ORIENTAL - AUTOMATIC GEO-REFERENCING AND ORTHO-RECTIFICATION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS
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ABSTRACT:

This paper presents the newly developed software OrientAL, which aims at providing a fully automated processing chain from aerial photographs to orthophoto maps. It considers the special requirements of archaeological aerial images, including oblique imagery, single images, poor approximate georeferencing, and historic photographs. As a first step the automatic relative orientation of images from an archaeological image archive is presented.

1 INTRDUCTION

Although aerial photography is one of the oldest archaeological prospection methods, its potential is often not completely understood. While most archaeologists regard this method as highly efficient in detecting sites, its archaeological value goes far beyond: it provides detailed information on the layout and individual features of archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites and structures. This information, however, can only be used, when the photographs are georeferenced, (ortho) rectified, interpreted and mapped. Georeferencing assigns spatial information to the imagery and explicitly defines their location and rotation with respect to a certain Earth-fixed coordinate frame and is a requirement to transcribe the relevant archaeological information content of an aerial photograph to a map.

Irrespective of the method applied, the georeferencing of the images is commonly determined with ground control points (GCPs), whose measurement and identification is a time-consuming operation that is only partly shortened in photogrammetric packages by automatic tie point detection and bundle block adjustments of multiple images. Especially in large-scale archaeological projects with thousands of images, this is currently considered to be the main bottleneck in terms of personnel and temporal resources. Additionally, specialised software, photogrammetric skills, and experience are required. Therefore, aerial archaeology does often not go beyond the data acquisition stage. As a result, millions of aerial photographs (APs) are currently stored in archives that only allow a very limited geometric access of the imagery. These archives contain an enormous amount of valuable optical information for detecting and documenting archaeological landscapes which cannot be exploited efficiently.

Hence automated techniques for the georeferencing of archaeological APs are highly desirable. A number of approaches for the automatic, relative orientation of image blocks have been suggested (Pollefeys et al., 2004, Mayer, 2005) and a number of software packages for this task are available - e.g. Bundler (Snavely et al., 2006), Photoscan Professional (Agisoft, 2013), Airphoto SE (Irwin Scollar, 2013), and Apero (Pierrot Deseslligny and Clery, 2011). While the methods are partly overlapping, our contribution is especially

1. to design a system in which additional observations, beyond image coordinates and control points, can be integrated,
2. to provide an interface to Python which allows the assembly of custom workflows in scripts,
3. to deliver the estimated accuracy of the unknown parameters (exterior orientation of images, tie point coordinates, etc.),
4. to link it to an archaeological image archive and thus provide a tailored processing for archaeologists, and
5. to automate the process of absolute orientation (datum definition) based on orthophotos and terrain models.

While 1. and 2. are demonstrated in this article, 3., 4. and 5. are only shown in the planned version.

1.1 The Project

These tasks are researched within a project, which is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) and which started in March 2012. Three international partner organisations (Vienna Institute of Archaeological Science of the University of Vienna, LBI for Archaeological Prospection and Virtual Archaeology based on an international partnership, and the Research Groups of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing of TU Vienna) are co-operating within the project. The project is designed specifically for APs made during archaeological reconnaissance flights. The list given above thus has to be specialized to consider the following:

- both vertical and oblique images are acquired,
- different non-metric (uncalibrated) cameras are used,
- oblique APs often lack a high number and/or good distribution of possible ground control points,
- oblique APs are often shot from an extremely oblique viewpoint, and
- often even a rough geocoding is missing (e.g. position information by a hand-held GNSS (global navigation satellite system) receiver).
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2 METHOD

2.1 Automatic relative orientation of images

Given a set of APs, our approach for automatic orthophoto generation first co-registers the APs to each other. We detect scale invariant keypoints (Lindeberg, 1993) in every image and generate feature vectors that describe their local neighbourhood (Lowe, 2004). These descriptors are inserted into appropriate search trees for efficient nearest-neighbour lookup (Muja and Lowe, 2009).

Subsequently, for every possibly image pair in the set, we iterate over the keypoints of one image, and select as the corresponding keypoint in the other image the one with the most similar descriptor, where similarity is defined as the reciprocal of the 2-norm of descriptor difference vectors. Still in descriptor space, these correspondences are validated: by reversal of the search direction, they are tested on symmetry, and they are dropped otherwise. Also, the ratio of the similarities of corresponding descriptors and respective second most similar descriptors must satisfy a certain threshold. Finally, keypoint scales must not differ by a too high value.

In the following, two graph structures are used to tackle combinatorial problems:

- **Keypoint tracking**: introduce the keypoints of all images as vertices into a graph, and insert an edge for each correspondence - thereby creating connected components of transitively corresponding keypoints that are projections of the same object point (Moulon and Monasse, 2012).

- **Image connectivity**: introduce all images as vertices into an-and insert an edge for each correspondence that connects two unoriented images. Based on the correspondences of the image pair, we compute its relative orientation via the essential matrix, by a RANSAC version (Fischler and Bolles, 1981) of the 5-point-algorithm (Nistér, 2003) and subsequent robust bundle block adjustment. In this step, camera calibrations are used that are found either in a resp. data base, or are derived from Exif (exchangeable image file format) image meta data. If relative orientation fails, then we mark the edge correspondingly, and repeat this step from the start, until an image pair has been successfully oriented.

Now, we select as the initial image pair the heaviest, untried edge that connects two unoriented images. Based on the correspondences of the image pair, we compute its relative orientation via the essential matrix, by a RANSAC version (Fischler and Bolles, 1981) of the 5-point-algorithm (Nistér, 2003) and subsequent robust bundle block adjustment. In this step, camera calibrations are used that are found either in a resp. data base, or are derived from Exif (exchangeable image file format) image meta data. If relative orientation fails, then we mark the edge correspondingly, and repeat this step from the start, until an image pair has been successfully oriented.

Subsequently, we select as the current image the unoriented image with the most observations of already reconstructed object points. We compute its orientation by a RANSAC version of spatial resection. Next, we insert observations for the correspondences of the current image into the bundle block of oriented images, together with orientation parameters for the newly oriented image and eventual new object points. Also at this point, we introduce observations and parameters for eventual untried or failed edges between other already oriented images and the newly oriented one. Moreover, we now search for additional correspondences, this time limiting the search space by maximum image residuals. Finally, we mark the newly processed edges as used, and do a robust adjustment of the bundle block of oriented images, after which the residuals are inspected, and seemingly erroneous observations are deactivated. We repeat this step until all images have been oriented.

Finally, a non-robust adjustment is conducted, which estimates interior orientations and distortion parameters for every combination of camera/lens make and nominal focal length present in the block.

2.2 Orthophoto generation

In addition to the archaeological APs to be georeferenced and ortho-rectified, our approach for automatic orthophoto production relies on two additional data sets: pre-existing orthophoto maps to extract GCPs from, and pre-existing DSMs / DTM’s (digital surface / terrain models) to compute terrain heights for these GCPs.

In the search for correspondences between the block of oriented images and the pre-existing orthophoto, we limit the search space as far as possible, using available meta information of the images. This meta information may e.g. have been observed directly, or it may be known from the flight plan. For example, an approximate georeferencing can be derived from given, coarse footprints of the images of an extended block, together with a given (constant) flight height. Using a DSM / DTM, terrain relief is considered, and in order for correspondences to be accepted, they need to be detected in multiple images, with reasonable residuals.

If the resolution of the pre-existing DSM / DTM is not sufficient for the generation of the new orthophoto, then at this point, we generate a dense DSM based on the aerial images, using semi-global matching (Hirschmuller, 2008).

Finally, we ortho-rectify all APs and produce an orthophoto map by mosaicking the outcome. Our method for automatic orthophoto generation is depicted in fig. 2.
2.3 Discussion

As explained above, we georeference in two steps a set of APs that belong to the same flight, where first, the APs are co-registered to each other, and only afterwards, the resulting block is georeferenced as a whole, using a pre-existing orthophoto and a DSM / DTM. The reason why we do so is that the aerial images have been captured with the same equipment within a short period of time and similar flight height, while the pre-existing orthophoto is probably based on imagery of different characteristics, making the search for correspondences more error prone. However, coarse image positions known beforehand can serve to estimate orientations and limit the search space if the image block has been co-registered already. Also, correspondences can immediately be checked not only in descriptor space, but also concerning geometry.

In the validation of correspondences in descriptor space, we introduce a threshold on the ratio of keypoint scales. We adapt this threshold to accommodate to different flight heights, focal lengths and maximum nadir angles.

The approach described above assumes that meaningful initial values for camera interior orientations are available or can be derived, with the minimum requirement being that the approximate focal length is known beforehand. Otherwise, we estimate the fundamental matrix instead of the essential matrix, and in the transition from projective to metric space, we assume that all im-

Figure 2: Flowchart of all processing steps needed for automatic orthophoto production.
ages share the same interior orientation. If the terrain features enough relief, then separate interior orientations can still be estimated for each image in the bundle block adjustment.

Also, the approach is tailored to digital images. For historic imagery captured with photographic film, an additional step can be introduced, which detects fiducial marks and transforms the image coordinates into the camera frame.

So far, we use image pairs for the computation of initial relative orientations, which limit geometrically valid correspondences to a narrow band around the resp. epipolar line. Relatively oriented image triples define two epipolar lines for each image correspondence, which generally intersect in an image point. Thus, usage of image triples allows for a more robust outlier detection and hence, a more robust initial relative orientation. However, it also introduces a higher level of complexity concerning computation times and implementation.

In our approach, we propose the usage of point-like features. However, for the search of correspondences between the aerial image block and the pre-existing orthophoto, usage of feature regions seems to be promising.

3 STRUCTURE

We develop OrientAL in two computer languages: C/C++ and Python, and we choose the language of implementation according to the task needed to solve: C/C++ for low-level, performance-critical functionality, and Python for high-level functions. Implementations in Python feature the benefits of being easily readable, debuggable and adaptable by end-users (for study, experimentation, or due to special needs) on the one hand, and of the large amount of high-level functionality (e.g. for visualization) available in Python on the other hand. Binding C/C++ libraries to Python introduces additional development costs, but Python bindings provide an easily accessible way for end-users to integrate OrientAL into custom scripts.

Fig. 3 depicts the basic software structure of OrientAL in its current state. As can be seen, OrientAL contains the central adjustment module oriental::adjust and its Python-binding oriental_adjust, which is based on the non-linear least-squares adjustment library Ceres (Agarwal and Mierle, 2013) that provides low-level administration of observations and parameters, automatic and numeric differentiation, and a common interface to various numerical solvers. In addition to the definition and solution of non-linear least-squares problems, oriental::adjust provides parameter qualities and the option to define callback functions that are invoked on each iteration, for the evaluation of custom cost and loss functions, or for logging. We use NumPy (Jones et al., 2001–) arrays as the Python type of vectors and matrices, which oriental_adjust by help of Boost.NumPy (Dafferty, n.d.) translates to C/C++ references that are passed to oriental::adjust.

Listing 1 shows a basic example of how to use oriental_adjust to estimate the exterior orientation of a single image, based on image observations of control points. After the necessary imports and the initialisation of parameters and observations, it creates an instance of an adjustment problem and adds to it a residual block for each image point observation, passing a cost function holding the observations and the definition of residual computation, an instance of the squared loss function, and the concerned parameters. As only the exterior orientation shall be estimated, the other parameters need to be set constant before solving the problem.

Most of the other functionality currently is bundled in high-level Python scripts that directly call the Python bindings of third-party libraries. OpenCV (Bradski, 2000) is used for feature point detection, description and matching, and for two-view algorithms (relative orientation of image pairs, forward intersection of object points). Additionally, we use GDAL (Warmerdam, 2008) for accessing image meta data, and SpatialLite (Furieri, 2013) as spatial data base.

We plan to transcribe OrientAL Python code to C/C++ in cases where functionality turns out to be performance critical. As can be seen in fig. 3, all but the Python visualization modules are (also) accessible from C/C++. Thus, transcription of OrientAL code from Python to C/C++ is possible without changing the usage of third-party libraries.

4 APPLICATION

Fig. 4 shows a bundle of relatively oriented archaeological APs depicted as tetrahedrons with coordinate axes, and the reconstructed, sparse cloud of object points. The final bundle block consists of 35 APs, 70566 image observations, and 30137 object points. Processing has been fully automatic. The APs image the civil amphitheatre of Roman Carnuntum, Austria, and were taken through the side windows of an aircraft, using a digital amateur camera. As mentioned in the introduction, OrientAL is not yet feature complete, and thus, no georeference is shown.
5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

An archaeological case study will serve to test and evaluate all proposed solutions for automated orthophoto generation. The proposed 250 km$^2$ large area in the eastern part of Lower Austria seems to be very promising both archaeologically and in terms of software testing. It is largely covered by vertical and oblique APs from various years and seasons, with scales ranging from 1:25,000 to 1:5,000. The evaluation should identify problems and improve our approach, both using conventional aeroplanes as well as UAVs.

We firmly believe that the expected results will be of high importance for the archaeological discipline and beyond. By providing archaeologists with easily implementable methods for aerial photo rectification, the enormous amount of valuable information on archaeological landscapes will be accessible to mapping. This could have a dramatic effect on the future archaeological process, where accurate, detailed and coherent GIS-based maps of whole regions can add important information for directing archaeological research and cultural heritage management.
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Figure 4: Automatically oriented archaeological APs of Roman Carnuntum, Austria, together with the reconstructed, sparse point cloud.


