Relativistic description of final-state interactions in neutral-current neutrino and antineutrino cross sections
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We evaluate semi-inclusive neutral-current quasielastic differential neutrino and antineutrino cross sections within the framework of the relativistic impulse approximation. The results of the relativistic mean-field and of the relativistic Green’s function models are compared. The sensitivity to the strange-quark content of the nucleon form factor is also discussed. The results of the models are compared with the MiniBooNE experimental data for neutrino scattering. Numerical predictions for flux-averaged antineutrino scattering cross sections are also presented.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The results on neutrino oscillations published by different collaborations [1–15] have raised a large debate over the properties of neutrinos that could lead to a more complete understanding of neutrino physics. Because of the interest in oscillation measurements, various experimental neutrino-nucleus differential cross sections have been presented [16–21] and are planned in the near future [22–24]. A clear understanding of neutrino-nucleus reactions with a precise determination of differential cross sections is crucial for a proper analysis of the experimental data.

The MiniBooNE Collaboration has recently reported [18] a measurement of the neutral-current elastic (NCE) flux-averaged differential neutrino cross section on CH$_2$ as a function of the four-momentum transferred squared, $Q^2$. The energy region considered in the MiniBooNE experiments, with average neutrino energy of $\approx0.8$ GeV, requires the use of a relativistic model with an adequate description of nuclear dynamics and current operators. The relativistic Fermi gas (RFG) model cannot reproduce the data unless calculations are performed with a value of the axial mass $M_A$ significantly larger ($M_A = 1.39 \pm 0.11$ GeV/$c^2$) than the world average value from the deuterium data of $M_A \simeq 1.03$ GeV/$c^2$ [25,26]. It is reasonable to assume the larger axial mass required by the RFG as an effective value to incorporate into the calculations of nuclear effects which are not included in the RFG. A precise knowledge of lepton-nucleus cross sections, where uncertainties on nuclear effects are reduced as much as possible, is mandatory and a comparison between the results of different models can be helpful to disentangle different physics aspects involved in the scattering process.

It would be a sound strategy to require that any nuclear model used to describe neutrino-nucleus scattering succeed in the description of available electron scattering data in similar kinematic regions [27]. At intermediate energy, quasielastic (QE) electron scattering calculations [28,29], which are able to successfully describe a wide number of experimental data, can provide a useful tool to study neutrino-induced processes. However, some of these models based on the impulse approximation (IA) have been shown to be unable to describe the MiniBooNE data for both charged-current (CC) and neutral-current (NC) reactions [30–33]. This has been viewed as an indication that the reaction can have significant contributions from effects beyond the IA. The contribution of multinucleon excitations to neutrino-nucleus scattering [34–40] has been found sizable and able to bring the theory in agreement with the MiniBooNE cross sections without the need to increase the axial mass $M_A$. On the other hand, a relativistic calculation of two-particle–two-hole excitations, performed for both electron and neutrino scattering [41–44], has shown that two body currents give a more modest contribution at MiniBooNE kinematics and are unable to fully account for the data. Other models invoke an enhancement of the magnetic response rather than a modification on the axial mass to get agreement with the MiniBooNE data [45,46].
A deeper understanding of the reaction dynamics would require a careful evaluation of all nuclear effects and of the relevance of multinucleon emission and of some non-nucleonic contributions [47–51]. Previous studies have clearly stated the relevance of final-state interactions (FSI) to reproduce the exclusive \((e,e')p\) cross section within the distorted-wave impulse approximation (DWIA) [28,29,52–57] and the use of a complex optical potential (OP). The imaginary part of the OP produces an absorption that reduces the cross section and accounts partly for the loss of the incident flux to the open inelastic channels. For the case of inclusive scattering, where only the emitted lepton is detected, all elastic and inelastic channels contribute, and a different treatment of FSI is required: since all final-state channels are retained, the flux lost in a channel is redistributed in the other channels, and in the sum over all the channels the total flux must be conserved.

FSI have been considered in relativistic calculations for the inclusive QE electron- and neutrino-nucleus scattering under different approaches [58–70]. The simplest one corresponds to the relativistic plane-wave impulse approximation (RPWIA), where FSI are neglected. In some DWIA calculations FSI effects are incorporated in the final nucleon state by using real potentials, either retaining only the real part of the relativistic energy-dependent complex optical potential (denoted as rROP) or using the same relativistic mean-field potential considered in describing the initial nucleon state (RMF) [58,71]. Note that the RMF, because of the use of the same strong energy-independent real potential for both bound and scattering states, fulfills the dispersion relation [72] and maintains the continuity equation.

A different description of FSI involves the use of relativistic Green’s function (RGF) techniques [61,62,68,69,73–78]. In the RGF model the components of the nuclear response are written in terms of the single-particle optical model Green’s function; its spectral representation, which is based on a biorthogonal expansion in terms of a non-Hermitian OP \(\mathcal{H}\) and of its Hermitian conjugate \(\mathcal{H}^\dagger\), can be exploited to avoid the explicit calculation of the single-particle Green’s function and obtain the components of the hadron tensor [61,62]. Calculations require matrix elements of the same type as the DWIA ones of the exclusive \((e,e'p)\) process in [53] but involve eigenfunctions of both \(\mathcal{H}\) and \(\mathcal{H}^\dagger\), where the imaginary part has an opposite sign and gives in one case a loss and in the other case a gain of strength. The RGF formalism makes it possible to reconstruct the flux lost into nonelastic channels in the case of the inclusive response starting from the complex OP which describes elastic nucleon-nucleus scattering data. Moreover, a consistent treatment of FSI in both exclusive and inclusive scattering is provided, and, because of the analyticity properties of the OP, the Coulomb sum rule is fulfilled [62,72,73].

A comparison among these different descriptions of FSI has been presented in [68] for inclusive QE electron scattering, in [69] for charged-current quasielastic (CCQE) neutrino scattering, and in [79] with the CCQE MiniBooNE data. The behavior of electron scattering data and their related scaling and superscaling functions are successfully described by both RMF and RGF models. In the case of neutrinos, the shape of the experimental CCQE cross sections is well reproduced by both models, although the RMF generally underpredicts the CCQE MiniBooNE data, while the RGF can reproduce its magnitude for some particular choices of the relativistic potential without the need to increase the standard value of the axial mass.

In this work we extend the comparison between the results of the RGF and RMF models to NCE scattering. We note that the RGF is appropriate for an inclusive process where only the emitted lepton is detected, whereas in NCE scattering the final lepton is usually not detected and it is the nucleon in the final state that triggers the event detections. Thus NCE cross sections are usually semi-inclusive in the hadronic sector, where events for which at least one nucleon in the final state is detected are experimentally selected. The description of semi-inclusive NCE scattering with the RGF approach can recover important contributions that are not present in the RDWIA, for which the semi-inclusive cross section is obtained from the sum of all the integrated single-nucleon knockout channels plus the absorption produced in each channel by the imaginary part of the optical potential. This is appropriate for exclusive scattering, but it neglects some final-state channels which can contribute to the semi-inclusive reaction. The RGF, however, describes the inclusive process and, as such, may include channels which are not present in the semi-inclusive NCE measurements. From this point of view, the RDWIA can represent a lower limit and the RGF an upper limit to the semi-inclusive NCE cross sections. In comparison with the MiniBooNE NCE data, the RDWIA generally underpredicts the experimental cross section, while the RGF results are in reasonable agreement with the NCE data [80].

It is not easy to disentangle the role of specific contributions which may be neglected in the RDWIA or spuriously added in the RGF, in particular if we consider that both RDWIA and RGF calculations make use of phenomenological optical potentials, obtained through a fit of elastic proton-nucleus scattering data. In order to clarify the content of the enhancement of the RGF cross sections compared to those of the IA models, a careful evaluation of all nuclear effects and of the relevance of multinucleon emission and of some non-nucleonic contributions [48] is required. The comparison with the results of the RMF model, where only the purely nucleonic contribution is included, can be helpful for a deeper understanding of nuclear effects, particularly FSI, which may play a crucial role in the analysis of upcoming scattering data, and of their influence in studies of neutrino oscillations at intermediate to high energies.

II. RESULTS

In this section the numerical results of the RGF and RMF models are compared for NCE neutrino and antineutrino scattering on \(^{12}\text{C}\). As a first step, we have proved that RPWIA cross sections evaluated with two independent computer programs (developed by the Pavia and Madrid-Sevilla groups) are almost identical. This gives us enough confidence on the reliability of both calculations, and it agrees with previous results found in [68] for the inclusive QE electron scattering and in [69] for CCQE neutrino-nucleus scattering. Then the
comparison between the results corresponding to the RMF and RGF models is performed for the NCE neutrino- and antineutrino-induced cross sections and also for the ratio between proton- and neutron-knockout cross sections. In all the calculations presented in this work the bound nucleon states are taken as self-consistent Dirac-Hartree solutions derived within a relativistic mean-field approach using a Lagrangian containing $\sigma$, $\omega$, and $\rho$ mesons [81].

The differential cross sections of the NCE neutrino and antineutrino scattering, evaluated in the RPWIA, RMF, and RGF, are presented in Figs. 1 and 2 as a function of the kinetic energy of the emitted proton or neutron for three different (anti)neutrino energies $E_{\nu(\bar{\nu})} = 500$, 1000, and 2000 MeV. The contribution from strange quarks to the vector and axial-vector form factors has been fixed to zero. In addition, we note that in all the calculations presented in this work we have used the standard value of the axial mass, $M_A = 1.03$ GeV. A different value of $M_A$ would change the cross sections but not the comparison between the results of the different models. In the RGF calculations we have used two parametrizations for the relativistic OP of $^{12}$C: the energy-dependent and $A$-dependent EDAD1 (where $A$ is the atomic number) and the energy-dependent and $A$-independent EDAL phenomenological OPs [82]. The EDAL parametrization is a global one, because it is obtained through a fit to elastic proton-scattering data on a wide range of nuclei and, as such, it depends on the atomic number $A$, whereas the EDAL OP is constructed only from elastic proton-$^{12}$C phenomenology [82]. It leads to a better description of the inclusive QE $^{12}$C($e,e'$) experimental cross section, as well as to CCQE and NCE results that are in better agreement with the MiniBooNE data within the RGF approach [68,79,80,83].

The RMF gives cross sections that are generally 30% lower than the RPWIA ones at small outgoing nucleon kinetic energy $T_N$, but with a longer tail extending toward larger values of $T_N$, i.e., higher values of the transferred energy, that is attributable to the strong energy-independent scalar and vector potentials adopted in the RMF approach.

The RGF cross sections are generally larger than the RPWIA and the RMF ones. In the RGF the imaginary part of the optical potential redistributes the flux in all the final-state channels and, in each channel, the flux lost toward other channels is recovered by the flux gained from the other channels. The larger cross sections in the RGF arise from the translation to the strength of the overall effects of inelastic channels which are not included in the other models, such as, for instance, rescattering processes of the nucleon in its way out of the nucleus, non-nucleonic $\Delta$ excitations which may arise during nucleon propagation, or also some multinucleon processes. These contributions are not included explicitly in the RGF, but they all built phenomenologically on the absorptive imaginary part of the OP. Dispersion relations within the RGF would translate this strength into the inclusive RGF cross section. However, the RGF is appropriate for an inclusive process where only the emitted lepton is detected and can include contributions of channels which are present in an inclusive but not in a semi-inclusive reaction. From this point of view, the RGF can be considered as an upper limit to the NCE cross sections.
The comparison between the RGF results obtained with the EDAD1 and EDAI potentials can give an idea of how the predictions of the model are affected by uncertainties in the determination of the phenomenological OP. The differences depend on the energy and momentum transfer and are essentially attributable to the different imaginary part of the two potentials, which accounts for the overall effects of inelastic channels and is not univocally determined only from elastic phenomenology. In contrast, the real term is similar for different parametrizations and gives similar results.

The NCE experiments can also be used to look for possible strange-quark contributions in the nucleon. The role of strangeness contribution to the electric and magnetic nucleon form factors has been recently analyzed for parity-violating elastic electron scattering [84]. Specific values for the electric and magnetic strangeness were provided making use of all available data at different transferred momenta $Q^2$. The analysis of $1\sigma$ and $2\sigma$ confidence ellipses showed that zero electric and magnetic strangeness were excluded by most of the fits. However, the values of the strangeness in the electric and magnetic sectors compatible with the previous study lead to very minor effects in the separate proton and neutron contributions to the cross section for neutrino and antineutrino scattering. Moreover, these “small” effects tend to cancel, being negligible for the total differential cross sections. Although this cancellation also works for the axial-vector strangeness, its relative contribution to the separate proton and neutron contributions to the cross section for neutrino and antineutrino scattering and is rather independent of proton knockout, the transverse response $T$ is larger by a factor of $\approx 2$ than the transverse axial-vector response $T'$, and the longitudinal response $L$ is very small. In the case of neutron knockout, the $T$ response is still larger than the $T'$ one but the $L$ contribution is significant. Note that the longitudinal response is to a large extent insensitive to strangeness.

The NCE differential cross sections are displayed in Fig. 4. The proton cross section decreases when increasing $\Delta s$, while the neutron cross section has the opposite behavior. Thus, the total proton+neutron cross section is almost independent of $\Delta s$ in the range $-0.15$ to $0.15$. This result is obtained for both neutrino and antineutrino scattering and is rather independent of the incident (anti)neutrino energy.

Determining the strangeness contribution to the axial form factor from measurements of NCE cross sections is not easy. Theoretical uncertainties on the approximations and on the ingredients of the models are usually larger than the uncertainty related to the strangeness content of the nucleon. From the experimental point of view, precise cross section measurements are not easy to make due to difficulties in the determination of the neutrino flux related to the nuclear model dependence. Therefore, ratios of cross sections have

FIG. 3. (Color online) Separated longitudinal, $L$ (central set of lines), transverse (symmetric), $T$ (top set of lines), and transverse axial-vector (antisymmetric) $T'$ (bottom set of lines) for the NCE antineutrino cross section at $Q = 500$ MeV as a function of the emitted proton (a) or neutron (b) kinetic energy. Calculations are performed in the RFWIA. Solid, dashed, and dotted lines are the results with $\Delta s = 0.0$, $-0.15$, and $+0.15$, respectively.

FIG. 4. (Color online) NCE antineutrino cross section at $Q = 500$ MeV as a function of the emitted proton (a) or neutron (b) kinetic energy. Calculations are performed in the RFWIA. Solid, dashed, and dotted lines are the results with $\Delta s = 0.0$, $-0.15$, and $+0.15$, respectively.
been proposed as alternative and useful tools to search for strangeness effects. The ratio of proton-to-neutron cross sections was proposed and discussed in [89–95]. This ratio is very sensitive to strange-quark effects because the axial strangeness $\Delta s$ interferes with the isovector contribution to the axial form factor $G_A$. Results of different descriptions of FSI are compared. Line conventions are as in Fig. 1. All the results are obtained with $\Delta s = 0$.

Larger differences are obtained in the case of antineutrino scattering, in particular for the RMF model, whose results are significantly enhanced with respect to the RGF ones for large values of $T_N$. Contrary to the case of neutrinos, where the ratio changes very smoothly with $T_N$, for antineutrinos the slope of the ratio goes up very fast with the nucleon energy. This reflects the different behavior shown by the proton and neutron cross sections against $T_N$. At intermediate nucleon energies the uncertainty among the various models is of the order of $\sim12$–$14\%$, with much larger discrepancies for increasing $T_N$ values. However, in this energy region the cross section becomes significantly lower than its maximum and a very precise measurement is required to obtain a clear result. It is interesting to point out the similarity among the results corresponding to RGF-EDAI, RFG-EDAD1, and RPWIA at $\epsilon_\nu = 500$ and 1000 MeV.

In Fig. 6 the dependence of the RPWIA $p/n$ ratio on the strange-quark contribution is presented. The ratio is enhanced when calculations are performed with a negative $\Delta s$ and suppressed when a positive $\Delta s$ is considered. In the case of antineutrino scattering the role of strangeness contribution is particularly significant when a negative $\Delta s$ is assumed with a large peak at $T_N \approx 0.7\epsilon_\nu$. The sensitivity of the $p/n$ ratio to $\Delta s$, as well as to the strange-quark contribution in the vector form factors, was analyzed in [65]. In particular, it was obtained that a moderately large and negative strangeness contribution to the magnetic moment of the nucleon can cancel the peak in the $p/n$ ratio. Although a large strangeness
contribution to the vector form factors is not supported by any available experimental evidence [84], it would be anyhow intriguing to look for possible strangeness effects with a direct measurement of this quantity. We are aware that a precise measurement of the $p/n$ ratio is a hard experimental task, but the first measurement of the MiniBooNE Collaboration [18] has proven the validity of this experimental technique and, hopefully, new data will be available in the future.

In the results of Fig. 6, the uncertainty in the proton/neutron ratio associated with the axial strangeness is quite large: in the case of neutrinos the ratio changes by a factor of 2 when going from positive ($\Delta s = 0.15$) to negative ($\Delta s = -0.15$) strangeness. This large range of variability of $\Delta s$ is in accordance with $v(\bar{v})$ Brookhaven data [16,85] and also with the MiniBooNE results [18], but the COMPASS measurements suggest a narrower interval for the axial strangeness [87], which results in a reduced range of variation of the proton/neutron ratio. This is represented in Fig. 6 by the shadowed band that, as observed, is of the same order of magnitude as the uncertainties related to the distortion effects.

This sensitivity to $\Delta s$ gets much larger for antineutrinos, where the ratio goes up very fast with increasing $T_N$ values. However, as in the previous case for neutrinos, the range of variation in $R[p/n]$ associated to the COMPASS measurement is similar to the uncertainty introduced by nuclear model and/or distortion effects. Although this study is consistent with previous analyses, and it shows the capability of the ratio $R[p/n]$ as an useful observable in order to get precise information on the axial-vector strangeness content in the nucleon, the results in Fig. 6 indicate that, owing to the actual precision in the axial strangeness given by the COMPASS experiment, a deep and careful analysis of the uncertainties linked to ingredients of the calculation such as nuclear models or FSI is required.

### III. RESULTS AT MINIBOONE KINEMATICS

The neutrino-nucleus NCE reaction at MiniBooNE can be considered as scattering of an incident neutrino or antineutrino with a single nucleon bound in carbon or free in hydrogen. Each contribution is weighted by an efficiency correction function and averaged over the experimental (antineutrino flux [96]. Different relativistic descriptions of FSI were presented and compared with the NCE MiniBooNE data in [80,86]. In Fig. 7 we present our RMF and RGF cross sections for NCE ($nN \rightarrow nN$) scattering and compare them with the experimental data, where the variable $Q^2_{QE} = 2m_N T_N$ is defined by assuming that the target nucleon is at rest, $m_N$ being the nucleon mass and $T_N$ the total kinetic energy of the outgoing nucleons. The RMF result has a too-soft $Q^2$ behavior to reproduce the experimental data at small $Q^2$, while the RGF produces larger cross sections, in better agreement with the data. The difference between the RGF results calculated with the two optical potentials is significant, particularly for small $T_N$ ($Q^2_{QE}$) values. This is consistent with the large discrepancies shown by the cross sections evaluated at fixed neutrino and antineutrino energies (see Fig. 1). The RGF-EDAI cross section is in accordance with the shape and the magnitude of the data. In contrast the RGF-EDAD1 result lies below the data at the smallest values of $Q^2$ considered in the figure. The RMF approach leads to the lowest cross section for low-to-intermediate values of the transferred four-momentum. Only for $Q^2_{QE} \geq 0.9$ GeV$^2$ is the RMF tail higher than the RPWIA result, but it still lies below the two RGF models. However, in this kinematical regime all the models are able to reproduce the data within the error bars.

The MiniBooNE Collaboration has collected also an extensive data set of neutral-current antineutrino events whose analysis is currently ongoing and some preliminaries results are available [97,98]. In Fig. 8 we show our predictions for the NCEMiniBooNE ($\bar{v}N \rightarrow \bar{v}N$) cross section. In these calculations we use the set of efficiency coefficients given in [18] for neutrino scattering. The selection for the antineutrino NCE sample is slightly different from the neutrino sample, and therefore the efficiencies are similar only as a first approximation, since they are expected to be a little bit different. However, even if it is not rigorous, the use of neutrino efficiencies for the antineutrinos is approximately correct. Similarly to the neutrino case, the RMF gives cross sections that are lower than the RPWIA ones whereas the RGF produces larger cross sections. This is consistent with the results shown in Fig. 2 for fixed antineutrino energies, where a significant
discrepancy among the cross sections obtained with the various models is observed, with the smallest contribution being for the RMF and the largest one for RGF-EDAI. Furthermore, the RGF with the EDAD1 optical potential gives results which are very similar to the RPWIA calculation. The predictions of these two models, RPWIA and RGF-EDAD1, agree very well with the preliminary antineutrino NCE MiniBooNE data [97,98].

The curves displayed in Figs. 7 and 8 involve a convolution over the experimental (anti)neutrino flux. In order to better understand these results, in Fig. 9 we present the proton+neutron antineutrino cross section multiplied by the antineutrino MiniBooNE flux of [96] as a function of the antineutrino energy ε, at four fixed values of the outgoing nucleon kinetic energy TN: 108 (a), 252 (b), 540 (c), and 756 MeV (d). Line conventions are as in Fig. 1.

In Fig. 9 we present our results for the (νp → νp)/(νN → νN) ratio with RGF, RMF, and RPWIA models as a function of reconstructed energy Trec. In our calculations the axial strangeness Δs has been fixed to 0. All the models give very close results which are in agreement with experimental data within error bars; this is in accordance with the fact that in the kinematical regime with TN > 350 MeV all the models are able to reproduce the cross-section data.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This work extends previous comparative studies to include the analysis of neutral-current neutrino-nucleus scattering reactions. In previous works we applied our models to inclusive electron and charged-current neutrino scattering, providing also a comparison with data measured by the MiniBooNE collaboration. Our main objective in this paper is to examine how capable our theoretical models are at explaining the recent data on NC reactions measured by MiniBooNE. In both cases, CC and NC processes, the kinematics involved implies the use of fully relativistic models. This is the case of the relativistic mean-field and the relativistic Green’s function approaches considered in this work. Not only is relativistic kinematics considered, but also nuclear dynamics and current operators are described within a relativistic formalism. Moreover, final state interactions, an essential ingredient in the reaction mechanism, are also taken into account by introducing relativistic potentials in the final state and solving the Dirac equation. Whereas in the RMF case the potential consists of real strong energy-independent scalar and vector terms.
(the same used for the bound nucleon states), the RGF makes use of phenomenological energy-dependent complex optical potentials. In this work results are shown for two choices of the optical potential: EDAI and EDAD1.

We have compared the predictions for the differential cross sections and the proton/neutron ratio. The former shows an important dependence with the model, particularly at small values of the outgoing nucleon kinetic energy. The RMF provides the lowest result while the RGF gets much more strength, although a significant dependence on the potentials considered is also seen for the RGF case. This general result applies to both neutrino and antineutrino reactions and occurs for very different values of the lepton ($\nu_\mu/T_\mu$) energy. This explains the significant differences observed for the NC flux-averaged cross sections, which are also compared with MiniBooNE data. From our analysis we conclude that the largest contribution corresponding to RGF-EDAI is in accordance with data for neutrinos, whereas the other models, in particular the RMF, lie clearly below data at small nucleon kinetic energies ($T_N$). In contrast, all models reproduce the behavior of data at larger $T_N$ values. However, we have to keep in mind the large data error bands in this kinematical regime.

In addition to the uncertainties associated with nuclear model and/or FSI descriptions, which are particularly relevant for the cross sections, another ingredient to be carefully considered is the role of strangeness in the nucleon. While strangeness in the electric and magnetic sectors leads to very minor effects, which are almost negligible for the total cross section, the dependence upon the axial-vector strangeness is much more important. This is particularly true in the case of the separate proton and neutron contributions to the cross sections. The role of the axial strangeness is opposite in protons and neutrons, and it tends to cancel in the total cross section. This justifies the use of total cross sections to analyze nuclear models and FSI dependencies, since they are almost independent of $\Delta s$ (axial strangeness). Moreover, it also justifies the use of the $p/n$ ratio as a useful observable to get information on the axial strangeness.

In this work we have analyzed in detail the proton/neutron ratio by comparing the predictions given by the RMF and RGF models. We have proved that the ratio only presents a weak dependence on the model, in particular, in the case of neutrinos: the uncertainty is on average of the order of $\sim 4\%$–$5\%$. This discrepancy gets significantly higher for antineutrinos at increasing values of nucleon energy. In any case, these differences are usually smaller than the ones ascribed to the use of different axial strangeness content in the nucleon. In this case the $p/n$ ratio can change by more than a factor of 2 when the variation in $\Delta s$ is in accordance with the Brookhaven and MiniBooNE data. However, the highly precise measurements given by COMPASS lead to an uncertainty in $R[p/n]$ similar to the one ascribed to distortion and nuclear model effects.

Summarizing, we have applied two different relativistic models that incorporate final-state interactions to the study of NCE neutrino- and antineutrino-nucleus scattering processes. We have presented a detailed analysis of the differential cross sections (with the separate proton and neutron contributions) and the $p/n$ ratio. We have compared our predictions with the recent experimental data taken by the MiniBooNE Collaboration for neutrinos and have given predictions for antineutrinos which can be also compared with data when available. We have proved the significant differences introduced by the various models that may indicate important effects ascribed to correlation and meson exchange currents, which are not yet incorporated in the models. Although the comparison between RMF and RGF models may help us in disentangling different aspects involved in the physics of the problem, we should be cautious in establishing final conclusions before other ingredients beyond the impulse approximation can be implemented in more refined calculations and their contributions can be carefully examined.
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