GAMA/H-ATLAS: linking the properties of submm detected and undetected early-type galaxies – I. \( z \leq 0.06 \) sample
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ABSTRACT
We present two large, nearby \((0.013 \leq z \leq 0.06)\) samples of early-type galaxies (ETGs): a visually classified sample of 220 ETGs, created using source-matched data from the Galaxy and Mass Assembly (GAMA) database with far-infrared/submm detections from Herschel-Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey (H-ATLAS); and a visually classified sample of 551 ETGs which are undetected with H-ATLAS. Active galactic nuclei are removed from our samples using optical emission-line diagnostics. These samples are scrutinized to determine characteristics of submm detected versus undetected ETGs. We find similarities in the stellar mass distributions of the two ETG samples but testing other properties uncovers significant differences. The submm detected sample is shown to have lower concentration and Sérsic BoQ
indices than those in the undetected sample – a result which may be linked to the presence of dust in the former. Optical and ultraviolet–optical colours are also shown to be much bluer, indicating that the dust is linked with recent star formation. The intrinsic effective radii are on average 1.5 times larger for the submm detected ETGs. Surface densities and groups of data from the GAMA data base are examined for the two samples, leading to the conclusion that dusty ETGs inhabit sparser environments than non-dusty ETGs in the nearby Universe, although environments of the brightest ETGs are shown to differ the least. Modified Planck functions are fit to the H-ATLAS detected Photodetector Array Camera and Spectrometer (PACS) and Spectral and Photometric Imaging Receiver (SPIRE) fluxes for ETGs with submm flux densities of at least 3σ in the 350 μm SPIRE band, giving a resultant mean cold dust temperature of $T_d = 22.1$ K, with a range of 9–30 K. The corresponding mean dust mass is $1.8 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$, with a range of $(0.08–35.0) \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$. The dust masses calculated from these fits, normalized by stellar mass, are shown to increase with decreasing stellar mass and bluer colours. Based on visual classifications of elliptical and lenticular, we find similar dust properties for these two early-type morphologies. We conclude that there is a population of elliptical galaxies which exhibit larger dust masses, lower Sérsic index and bluer colours than the more well-known, massive, red population of ellipticals.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The origin of differences between galaxy morphologies has aroused the interests of researchers for many decades. The original classifications by Hubble laid out a solid foundation for groupings of galaxies. Since then, the Hubble scheme has been reformed multiple times to attempt to explain characteristics associated with galaxies (e.g. Sandage 2005; Cappellari et al. 2011; Kormendy & Bender 2012). Although there is still no completely accepted revision to this sequence, galaxies are currently broadly classified into early-type galaxies (ETGs) and late-type galaxies (LTGs).

ETGs form a class of typically quiescent galaxies made up of elliptical (E) and lenticular (S0) galaxies. The ellipticals are pure spheroidal galaxies, while S0s are spheroidal galaxies with a disc, both lacking spiral structure. General properties of this class include a tendency towards redder colours, little or no recent star formation and mass and light distributions which decline smoothly over large radial ranges (Driver et al. 2006). They can be flattened by rotation or due to velocity anisotropies (Illingworth 1977) and their dynamics vary, with the brighter, more massive Es shown to be slow rotators (Emsellem et al. 2007). It can be exceptionally difficult to distinguish between Es and S0s, especially when viewed face-on (van den Bergh 2009), hence we incorporate both types here.

We intend to further our knowledge of the global characteristics of ETGs in multiple wavebands, with specific emphasis on the properties and origins of ETGs which contain cold dust. This work is achieved by combining data from the Galaxy and Mass Assembly (GAMA) – a wide-area, multiwavelength spectroscopic and photometric galaxy survey (Driver et al. 2011) – and the Herschel Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey (H-ATLAS) – a survey that is working towards providing a full dust census of all types of galaxies in the local Universe (Eales et al. 2010). The combination of so many wavebands, from the far-ultraviolet (FUV) all the way through to the submm 500 μm band, gives a wealth of information that enables us to study submm detected ETGs and their properties. This is because these wavelengths cover the ultraviolet (UV)/optical starlight that is absorbed by dust and re-emitted at mid-infrared (MIR)/far-infrared (FIR)/submm wavelengths.

In the past, lack of detections in 21-cm line emission (e.g. Gallagher 1972) and CO molecular lines (e.g. Bregman, Hogg & Roberts 1992) in ETG spectra was assumed to indicate a lack of neutral and molecular gas and by implication, cold dust. However, dust lanes and patches were observed in optical images of some elliptical galaxies (Sadler & Gerhard 1985; Goudfrooij et al. 1994). Developments in FIR and submm-based observations have supported these claims, showing that a significant fraction of ETGs contain cold dust within their interstellar medium (ISM: Knapp et al. 1989; Goudfrooij & de Jong 1995). Some of these were subsequently shown to be spurious detections (see Bregman et al. 1998). Studies at different wavelengths have shown this ISM to be complex: radio data led to the detection and mapping of cold neutral gas in some ellipticals (e.g. Morganti et al. 2006, and references therein); FIR and submm data revealed significant cold dust masses (Leeuw et al. 2004; Savoy, Welch & Fich 2009); X-ray observations showed that a substantial number of ellipticals have a hot ($\approx$ few × 10⁷ K) plasma component (e.g. Kim, Fabbiano & Trinchieri 1992; Mulchaey & Jeltema 2010; Boroson, Kim & Fabbiano 2011), particularly in giant ellipticals (Kormendy et al. 2009) and older ellipticals (Sansom et al. 2006).

The recent influx of large-scale, multiwavelength, galaxy surveys provides opportunities to delve further into the dust histories of ETGs. IRAS was the first infrared telescope to survey dust properties of ETGs, by looking in the MIR/FIR bands at 12, 25, 60 and 100 μm (Bregman et al. 1998). FIR emission was detected in 12–17 per cent of their ETGs. Then, Infrared Space Observatory (ISO) observed deeper in the FIR, looking at ETGs at 2.5–240 μm wavelengths (Temi et al. 2004; Xilouris et al. 2004). The Spitzer Space Telescope provided infrared observations of some ETGs, mapping their distributions of FIR and MIR emission (Temi, Brighting & Mathews 2009). Optical surveys include the Spectroscopic Areal Unit for Research on Optical Nebulae (SAURON) Integral Field Survey, which enabled a spectroscopic study of ionized gas in ETGs (Sarzi et al. 2010). The ATLAS3D volume-limited sample of ETGs was used to explore their molecular gas properties (Young et al. 2011) and showed that their molecular gas mass was not correlated with galaxy luminosity. A similar result was found for neutral gas (Serra et al. 2012). In the UV the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX)
mission revealed low levels of recent star formation in ~30 per cent of a large sample of ETGs (Kaviraj et al. 2007). Additionally, extended UV discs associated with recent or potential star formation have been identified in small samples of ETGs (see Moffett et al. 2012, and references therein). All of these surveys showed that ETGs are not all quiescent, and many contain some cold dust and associated cold gas.

The above-mentioned FIR and submm studies are now being superseded by the higher resolution and sensitivity of the Herschel Space Observatory. Herschel Spectral and Photometric Imaging Receiver (SPIRE) and Photodetector Array Camera and Spectrometer (PACS) data are being used to examine more statistically representative samples of ETGs. Investigations of a volume-limited sample of 62 ETGs, largely in the Virgo Cluster, with the Herschel Reference Survey (HRS), have revealed that some early types contain low levels of cool dust with temperatures comparable with those of LTGs (Smith et al. 2012). Nearby galaxies have also been examined in the KINGFISH project with 10 ETGs revealing the possibility of ongoing star formation contributing to the dust heating, as well as heating from the radiation field associated with older stars (Skibba et al. 2011). In the local Universe, H-ATLAS provides the opportunity to work with larger samples. Rowlands et al. (2012) used the Science Demonstration Phase (SDP) data to show that 42 detected ETGs (5.5 per cent of luminous ETGs) contain as much dust as some spirals, and Bourne et al. (2012) have used stacking of GAMA sources in H-ATLAS submm imaging to examine the properties of red and blue GAMA galaxies. In this paper and the next (Agius et al., in preparation, Part II), we progress beyond these works with much larger samples of H-ATLAS detected ETGs.

One important consideration when working with large samples of ETGs is determining the robustness of the sample morphologies. How can we create a sample which is complete, unbiased and not contaminated by LTGs? Visual inspection is one possibility, but has been shown to be subjective (Driver et al. 2006). The accuracy of eyeballing is also affected by the spatial and flux limitations of the galaxy photometry available from, in this case, the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS).

Proxies for morphology can be explored in-galaxy photometry available from, in this case, the Sloan Digital contaminants by LTGs? Visual inspection is one possibility, but has been shown to be subjective (Driver et al. 2006). The accuracy of eyeballing is also affected by the spatial and flux limitations of the galaxy photometry available from, in this case, the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). Proxies for morphology can be explored instead, where parameters such as colour (Driver et al. 2006; Haines, Gargiulo & Merluzzi 2008; Kaviraj 2010), Sérsic index (Blanton et al. 2003; Driver et al. 2006; Leere et al. 2008; Kelvin et al. 2012) and galaxy concentration (Blanton et al. 2003; Salim & Rich 2010) are associated with galaxy type. We investigate such proxies in the future paper. In this paper we make use of an eyeballed sample out to z ≤ 0.06 where visual classification is more reliable.

Our investigations explore properties of submm detected versus undetected ETGs. These include the well-known morphology-density relation (Dressler 1980), which shows that ETGs are the dominant galaxy type in high-density regions. This has been proven in many studies: for example, Calvi et al. (2012) recently showed that there is a smooth increase in the fraction of ETGs when going from single galaxies, to pairs, to groups. These relations may be explained by hierarchical merging theories, which predict that generations of mergers and interactions have transformed LTGs and built up to the galaxies types we see in the local Universe. However there may be some preference for this build-up process to occur on scales of galaxy groups (Hoyle et al. 2012).

If ETGs are formed via merging processes (Kormendy et al. 2009) then some may be wet or gas-rich mergers, resulting in residual dust in the merger remnants. Alternatively, could dust produced by old stars reproduce the dust masses currently being seen? The lack of correlations of cold dust mass with host galaxy properties, such as luminosity (Knapp 1999; Temi, Brighenti & Mathews 2007) and age (Georgakakis et al. 2001), in ETGs older than ~2 Gyr suggests that a steady accumulation of dust mass loss from old stars is not likely. The dust may originate externally. We expect most dust to be destroyed via sputtering within ∼107–108 yr (Tsai & Mathews 1995), if dust is diffusely distributed in a hot X-ray plasma. Therefore the detection of cold dust in ellipticals indicates that this process is not entirely efficient at removing all the dust within this time-scale, or the dust is shielded within a cold gas phase or is being renewed by some other mechanism. Thus the history of dust in ETGs is still uncertain.

This paper utilizes the GAMA-I and H-ATLAS Phase 1 catalogues, described in Section 2, and we assume a Λ cold dark matter (ΛCDM) cosmology of ΩM = 0.3, ΩΛ = 0.7 and H0 = 100 h km s−1 Mpc−1 with h = 0.7. We discuss the parent sample’s visual classifications in Section 3, as well as additional selection criteria for the two samples of ETGs used in this paper: the optically selected GAMA/H-ATLAS matched sample and the optically selected submm undetected GAMA sample. Diagnostic plots and tests are presented in Section 4, including host galaxy properties such as stellar mass, UV luminosities and environments. Dust temperature and dust mass properties are derived and examined in Section 5. Conclusions are given in Section 6.

2 GAMA AND H-ATLAS DATA

The GAMA survey is a combined spectroscopic and multiwavelength imaging campaign designed to study spatial structure in the nearby Universe on scales of 1 kpc to 1 Mpc. For the spectroscopic GAMA-I survey, redshifts are measured for galaxies in three regions of 48 deg2 each at 9, 12 and 14.5 h on the celestial equator, for a combined observed area of 144 deg2. These regions were selected because of the wealth of multiwavelength data available from previous optical and near-infrared (NIR) surveys. The full survey will measure ~400 000 redshifts and their GAMA-I spectroscopic completeness is currently 97 per cent at SDSS Petrosian magnitude (rpet) < 19.4 in all three regions (Driver et al. 2011). For full details of the GAMA-I spectroscopic program, key survey diagnostics and GAMA public and team data bases, see Driver et al. (2011).

The GAMA photometry campaign is built up of contributions obtained from multiple facilities and other surveys, including the SDSS for reprocessed optical ugri photometry (York et al. 2000), GALEX for FUV and NUV photometry (Seibert, in preparation) and the H-ATLAS survey for FIR/submm photometry. These, in addition to other contributions, provide moderate depth and resolution imaging data across the spectrum. The GAMA input catalogue is described in detail by Baldry et al. (2010) and the spectroscopic tiling of these sources are explained in Robotham et al. (2010). A full description of the GAMA ugriYJHK photometry pipeline is given in Hill et al. (2011).

H-ATLAS is the widest area survey with the Herschel Space Telescope (Eales et al. 2010). To survey the largest possible area of sky, the maximum scan rate of 60 arcsec s−1 was used, with both detectors working in parallel mode to obtain simultaneous observations. These cameras are the PACS, chosen to operate at 100 and 160 μm (Poglitsch et al. 2010), and the SPIRE, which images at 250, 350 and 500 μm (Griffin et al. 2010). The angular resolutions for these five wavebands are approximately 9, 13, 18, 25 and 35 arcsec full width at half-maximum (FWHM), respectively.
with associated 5σ point source flux limits of 132, 126, 32, 36 and 45 mJy (Rigby et al. 2011).

The H-ATLAS fields were chosen to maximize the amount of complementary data from other surveys, which results in an overlap with the GAMA equatorial regions described above. This allows source matching between the two catalogues and currently gives large-scale access to ~10 000 galaxies with UV, optical, NIR and FIR/submm data.

Catalogues for H-ATLAS were created from maps as described in Pascale et al. (2011) and Ibar et al. (2010). Sources extracted from these maps had to have emission greater than 5σ in any of the three SPIRE wavebands, described in detail for the SDP in Rigby et al. (2011). A more extensive description of the Phase 1 data set will be given by Valiante et al. (in preparation). A description of the likelihood ratio analysis performed to identify robust counterparts to the submm selected sources from the r-band matched catalogue can be found in Smith et al. (2011). This uses both positional and photometric information of both individual sources and of the population in general to determine the reliability of an association between two sources. PACS flux densities are then measured by placing circular apertures at the SPIRE positions. For further descriptions of these methods, refer to Rigby et al. (2011).

This paper combines GAMA UV/optical Phase 1 data and H-ATLAS FIR/submm Phase 1 GAMA-matched sources to create samples of ETGs. All the internal GAMA data are taken from the following Data Management Units (DMUs) available to the GAMA team:

(i) TilingCatv16
CATAID, redshift quality (Baldry et al. 2010);
(ii) GalexMainv02
FUV and NUV fluxes (Seibert, in preparation);
(iii) S´ersicCatv07
multiband S´ersic derived photometry (Kelvin et al. 2012);
(iv) StellarMassesv08
rest-frame magnitudes, colours and stellar masses derived from optical spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting (Taylor et al. 2011);
(v) EnvironmentMeasuresv01
neighbour surface densities (Brough et al. 2011);
(vi) GamaGalaxyGroupsCatv04
grouping of galaxies and multiplicities (Robotham et al. 2011);
(vii) kCorrectionsv02
GAMA-I multiband k-corrections.

3 EARLY-TYPE GALAXY SAMPLE SELECTION

To create our nearby sample of ETGs, we took a volume-limited, visually classified sample of GAMA-I galaxies from Kelvin et al. (in preparation). This is restricted to the redshift range 0.013 < z < 0.06, a Galactic extinction-corrected limit of rpet < 19.8 and an additional absolute magnitude cut at Mr < −17.4 to ensure a volume-limited sample. After an additional 19 galaxies were removed due to poor imaging data or bad SDSS photometry, this resulted in a parent data set of 4110 galaxies, with a range of galaxy types (Kelvin et al., in preparation).

3.1 Visual classification

The visual classification for this parent sample was carried out and is fully described in Kelvin et al. (in preparation). Briefly, they generate three colour postage stamps using UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS) H and SDSS i and g bands for red, green and blue colours, respectively. These were then classified on a step-by-step basis by three independent people across three tiers. The tiers were defined as follows:

Is the galaxy spheroid- or disc-dominated?
Is it a single- or multicomponent system?
Is one component a bar?

These criteria allowed an analysis of the broad-scale morphological properties of the galaxies, independent of colour. Classifications were assigned in cases where at least two of the observers agreed, thereby allowing for objective visual classifications. Spheroid-dominated systems are all classified as ETGs, and then further subdivided into E (single-component) galaxies, S0a (multiple-component) galaxies and SB0a (multiple-component with a bar) galaxies. Note that the S0a grouping includes all subcategories of S0, S0/a and Sa galaxies. Spiral galaxy classifications, which are not the subject of this work, are assigned to systems which are classed as disc dominated. For example, Sd galaxies are classified as disc-dominated, single-component systems.

The distribution of this parent sample in optical colour and Sérsic index space is shown in Fig. 1, where Sérsic indices are extracted from GAMA Sérsic photometry fits (Kelvin et al. 2012) and colours are the rest-frame colours taken from stellar population synthesis modelling of optical SEDs (Taylor et al. 2011). The classifications resulting from the previously described eyeballing are illustrated by colours identified in the colour bar. This plot gives us an indication of the properties of each galaxy type. It shows that as we go to later types, both colour and Sérsic index properties decrease on average. This can be interpreted as earlier types tending towards redder colours and less exponential Sérsic profiles (n > 1), a result consistent with previous studies by Peng et al. (2002), Blanton et al. (2003), Driver et al. (2006), Haines et al. (2008) and Kaviraj et al. (2011).

3.2 Removal of active galactic nuclei

As the key focus of this work is to compare the properties of two samples of ETGs, it is necessary to make sure the properties obtained accurately represent the host galaxies. In addition, we are not studying the dust heating contribution, or submm emission, from

![Figure 1. Optical colour and Sérsic index properties of the visually classified parent sample, coloured by galaxy type.](image-url)
AGN. For these reasons we now discuss our AGN selection method and removal.

Foster et al. (2012) use the Gas and Absorption Line Fitting (GANDALF; Sarzi et al. 2006) algorithm to measure stellar emission lines from flux calibrated GAMA spectra. They define galaxies with significant emission lines as those with 3σ detections (5

As described, our samples contain Sa galaxies, but we make the assumption that they are not numerous and will not significantly skew our results. This is likely due to additional imposed selection criteria (described below), together with published Sa population percentages (∼18 per cent of ETGs; Nair & Abraham 2010, their table 3).

We also imposed additional selection criteria to form these samples. This includes setting an ellipticity cut of (1 − (b/a)) ≤ 0.7 to remove any edge-on disc galaxies. Additionally we ensured that all our sample ETGs had an effective radius of at least the seeing FWHM (1.2 arcsec) to minimize any uncertainty in parameters related to seeing. These criteria removed 32 and 62 galaxies from the SubS and OptS, respectively. As described in Section 3.2, we removed galaxies characterized by optical AGN emission, showing these numbers in rows 2 and 3 of Table 1 for H-ATLAS detected and undetected galaxies, respectively. For the H-ATLAS detections, we also removed 15 galaxies which had low reliabilities and are therefore considered likely to be false counterparts. Finally, we ran an independent visual check on these galaxies to remove any with obvious spiral arms. This was a necessary additional criterion as spiral arms were not accounted for in the eyeballing by Kelvin et al. (in preparation), and 22 galaxies were removed in this process. Our

When matching our parent sample to the GANDALF emission lines catalogue, we get 1651 (40 per cent) matches for emission lines. From this selection, 343 (21 per cent) galaxies are dominated by AGN and 1308 (79 per cent) are star forming. Lack of significant emission lines is the chief cause of unmatched galaxies. The colour selection in Fig. 2 indicates how these are divided by morphology and we show the numerical results in Table 1. The first row in Table 1 shows that early types (E, S0a and SB0a) have larger proportions of their emission-line galaxies identified as AGN (∼59 per cent) than later types (∼26 per cent). However, both Table 1 and Fig. 2 show that late types preferentially occupy the BPT diagram and for our parent sample, emission from these late types is most likely powered by young stars rather than AGN.

For the next stage of our sampling, we remove all galaxies with optically identified AGN emission from our parent sample, leaving us with 3767 galaxies to pick ETGs from. The following section describes how we use those galaxies classified as E or S0a by Kelvin et al. (in preparation) to form two samples which are the subject of this work.

### 3.3 H-ATLAS detected and undetected samples

We chose to create two samples out of the remaining visually classified ETGs: the optically selected, submm detected, ETG sample (SubS), and the optically selected, submm undetected, ETG sample (OptS). SubS is the eyeballed sample of E (elliptical) and S0a (lenticular/early Sa spiral) galaxies which have positional matched detections to within 1 arcsec in both GAMA and H-ATLAS. OptS is the eyeballed sample of GAMA ETGs which do not have H-ATLAS detections (5σ in any SPIRE waveband). As described, our samples contain Sa galaxies, but we make the assumption that they are not numerous and will not significantly skew our results. This is likely due to additional imposed selection criteria (described below), together with published Sa population percentages (∼18 per cent of ETGs; Nair & Abraham 2010, their table 3).

We also imposed additional selection criteria to form these samples. This includes setting an ellipticity cut of (1 − (b/a)) ≤ 0.7 to remove any edge-on disc galaxies. Additionally we ensured that all our sample ETGs had an effective radius of at least the seeing FWHM (1.2 arcsec) to minimize any uncertainty in parameters related to seeing. These criteria removed 32 and 62 galaxies from the SubS and OptS, respectively. As described in Section 3.2, we removed galaxies characterized by optical AGN emission, showing these numbers in rows 2 and 3 of Table 1 for H-ATLAS detected and undetected galaxies, respectively. For the H-ATLAS detections, we also removed 15 galaxies which had low reliabilities and are therefore considered likely to be false counterparts. Finally, we ran an independent visual check on these galaxies to remove any with obvious spiral arms. This was a necessary additional criterion as spiral arms were not accounted for in the eyeballing by Kelvin et al. (in preparation), and 22 galaxies were removed in this process.

### Table 1. Galaxies in our parent sample with emission lines are divided into star forming (SF) and active galactic nuclei (AGN) emitting. Numbers shown here are divided into H-ATLAS 250 μm flux detected (102 galaxies) and those which are undetected by H-ATLAS (1549 galaxies). They are additionally separated into their morphological classifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>AGN</th>
<th>S0a</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>AGN</th>
<th>SB0a</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>AGN</th>
<th>Sbc</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>AGN</th>
<th>SBbdc</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>AGN</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>AGN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-ATLAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-ATLAS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-ATLAS undetected</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>221</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
resultant samples included 229 ETGs (33 per cent E and 67 per cent S0a) in the SubS and 551 ETGs (54 per cent E and 46 per cent S0a) in the OptS. Therefore the submm detected ETGs are more dominated by lenticulars. Examples of SubS ETGs are shown in Fig. 3.

3.4 Selection effects and completeness

Both SubS and OptS are affected by selection effects and completeness issues. In this section we will examine these and try to assess how they will affect our comparisons.

First of all, both samples are affected by a lack of GAMA catalogue ID (CATAID) completeness. The 229 and 551 ETGs in the SubS and OptS, respectively, all have GAMA CATAIDs and redshifts with normalized redshift qualities \( n Q \geq 3 \) (good for science). However, due to the failure in extraction of derived parameters for a small subset of galaxies in the GAMA data base, our samples do not 100 per cent match CATAIDs in all GAMA internal catalogues used in this work. In particular, near-ultraviolet (NUV) magnitudes are not complete for both samples. We explore the level of this incompleteness in Section 4.1.

Fig. 4 shows the GAMA absolute \( r \)-band magnitude of the two samples, as a function of redshift. The plot marks the \( r \)-band spectroscopic completeness limit of \( r_{pet} = 19.4 \) in all three fields as the dashed line (Driver et al. 2011). Note that there are galaxies plotted below this limit which will be as faint as \( r_{pet} = 19.8 \); the magnitude limit for the ongoing GAMA-II data collection. This plot also highlights the absolute magnitude cut-off used here to form a volume-limited sample (down to \( M_r = -17.4 \) mag, i.e. slightly brighter than the Small Magellanic Cloud). Less luminous galaxies are removed from our samples in this paper. A volume-limited sample going out to higher redshift would require a brighter magnitude cut, an issue which will be dealt with in Paper II of this series.

This plot shows an apparent lack of completeness at higher redshift for our samples. The parent sample is complete even in this cut, an issue which will be dealt with in Paper II of this series.

We test the galaxies in SubS to check for the likelihood of contamination from strong lensing sources. We use two methods to check for lenses. The first method is a study by Negrello et al. (2010), where galaxies with SPIRE 500 \( \mu \)m emission \( \geq 100 \) mJy are likely to be strong lenses. Seven of the galaxies in the SubS fulfil this criterion. The second method is that of González-Nuevo et al. (2012), where galaxies fulfilling all the following criteria have a 50 per cent likelihood of being strong lenses:

- SPIRE 250 \( \mu \)m flux emission \( \geq 35 \) mJy;
- SPIRE 350 \( \mu \)m flux emission \( \geq 85 \) mJy;
- SPIRE 350/250 \( \mu \)m ratio \( \geq 0.6 \);
- SPIRE 500/350 \( \mu \)m ratio \( \geq 0.4 \).

Based on these two criteria, we find two ETGs in the SubS are 50 per cent likely to be strong lenses. However, these two
methods do not pick out the same galaxies as being possible strong lenses. Altogether, these tests indicate low (~4 per cent) levels of contamination from lensing, but we remove these nine galaxies from the SubS as we want to avoid including any possible strong lenses or background contaminants in our sample. This reduces our SubS to 220 ETGs.

The second and third plots in Fig. 5 indicate that in the 350 μm band, 65 per cent (142 detections) of the galaxies are above 5σ and only 14 per cent (31 detections) are above this limit in the 500 μm waveband. This causes some problems, as will be discussed later in Section 5, with fitting SEDs to the data to obtain dust-related parameters.

In subsequent sections everything is examined on a comparative basis between the purely optical and optical+submm samples whenever possible. This comparative approach allows us to investigate the relative behaviours of submm detected versus undetected ETGs in the nearby Universe.

4 SUBMM DETECTED VERSUS UNDETECTED DIAGNOSTICS

Kormendy et al. (2009) split elliptical galaxies into two main classes: giant ellipticals and normal/dwarf ellipticals. The primary class can be defined as having a brightness $M_r \leq -21.5$ and $n \geq 4$, whilst the normal/dwarf class has the reverse criteria. To gain understanding of the characteristics of galaxies seen in our samples, we plot distributions of multiple parameters in Fig. 6 for both our submm and optical samples. At a glance, most of these distributions are seen to differ for the SubS and OptS. Based on the Kormendy et al. (2009) brightness definition, both samples contain a broad range of $r$-band absolute magnitudes, indicating a mix of both giant and normal ETGs.

To compare the structural and intrinsic properties of ETGs in our samples, we carry out a series of Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) tests for our samples and subsets thereof, the results of which are shown in Table 2. We choose to test the samples as a whole because they are volume limited, and therefore the testing is unlikely to be biased by, for example, redshift or mass effects. We also test two subsets: the first containing those galaxies classed as giant ETGs ($M_r \leq -21.5$) and the second classed as normal/dwarf ETGs ($M_r > -21.5$). The aim of this subset testing is to investigate whether these two so-called separate populations have their own unique set of properties for submm detected and undetected ETGs. We find that 36 per cent of giant ETGs are submm detected, whereas only a quarter of the normal ETGs are detected.

Table 2 therefore shows parameters which appear to be most representative of the characteristics of the two samples of galaxies. We use a combination of Table 2 and Fig. 6 to investigate how these distributions vary for our two samples. We choose the KS test because of its usefulness as a non-parametric test for checking a null hypothesis for unbounded distributions that are functions of a single independent variable (Press 1992). We choose KS probabilities of 1 per cent as the significance level for our distribution testing.

By examining the spectroscopic redshift values in this table, we can deduce that the typical redshift for the galaxies in both samples do not differ for our whole samples or giant subset, although there is a difference in distributions for the normal subset.

Concentration index, defined as the ratio of Petrorian r90 to r50 radii (Mateus et al. 2006), where r90 and r50 are the SDSS circular aperture radii within which 90 and 50 per cent of the flux are contained (Bell et al. 2003), is the first parameter plotted in Fig. 6. It is linked to the concentration of light in the centre of a galaxy, and it has higher values in ETGs than in LTGs (Strateva et al. 2001; Conselice 2006). Both Table 2 and Fig. 6(a) show that concentration index for galaxies in the two full samples differs significantly, with the probability of getting the null hypothesis of no difference approaching zero. The distribution for the SubS is offset towards low concentrations for the full samples and for both low- and high-luminosity subsets. These differences indicate that H-ATLAS detected ETGs are likely to have less concentrated light distributions, and therefore may have more perturbed internal structure than those which are undetected, indicating some past merging or formation activity.

We next look at Sérsic profile properties for the samples. Kelvin et al. (2012) describe how their SIGMA wrapper around the GALFIT3 profile fitting program (Peng et al. 2010) is used to fit the entire GAMA data base with 2D Sérsic profiles in multiple wavebands. The key parameters that we are interested in from this profile fitting are the Sérsic indices and effective radii of galaxies in our samples. Initially we examine Sérsic index, which gives us information on the distribution of light within a galaxy. This parameter is more useful than concentration index as it is less affected by seeing, having been convolved with the point spread function (PSF), and therefore does not vary so much with redshift. The recovered Sérsic index distributions show similar trends to those observed for concentration index. The distribution of the samples in equal log spacing shown in Fig. 6(b) indicates that ETGs in the SubS have lower Sérsic indices, and KS testing the samples shows them to be significantly different. One possible interpretation of this result is that the difference found...
in the two samples is due to the effect of dust, which has been shown (e.g. Pastrav et al. 2013) to lower values of measured Sérsic index. Alternatively, these results for both Sérsic and concentration index may be caused by morphological disturbances, or problems with the morphological selection process, which is limited by the resolution of the SDSS.

It is interesting to note that mean Sérsic indices for the two samples are higher for the giant ETGs subset than the normal ETGs subset, as is predicted by the Kormendy et al. (2009) separation criteria. In addition, the observed differences in distributions of Sérsic index affects mainly the normal ETG subset (see Table 2). Thus, if we believe that this difference is caused by dust, Sérsic index would appear to be most affected by its presence in low-luminosity ETGs. This could indicate that higher luminosity ETGs contain lower normalized dust masses, leading to higher Sérsic indices and profiles more similar to OptS ETGs. We explore this effect with respect to specific dust mass in Section 5.

Apparent effective radii are also calculated for the galaxies through this Sérsic profile fitting, and we convert these to intrinsic radii using simple geometry and angular diameter distances. The distributions are plotted in log space in Fig. 6(c). It appears that OptS ETGs typically have smaller effective radii than those in the SubS, although this result is not robust when the sample is divided into luminosity subsets.

We examine \((u - r)\) colours of both samples, where galaxies in the optical red sequence (RS) are separated from the blue cloud (BC) using the following relation:

\[
u - r = -0.09M_r - 0.0347.
\]  

This was calculated by fitting approximate straight lines to the GAMA RS and BC, and finding the line equidistant in magnitude from these fits. This is a similar method to other published works for different optical colours (e.g. Bell et al. 2003). ETG colours are explored in Fig. 7, where the \((u - r)\) colour–magnitude (CM) scatter plot is shown for both samples. The diagram highlights the point that ETGs, whether detected in the submm or not, have a multitude of both blue and red colours. Interestingly, the SubS does not show as dense a clustering in the red sequence as the OptS and is more evenly distributed towards the blue end. Both Figs 6(d) and 7 indicate that the SubS contains a larger proportion of blue ETGs. Table 2 also shows the distribution of optical colours is quite different, even when testing separate subsets.

The full sample testing of absolute magnitudes indicates different distributions. However, results from subset testing indicate that the giant ETGs have similar distributions, whilst normal ETGs are different with \(\sim 0.6\) per cent probability. This is unsurprising, given the OptS ETGs extend to fainter magnitudes than SubS ETGs, shown in Fig. 7.
Given the link between luminosity and stellar mass of galaxies, we would expect equivalent results for stellar mass distributions. However, all the samples have similar mass distributions, although once again the result is less robust for the giant subset. On average, the SubS reaches to brighter absolute magnitudes than the OptS, whereas mass differences between the samples are not significant. This may mean a decrease in mass-to-light ratios for the SubS ETGs.

Altogether, after testing these intrinsic properties, we find submm detected ETGs to be bluer than undetected ETGs (see also results by Dariush et al. 2011), and we also show they are likely to have similar masses, lower S´ersic indices, are less centrally concentrated in the galaxies based on the best-fitting sum of templates to an SED and accounts for both the angular diameter distance and cosmological surface brightness dimming for the distance modulus.

### 4.1 UV parameters

Availability of GALEX data means that we can look at the UV bands for both our OptS and SubS, typically used as an indicator of recent star formation. The GALEX bands are FUV, with an effective wavelength of 1528 Å, and NUV, with an effective wavelength of 2271 Å (Martin et al. 2005). These GAMA–GALEX catalogues seek to reconstruct the original UV flux for each given optical source, and include UV fluxes and AB apparent magnitudes for both wavebands.

We corrected NUV CATAID-matched apparent magnitudes for Galactic extinction (for more details, see Wyder et al. 2007) and converted them to absolute magnitudes using GAMA data base k-corrections derived from KCORRECT v.4.2 software, described in Blanton & Roweis (2007). This IDL code calculates k-corrections for the galaxies based on the best-fitting sum of templates to an SED and accounts for both the angular diameter distance and cosmological surface brightness dimming for the distance modulus.

We obtain 481 and 184 galaxies with GALEX NUV detections in the OptS (87 per cent) and SubS (83 per cent), respectively. The SubS is brighter on average in the NUV by ∼2 mag. We examine the NUV – r colours in Fig. 8, where we see the SubS contains bluer UV–optical colours than the OptS, similar to the H-ATLAS result for ETGs in the SDP field of Rowlands et al. (2012). As in Section 4, we perform KS tests on the full SubS and OptS samples and their luminosity subsets. The results of these tests are presented in Table 2 and show the distributions of UV colours are very different between the galaxies based on the best-fitting sum of templates to an SED and accounts for both the angular diameter distance and cosmological surface brightness dimming for the distance modulus.

For comparison, we also show where H-ATLAS detected LTGs (visually classified in the same process as ETGs in our samples)
Figure 7. Scatter plot showing the CM distribution of galaxies in the SubS (blue circles) and OptS (black-grey circles). The division between red sequence and blue cloud defined in the text is shown as the black solid line.

Figure 8. Histograms of UV–optical colour for OptS (black) and SubS (blue), as well as H-ATLAS detected LTGs (grey). The SubS appears to lie on this histogram. The colours of these LTGs are bluer on average than both our ETG samples, but the SubS straddles the gap between blue and red modes filled by LTGs and OptS, respectively. This indicates that H-ATLAS detected ETGs are forming a colour population of their own.

We next examine the UV–optical CM diagram in Fig. 9, and follow the method of Bourne et al. (2012), using $\text{NUV}$ – $r$ colour boundary cuts shown in equations (5)–7 below to define regions as the red sequence (NUV-RS), green valley (NUV-GV) and blue cloud (NUV-BC), respectively,

$$1.23 - 0.17M_r \leq (\text{NUV} - r)_{\text{rest}} \leq 7.0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$-0.27 - 0.17M_r \leq (\text{NUV} - r)_{\text{rest}} < 1.23 - 0.17M_r,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$1.0 \leq (\text{NUV} - r)_{\text{rest}} < -0.27 - 0.17M_r.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The results of applying these boundary conditions to define different regions are shown in Table 3. This highlights a key difference between the SubS and OptS. There is a large fractional difference between the populations in all these regimes, with OptS galaxies most prominently located in the NUV-RS, and SubS ETGs mostly dominated by the NUV-GV. It is difficult to determine whether the 48 per cent submm detected galaxies in the NUV-GV are ETGs in transition from the blue cloud to the red sequence, or whether they are NUV-BC ETGs that are dust-reddened to appear in the NUV-GV, although absolute NUV flux levels do suggest ongoing or recent star formation in SubS ETGs.

The submm detected ETG occupation of the NUV-BC is a factor of 3 more than that of undetected ETGs, possibly indicating more ongoing or recent star formation in the former. If the UV emission in ETGs is dominated by emission from recent star formation and dust is predominantly heated by photons from the young stellar population, then we would expect SubS ETGs to be dust-reddened and driven towards the NUV-RS. The fact that the SubS ETGs occupy mostly the NUV-GV implies that one of these assumptions may not hold. Additionally, we might predict that the dust does not lie within the same geometry as the star formation, potentially implying star formation is not the main source of heating the dust.

4.2 Environment parameters

The Dressler (1980) morphology–density relation is a key driver for investigating the varying environments of ETGs. Although it has been shown that bulge-dominated galaxies are more commonly
found in the densest regions of the Universe, it is unclear whether ETGs with differing dust properties are typically found within different environments.

Disc-dominated galaxies are thought to be transformed into bulge-dominated galaxies via processes which occur in dense environments (see Boselli & Gavazzi 2006 for a review of such processes). This work is only concerned with bulge-dominated galaxies, or ETGs. However, we are comparing submm non-detected passive ETGs, which may likely be at the end stages of their lives (OptS) with dusty ETGs which are likely to have active star formation (SubS). It would be interesting to see whether these two classes of ETGs reside in different environments.

The surface density information used to investigate environments for our ETG samples was taken from the GAMA Environment Measures data base. These surface densities ($\Sigma_{\text{gal}}$) in galaxies Mpc$^{-2}$ were calculated based on the $N$th nearest neighbour method, using

$$\Sigma_{\text{gal}} = \frac{N}{\pi D_N^2},$$

where $N$ is the nearest neighbour number, set at a value of 5, and $D_N$ is the projected distance in comoving Mpc to the $N$th nearest neighbour within a velocity cylinder $\pm 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ from a volume-limited, density-defining population. This population is defined by an $r$-band absolute magnitude $M_r \leq -20$ and a redshift selection of $0.002 \leq z \leq 0.18$ (Brough et al. 2011; Wijesinghe et al. 2012). We choose to include upper limits calculated based on the distance to the nearest angular edge. Only one galaxy within our two samples is removed because the density cannot be estimated, reducing the SubS to 219 ETGs. We find surface density to be an extremely useful parameter for us to work with because it gives us information about the area around the galaxy and whether there are likely to be any real interactions occurring between it and its neighbours.

Fig. 10 shows the surface densities for both the reduced SubS and OptS. The OptS is more distributed over the range of surface densities, indicating more of these galaxies are in denser regions. As in Section 4, we KS test the distributions of densities for the samples and within luminosity subsets. Results given in Table 2 show that the ETGs have different density distributions in the main sample, as well as both luminosity subsets, with probabilities of below 1 per cent.

![Figure 10](image_url)

**Figure 10.** Normalized histograms of surface density in log bins, where the blue histogram is the SubS and black histogram is the OptS. The error bars represent average errors on both surface density and the normalized binning. KS probability for comparison of surface density distributions from Table 2 is also included.
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**Figure 11.** Variation of stellar mass with surface density. (a) Shows results for SubS and (b) for OptS. Both plots are coloured by group multiplicity: open blue circles are galaxies which are ungrouped and assigned a group size of 1, red filled circles represent ETGs in small groups (<5 galaxies) and large, green filled circles those in large groups (≥5 galaxies). Note that the horizontal scales differ in these two panels.

Robotham et al. (2011) produced a GAMA Galaxy Group Catalogue (G3C) that identifies which groups the GAMA galaxies belong to, as well as assigning each group a set of properties. It is assumed that if the galaxies are not assigned a Group ID, then they are in the field. We have used G3C to assign our galaxies either field or group status by splitting the OptS and SubS into two: grouped and ungrouped galaxies. We then compare the two samples and find that the SubS appears to have galaxies which are almost equally split between the field (52 per cent) and groups (48 per cent), whereas the OptS shows more of a difference with 62 per cent in the field and 38 per cent in groups. ETGs within the groups can be further split according to the multiplicity of the group: ETGs in small groups with less than five galaxies (32 and 24 per cent for the SubS and OptS, respectively), and larger groups with five or more galaxies (15 and 14 per cent, respectively).

Fig. 11 shows the distribution of surface densities with stellar mass for our two samples. The galaxies have been coloured by the multiplicities of their groups, making it simple to identify lone ETGs, ETGs in small groups and those in large groups. Both plots show that higher densities are linked with larger groups, but are not exclusively dominated by these larger groups. Both of these plots also show there are some ETGs with high surface densities which do not seem to share a group. This may be an effect of the groups data selection function, which changes with redshift. This figure highlights the similar SubS and OptS mass ranges, but different galaxy surface density ranges. Testing for Spearman correlations does not reveal any trends between surface density, group multiplicity and stellar mass, although these same tests suggest that the OptS contains more ungrouped ETGs in high-density areas ($r_s = 0.005$) than the SubS ($r_s = 0.24$).

There also appear to be some missing galaxies in Fig. 11(a). The OptS shows galaxies of quite low stellar mass ($M_\ast < 10^{10} \, M_\odot$) going to higher densities, but these do not appear for the SubS. In general, H-ATLAS is known to preferentially detect higher mass galaxies, which could partially explain this. In addition, the larger H-ATLAS PSF when compared to that of the optical may result in low counterpart reliability for ETGs in the densest regions, resulting in their removal from our samples. The sparsity of low-mass, dusty ETGs at high surface densities may be caused by sample
Figure 12. Specific dust mass of ETGs in our sample SubS as a function of stellar mass. The points are coloured by morphology: dark blue filled circles for ellipticals (E) and light blue open circles for lenticulars (S0a). Average errors are shown as bars on the top right. Same colour dashed lines represent best-fitting straight lines through the respective points. The black solid line is the overall fit to all points. The 250 $\mu$m flux limit for a typical galaxy with a upper limit dust temperature of 30 K is indicated by the grey cross-hatched region. In the panel to the right, filled diamonds and error bars represent mean specific dust masses for a range of studies with Herschel data, described in the text.

completeness effects. However, the sparsity of dusty ETGs in general at high densities suggests that dusty ETGs do not occupy the densest regions of groups and clusters, unlike their non-dusty counterparts. Kauffmann et al. (2004) showed that generally, massive galaxies in low-density environments in their SDSS sample contain the most dust, based on evidence of optical attenuation. Kaviraj et al. (2012) studied optical images of ETGs with visible dust lanes and patches. They found that dusty ETGs occupy less dense environments than those with no sign of dust obscuration. Our findings are qualitatively consistent with these results.

5 DUST PROPERTIES OF DETECTED ETGS

5.1 Fitting modified Planck functions

The SubS ETGs have both Herschel PACS 100 and 160 $\mu$m measurements, as well as 250, 350 and 500 $\mu$m fluxes from the SPIRE instrument. We select a subsample (3$\sigma$ sample) of 188 ETGs which have at least 3$\sigma$ flux levels (22.6 mJy) in the 350 $\mu$m SPIRE waveband, as well as the requisite 5$\sigma$ emission in at least one SPIRE submm waveband (see Section 3.4). PACS measurements are missing for 27 of these galaxies, and for these we choose to fit only SPIRE flux densities. As specified for all H-ATLAS Phase 1 data, flux errors of 7 per cent of the catalogue flux values are added in quadrature to account for the uncertainty in the SPIRE photometric calibration, and 10 per cent to the PACS fluxes.

In order to estimate dust temperature and mass we then fit isothermal, modified Planck functions to the data for each galaxy, of the form

$$F_\lambda = \Omega B_\lambda \lambda^{-\beta},$$

where $B_\lambda$ is the Planck function, $\Omega$ is the amplitude parameter for the model fit and $\beta$ the dust emissivity index which we fixed as 2.0 (e.g. Galametz et al. 2011; Cortese et al. 2012; Davies et al. 2012). This grey-body model is fit to the FIR/submm flux densities, which are corrected for redshift. We are thereby able to measure the typical rest-frame temperatures of emission by the dust distributions in these galaxies.

Dust masses for this subsample are calculated using

$$M_d = \frac{F_{250} D_l^2 K}{\kappa_{250} B(T)_{250} (1+z)}$$

(Whittet 1992, equation 6.12), where $F_{250}$ is the observed flux value in the 250 $\mu$m waveband in Janskies (Jy), $D_l$ the luminosity distance to the source and $K$ is the $K$-correction as defined in equation (2) of Dunne et al. (2011). The assumed mass absorption coefficient ($\kappa_{250}$) is 0.89 m$^2$ kg$^{-1}$ at 250 $\mu$m (Dunne et al. 2011). The value of $B(T)_{250}$ in equation (10) is calculated using the best-fitting, cold dust, rest-frame temperature from the SED fitting for each galaxy. We choose to measure the dust mass using this waveband because of the relatively smaller errors when compared with the other SPIRE wavebands.

The results of our fitting give the mean cold dust temperature for the ETGs as 22.1$^{+2.0}_{-2.7}$ K with 5th to 95th percentiles of 14–28 K, with no obvious variation of fit temperature with stellar mass. The mean cold dust mass is calculated as $(1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^7 M_\odot$, with a percentile range of $(0.19–5.41) \times 10^7 M_\odot$. The resultant average specific dust mass ($\log_{10}(M_d/M_\star)$) is calculated as $-3.37$. Errors on temperature are given as formal uncertainties and propagated through equation (10). Because of the non-linearity of this propagation, we only show average dust mass errors for those galaxies with full PACS and SPIRE data. Thus the correlation between dust temperature and mass is accounted for within dust mass errors.

We present our specific dust masses in Fig. 12, plotted against stellar mass. Overall, the galaxies show a clear trend for lower mass galaxies to contain higher normalized dust masses, with a
we plot these properties to find that values of
explore the properties of the ETGs for high- and low-luminosity
of galaxies above this limit. This region is based on the same
dust mass calculations as our ETGs,
with a representative upper limit dust temperature of 30 K and minimum redshift of 0.013. This excluded region becomes larger with
decreasing temperature and increasing redshift, and represents the
region of the plot which H-ATLAS is insensitive to. This indicates that we are unlikely to be missing ETGs on this diagram due to the
flux limit, since we would expect to detect an increasing proportion
of galaxies above this limit.

Based on the results found in Section 4, we want to further
explore the properties of the ETGs for high- and low-luminosity
subsets. Luminosity and stellar mass are known to be linked, and
we plot these properties to find that values of $M_\star = -21.5$ mag/$M_\odot = 10^{10.2}$ M$_\odot$ can be used as a high and low luminosity/stellar mass
divider. From Fig. 12 we see that while lenticulars dominate the
median range of stellar masses, ellipticals appear to be separated
into low/high stellar masses. Those ETGs with the highest stellar
masses show the lowest specific dust masses. We have shown that the
brightest ETGs contain S´ersic indices most similar to undetected
ETGs (see Section 4) and this therefore indicates that ETGs with low
specific dust masses have high S´ersic indices and are not dissimilar
to ETGs in the $OptR$ in this respect.

We next compare results with other H-ATLAS data. Recent mul-
tiwavelength SED fitting by Rowlands et al. (2012) on a sample of 42 detected ETGs in the H-ATLAS SDP gave average cold dust
masses as $5.5 \times 10^7$ M$_\odot$ for an allowed distribution of temperatures
between 15 and 25 K. Improving upon Rowlands et al. (2012), we
have chosen to fit the ETGs with 3σ detections at 350 μm, and
we have chosen a more straightforward single-component fitting
approach. This low-redshift $SubS$ alone is five times the number of
galaxies in the SDP and therefore a more statistically significant
result. Our results indicate lower mean specific dust masses for ETGs
than in Rowlands et al. (2012), which may be partly due to the nearer
redshift limit of our $SubS$ sample presented here. For the sake of
comparison, we have also shown the average normalized dust mass
for their larger sample of L TGs. This is clearly a lot higher than the
ETGs, as is to be expected for spiral galaxies, which are known to
have high dust masses.

We can also compare our results with those from the KINGFISH
survey, where Skibba et al. (2011) also calculate dust tempera-
tures and masses for a sample of 10 nearby ETGs using single-
temperature modified blackbodies. Their specific dust masses are
lower than ours, but fall well within the range of masses exhibited
by our galaxies. Therefore we can attribute the difference in mean
masses to sample size. This is less of an issue with the Smith et al. (2012)
study in the HRS, where they select a sample of 62 ETGs,
mainly in the Virgo Cluster, of which 45 percent are detected in
the submm. They also calculate dust masses for the 28 detected
ETGs using single-temperature grey-body fitting, and their average
specific dust mass for detected ETGs is much lower than any of the
aforementioned works, including ours. However, they do not
seem to sample as large a range of environments as these other
works, since their sample is restricted mainly to galaxies in the high
densities of the Virgo Cluster. Further HRS work by Cortese et al.
(2012) shows that in clusters such as Virgo, the dust fraction of
galaxies of a given mass is significantly lower than that of galaxies
in isolation or in small groups. This bias for dusty ETGs to reside in
lower density environments is also hinted at in Table 2 and Fig. 11
for our $SubS$. The KINGFISH and HRS studies look at galaxies in the
very near Universe, and therefore they are able to detect the
lowest dust masses in galaxies. Additionally, Smith et al. (2012)
emphasize that they only study the most massive ellipticals in the
nearby Universe. Given this information, the differences in average
normalized dust masses between this and these other two $Herschel$
works appear quite small.

Next we consider how the computed dust masses vary with UV– optical colours. This is presented in Fig. 13, where the galaxies
are also coloured by stellar masses. Here we identify a very clear
trend. As our galaxies get bluer, they also increase their specific dust
masses and decrease in stellar mass. This highlights a key result: the
most massive ETGs are redder and therefore more quiescent, and
they also contain proportionally less dust. This strengthens the link
between dust and star formation and, assuming the more quiescent
ETGs do not host many young blue stars, predicts that low-mass red stars do not contribute as highly towards the dust presence in
ETGs as bright young stars. This sort of evolution has been shown
before: Bourne et al. (2012) show a similar strong trend for their
sample of red galaxies. To further understand it, we would have to
work out whether we are looking at these ETGs at different ages,
and therefore different stages of their evolution, or whether we are
looking at two completely different populations and types of ETGs.

5.2 Contamination issues
Rigby et al. (2011) found that a significant number of sources may have
350 and 500 μm flux densities that are overestimated by a
factor of ~2 in the H-ATLAS SDP fields. This is due mainly to
source confusion where the signal-to-noise ratio levels are low.
This would result in SED fitting underestimating dust temperatures and
overestimating dust masses. There is nothing that can be done
to remedy this on an object-by-object basis, but we should be aware of such an effect having carried through to the Phase 1 data.

We consider whether any of our ETGs are radio-emitting AGN by referring to the Very Large Array Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-cm (FIRST) survey, which cover 10,000° over the North and South Galactic Caps, currently with 30 per cent optical counterparts in the SDSS (Becker, White & Helfand 1994) and covering the GAMA equatorial regions down to the SDSS Data Release 6 (DR6) brightness limit. We searched for potential counterparts within a 20 arcsec search radius and found two of our SubS ETGs, within search radii of 18.9 and 9.4 arcsec, and 1.4 GHz integrated flux densities of 1.17 and 3.59 mJy, respectively. Given the likelihood of these being false counterparts and the low associated flux densities, we deem radio-emitting AGN not to be an issue for our ETGs.

If the ETGs do host radio-emitting AGN which we have been unable to detect through FIRST counterpart matching, it is necessary to consider their implications in our results. Synchrotron emission from the AGN would be modelled as a radio power law, which may extend into the longest submm wavebands. Our grey-body fit parameters will then represent not only the thermal FIR/submm emission but also the synchrotron emission component. This could lead to false cooler temperatures resulting from our fits, as the apparent grey-body peak would be pushed to longer wavelengths.

We double-check whether radio-loud AGN are present in our ETGs by looking at the SPIRE 250/350 and 350/500 colours. Boselli et al. (2010) show that SPIRE colours are useful for discriminating thermal from synchrotron emission in radio galaxies, with the colours becoming very small for those galaxies with synchrotron emission. The low-redshift sample we are fitting in this section has high (f350/f500 \(>\) 3.1) SPIRE ratios, providing further evidence that the 500 \(\mu\)m waveband is unaffected by synchrotron emission in our sample.

Free–free emission (thermal bremsstrahlung) from ionized H II regions is also a potential contamination factor in the 500 \(\mu\)m waveband. However, because ETGs are mostly quiescent, such emission from these regions is likely to be small and is difficult to quantify.

As discussed in Section 3.4, we used the Negrello et al. (2010) and González-Nuevo et al. (2012) selection criteria to remove nine galaxies which are quite likely to be lensed. These galaxies did have high enough flux emission to run grey-body fits across them. The results of these fits were a range of dust masses in the range 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \((-3.1 < \log_{10}(M_{d}/M_{\odot}) < -1.9\) and temperatures between 20 and 35 K, with only one lens galaxy candidate given a temperature as low as 11 K. Although these galaxies are not included within our dust mass plots, upon inspection we note that if they were included they would not change the straight line fits in Figs 12 and 13 or affect the results significantly.

Finally, as a check, we re-ran the grey-body fitting on the ETGs for just the four shortest waveband fluxes, thereby excluding the 500 \(\mu\)m points. The resultant rest-frame temperatures did not change greatly for many of the galaxies, except in the cases (~4 per cent) where flux from the 250 \(\mu\)m band was much greater than the 350 \(\mu\)m flux. The lack of a strong systematic bias occurring when running this test gives further evidence that the dust characteristics of our SubS are not significantly affected by synchrotron emission or other contamination issues affecting the 500 \(\mu\)m band fluxes.

### 5.3 Elliptical versus lenticular dust characteristics

It is necessary to consider the implications that S0a galaxies have created by being included within our samples, as they may have had an effect on dust results.

It is possible that lenticular galaxies are in fact Spirals which have had their star formation cut-off (e.g. Aragón-Salamanca, Bedregal & Merrifield 2006). Supposing ellipticals are formed in a different way, possibly via mergers, the two different formation methods will result in different proportions of dust left within the galaxy systems. If this is the case, we are left with one of three scenarios. Either the dust masses and temperatures we are fitting to our sample are representative of dusty ETGs overall, or they are representative of either dusty S0a galaxies or E galaxies separately.

Fig. 12 shows the trend of normalized dust mass with stellar mass for all ETGs, coloured by morphology. We plot these separately because lenticular and elliptical galaxies are not necessarily at the same stage of their lives, and may have different evolutionary patterns. Additionally, the presence of a disc in lenticular galaxies points towards them possibly having higher dust masses than ellipticals, thus skewing our perception of the dust contents of elliptical galaxies. Such a result is not indicated in Fig. 12, where the lenticulars are shown to have the same mean specific dust mass (-3.35) as the ellipticals (-3.36). However, a KS test of the stellar mass distributions shows them to be marginally different at the 1 per cent level (KS-prob = 0.009). The straight-line fit to the ellipticals suggests a slightly stronger trend for specific dust mass to change with stellar mass \((r_p = -0.71)\) than the trend for lenticulars \((r_p = -0.41)\). Smaller number densities for the ellipticals weaken this trend somewhat. This result nevertheless indicates that the two different types of ETGs have similar dust properties and therefore that there is some similarity in the way dust in these galaxies evolves and consequently, in the evolution of the galaxy itself.

We explore the separate morphological properties further in Figs 14 and 15 by plotting different parameters against the absolute \(r\)-band magnitudes and normalized dust masses, respectively. In Figs 14(a) and (b) we show how both normalized dust mass and total dust mass vary with absolute magnitude. For both galaxy types we see a clear trend emerging here, which is similar to the trend identified earlier in Section 5.1. This is expected since magnitude and stellar mass are related (see discussion in Section 5.1). These plots show that the brightest ETGs contain proportionally the least dust and vice versa (Fig. 14d). Once again, by breaking ETGs down to elliptical and lenticular, we explore their comparative properties and find the brightest ETGs are elliptical, with low specific dust mass (Fig. 14d) and high dust mass (Fig. 14e). On the faint end, we see a well mixed distribution of both types of ETGs. This, and a KS test, indicates no preference for lenticulars to have different specific dust masses than ellipticals.

The wide distribution of dust temperatures arising from our modified Planck function fits is also highlighted in Figs 14(c) and (f). Our ETGs extend to very low dust temperatures, with errors of typically ±2 K. This could be attributed to the fact that this is a very large sample of ETGs observed by very sensitive detectors and the galaxies may contain dust temperatures which could not physically have been measured up until now. However, it should be noted that the galaxy with the lowest temperature (~9 K) has large PACS measurement errors.

All the other ETGs in our sample have dust temperatures higher than 10 K. The distributions of ellipticals versus lenticulars look quite similar, although the lowest temperatures (~15 K) belong predominantly to ellipticals. KS testing shows the distributions are not significantly different. Based on this result, it appears that lenticulars not only contain similar (specific) dust masses to ellipticals but also similar dust temperatures. The lack of observable trend of temperature with luminosity also implies that there is no direct
correlation between distributions of dust temperature and stellar mass for the two types of ETGs.

The distributions of environmental, Sérsic and colour properties of the galaxies as a function of normalized dust mass are examined in Fig. 15. Fig. 15(a) indicates that there is no significant difference in the distribution of surface densities for the two morphologies, which is confirmed by a KS test. Its counterpart scatter plot (Fig. 15d) shows no observable trend for these properties, although the few ellipticals with an intermediate range of specific dust mass seem to be clustered towards the lower densities.

Figs 15(b) and (e) show the Sérsic \( n_r \) distributions of these morphologies and highlight a useful characteristic of ellipticals. Although there are only small numbers of ellipticals, approximately a third of them are at lower Sérsic \( (n \sim 1) \). However, Fig. 15(e) also indicates that on average, these low Sérsic ETGs are those with the highest specific dust masses \( (r_p = 0.1) \). This again supports the idea that dust is causing the lowering of Sérsic index, as predicted by Pastrav et al. (2013).

Finally we examine UV–optical colour in Figs 15(c) and (f). Although this trend was seen previously in Fig. 13, in this plot we see the significance of different morphologies. Once again we find different groupings of ellipticals, unlike the solidly filled range presented by the lenticulars. A KS test shows NUV – \( r \) distributions to be significantly different at well below the 1 per cent level (KS-prob = 0.0009). Ellipticals are grouped either as very blue and dusty, or red with a range of specific dust masses. Such a distribution of specific dust mass at the red end indicates that dust may be contributing to reddening in this plot, otherwise we would expect galaxies with such high levels of dust to occupy the bluer end of this distribution.

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This work is the first in a two-part series exploring intrinsic and dust properties of ETGs. By using visual classifications applied to a low-
-\( z \) sample of GAMA galaxies from Kelvin et al. (in preparation), we created two ETG samples from the GAMA data. These are the \( \text{SubS} \) – galaxies classified as ETGs with H-ATLAS SPIRE 5\( \sigma \) detections – and the \( \text{OptS} \) – galaxies classified as ETGs without H-ATLAS SPIRE detections.

We explored a series of optical and UV properties for these two samples. These included concentration and Sérsic index, stellar masses, luminosities, optical colours and effective radii. \( \text{GALEX} \) NUV detections were used to explore UV–optical colours of our galaxies and the environments of the ETGs were examined by way of fifth nearest neighbour densities and group membership data. The results for these tests are summarized here.

(i) We find a 29 per cent H-ATLAS detection rate for ETGs in the equatorial field. The detection rate for pure submm detected ellipticals is 10 per cent (see Section 3.3).
Figure 15. Scatter plots and normalized histograms for SubS ellipticals and lenticulars. Ellipticals are represented by dark blue filled circles and filled histograms, and lenticulars by light blue filled circles and histograms. From left to right: (a) normalized logged distributions of surface density $\Sigma_e$, (b) logged histogram of $r$-band Sérsic index, (c) distributions of UV–optical colour ($NUV - r$), (d) scatter plot showing specific dust mass against surface density, (e) specific dust mass as a function of Sérsic index and (f) as a function of $NUV - r$ colour. Average errors are shown in the top right-hand corners. KS probabilities described in the main text are also included for each histogram.

(ii) H-ATLAS detected (SubS) ETGs are shown to have lower concentrations and Sérsic indices than undetected (OptS) ETGs. It has been shown that the presence of dust can lower Sérsic index (Pastrav et al. 2013), an effect that we consider to be a possible explanation for our findings. This effect will be further explored in Paper II of this series, where we will investigate whether this is true for our samples in all wavebands and at higher redshifts.

(iii) H-ATLAS detected ETGs are shown to be typically optically brighter, bluer and larger than undetected ETGs. However, differences in the stellar mass distributions of the samples are found to be not significant.

(iv) Our H-ATLAS detected sample shows significantly brighter NUV luminosities and bluer colours in the UV–optical than the undetected sample. This colour trend is in agreement with previous works such as Rowlands et al. (2012) and Dariush et al. (2011). However, the H-ATLAS detected ETGs are redder than LTGs from the same parent sample, and therefore dominate an intermediate NUV – $r$ colour space.

(v) The H-ATLAS detected ETGs are shown to inhabit sparser environments, particularly for the fainter ETG subset. By comparing our ETGs in both small and large galaxy groups with ungrouped ETGs, we find that for the SubS, higher mass stellar systems are typically associated with larger group sizes and denser environments.

To investigate dust properties, we fitted modified single-temperature Planck functions to the 250 $\mu$m $5\sigma$ detected SubS galaxies containing $\geq 3\sigma$ emission in the SPIRE 350 $\mu$m waveband. We then presented characteristics of the SubS based on resultant best-fitting temperatures and associated dust masses, and explored trends with optical and UV properties. These results can be summarized as follows.

(i) ETGs in our H-ATLAS detected sample are shown to contain dust masses ranging from $8.1 \times 10^5$ to $3.5 \times 10^8$ M$_\odot$, with a range of rest-frame temperatures from 9 to 30 K. These dust masses are consistent with previous Herschel work such as Skibba et al. (2011), but lower than results from previous H-ATLAS work in Rowlands et al. (2012). These results may differ due to our larger sample size and our lower redshift limit.

(ii) We discover a strong trend for specific dust mass to decrease with redder ($NUV - r$) colour. This implies that the dustiest ETGs have the bluest colours, linking recent star formation with a heavier dust presence.

(iii) We show that the faintest H-ATLAS detected ETGs with the lowest stellar masses have higher specific dust masses and lower Sérsic indices compared to the brightest H-ATLAS detected ETGs. This result is consistent with downsizing, as the most massive, brightest galaxies are more similar to the OptS ETGs in terms of their specific dust masses and Sérsic profiles.

(iv) By splitting our dusty ETG sample into separate morphologies of elliptical and lenticular galaxies, we gauge how they contribute to the measured dust properties. We find no difference in the...
In this study, we examine the dust masses (whole or specific) or temperatures of both ETG types. However, we find significant differences in their NUV – r colour and stellar mass distributions. We show that ellipticals may be grouped into two sets: the faint, blue, low mass, relatively dusty ellipticals and bright, red, massive, ellipticals with lower specific dust masses. This leads us to the conclusion that we may be studying two different populations of ellipticals, or at least two different age ranges.

We intend to further explore these separate populations of ETGs by pushing our samples out to higher redshifts in Paper II of this series. As we can no longer rely on visual classifications at these redshifts, we will explore proxies for morphology. We will then repeat our study of ETG optical and dust characteristics, and compare them with these low-redshift samples.
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