Occurrence and duration of various operational definitions of sedentary bouts and cross-sectional associations with cardiometabolic health indicators: The ENERGY-project
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Abstract

Objective. This study examined the occurrence and duration of sedentary bouts and explored the cross-sectional association with health indicators in children applying various operational definitions of sedentary bouts.

Methods. Accelerometer data of 647 children (10–13 years old) were collected in five European countries. We analyzed sedentary time (≥100 cpm) accumulated in bouts of at least 5, 10, 20 or 30 min based on four operational definitions, allowing 0, 30 or 60 s ≥100 cpm within bouts. Health indicators included anthropometrics (i.e. waist circumference and body mass index (BMI)) and in a subsample from two European countries (n = 112) fasting capillary blood levels of glucose, C-peptide, high-density- and low-density cholesterol, and triglycerides. Data collection took place from March to July 2010. Associations were adjusted for age, gender, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, total wear time and country.

Results. Occurrence of sedentary bouts varied largely between the various definitions. Children spent most of their sedentary time in bouts of ≥5 min while bouts of ≥20 min were rare. Linear regression analysis revealed few significant associations of sedentary time accumulated in bouts of ≥5–30 min with health indicators. Moreover, we found that more associations became significant when allowing no tolerance time within sedentary bouts.

Conclusion. Despite a few significant associations, we found no convincing evidence for an association between sedentary time accumulated in bouts and health indicators in 10–13 year old children.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
et al., 2013; Carson and Janssen, 2011; Carson et al., 2014; Harrington et al., 2011; Saunders et al., 2013b). Although all these studies used similar accelerometer-based data (i.e. three studies used Actigraph models, one study used Actical and one study used ActivPAL), they used different operational definitions of sedentary bouts and breaks in sedentary time (Carson and Janssen, 2011; Harrington et al., 2011; Colley et al., 2013; Carson et al., 2014; Saunders et al., 2013b). In these studies, sedentary bout definitions ranged from periods of 15 s classified as sitting/lying (Harrington et al., 2011) to periods of more than 120 min below the frequently used accelerometer cut-point for sedentary activity of 100 cpm (Colley et al., 2013). Strikingly, in two studies, up to a total of 20% of minutes within a sedentary bout was tolerated above this cut-point (Carson and Janssen, 2011; Colley et al., 2013). This implies that, for example, if 6 out of 30 min were not spent sedentary, this was still considered a sedentary bout. Importantly, if such interruptions in sedentary time attenuate detrimental effects of prolonged sedentary time (Dunstan et al., 2012; Altenburg et al., 2013; Peddie et al., 2013), we believe that such tolerance minutes should not be accepted in the operational definition of a sedentary bout. Definitions of breaks in sedentary time varied from periods of more than five seconds (Carson et al., 2014) to periods of more than one minute (Saunders et al., 2013b). One study defined a break as each 15-second epoch above 100 cpm within a sedentary bout (Carson and Janssen, 2011).

As a consequence of these different operational definitions of what a sedentary bout is, estimates of sedentary patterns vary largely between studies, making comparison pointless. For example, Harrington et al., (2011) reported that female adolescents (aged 15–18 years) accumulated their weekday sedentary time in on average 53 sedentary bouts of on average 10.5 minutes. Carson et al. (2014) reported that 11 year old children spent on average 240 min/day in bouts lasting 1–4 min, 91 min/day in bouts lasting 5–9 min, 60 min/day in bouts lasting 10–19 min, 24 min/day in bouts lasting 20–29 min and 33 min/day in bouts lasting 30+ minutes.

Additionally, the few studies that examined the health effects of sedentary patterns in children and adolescents — varying in age between 6 and 19 years (46–55% males) — (Carson and Janssen, 2011; Carson et al., 2014; Colley et al., 2013; Saunders et al., 2013b), found inconsistent evidence for an association of sedentary time accumulated in bouts (number and/or duration) in sedentary time with health indicators in children. The different operational definitions of bouts and breaks in sedentary time may be one explanation for these inconsistent findings.

The aim of the present study was therefore to examine the occurrence and duration of sedentary bouts using various operational definitions in 10–13 year old children. Additionally, we examined the association of sedentary time accumulated in bouts according to these operational definitions with cardiometabolic health indicators. Specifically, we examined sedentary bouts of at least 5, 10, 20 or 30 min below 100 cpm in combination with allowing zero and 30 or 60 s above 100 cpm in a sedentary bout (i.e. tolerance time). Cardiometabolic health indicators included primarily waist circumference and body mass index (BMI), and secondary fasting blood levels of glucose, C-peptide, high-density- and low-density cholesterol, and triglycerides. We hypothesized stronger associations with longer sedentary bout duration and less tolerance within the sedentary bout.

Methods

Study design and sample

The study sample consisted of 10–13 year old children and their parents who were enrolled in the ENERGY (EuropeaN Energy balance Research to prevent excessive weight Gain among Youth) cross-sectional survey (van Stralen et al., 2011), as part of the ENERGY-project (Brug et al., 2010). For the current analyses, participants were included from five of the participating countries (i.e. Belgium, Greece, Hungary, Switzerland and the Netherlands) where accelerometer data were obtained. The selection of schools for accelerometer data collection was based on logistic planning of the data collection as well as the willingness of the schools. The data collection took place between March and July 2010, with accelerometer data being collected in approximately 200 children per country. For details see elsewhere (Yildirim et al., 2011). After applying evidence-based data reduction procedures (Chinapaw et al., 2014), we had valid accelerometer data of 647 children. Anthropometrics were collected for all children participating in the accelerometer study from all five countries, but only children from Hungary and the Netherlands who participated in the accelerometer study were asked to provide blood samples. Of the children with valid accelerometer data, fasting blood samples were available for 112 children. The study was approved by the ethics committees in each participating country and is in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants and their parents provided written informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study.

### Sedentary time and physical activity

Children were asked to wear an Actigraph accelerometer (models GT1M and Actitrainer) on their waist (right side) during all waking hours, apart from when engaged in water-based activities, for at least six consecutive days. The uniaxial output of these accelerometers is compatible (Robusto and Trost, 2012). A 15-second epoch length was selected to accurately capture the pattern of high intensity and short duration physical activity (Bailey et al., 2013). A detailed description of the accelerometer protocol is described elsewhere (Yildirim et al., 2011).

Raw accelerometer data were analyzed using a customized software program developed in MATLAB. For inclusion in the data analysis, each participant needed a minimum of six days with at least eight valid hours, including at least one weekend day. Periods of more than 60 min of consecutive zero counts were defined as non-wear time and excluded from data analysis (Chinapaw et al., 2014). We selected a cut-point of ≥ 100 cpm to define sedentary time (Trost et al., 2011; Fischer et al., 2012). Total sedentary time (min) was calculated by summing each 15-second epoch below 100 cpm. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) was defined at a cut-point of ≥ 3000 cpm (Treuth et al., 2004).

Sedentary bouts were defined as periods of consecutive minutes below 100 cpm. Using our MATLAB program, we analyzed sedentary time in bouts of at least 5, 10, 20 or 30 min in four separate analyses, allowing 0, 30 or 60 (accumulative) tolerance seconds within all different sedentary bout duration (i.e. 12 separate analyses). Time spent in sedentary bouts was calculated as sedentary time (min) accumulated in bouts of at least 5, 10, 20 or 30 min. This variable was not averaged into min/day or min/week, as it would have led to a loss of crucial information on bout duration (i.e. sedentary time accumulated in shorter vs. longer bouts). Additionally, the total number of sedentary bouts (per day) of at least 5, 10, 20 or 30 min was calculated.

#### Indicators of cardiometabolic health

**Anthropometrics**

Data on body height, weight and waist circumference (WC) were collected according to standardized procedures (van Stralen et al., 2011). Participants were measured in light clothing and without shoes. Height (cm) was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a SECA Leicester Portable stadiometer. Body weight (kg) was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated electronic scale SECA 861. WC (cm) was used as indicator of abdominal fatness and was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with the SECA 201 measuring band. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared (m²) and was used to assess overweight or obesity, using the Cole criteria (Cole et al., 2000).

**Fasting blood samples**

Children in Hungary and the Netherlands were asked to fast the evening prior to the morning of blood collection. Fasting samples were taken between 8:00 and 8:30 A.M. and children were offered breakfast afterwards. Capillary blood samples were collected in a validated collection kit developed for ambulatory purposes (Demecal, The Netherlands (Gootjes et al., 2009)). Following a finger prick, approximately 60 μl of capillary blood was absorbed by a sponge and transferred to a collection tube containing 220 μl dilution buffer. After mixing, the diluted blood was filtered, producing approximately 8 times diluted isolated plasma. Fasting plasma glucose, C-peptide, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglycerides were determined.
All statistical procedures were performed using SPSS software (version 18.0). A standardized continuously distributed variable (z-score) for clustered cardiometabolic risk was computed from WC, glucose, C-peptide, LDL-C, HDL-C and triglycerides (Altenburg et al., 2012; Chinapaw et al., 2012; Andersen et al., 2006). Each individual cardiometabolic health indicator was converted to z-scores and stratified by gender. HDL-C was then multiplied by −1, since it is inversely related to cardiometabolic risk. For each individual, the average of z-scores of health indicators was calculated to construct the clustered cardiometabolic risk score, with a higher score indicating a higher risk.

Descriptives were presented as mean ± SD for baseline sample characteristics and median [interquartile range] for sedentary bout descriptives. Multiple linear regression analyses were used to examine the association of total sedentary time and sedentary time accumulated in bouts of different durations with indicators of cardiometabolic health. First, the association of total sedentary time with cardiometabolic indicators was assessed. Second, the association of sedentary time accumulated in sedentary bouts of at least 5, 10, 20 or 30 min, respectively, and allowing 0, 30 or 60 s tolerance time, respectively, with cardiometabolic indicators was assessed. All associations were adjusted for age, gender, MVPA time, total wear time and country. The associations with fasting blood levels were additionally adjusted for WC (except when clustered cardiometabolic risk was the outcome). Significance level was set at p < 0.05.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the sample characteristics. Children (48% boys) were on average 11.6 (± 0.8) years old, with 17.3% being defined as overweight and 4.3% as obese. Children had on average 6.4 (± 0.5) valid accelerometer days with an average 816 (± 78) minutes valid wear time. They spent on average 511 (± 84) min/day sedentary and 36 (± 17) min/day on MVPA (data not shown).

Table 2 shows the descriptives for sedentary bouts according to the different definitions. Logically, sedentary time was higher when allowing sedentary in bouts of shorter duration and tolerating more time above 100 cpm within the bouts. For example, when summing sedentary bouts of 5 min and allowing 60 s tolerance within these bouts, accumulated sedentary time was on average 426 min. When allowing zero tolerance within these 5-minute bouts, accumulated sedentary time decreased to on average 225 min. When summing bouts of 30 min and allowing zero tolerance time within these bouts, total accumulated sedentary time was on average only 32 min. Furthermore, children spent most of their time sedentary in bouts of short duration (at least 5 min) while sedentary bouts of at least 20 min were rare.

**Table 1**

Baseline characteristics of 647 European 10–13 year old children (48% boys) (ENERGY study, March–July 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>11.6 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC, cm</td>
<td>65.6 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/cm²</td>
<td>18.8 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight status, %</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>4.6 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)¹</td>
<td>3.7 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)²</td>
<td>1.9 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/l)³</td>
<td>1.2 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)⁴</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide (mmol/l)⁵</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiometabolic risk score⁶</td>
<td>−0.0004 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; WC, waist circumference.

¹ Subsample of 112 children;
² N = 111.

**Table 2**

Descriptives (median [interquartile range]) for sedentary time accumulated in bouts of at least 5, 10, 20 or 30 min, and including 0, 30 or 60 s tolerance time, of 647 European 10–13 year old children (ENERGY study, March–July 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sedentary time accumulated in bouts (min/day)</th>
<th>Total number of sedentary bouts per day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 min sedentary bout</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 s tolerance</td>
<td>426 [373; 488]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 s tolerance</td>
<td>348 [294; 410]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 s tolerance</td>
<td>225 [181; 284]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min sedentary bout</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 s tolerance</td>
<td>292 [238; 360]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 s tolerance</td>
<td>221 [172; 284]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 s tolerance</td>
<td>127 [92; 173]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 min sedentary bout</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 s tolerance</td>
<td>146 [105; 204]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 s tolerance</td>
<td>105 [71; 149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 s tolerance</td>
<td>56 [36; 83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min sedentary bout</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 s tolerance</td>
<td>80 [54; 125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 s tolerance</td>
<td>57 [35; 91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 s tolerance</td>
<td>32 [18; 52]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**

Association (beta [95%CI]) of total sedentary time (min) with anthropometrics (n = 647; from 5 European countries) and cardiometabolic risk (n = 112; from 2 European countries) in 10–13 year old children (ENERGY study, March–July 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total sedentary time</th>
<th>WC</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
<th>C-peptide</th>
<th>Total cholesterol</th>
<th>LDL-C</th>
<th>HDL-C</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>Cardiometabolic risk score¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.001 (−0.002; 0.000)</td>
<td>0.000 (−0.001; 0.001)</td>
<td>0.0002 (−0.001; 0.0004)</td>
<td>0.0003 (0.0001; 0.0005)</td>
<td>0.0000 (−0.0004; 0.0005)</td>
<td>0.0002 (−0.0002; 0.0001)</td>
<td>0.0001 (−0.0000; 0.0003)</td>
<td>−0.0001 (−0.0002; 0.0004)</td>
<td>0.0001 (−0.0002; 0.0001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total sedentary time displayed in total minutes, to make the associations comparable to those of sedentary time accumulated in bouts (i.e. Table 4). BMI, body mass index; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; WC, waist circumference.

All associations are adjusted for age, gender, MVPA time, total wear time and country. Except when clustered cardiometabolic risk was the outcome, associations were additionally adjusted for WC.

¹ N = 111.

* Indicates statistical significant associations (p < 0.05).
The present study examined the occurrence and duration of sedentary bouts when using various operational definitions of sedentary bouts in 10–13 year old children. Our findings reveal that variations in the operationalization of the definition of a sedentary bout have a large effect on estimates of sedentary time accumulated in bouts. Moreover, our findings suggest that children engage mostly in sedentary bouts of at least 5 min, while bouts longer than 20 min are rare. We found few significant associations between total sedentary time/ sedentary time accumulated in bouts and cardiometabolic health indicators. Additionally, we found that applying the strictest definition of uninterrupted sedentary time accumulated in bouts (i.e. allowing zero tolerance time within bouts) resulted in more significant associations. Nevertheless, as we found a large number of non-significant associations, we conclude that we found no convincing evidence for an association between total sedentary time or sedentary time accumulated in bouts and cardiometabolic health indicators in 10–12 year old children.

Our finding that children predominantly spent their sedentary time in short bouts (i.e. bouts of at least 5 or 10 min) is in line with previous studies (Saunders et al., 2013b; Carson et al., 2014; Harrington et al., 2011). In contrast to our finding that sedentary bouts longer than 20 min were rare among children, Colley et al. (2013) found that bouts of at least 20, 60, 100, 120 and 140 min were common in 6–19 year old children on weekdays after 3 PM. This contrasting result could be explained by the difference in tolerance minutes that were allowed within sedentary bouts. Colley et al. (2013) tolerated up to 20% of minutes above 100 cpm, whereas we tolerated a maximum of 5% of minutes above 100 cpm within bouts of at least 20 min. To date, few studies have been published on the association of sedentary bouts with cardiometabolic health in children. As stated in the introduction, these studies all used different operational definitions of sedentary bouts thereby making comparison of the findings complex (Carson and Janssen, 2011; Carson et al., 2014; Colley et al., 2013; Saunders et al., 2013b). Carson and Janssen (2011) found no association between sedentary time accumulated in bouts of at least 30 min and cardiometabolic risk factors in 6–19 year old children. Colley et al. (2013) found significant associations only between sedentary bouts of at least 40 and 80 min and anthropometrics in boys aged 10–14 years. No significant associations were found with other bout durations (i.e. 20, 60, 100 and 120 min), or in younger (6–10 years) and older (15–19 years) boys, or in girls of any age, or with systolic and diastolic blood pressure and non-HDL cholesterol. Both studies allowed up to 20% of time >100 cpm within sedentary bouts (Colley et al., 2013; Carson and Janssen, 2011), thereby tolerating a substantial amount of time of non-sedentary time within sedentary bouts. This toleration of substantial interruptions in sedentary time may be an explanation for the lack of a consistent association with health indicators in these studies. Our finding that several associations became significant when applying the strictest definition of uninterrupted sedentary time accumulated in bouts (i.e. allowing zero tolerance time within bouts) supports this explanation. Moreover, this is in line with experimental evidence on the beneficial effects of brief interruptions during prolonged sitting in young adults (Altenburg et al., 2013; Peddie et al., 2013).

Carson et al. (2014) and Saunders et al. (2013b) examined delimited sedentary bout durations lasting 1–4 min, 5–9 min, 10–14 min, 15–29 min and 30+ minutes (i.e. allowing zero tolerance time). After
stratification for moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA), Carson et al. (2014) found significant associations of 5–9 and 10–19 min bouts with body mass index standard deviation scores (BMI-z scores) only in the low MVPA group, but not in the high MVPA group. No significant associations were found with other bout durations (i.e. 1–4, 20–29 and 30+ minutes) (Carson et al., 2014). Saunders et al. (2013b) found a significant negative association between sedentary bouts lasting 5–9 min and waist circumference in girls and significant positive associations between sedentary bouts of 10–14 min and BMI z-score in boys and fasting glucose in girls. Sedentary bouts of 15–19 min were negatively associated with fasting triglycerides and high sensitivity C-reactive protein. Using delimited bout durations make the findings of these studies hard to interpret and compare with our findings.

In the present study, we found few significant associations of sedentary time and cardiometabolic health indicators, but the number of significant associations increased when we applied the strictest definition of uninterrupted sedentary time. The lack of consistent associations between sedentary time accumulated in uninterrupted sedentary bouts and cardiometabolic health indicators may have two explanations. First, sedentary bouts longer than 20 min were rare in this group of 10–13 year old children, and as a consequence associations of such bouts with cardiometabolic health indicators may be underpowered. Second, children’s exposure to excessive time spent in uninterrupted sedentary bouts may not have been long enough to demonstrate negative associations with cardiometabolic health indicators. Third, there is no association between sedentary bouts and cardiometabolic health indicators in this age group. Future prospective high-quality studies should examine the relationship between accumulated time spent in sedentary bouts during childhood and cardiometabolic health indicators during adulthood.

Strengths of this study include objectively assessed sedentary time and cardiometabolic health indicators, use of evidence-based accelerometer data reduction criteria, detailed analyses of sedentary time accumulated in bouts of uninterrupted (i.e. allowing zero tolerance time) and interrupted (i.e. allowing 30 and 60 s tolerance within sedentary bout) sedentary time and the relatively large sample of children for accelerometer and anthropometrics. One limitation of this study is the cross-sectional design, thereby limiting conclusions about causality. In addition, the sample size for the associations with fasting blood samples was small (n = 112). Furthermore, as with all waist-worn accelerometer-based sedentary time, we were unable to differentiate between various postures (i.e. lying, sitting, standing). As a consequence of potential misclassification, time spent sedentary (both total and accumulated in bouts) may be overestimated.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that different operational definitions of sedentary bouts lead to large differences in the occurrence and duration of children’s sedentary time accumulated in bouts, and to differences in the cross-sectional association with children’s cardiometabolic health indicators. We found that children accumulated their sedentary time predominantly in short bouts and this sedentary pattern was not convincingly associated with cardiometabolic health indicators in 10–13 year old children. As associations were more often significant when applying the strictest definition for sedentary bouts, and health effects may add up after extended periods of uninterrupted sedentary time (Altenburg et al., 2013; Peddie et al., 2013), we recommend that future prospective studies define a sedentary bout as a period of uninterrupted sedentary time, i.e. allowing no tolerance time within sedentary bouts.
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