and blood vessels (Fig. 1). These structures ensure the lifesaving mechanical and thermoregulatory characteristics of the skin [1]. The epidermis and its appendages (e.g. hair follicles, sebaceous glands, sweat glands and nails) are derived embryologically from the prospective epidermal ectoderm and neural crest cells, which are also ectodermal in origin. Nevertheless, the skin appendages have their roots in the dermis or even in the hypodermis, both of which are derived from embryonic mesoderm [6].

Every disturbance of the normal anatomic organization of a tissue resulting in function reduction can be described as a wound [22]. Skin wound healing relies on a complex dynamic process which involves interaction of multiple cell types, growth factors, cytokines and chemokines [7, 23]. Dysfunction of this mechanism might result in chronic, non-healing wounds or excessive granulation tissue formation presented as keloids and hypertrophic scars [24]. However, there are reports which indicate that even when this balance is disrupted, absent cells or mediators can be compensated [25].

Adult skin wounds may heal by repair or regeneration and there is a clear difference between both types of healing. Repair consists of a physiologic adjustment after function disruption in order to create continuity without aiming at reconstitution of the original tissue (Fig. 2). Regeneration on the other hand, aims at rebuilding injured tissue with an ‘exact’ copy, in order to restore tissue morphology as well as functionality. It should be remarked that the adult mammalian skin does not regenerate spontaneously, yet heals with scar formation (a typical feature of the repair process).
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**Fig. 2.** Schematic representation of the basic steps of cutaneous wound healing. Following injury wound healing proceeds in four interrelated dynamic phases which overlap in time.
Mammalian embryo as a model for scarless wound healing

Humans and other mammal adults have a limited capacity to regenerate and restore their tissues and organs. This can be obtained through the attraction of somatic stem cells located in a niche or by inducing differentiated cells to proliferate [26]. Accordingly, the wound healing process in adult mammals is imperfect and less restorative than in the juvenile or in the embryo [6]. Indeed, skin wounds in early mammalian embryos have the ability to repair without scar formation and with complete restitution of the physiological skin architecture [27]. There are many discrepancies between embryonic and adult wound healing. Although this is mainly attributed to the tissue development itself, fetal scarless wound healing mechanisms are intrinsically different. In fact, fetal wounds heal with a distinctly reduced inflammatory reaction [28], a faster production of ECM components (fibronectin and tenascin), a high hyaluronic acid content and changed profiles of growth factor expression. In an embryonic wound site, the levels of transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 and -β2 are lower while TGF-β3 is higher than in an adult wound site [29]. Another essential difference between fetus and adult concerns wound contraction and epithelialization. Fetal wounds close through an actin cable [30], whereas adult wound closure involves active movement of connective tissue and epidermis in order to bring two wounded edges in close proximity to allow the epidermis to migrate and cover the exposed connective tissue [31]. In contrast, some other vertebrate species like amphibians have extensive regenerative capacities that in certain cases stretch as far as replacing a complete limb [32, 33].

Role of stem cells in wound healing

Most epithelia self-renew through a mechanism called "tissue homeostasis", in which the number of cell divisions is in balance with the number of cells lost [34]. Tissue homeostasis is guaranteed by stem cells (SCs) present within specialized microenvironments, the so-called niches. Each niche is designed to facilitate the repair or regeneration requirements of its tissue [35]. The skin epidermis and its appendages harbor spatially distinct SC niches [36] (Fig. 1). For instance, bulge stem cells which are designated to form hair follicles, have the ability to temporarily produce wound epidermis as an "emergency" strategy [37]. In marked contrast, a later experiment by Levy et al [38] reported that follicular progenitors different from bulge SCs exist and may permanently transform into interfollicular epidermis SCs after wound induction in mice.

Adult SCs are considered to replace lost cells and are therefore identified as central players in tissue regeneration. They deliver daughter cells to renew the lesioned tissues by differentiation and/or by releasing paracrine factors to attract progenitor cells [39]. When a SC compartment is being inflicted, other SCs can be mobilized to enhance lesion repair. However, the fate of epithelial cells might also adapt during wound regeneration. Unipotent progenitors have the capacity to obtain multipotency, whereas in other cases adult epithelial cells revert to a SC-like state [36].

Regenerative therapies for skin wound management

Regenerative medicine is defined as a novel and fast growing field of biomedical research that focuses on replacing, restoring and regenerating damaged cells, tissues and organs [40]. This can potentially be accomplished using the process of dedifferentiation (which involves terminally differentiated cells reverting back to a less differentiated stage), transdifferentiation (a processes which takes dedifferentiation a step further and cells differentiate into a cell type of another lineage) and reprogramming (which aims to induce differentiated cells into a pluripotent state) [26]. Regenerative therapies consist of different technological approaches, such as soluble molecules, gene targeting, stem cell treatment, tissue engineering and cell reprogramming [41]. In this review we are only discussing the existing cell-based and growth factor-based in vitro and in vivo studies and therapies that are at preclinical and clinical level. For readers interested on the remaining regenerative
therapies, which are not included in this review, we would like to refer to previous review papers [40, 42-45].

**Growth factor-based therapies**

Growth factors are signaling proteins (tissue hormones) that influence the metabolism of other cells [46]. Growth factors are released at the wound site and are required for communication between a variety of cells like fibroblasts, myofibroblast, smooth muscle cells, endothelial cells, keratinocytes and immune cells. The exogenous application of growth factors has been proven to affect the wound healing process [47, 48]. Different studies in human patients have confirmed that growth factors such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) play a role in enhancing the wound healing rate in acute wounds and even provide complete healing in chronic wounds [49-51].

The main growth factors currently known to be involved in the wound healing process include PDGF, epidermal growth factor (EGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF1, IGF2), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), TGF-β [52-55] and keratinocyte growth factor (KGF) [53]. To date only PDGF has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European authorities (EMEA) for clinical application in patients [56, 57]. An overview of the most cited growth factor-based therapies for skin wound management in animals models are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Overview of most cited growth factor-based therapies for skin wound management in animals and their functional effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>In vivo model</th>
<th>Type of wound</th>
<th>Functional in vivo effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carter et al., 2003; DeRossi et al., 2009</td>
<td>Autologous PRP-gep</td>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Rapid epitelization and collagen organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montiño et al., 2009</td>
<td>Autologous PRP</td>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>No quality improvement or healing enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incopetopoulou, 2011</td>
<td>Autologous PRP-gep</td>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>Tens wound</td>
<td>No exuberant granulation and minimum scarring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 2009</td>
<td>Autologous PRP-gep</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>Reduced swelling and hair regrowth at wound margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man et al., 2001</td>
<td>Autologous PRP-gep</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Surgical flap</td>
<td>Improved healing with short recovery time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galiano et al., 2007</td>
<td>Autologous VEGF</td>
<td>Diabetic mice</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Enhanced re-epitelization, matrix deposition and cellular proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuibo and Rihin, 1990</td>
<td>Rec hFGF-2</td>
<td>Diabetic mice</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Improved re-epitelization, increase of macrophages, fibroblasts, neovascularization and granulation tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi et al., 2013</td>
<td>Rec hBFG</td>
<td>Rabbit/rat</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Stimulate fibroblast growth, reduce scar formation and regulate inflammatory response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al., 1993, 1994</td>
<td>Rec hPDGF and Rec hTGF-</td>
<td>Normal and diabetic mice</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Enhanced collagen deposition, granulation tissue formation and maturation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 2010</td>
<td>Rec hTGF-α</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Reduced scarring, suppressing inflammation, decreasing TGF-β, and mediating collagen formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu et al., 2007</td>
<td>Rec hIGF-β</td>
<td>Diabetic mice</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Increased tyrosine-inducible factor 1 or protein synthesis and function in diabetic wounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuibo et al., 1995</td>
<td>hFGF and hIGF-1 binding protein</td>
<td>Diabetic mice</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Increased granulation tissue formation and capillary number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vodhadia et al., 2003</td>
<td>Rabbit hIGF</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Enhanced re-epitelization, neovascularization and granulation tissue formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al., 2013</td>
<td>hIGF</td>
<td>Diabetic rat</td>
<td>Full thickness</td>
<td>Enhanced re-epitelization, neovascularization and granulation tissue formation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Basic fibroblast growth factor (hFGF); epidermal growth factor (hEGF); hepatocyte growth factor (hHGF); Human (h); Recombinant (Rec); insulin-like growth factor (hIGF); platelet derived growth factor (hPDGF); platelet rich plasma (PRP); transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β); vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).

**Platelet-Rich plasma.** Autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is blood plasma that has been enriched with platelets through specific centrifugation. The platelet count is often two to four times higher than normal [46]. Platelets are cytoplasmic fragments derived from bone marrow megakaryocytes and contain several types of growth factors and cytokines that might stimulate wound healing [58]. They activate the inflammatory process by releasing cytokines (such as interleukin (IL)-1α, -1β and -6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α)), enhance collagen production (FGF-2, IGF-1, TGF-β), stimulate fibroblast to myofibroblast transformation (TGF-β), initiate angiogenesis (FGF-2, VEGF-A, TGF-β) and promote re-epitelization (EGF, FGF-2, IGF-1, TGF-α) [48, 59, 60]. The main difficulty in assessing the therapeutic effects of PRP is to define which growth factor(s) were at the origin of the observed effects since PRP delivers a mixture of growth factors associated with natural scar healing [46].

There are a number of in vivo studies in dogs and horses regarding the use of PRP for cutaneous wound therapy. Carter et al. [61] and DeRossi et al. [62] reported that during
equine wound healing PRP gel promoted epithelial differentiation and regeneration. In the same species, a case study reported by Iacopetti et al. [63] indicated that topical treatment with autologous PRP enhanced healing of large skin wounds. In contrast to the above findings, evaluation of the effect of PRP on wounds of the distal aspect of forelimb in horses indicated excessive granulation tissue development and a significantly slower wound healing [64]. Recently, Broeckx et al. [65] also reported on the inferior regenerative effect of PRP treatment in comparison to skin-derived SCs for the treatment of full thickness skin wounds in horses. On the other hand, Kim et al. [66] studied the curative effect of autologous PRP on a large skin lesion in a dog and reported a positive outcome.

In humans, PRP has been applied as a medical device for a number of diseases and injuries, such as cutaneous wounds. PRP received special attention because of its use in treating sport injuries in professional athletes [67]. A study by Man et al. [68] demonstrated quantitative improvements of human skin wound healing after topically treating cutaneous flaps with autologous PRP. Other studies on human patients with chronic wounds of various etiologies treated with PRP gel also showed some degree of improvement, reflected by reduction of wound area, volume and wound closure [69, 70].

**Platelet-derived growth factor.** PDGF is one of the initial factors secreted after injury and promotes cellular reactions throughout all phases of the wound healing process. PDGF exists in three isoforms: PDGF-AA, -BB, and -AB [71]. It is mainly secreted from the α-granules of the platelet [72], but is also produced by different cells present in early wound healing, i.e. macrophages, keratinocytes, fibroblasts and endothelial cells [48, 72]. The level of PDGF and its receptor have been demonstrated to be low in diabetic and aged mice which display a delayed injury response [48]. In agreement with the latter study, PDGF levels are depressed in non-healing human ulcers [73], probably due to lower production and/or higher protease mediated degradation. Therefore, wound treatment using exogenous PDGF has been studied and was found to be beneficial for patients with chronic wounds [74, 75]. As a result of FDA approval, PDGF-BB has been extensively used for treating diabetic ulcers [75, 76]. Despite this approval, there have been reports showing a limited clinical success. This might be due to underexpression of PDGF receptor by residing cells [55], or by proteolytic enzymes causing a fast degradation of the GF [77]. Moreover, a single factor may not be sufficient as a therapeutic tool because of the complexity and perseverance of a chronic wound. Therefore, sustained GF delivery systems with a combined and/or case specific approach might be necessary to enable optimal wound healing and closure [76, 78, 79]. Recently, a combination of AMD3100 (which mobilizes marrow-derived progenitor cell) and PDGF-BB therapy has synergistically shown to improve progenitor mobilization and trafficking, resulting in significantly improved diabetic wound closure and neovascularization [80].

**Fibroblast growth factor.** FGF-1, -2, -7, -10 and -22 are all being expressed upon dermal injury [81]. FGF-1 refers to acidic and FGF-2 to basic FGF and are produced by inflammatory cells, vascular endothelial cells, fibroblasts and keratinocytes and contribute to re-epithelialization, angiogenesis and granulation tissue formation [48, 82]. In addition to their direct role in wound healing, FGF-7 and -10 also stimulate the production of TGF-α by dermal keratinocytes, indirectly supporting epithelialization [83].

Studies in animal models showed that there is abnormal expression of FGF-1, -2 and -7 in wounds of diabetic aged animals [84]. Administration of FGFs successfully improved wound healing in these animals [85]. In this regard, Tsuboi and Rifkin [86] reported that bFGF (FGF-2) accelerated wound healing in a diabetic mouse model. Another study by Shi et al. [87] reported that bFGF regulated ECM synthesis and degradation through regulating collagen distribution and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) and TGF-β1 expression. Moreover, bFGF application resulted in reduced scarring and promoted wound healing by inhibiting the TGF-β1/SMAD-dependent pathway. Therefore, it has been suggested that bFGF positively influences hypertrophic scar formation in vitro and in vivo [87]. Based on the aforementioned promising animal models, clinical trials in humans were performed where FGF-1 and -2 were used for treating chronic (burn) wounds and resulted in a modest improvement in the healing rates in some studies [81, 88]. In another study by Ma et al. [89], the use of human
recombinant FGF-1 resulted in accelerated healing of deep partial-thickness burn wounds. Future studies may provide better insights on the beneficial effects of different types of FGFs in different patient groups.

*Transforming growth factor-β.* The TGF-β family consists of TGF-β1-3, bone morphogenetic proteins (BMP) and activins. In mammals mainly TGF-β1, -β2 and -β3 isoforms are found, but TGF-β1 predominates in cutaneous wound healing. They are produced by macrophages, fibroblasts, keratinocytes and platelets [81]. TGF-β is a multifunctional growth factor that attracts new fibroblasts and macrophages to the wound site, stimulates fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis, reduces extracellular matrix degradation [90] and modulates the immune system [91].

TGF-β1, -β2, and -β3 have overlapping but diverse functions during wound healing. Both TGF-β1 and -β2 have been shown to induce fibroblast-myofibroblast differentiation, ECM deposition, contraction, and scar formation, whereas TGF-β3 has the ability to reduce scarring [81]. Much of the current knowledge on TGF-β action in wound healing has been obtained from animal studies using incisional and/or excisional wound models [91]. Preclinical studies indicated a significant reduction in scarring and considerably improved dermal architecture after intradermal injection of avotermin (TGF-β3) in adult rats [92, 93]. Moreover, phase II clinical trials showed that intradermal injections of avotermin in scar revision surgery [94] and bilateral leg wounds [95] were well tolerated and resulted in significantly improved scar appearance compared to placebo. In addition, other phase II clinical trials demonstrated significant improvement in scar formation with avotermin treatment as well [96].

*Vascular endothelial growth factor.* During wound healing, VEGF is secreted by platelets, macrophages, fibroblasts, and keratinocytes and has a paracrine effect on endothelial cells, inducing and/or supporting wound angiogenesis [48]. It has been demonstrated that topical VEGF application accelerates diabetic wound repair in a mouse model through increased epithelialization, angiogenesis, granulation tissue deposition and minimum scar formation [97]. In another murine study, inhibiting angiogenesis through endostatin caused a delay in wound healing, which almost completely reversed after application of topical VEGF [98], confirming the strong angiogenic capacity of this growth factor. However, recombinant VEGF necessitates regular topical applications for sustained drug level in the skin tissue, which may have been the reason for unsuccessful clinical trials in diabetic foot ulcers [99]. Recently, Tan et al. [100], have used collagen scaffolds with VEGF in a diabetic rat wound model and found that the treatment resulted in an enhanced healing rate, improved vascularization and increased level of VEGF in the granulation tissue. A phase I trial on safety of topical recombinant human VEGF (telberim) in patients with chronic diabetic foot ulcers by Hanft et al. [101] showed that the treatment was well tolerated and reduced time to complete ulcer healing.

*Epidermal growth factor.* EGF is secreted by platelets, macrophages, fibroblasts, and bone marrow–derived mesenchymal stem cells [81]. This growth factor plays an important role in tissue homeostasis by influencing epithelial cell proliferation, growth, and migration. It also provides nutritional support by promoting angiogenesis, and is therefore considered as a key player in wound healing and tissue generation [102]. It has been reported that treatment of cultured epithelial cells with EGF, stimulated outwards migration of keratinocyte colonies [103]. It has also been demonstrated that EGF stimulates keratinocyte division and epidermal regeneration *in vitro* and *in vivo*, respectively [104].

Experimental studies in animals have shown that the topically applied EGF accelerates epidermal regeneration of partial-thickness wounds and second-degree burns [105]. Much later, Kim et al. [106] studied the role of EGF in the formation of cutaneous scars in mice using full thickness wounds, and concluded that local application of the EGF enhanced wound healing rate and reduced cutaneous scarring. These authors suggested that the latter effects were mediated by suppressing the inflammatory response, decreasing TGF-β1 expression and mediating collagen production. Recently, Lee et al. [107] treated laser induced murine burn wounds with EGF and reported a significantly enhanced wound healing effect in
the EGF treated group. Clinical studies in humans have also demonstrated an accelerated epidermal regeneration of partial-thickness wounds and second-degree burns after topical EGF treatment [105]. In another study by Brown et al. [108], human chronic wounds which were unresponsive to conservative treatments showed accelerated healing after topical application of EGF in 8 out of 9 patients. EGF has not only been widely used for the treatment of wounds but also for cancer therapy and vaccines, based on its cell proliferation regulatory properties [109].

**Insulin-like growth factor.** Inactive forms of both IGF-I and -II can be found in plasma at high concentration and are produced by most tissues/cells, such as liver, kidneys and fibroblasts [71]. In vitro assays assessing re-epithelialization showed that both EGF and IGF promote migration of keratinocytes [110]. The same study demonstrated that EGF and IGF have synergistic effects. Furthermore, it has been reported that levels of IGF-1 are lower in non-healing wounds of patients suffering from diabetes [111]. Accordingly there are many studies indicating that the addition of exogenous IGF-1 accelerates wound healing in diabetic mice [111-113], non-diabetic mice [113] and rabbits [112]. In addition, an in vivo study by Greenhalgh et al. [114] showed enhanced tissue repair in genetically diabetic mice when treated with PDGF and IGF-II, again with a synergistic effect. The aforementioned studies implicate that IGF has the strongest effect when being used in combination with other growth factors.

**Hepatocyte growth factor.** HGF is secreted by mesenchymal cells and is well known to regulate cell growth, motility, and morphogenesis in several cell types, including epithelial and endothelial cells, confirming its contribution to epithelial repair and neovascularization [115, 116]. However, there are only few reports on in vivo studies of HGF in animal models. In this regard, an in vivo study by Yoshida et al. [117] showed that when normal rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) or neutralizing anti HGF IgG was continuously applied to full thickness excisional wounds of mice, the amount of capillary vessels and granulation expansion declined with the neutralization of HGF. Likewise, neutralization of endogenous HGF on days 4 and 7 post-wounding resulted in retardation of re-epithelization and wound closure. Li et al. [118] confirmed these findings in Wistar rats. Based on the aforementioned studies it can be concluded that HGF is a key factor in skin wound healing by promoting neovascularization, granulation tissue formation and re-epithelialization.

**What are the possible risks/side-effects of the above mentioned growth factors?** It has been demonstrated that recombinant growth factors for the treatment of chronic wounds undergo rapid enzymatic degradation. The growth factors which are exogenously applied into the wound site have low bioactivity and availability because they are quite large and penetrate rather slow in the surrounding tissue. Repeated administration of high non-physiologically concentrations are necessary to support the healing, however, the excess concentration of growth factors results in local toxicity, adverse effects [119-121] and may lead to increased risk of cancer [122]. Nevertheless, this problem might be solved by the construction of polymer-based/biomaterial growth factor delivery systems which regulate the release of growth factors [123].

**Cell-based therapies**

Cell-based therapies are defined as the introduction of new cells into a tissue in order to treat diseases or regenerate damaged tissue. Wound healing is a complex process which requires the coordinated action of multiple cell types [124, 125] which is a reaction to a variety of cytokines and micro-environmental conditions [23, 125]. Cell-based therapies might be considered as an alternative approach to growth factors for wound management. Cells so far studied for cutaneous wound healing effect include stem cells, keratinocytes, fibroblasts and platelets. The latter ones have been discussed under the previous section because of the growth factor secretion. An overview of the most frequently reported cell-based therapies for skin wound management in animals models is presented in Table 2.

Cell-based therapies can, from a regulatory viewpoint, be classified either as human tissues and cells (Directive 2004/23/EC) or as advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMP,
Directive 2001/83/EC and Regulation 1394/2007). The FDA has implemented a regulatory outline that controls cell-based products based on three topics: (i) prevention of using contaminated cells, (ii) prevention of handling that may cause contamination and (iii) clinical safety of cells used [126]. There is a substantial gap between optimistic laboratory-based research and approved stem cell based products (SCBPs) in this fast emerging field [127]. In order to translate SCBPs from bench to bedside and ensure patient safety, compliance with existing regulations and guidelines is required to ensure that the product is safe, pure and potent. Meeting good tissue practices (GTP), good manufacturing practices (GMP) and good clinical practices (GCP) requirements is also indispensable [126].

**Stem cells.** Stem cells are defined as unspecialized cells that have two defined properties: the ability to differentiate into other cells and the ability to self-regenerate [128]. Stem cells may be categorized according to their potency: totipotent, pluripotent, multipotent or progenitor cells [129] or according to the sources they originate from: embryonic stem cells (ESCs), fetal stem cells, neonatal or umbilical cord stem cells and adult stem cells [130]. Since adult, post-natal stem cells escape the ethical and safety issues associated with ESCs, adult stem cells are an important aspect for cell-based therapies [131] and hence reviewed in this article.

**Table 2.** Overview of most cited cell-based therapies for skin wound management in animals and their functional effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Route of administration</th>
<th>In vivo model</th>
<th>Type of wound</th>
<th>Functional in vivo effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al., 2007</td>
<td>Autologous BM-MSCs</td>
<td>Edges and topical</td>
<td>Normal and diabetic mice</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Increased re-epithelialization, cellularity and angiogenesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoff et al., 2009</td>
<td>hMSCs</td>
<td>Topical</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Increased tensile strength, granulation tissue and reduced scar formation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFarlini et al., 2006</td>
<td>BM-MSCs</td>
<td>Systemic or local</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Increased collagen production and early histological maturation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakagawa et al., 2005</td>
<td>BMSCs and Rec bFGF</td>
<td>Topical</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Transdifferentiation into skin cells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimakawa et al., 2003</td>
<td>BM-MSCs</td>
<td>Topical</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Decreasing infiltration of inflammatory cells, increased neovascularization and granulation tissue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 2013</td>
<td>BMSCs</td>
<td>Injected intradermally</td>
<td>Canine</td>
<td>Increased collagen synthesis, cellular proliferation, angiogenesis and decreased expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susuki et al., 2008</td>
<td>BM-MSCs</td>
<td>Topical</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>MSCs transdifferentiated into keratinocytes, endothelial cells and pericytes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al., 2011</td>
<td>hMSCs-PDC</td>
<td>Topical</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Increased tensile strength, rapid formation of granulation tissue and re-epithelialization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prathwesh et al., 2014</td>
<td>Rabbit-derived MSCs</td>
<td>Intradermal and IV</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Increasing wound contraction, epithelialization, vascularization and collagenization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spian et al., 2013</td>
<td>Autologous BMSCs</td>
<td>Intradermal and IV</td>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>More rapid wound closure and crust formation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bone marrow (BM); basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF); human embryonic stem cell (hESC); endothelial progenitor cell (EPCs); intravenous (IV); mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs); peripheral blood stem cells (PBSCs).

To date, the role of stem cells in wound healing is progressively being valued. For this reason, an increasing number of regenerative therapies for wound healing are being developed and encouraged by promising findings from both animal and human studies. It has been suggested that stem cell application has more advantages than the use of single biological factors for several reasons [132]. Indeed, stem cells have the capacity to differentiate into multiple lineages, influence environmental elements and produce healing enhancing factors indicating a great potential as a therapeutic tool [132, 133].

**Mesenchymal stem cells.** Adult mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are multipotent cells found in mesodermal tissues [134, 135]. These cells represent a unique tool for tissue engineering. Indeed, it has been reported that MSCs can differentiate into multiple lineages such as osteoblasts, chondroblasts, adipocytes, tenocytes and myocytes [136]. Rather than their differentiation potential, the secretory/paracrine effects of MSCs have been found to increasingly attribute to the therapeutic efficacy of MSC transplantsations. In this regard, it has been demonstrated that MSCs have important potential immunomodulatory effects. Indeed, MSCs can directly attenuate inflammatory responses by decreasing the secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and interferon-γ (IFN-γ) [137, 138] while enhancing the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines including IL-10 and IL-4 in parallel [137]. Therefore, the anti-inflammatory properties of MSCs increase their importance for chronic wound treatment. Particularly vasculogenesis and angiogenesis, which are crucial steps in wound healing are also stimulated by paracrine factors released by MSCs, including IGF-1, PDGF-BB [39], VEGF, angiopoietin-1 [39, 139], bFGF and
matrix metalloproteinase-2 [138]. In addition, MSCs are recognized to have antibacterial activities [140], either by secretion of antimicrobial factors or indirectly by secretion of immunomodulative factors which up-regulate phagocytosis and bacterial killing [140, 141].

A number of parameters can assess experimental and clinical wound healing upon MSC therapy. For clinical wound assessment, parameters like histology, tensiometry and tracing of the transplanted cells in the wound tissue over time are currently used. Histology evaluates the status of infiltration of inflammatory cells, vascular proliferation, fibroplasia, presence and depth of scar tissue, epithelialization rate and absence of adnexa including hair follicles, apocrine glands and smooth muscle. The parameters used to assess wound healing, include measuring wound size/contraction, analysis of wound scores of granulation tissue formation, vascularity, dermal thickness and skin resurfacing.

Recent reports consider that both allogenic and autologous MSCs may positively impact all phases of wound repair in humans as well as animals [23]. Shumakov et al. [142] reported that MSC treatment of deep burn wounds in rats resulted in lower inflammatory cell infiltration and faster vessel and granulation tissue formation. It was also suggested that the cells produce bioactive substances that would enhance the regeneration process. Moreover, Nakagawa et al. [143] reported that MSCs together with bFGF accelerate acute wound healing and showed that the human MSCs transdifferentiate into epithelium in a rat skin defect model. Furthermore, a study by McFarlin et al. [144] showed that systemic or local administration of bone marrow-derived MSCs augments healing of surgical fascial or cutaneous wounds by increasing collagen production (I and III) and hence increasing wound bursting and tensile strength in rats. The latter study reported that MSCs may also facilitate wound healing by trans-differentiating into myoblasts and keratinocytes after migration to the wound site. Transplantation of human MSCs also resulted in promotion of incisional wound repair in rabbits and resulted in increased tensile strength, granulation tissue formation and decreased evidence of scar formation [145]. Experiments by Wu et al. [139], Sasaki et al. [146] and Tamai et al. [147] demonstrated that bone marrow-derived MSCs enhance wound healing by undergoing epidermal trans-differentiation and angiogenesis. Recently, Kim et al. [138] applied allogenic MSCs on skin wounds in a canine experimental wound model and demonstrated that MSC treatment caused accelerated wound closure and enhanced collagen production, cellular proliferation and angiogenesis. Apart from bone marrow, transplanted MSCs isolated from Wharton’s jelly of caprine umbilical cord to full-thickness skin incision of goats Azari et al. [148] and full thickness excisional skin wounds in rabbit [149] resulted in less inflammation, thinner granulation tissue formation with minimum scar in the incisional wound and significantly higher percentage of wound contraction, epithelialization and collagenization with matured vascularization in the excisional wounds. In addition, peripheral blood-derived stem cells (PBSCs) have been reported to enhance different wound healing parameters of chronic naturally occurring wounds in horses, such as granulation tissue and scar tissue formation [150]. However, the latter study contained no control groups with untreated wounds, so further research is definitely warranted.

In the study by Vojtašák et al. [151], bone marrow aspirate of a diabetic patient was applied directly to the foot wound, injected into the margins and finally covered with a prepared autograft. The wound was treated again on day 7 and 17 with cultured MSC and this resulted in wound size decrease and increase in dermal vascularization and thickness. Falanga et al. [152] suggested that bone marrow-derived MSCs accelerated skin resurfacing of defects from surgically excised non-melanoma skin cancers when combined with topically applied fibrin spray. Dash et al. [153] indicated that simultaneous intramuscular and topical administration of autologous bone marrow-derived MSCs for the treatment of non-healing ulcers of low extremities, enhanced the healing process and clinical parameters. A similar result was also observed when Jain et al. [154] used a single application (injection and topically application) of autologous bone marrow-derived cells for the treatment of chronic lower extremity wounds. The study conducted by Lu et al. [155], also demonstrated the clinical benefits of intramuscular administration of bone marrow-derived MSCs for treatment of diabetic critical limb ischemia and foot ulcers.
Hematopoietic stem cells. Besides MSCs, the bone marrow also contains hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs). The HSCs are a well-characterized population of self-renewing cells [156], which are currently mainly being used for treating acquired and inherited bone marrow and hematologic disorders in man [131]. Krause et al. [157] conducted studies on multi-organ, multi-lineage engraftment using a single bone marrow-derived HSC which gave rise to follicular epithelial cells, sebaceous gland cells, epidermal keratinocytes and even dendritic cells after their transplantation in mice. They suggested that HSCs could possibly contribute to the clinical treatment of skin wounds. In this regard, it has also been reported that topical application of full thickness excisional wounds in diabetic mice with a side population of HSCs resulted in a high percentage of wound closure [158]. Nevertheless, more research is warranted in order to determine whether HSCs alone have similar wound healing enhancing effects as other adult stem cell types reported so far.

Epithelial stem cells. Epithelial stem cells (EpSCs) are adult stem cells which are quiescent yet have the capacity to self-renew and differentiate into at least one cell type [159]. A clear distinction should be made between dermal papillae stem cells that contribute to multiple skin appendage formation and epidermal stem cells which are mainly designed to reconstitute epidermis. There are different types of EpSCs which have been identified in the hair follicle [159] or the basal layer of the epidermis [65]. In haired skin, progeny of bulge stem cells migrate towards new epidermis for re-epithelialization [37]. Yang et al. [160] cultivated epidermal adult stem cells (EpiASCs) on bioengineered dermis to recreate artificial skin which was effectively transplanted in goats with acute full thickness skin defects. Their results showed that EpiASCs were able to rebuild the skin with hair formation. Recently, Broeckx et al. [65] reported the isolation and purification of equine epidermis-derived EpSCs. The authors noticed that EpSC addition to PRP treatment considerably enhanced several wound healing parameters. Indeed, the dermis was thinner and displayed less granulation tissue than the control wounds, which was a desired feature in equine skin, since horses tend to form hypergranulation tissue. Moreover, the latter study reported a considerable increase in vascularization, elastin content and follicle-like structures in the EpSC-treated group. Since these cells are lineage committed and preliminary experiments demonstrated similar healing enhancing capacities as MSCs, EpSCs might be considered as a valuable alternative.

Adipose-derived stem cells. Adipose-derived stem cells (ASCs) represent alternative sources of multipotent cells with characteristics similar to bone marrow-derived MSCs [161, 162]. Compared to BM-MSCs, ASCs are more abundant which makes them easier to isolate, and hence they are considered as an attractive source for wound regeneration [163]. An in vitro study by Lee et al. [163] showed that ASC conditioned medium may have beneficial effects on wound healing by increasing proliferation of immortalized human keratinocytes (HaCaT cells) and fibroblasts. Moreover, the latter study reported an increased contraction of the collagen matrix where the fibroblasts reside, indicating the importance of paracrine effects on surrounding cells. Lee et al. [164] found that ASCs enhanced full thickness wound healing. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that ASCs possess anti-inflammatory, vascuogenic and angiogenic properties, which are lacking in other cell types, such as dermal fibroblasts. Due to these paracrine advantages, ASCs can be used as a substitute for fibroblasts in grafting engineered skin [165]. Nevertheless, more protracted studies are necessary to demonstrate the working mechanism of these cells.

Differentiated cells. Adult terminally differentiated cells are also used for skin wound treatment. To date, keratinocytes, fibroblasts and adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction (SVF) cells are being applied in a clinical setting of human skin wounds. They are mainly combined with artificial dermis to optimize wound healing [166]. Tissue engineered skin has been developed due to restrictions correlated with autografts. Culturing autologous cells takes time and may result in donor site pain and healing insufficiency for patients with large skin defects, scarring, infection and/or slow healing [167, 168]. On the other hand, when allogenic sources of adult cells are used, immune rejection may occur and compromise the treatment outcome [168]. In recent years, skin tissue engineering has made significant
advances, however, several factors still delay its progress. Besides the critical choice of using the correct cell type, it remains a challenge to generate a detailed and complex new skin comprising all the necessary cells and to arrange them in a specific 3D pattern [169]. Since mature cells are mostly terminally differentiated, these cells are not the first choice for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine compared to stem cells [168]. For more information concerning several skin tissue engineering methods, the authors would like to refer to other review papers [170-172].

Skin keratinocytes consist of constantly renewing cells, and are being appreciated from a scientific and therapeutic point of view. Keratinocyte-based wound healing therapies exist in different forms. Chronic leg ulcers are being treated for a long time with autologous [173, 174] and allogenic epidermal keratinocytes [175]. Since, several keratinocyte sources have been used in humans: own skin cells, cells from cadavers and bioengineered "immortalized" keratinocytes. Researchers have also used keratinocytes as one component in cellular constructs and reported that they contributed to improved quality of wound healing [176, 177]. A retrospective study by Auxenfans et al. [178] showed that cultured allogenic keratinocytes allowed fast healing of deep second degree burns in human patients. Kazemi-Darabadi et al. [179] described that allogenous skin fibroblast transplantation for diabetic wounds in sheep positively affected the wound healing by increasing re-epithelialization, number of fibroblasts and blood vessels.

Adult bone marrow derived cells have also been studied for experimental wounds in animals and human clinical cases. A study by Yamaguchi et al. [180] showed that these cells accelerate wound healing in rats by differentiating into wound myofibroblasts. An experimental burn wound model in rabbits [181], surgically created wounds in rabbits [182, 183] and clinical cases in dogs [184] demonstrated significantly more early vascularization, fibroplasia and early maturation of collagen using autologous bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells. Apart from acute wound cases, a study conducted by Lu et al [155] showed the clinical benefits of intramuscular injection of fresh bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells for treating diabetic critical limb ischemia and foot ulcers, yet with a slower healing rate in comparison with bone marrow-derived MSCs. Badiavas and Falanga [185] treated chronic non-healing wounds in humans by direct application of bone marrow derived cells and found that the treatment resulted in wound closure and tissue reconstitution.

**Hurdles in regenerative therapies for skin wound healing and future perspectives**

Due to recent advances in regenerative medicine in general, the specific understanding of skin wound healing mechanisms has considerably improved. Nevertheless, several key issues and questions remain when administering cells or growth factors to skin wounds, which need to be addressed in future studies on skin regenerative therapies.

Growth factors are indispensable for directing cell to cell and cell to matrix interactions during wound repair. However, growth factor therapies do not consider physiological interactions between growth factors to control the repair process. Therefore, using only one growth factor is possibly not the optimal way to go. In fact, during *in vivo* wound repair, protein growth factors often interact with non-protein soluble mediators (i.e. lipids). In fact, these lipids function synergistically with growth factors, stimulating their function and enhancing wound healing. For this reason, the combination of growth factors with other bioactive lipids might generate some interesting findings [76]. Moreover in some cases there is insufficient bioavailability of growth factors, because of reduced synthesis and/or enhanced degradation in chronic wounds [119]. Therapeutic approaches for skin wounds might on the one hand require repeated administration of exogenous growth factors, on the other hand, high dose administrations might result in adverse effects in chronic wounds [119]. Moreover, it has been suggested that due to the complexity and need of repeated delivery, a single entity may not suffice. Thus, the use of a combination therapy and/or a patient specific approach may well be necessary for optimal wound healing [78, 79]. With recent advances,
there have been attempts to address these aspects through the production of polymer-based (i.e. biomaterial) controlled release growth factor delivery systems [123]. The main goal of such drug delivery systems would be to ensure the stability of the growth factor surrounded by proteases, extending its function at the injury site, minimizing systemic absorption, and inhibiting immune responses. In this regard, different types of systems have been reported such as proteinaceous ECM-derived, carbohydrate-based and synthetic vehicles. Several biodegradable or biocompatible delivery systems have been considered as safe by the FDA [76]. Still, a number of other challenges deserve more attention, including: i) increasing stability of encapsulated growth factors in the biomaterial/construct to allow release for longer periods, ii) difficulties in upscaling and iii) defining a suitable compartmentalization to allow multiple factor release with specific kinetics. The interactions among growth factors in cases of multiple delivery, their receptors and other ECM components are essential for delivery of regenerative therapies [186] and need further investigation.

Some issues that remain to be determined in cell-based therapies include age of the donor animal, optimal time of wound treatment, dose and route of administration of cells for skin wound therapy. In addition, the local micro-environment of the injured tissue should be taken into consideration. Both the in vivo study where MSCs from old mice inhibited (rather than promoted) wound healing of diabetic mice [187] and the in vitro decrease of epidermal stem cell activity of skin cultures from old men [188] strongly indicate that donor age is one of the concerns for stem cell therapy. In the case of enhanced fibrosis and scar tissue, the reduced blood supply, receptors and biological molecules might result in a failed therapy, because of the lack of an ideal environment for enhancing cell differentiation, proliferation and functioning [189, 190].

The use of allogenic multipotent MSCs without adverse reactions has been described in both humans and horses [191-197]. Moreover, MSCs can inhibit the innate immune reaction by inhibiting dendritic cell maturation and reducing macrophage and T-cell activity [198-202]. Furthermore, MSCs block both B-cell proliferation and IgA, IgG and IgM production [203]. Therefore, in future stem cell treated skin wound studies, the aforementioned parameters should be evaluated for different stem cell types. It has to be mentioned that many studies suggest that the main modus operandi of cell-based therapies is their paracrine effect. If this would be the case, it would implement that certain cell components or growth factors might be sufficient to achieve the required clinical improvements. Although the present literature study demonstrates similar functional in vivo effects after certain growth factor- and cell-based therapies, it does not allow us to conclude that stem cells only exert paracrine effects. Future studies might provide more answers to this complex, yet interesting matter.

Hereby we propose that the application of cell- and growth factor-based therapies in animals require a critical and cautious approach and all results should be carefully interpreted and reported [189].

Conclusions

Skin wound regenerative therapies are currently intensively studied. Several in vitro and in vivo studies have been conducted on regenerative strategies for wound healing in humans and different animal species. Regardless promising animal studies demonstrating accelerated wound healing, their clinical use still remains hampered because adequate delivery methods need further development. Therefore, clinical validation of the use of most of the cellular- and growth factor-based therapies is still in an early stage. Beneficial outcomes of fundamental research and preclinical trials require larger placebo-controlled field studies to confirm their efficacy. In addition, there is also a lack of information on long-term outcomes of skin wound treatment using such regenerative therapies. Nevertheless, for all the aforementioned reasons, researchers should be encouraged to increase the knowledge of growth factor- and cell-based regenerative therapies and future studies should focus on the development of a solid therapy for the treatment of skin wounds in mammals.
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