A hazard perception test for cycling children : an exploratory study

Department of Movement and Sports Sciences, Ghent University, Belgium

Corresponding author: pieter.vansteenkiste@ugent.be

Introduction

Figure 1 : Number of victims aged 5 to 14 in 2001

→ Causes ?

- increasing usage of bicycle
- Lack of cycling skills
- **Lack of cognitive skills**
- ...

→ Traffic related cognitive skills have been tested for young car drivers with a **Hazard Perception test** but not for children, although they might benefit even more from it than young drivers. Therefore : *An exploratory study to the use of a HP-test for testing the cognitive / traffic skills of young cyclists*

Methods

A convenience sample of **27 adults and 21 children** (16 females, 21,67±1,94y of age; 10 girls, 8,28 ± 0,46y of age respectively) completed a newly developed Hazard Perception test.

Figure 2 : A child performing the HP-test

Children were tested in an empty classroom in their school, adults were tested in a laboratory at Ghent University.

The HP-test consisted of **33 video-clips of 20 à 30 seconds**, shot from the point of view of a cyclist. Videos were presented on a 22" computer screen and Eye-movements were recorded using the Remote Eye-Tracking Device of SMI, operating at 120Hz.

The HP-test consisted three parts :

- 1) **Gaze behavior** : only watch the video, pretending they were cycling in the shown traffic situation (10 clips)
- 2) **Environmental awareness** : answer a question about video afterwards, example : what animal did you see, did you have priority, ... (10 clips)
- 3) **Hazard judgment** : click when you see a hazard on which you would pay extra attention + judge how dangerous you think this situation was on a scale from one to five.

Results

Figure 3: Case study – Pedestrian crossing

48% of the children and 4% of the adults indicated that they would cross the road before the right light turned green ($p < 0.001$).

Summary of results of each part of the HP test and QR-code of 3 of the videos

1) Gaze Behavior

- In general : few differences in dwell time towards different regions
- Children look more towards traffic signs
Bias : children had traffic school the week before the experiment
- Adults look more towards Cars
Adults are more aware of the hazardousness of cars

2) Environmental awareness

- Adults scored better on some videos
Adults possibly have a bigger useful field of view
- largest difference in video with distractions
Children are more easily distracted

3) Hazard Judgment

- Adults react more and faster to hazards
Children need more time to process information
→ Did not test basic reaction time
- Children judged most videos more dangerous
Children are not able to judge hazards adequately

Figure 4: Three filmstrips of videos shown in the hazard perception test
Full videos can be watched by scanning the QR-codes above.

Conclusions

Results show that **children do not yet have sufficient traffic experience to efficiently judge and react to hazardous situations**. These poor hazard perception abilities might be a cause for children's over-representation in accident statistics.

Future research

- improvement of hazard perception tests for children and adults
 - Better videos with more specific hazards
 - Multiple videos for same type of hazard
 - Automate data process to have quick access to results
- examine effectiveness of a hazard perception training
- Test the validity of the test : does a good score represent a good cyclist?
- Test effect of alcohol, music, fatigue, ... on hazard perception of cyclists

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the life line campaign of the Research Foundation of Flanders (FWO) FWO G.A115.11N. Special thanks goes to Léonie Vandekerckhove for helping with data collection and analysis.