Polar ring galaxies as tests of gravity
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ABSTRACT

Polar ring galaxies are ideal objects with which to study the three-dimensional shapes of galactic gravitational potentials since two rotation curves can be measured in two perpendicular planes. Observational studies have uncovered systematically larger rotation velocities in the extended polar rings than in the associated host galaxies. In the dark matter context, this can only be explained through dark haloes that are systematically flattened along the polar rings. Here, we point out that these objects can also be used as very effective tests of gravity theories, such as those based on Milgromian dynamics (also known as Modified Newtonian Dynamics or MOND). We run a set of polar ring models using both Milgromian and Newtonian dynamics to predict the expected shapes of the rotation curves in both planes, varying the total mass of the system, the mass of the ring with respect to the host and the size of the hole at the centre of the ring. We find that Milgromian dynamics not only naturally leads to rotation velocities being typically higher in the extended polar rings than in the hosts, as would be the case in Newtonian dynamics without dark matter, but that it also gets the shape and amplitude of velocities correct. Milgromian dynamics thus adequately explains this particular property of polar ring galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Assuming General Relativity to be the correct description of gravity at all scales, data ranging from the largest scales (e.g. the cosmic microwave background) to galactic scales can be interpreted as a Universe dominated by dark energy and dark matter. The nature of these is among the most challenging problems of modern physics. While dark energy is generally assumed to be a non-vanishing vacuum energy represented by a cosmological constant $\Lambda$ in Einstein’s equations, the currently most favoured dark matter candidates are neutral fermionic particles, which condensed from the thermal bath of the early Universe (Bertone, Hooper & Silk 2005; Strigari 2012), known as ‘cold dark matter’ (CDM) particles.

On galaxy scales, predictions of this concordance cosmological model ($\Lambda$CDM) are difficult to reconcile with observations (Disney et al. 2008; Kroupa et al. 2010; Peebles & Nusser 2010; Kroupa 2012; Kroupa, Pawlowski & Milgrom 2012). For instance, many observed scaling relations (see Famaey & McGaugh 2012 for a review) involve the universal appearance of an acceleration constant $a_0 \approx \Lambda^{-1/2} \approx 10^{-10} \text{ m s}^{-2} \approx 3.6 \text{ pc Myr}^{-2}$, whose origin is unknown in the standard context. For instance, this constant defines the zero-point of the Tully–Fisher relation, the transition of the acceleration at which the mass discrepancy between baryonic and dynamical mass appears in the standard picture and the transition of the central acceleration between dark-matter-dominated and baryon-dominated galaxies (within Newtonian gravity), and it also defines a critical mean surface density for disc stability (Famaey & McGaugh 2012). These independent occurrences of $a_0$ are not at all understood in the standard context, whereas, surprisingly, all these relations can be summarized by the empirical formula of Milgrom (1983). For this formula to fit galaxy rotation curves, the above-quoted value of $a_0$ can vary only between 0.9 and $1.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m s}^{-2}$, but once a value is chosen, all galaxy rotation curves must be fitted with a single value (Gentile, Famaey & de Blok 2011).
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We choose here the median value $a_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m s}^{-2}$, as per Gentile et al. (2011).

The success of Milgrom’s empirical formula lends weight to the idea that the gravitational field in galaxies can be described by Milgromian dynamics (also known as Modified Newtonian Dynamics or MOND). Milgromian dynamics naturally explains the intimate relation between the distribution of baryons and the gravitational field in galaxies, and explains all the aforementioned occurrences of $a_0$ in galactic dynamics without any fine-tuning. Given the predictive nature of Milgromian dynamics on galaxy scales, it is of great interest to test whether the formula can explain all probes of galactic gravitational potentials, beyond spherical and axisymmetric systems where it has mostly been tested up to now.

Polar ring galaxies (PRGs) are non-axisymmetric systems featuring an outer ring of stars and gas rotating over the poles. The host galaxy is usually characterized by a compact bulge and a small bright gas-poor disc, while the gas-rich polar structure has photometric properties roughly similar to those of gas-rich spirals (e.g. Whitmore et al. 1990). The observer can typically measure two perpendicular rotation curves (Schweizer, Whitmore & Rubin 1983; Sackett & Sparke 1990; Reshetnikov & Combes 1994; Sackett et al. 1994; Combes & Arnaboldi 1996; Hodicke et al. 2003, 2006; Iodice 2010), one in the host, often by deriving an asymmetric-drift-corrected rotation curve on stellar kinematics (see, e.g., Combes & Arnaboldi 1996), and one in the polar ring (PR), by directly measuring the velocity of the Hi gas. This makes PRGs ideal test objects for gravity theories because any given theory of gravity then has to explain two rotation curves in two perpendicular planes, both derived from the same baryonic mass density distribution. Interestingly, observational studies (Iodice et al. 2003; Moiseev et al. 2011) consistently show rotational velocities in the PRs to be systematically larger than in the hosts. These observations may only be explained in the standard context by dark haloes systematically flattened along the PRs (see Hodicke et al. 2003). In any case, given these specific observational properties of PRGs, it is of great interest to investigate whether the general predictions of Milgromian dynamics for such objects would conform with these observational properties, namely whether larger velocities in the extended PRs than in the hosts are a generic prediction of Milgromian dynamics, by exploring a wide range of baryonic mass distributions.

In Section 2, we recall the basics of Milgromian dynamics and the specific quasi-linear formulation we are dealing with. We then present a grid-based prescription to solve the modified Poisson equation (Section 3) and an iterative method to find rotation curves of non-circular orbits (Section 4), and apply it to a set of models in Section 5. Results are presented and discussed in Section 6 and we conclude in Section 7.

2 MILGROMIAN DYNAMICS

In recent years, a plethora of generally covariant modified gravity theories have been developed, yielding a Milgromian behaviour in the weak-field limit (Famaey & McGaugh 2012). One such a recent formulation (Milgrom 2009) has a non-relativistic quasi-static weak-field limit, for a specific given set of parameters, yielding the following Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho_h + \nabla \cdot [v((\nabla \phi/|\phi|)|\nabla \phi|],$$

where $\Phi$ is the total (Milgromian) potential, $\rho_h$ is the baryonic density, $\phi$ is the Newtonian potential such that $\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho_h$, and $v(x) \to 0$ for $x \gg 1$ and $v(x) \to x^{-1/2}$ for $x \ll 1$. One family of functions that fulfil the definition of $v(x)$ (see, e.g., Famaey & McGaugh 2012) is

$$v(x) = \left[1 + (1 + 4x^{-\alpha})^{1/2}\right]^\alpha = 1 - 1 \alpha.$$

Hereafter, when not stated otherwise, we use $n = 1$, a function which is known to reproduce well the rotation curves of most spiral galaxies (Gentile et al. 2011).

This means that the total gravitational potential $\Phi = \phi + \Phi_{ph}$ can be divided into a classical (Newtonian) part, $\phi$, and a Milgromian part, $\Phi_{ph}$. The matter density distribution $\rho_{ph}$ that would, in Newtonian gravity, yield the additional potential $\Phi_{ph}$, and therefore obeys $\nabla^2 \Phi_{ph} = 4\pi G \rho_{ph}$, is known in the Milgromian context as the ‘phantom dark matter’ (PDM) density,

$$\rho_{ph} = \frac{\nabla \cdot [v((\nabla \phi/|\phi|)|\nabla \phi|]}{4\pi G}.$$

This is the density of dark matter that would boost the Newtonian gravitational field to give precisely the same effect as the boost of gravity predicted by Milgromian dynamics. For a disc galaxy, it will typically resemble a round isothermal halo at large radii, but exhibits an additional disc of PDM aligned with the baryonic disc (but with a different scalelength and scaleheight), most prominent at smaller radii (Milgrom 2001). At each spatial point, $\rho_{ph}$ is a non-linear function of the Newtonian potential. As the non-linearity of equation (1) is only present on the right-hand side of the equation, it is called the quasi-linear version of MOND (Milgrom 2010), whereas in older versions of Milgromian dynamics theories the Laplacian operator on the left-hand side was replaced by a non-linear one (Bekenstein & Milgrom 1984). In the next section, we present a grid-based prescription to calculate the PDM density. We will then be able to compute the rotation curves from the velocity of the closed orbits crossing the planes of symmetry of the non-axisymmetric system (i.e. the plane of the host galaxy and of the PR).

3 GRID-BASED CALCULATION OF THE PDM DENSITY

The PDM density that would source the Milgromian force field in Newtonian gravity is defined by equation (3) and can be calculated from the known classical (Newtonian) potential $\phi$. To evaluate this term, we devise a numerical, grid-based scheme that calculates $\rho_{ph}$ from any (discrete) Newtonian potential $\phi_{i,j,k}$ (see Angus & Diaferio 2011; Angus et al. 2012; Famaey & McGaugh 2012, equation 35).

The discrete form of equation (3) then reads

$$\rho_{ph_{i,j,k}} = \frac{1}{4\pi G h^2} \left[ \left( \phi_{i+1,j,k} - \phi_{i,j,k} \right) v_{hi} - \left( \phi_{i,j+k} - \phi_{i,j,k} \right) v_{hi} + \left( \phi_{i,j+1,k} - \phi_{i,j,k} \right) v_{hi} - \left( \phi_{i,j+k} - \phi_{i,j+k} \right) v_{hi} \right].$$

1 Nowadays, galaxy data still allow some, but not much, wiggle room on choosing the interpolating function $v(x)$ (equation 2): they tend to favour the $n = 1$ function from the family used here, some interpolation between $n = 1$ and 2, or functions from other families which actually reduce, for accelerations typical of galaxies, to the $n = 1$ case used here. See, e.g., section 6.2 of Famaey & McGaugh (2012) for a review.

2 In the Milgromian context, PDM is not real matter but a numerical ansatz which helps to compute the additional gravity predicted by Milgromian dynamics and gives it an analogue in Newtonian dynamics.
that the rotation velocity can be calculated. In an axisymmetric potential, the circular rotation velocity \( v(r) \) results in closed orbits with radius \( r \), readily follows as \( v(r) = \sqrt{-\nabla \Phi} \). This equation however loses validity in a non-axisymmetric potential like the one of a PRG because the closed orbits are generally not circular. The existence of two massive systems in perpendicular orientations means that circular orbits do not exist in either system, neither the equatorial nor the polar one: in each plane, the potential well corresponding to the other perpendicular system produces the equivalent of a (non-rotating) bar along the line of nodes. In that case, it becomes necessary to obtain the velocities in the disc and PR in a more general way. In this work, an iterative method is applied: test stars are shot through the galactic potential, which is computed numerically from analytical density distributions following the prescription in Section 3. The initial velocity (perpendicular to the radius) of these test particles is adjusted until a closed orbit is found. The orbit is integrated using the simple leapfrog integration scheme. Typical closed orbits in a PRG potential are shown in Fig. 2 (detailed description of the model in the next section).

5 MODELS

In order to explore the consequences of Milgromian dynamics for the rotation curves in PRs, we start from a benchmark model adopted from Combes & Arnaboldi (1996), which represents a prototypical example of PRG (NGC 4650A, see also Morishima & Saio 1995). From this model, we will construct a Milgromian potential in which the orbits of test particles will be computed. The host galaxy is made of a small Plummer bulge (Plummer 1911) weighing \( M_b = 0.2 \times 10^9 M_\odot \), with a Plummer radius \( r_p = 0.17 \) kpc,

\[
\rho_b(r) = \left( \frac{3 M_b}{4 \pi r_p^3} \right) \left( 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_p} \right)^2 \right)^{-5/2},
\]

and of a Miyamoto–Nagai disc (Miyamoto & Nagai 1975) with disc mass \( M_d = 11 \times 10^9 M_\odot \), scalelength \( h_r = 0.748 \) kpc and scale-height \( h_z = 0.3 \) kpc,

\[
\rho_d(R,z) = \frac{h_r^2 M_d}{4\pi} \left( h_r^2 + (h_r + 3\sqrt{z^2 + h_z^2}) \left( h_r + \sqrt{z^2 + h_z^2} \right)^2 \right)^{-5/2} \left( z^2 + h_z^2 \right)^{3/2}.
\]
The PR density profile is made from the difference of two Miyamoto–Nagai density distributions ($\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$). Its density is zero at the centre. This example is a demonstration of a ring with a total mass $M = 9.5 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$, a scaleheight $h_z = 0.3 \, \text{kpc}$ and scalaradii $h_{r_1} = 6.8 \, \text{kpc}$ and $h_{r_2} = 5.95 \, \text{kpc}$.

To this parent galaxy a PR of stars and another one of gas is added. Each ring is built by the difference of two Miyamoto–Nagai density distributions of scaleheight $h_z = 0.3 \, \text{kpc}$, and with scalaradii $h_{r_1}$ and $h_{r_2}$ (see Fig. 3 for an illustration, where one sees that the baryonic density is precisely zero at the centre and positive elsewhere). The masses of these two discs are chosen such that their difference equals the total mass of the ring and that the central mass density of the ring is zero. The stellar ring weighs $9.5 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$, and has $h_{r_1} = 6.8 \, \text{kpc}$ and $h_{r_2} = 5.95 \, \text{kpc}$, while the gaseous ring weighs $6.4 \times 10^8 \, M_\odot$, and has $h_{r_1}^\text{gas} = 15.3 \, \text{kpc}$ and $h_{r_2}^\text{gas} = 3.4 \, \text{kpc}$. The parameters of these rings are such that the density in the centre is zero and positive everywhere else.

Note that these parameters are adopted exactly as per Combes & Arnaboldi (1996), but that in reality, some freedom on the mass of the stellar components in both the host and ring of NGC 4650A is possible. As we do not intend here to make a full detailed fit of the rotation curves of NGC 4650A, which will be the topic of a following paper, including other individual PR systems observed in H I with the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT), we keep the benchmark model as such. From this density of baryonic matter, we compute the corresponding PDM density using equation (4). The computed distribution of PDM in the plane orthogonal to both the disc and ring is plotted in Fig. 4. This figure illustrates that, in addition to the oblate PDM halo (isothermal at large radii), there are also two PDM discs aligned with the baryonic discs of the host and of the PR.

To investigate whether the results we obtain (see Section 6) for this benchmark model are actually a generic prediction of Milgromian dynamics, we will then vary the parameters of this benchmark model in five different ways (including changing the ring into a Miyamoto–Nagai disc) computing a total of 45 models spanning a wide range of parameters. All models and their different parameters are summarized in Table 1.

(i) First, the density of the PRs and accordingly their mass, $M_{\text{PR}}$, relative to the mass of the host galaxy are varied. The resulting models are collected into two sequences: Sequence 1 and Sequence 2. Starting from $M_{\text{PR}} = 0$, the ring mass is increased to $M_{\text{PR}} = (0.1, 0.25, 0.33, 0.5, 0.75, 1 \text{ and } 1.45) \times M_{\text{disc}}$. Sequence 1 has a constant disc mass of $M_{\text{disc}} = 11 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$. Sequence 2 has $M_{\text{disc}} = 33 \times 10^8 \, M_\odot$.

(ii) To obtain Sequence 3, Sequence 1 is repeated while replacing the PR by a polar disc of mass $M_{\text{PD}}$. This polar disc is shaped like a Miyamoto–Nagai–density distribution with $h_z = 0.7448 \, \text{kpc}$ and $h_{r_1} = 0.3 \, \text{kpc}$. The polar disc mass, $M_{\text{PD}}$, is varied analogously to Sequences 1 and 2. In this sequence, the model with $M_{\text{PD}}/M_{\text{disc}} = 1$ is symmetric; the rotation curves in the galactic plane and in the polar plane are consequently identical.

(iii) To investigate the influence of the PR shape, we vary the shape of the ring in Sequence 4. The size parameters of the gaseous ring ($h_{r_1}^\text{gas}$ and $h_{r_2}^\text{gas}$) and of the stellar ring ($h_{r_1}^\text{st}$ and $h_{r_2}^\text{st}$) are summarized in Table 1.

(iv) Models of Sequence 5 have again the same structural parameters as the benchmark model, but their densities are scaled such that their total masses (of the whole system) range from $6.8 \times 10^9$ to $10^{11} \, M_\odot$. The size parameters remain unchanged.

(v) Sequence 6 is a series of four different Milgromian potentials computed for the benchmark model for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in equation (2), to check whether the qualitative results are independent of the $v$-function.

### 6 RESULTS

The rotation curves of the benchmark model are presented in Fig. 5, both in Newtonian without dark matter and Milgromian dynamics. In both cases, the velocity is higher in the ring, but of course, Milgromian dynamics is needed to get the amplitude and shape of the rotation curves right. This is a key result for Milgromian dynamics, since observational studies (Iodice et al. 2003) have measured rotation velocities in extended PRs being systematically larger than in the host galaxies when both systems are seen roughly edge-on. If this result is generic, it means that this particular property of PRGs does not exclude Milgromian dynamics. To investigate whether this is indeed a generic prediction of Milgromian dynamics, we vary the parameters of this benchmark model as explained in Section 5. Altogether, the rotation velocities, corresponding to the line-of-sight

---

3 We do not consider the possibility of two gaseous discs to avoid orbit crossing, unless there is a very small gas disc and a much larger gaseous PR which never intersect. In our models, we make no distinction between gas and stars in the host disc.
Model 7 of Sequence 1 and Model 4 of Sequence 4 equal the benchmark $r_4$. Sequence 6 is not included in this table; it corresponds to the benchmark model with four different $v$-functions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>$M_\odot$ ($10^9 M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_{PR}/M_\odot$</th>
<th>$h_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$h_{r_{\mathrm{out}}}$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$h_{\rho_{\mathrm{in}}}$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$h_{\rho_{\mathrm{out}}}$ (kpc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.1, 0.25, 0.33, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.45</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>0.1, 0.25, 0.33, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.45</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.8, 3.7, 5.6, 7.5, 8.4, 11.2, 13.0, 14.6, 16.4, 18.4, 20.7, 23.7, 30.6, 33.6, 36.8, 41.3</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5.** Rotation curves of the host galaxy (black solid line) and PR (black dashed line) for the benchmark model. These rotation curves are derived from the Milgromian potential. For comparison, the lower blue lines show the rotation curves derived from the Newtonian potential of the same model. Observationally, the rotation velocity in the host is generally obtained indirectly from the measured stellar velocity dispersion, which means that the maximum velocity is most likely not measured in the very flat part. To account for this issue, the theoretical rotation velocities from the models are computed at both $r = 40$ and 15 kpc. The circle and cross at these two radii thus mark the rotation velocities that are summarized in Fig. 8. In the case where both the host and PR are gas rich, the PR curve should start where the host curve ends (e.g. at 15 kpc) to avoid collisional orbit crossing.

Velocities that would be measured when observing the PRG edge-on, of 45 models in total are evaluated. The rotation curves of the benchmark model are presented in Fig. 5. Fig. 6 contains all evaluated rotation curves of Sequences 1–4. See the caption for more details. Sequence 6 is plotted in Fig. 7. Finally, the shapes of the rotation curves of Sequence 5 are all similar to the benchmark model, but their amplitude varies as in Fig. 8.

### 6.1 Newtonian dynamics

Fig. 5 and the first column of Fig. 6 show the rotation curves computed using standard Newtonian dynamics without dark matter for Sequence 1. As we can see, the velocities at radii larger than approximately 6 kpc are larger in the polar plane. The reason for this is that the closed orbits are much more eccentric in the host galaxy than in the plane of the PR due to the compact host galaxy and the extended ring (see Fig. 2), and when observing the PRG edge-on, the minimum velocities of these eccentric orbits (point A in Fig. 2) are measured. The eccentricity can be explained as follows. The potential due to the compact host galaxy component appears nearly spherical at large radii for test particles in both the plane of the host galaxy and the plane of the PR. The potential generated by the extended PR, however, does appear spherical to particles orbiting within the ring, but not to particles orbiting in the host plane. This gives rise to lower line-of-sight velocities when the host disc and PRs are seen edge-on.

At radii smaller than the size of the hole (the region where the density increases with radius), the eccentricity argument turns around. The test particles are near the centre of the galaxy and accordingly near the centre of the hole of the PR. At these radii, all test particles experience the gravitational field caused by the PR rather spherically, while the potential by the galactic disc appears spherical only to the test objects orbiting within the disc, not to those moving in the polar plane. The transition appears around approximately 6 kpc, i.e. between the radial size of the galactic disc, which is smaller than 6 kpc, and that of the PR, which is larger than 6 kpc.

This ellipticity of the orbits in the host is enhanced by the actual presence of the hole at the centre of the PR, because, in order to have the same mass in the polar structure as in a corresponding disc, one needs to increase the density at large $r$ in the polar plane, making it more extended (see Fig. 3).

### 6.2 Milgromian dynamics

In Milgromian dynamics, all investigated models (see Fig. 6) that (i) feature a PR (i.e. Sequences 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6) and (ii) has a total mass (i.e. gaseous plus stellar mass) comparable to the mass of the host galaxy (within a factor of ~2) show higher velocities in the polar plane at radii larger than approximately 6 kpc. Indeed, the reason is the same as in Newtonian dynamics without dark matter, and it is even boosted by the additional gravity provided by Milgromian dynamics. Because the host is more compact than the ring, it appears

---

4 In the context of investigated PRG models, large means larger than the size of the host galaxy.

5 We know this because in Newtonian dynamics the linearity of Poisson’s equation allows us to separate and linearly add the different potentials.
Figure 6. The rotation curves of all models of Sequences 1–4. The solid lines refer to Milgromian rotation velocities in the host galaxy and the long-dashed lines to the polar plane. In Sequence 1 also the Newtonian rotation curves are shown (dotted lines: host galaxy; short-dashed: PR; no dark matter halo). Sequence 1 features a host disc, a bulge and a PR. While the disc mass $M_{\text{disc}} = 11 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ is constant, the mass of the PR is varied from $M_{\text{PR}} = 0$ to 1.45 $M_{\text{disc}}$ (the bottom-left panel shows the benchmark model, see also Fig. 5). Sequence 2 is similar to Sequence 1 but is three times as dense and consequently massive ($M_{\text{disc}} = 33 \times 10^9 M_\odot$). Sequence 3 features a host disc, a bulge and a polar disc instead of a ring. Models of this sequence have a disc mass of $M_{\text{disc}} = 11 \times 10^9 M_\odot$; the mass of their polar component is again variable. Sequence 4 features a host disc, a bulge and a PR. Models of this sequence have a fixed total mass $M = 27.1 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and the size parameters of their PR components are variable.

more spherical to particles orbiting in the ring at large radii than the ring appears to particles orbiting in the host at the same radii. Hence, also in Milgromian dynamics, the closed orbits in the galactic disc are more eccentric than in the plane of the PR. This gives rise to lower line-of-sight velocities in the host for typical observed systems where the host and PRs are seen approximately edge-on.

In Sequence 1 (Fig. 6, first column), one can see that decreasing the PR mass compared to the host gradually cancels the above effect, because the gravity generated by the PR becomes more and more negligible when decreasing its mass. For the benchmark model, at a radius of less than approximately 6 kpc, test stars orbiting in the PR have smaller velocities than those at the same radius in the host disc, because they are close to or in the polar hole and the mass enclosed by their orbits is comparably small. This transition radius changes to larger radii when decreasing the PR mass, to gradually
arrive at the situation of no PR, where polar orbits have velocities systematically lower than those in the disc (equal at large radii).

The same effect is observed in Sequence 2 (Fig. 6, second column) for more massive systems. Note that the velocities in the host decrease with declining PR mass, due to the decreasing gravity of the PR, but less so than the velocities in the ring. The reason for this slower decrease is that the effect of decreased gravity is compensated by the effect of decreasing eccentricity for particles orbiting in the host.

On the other hand, in Sequence 3 (Fig. 6, third column), the rotation velocities are, at radii larger than 15 kpc, very similar in both planes, because of the special symmetry of these models. This emphasizes the role played by the hole at the centre of the ring in the other sequences.

Varying the form and size of the stellar and gaseous holes in the PR in Sequence 4 (Fig. 6, fourth column) shows that for a host and ring of comparable mass, the effect is quite generic in the presence of a hole.

The shapes of the rotation curves of Sequence 5 are all similar to the benchmark model, but their amplitude varies and is discussed in Section 6.3. Sequence 6 is a series of four different Milgromian potentials computed for the benchmark model for \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) in equation (2), and confirms that the qualitative results are independent of the \( \nu \)-function as illustrated in Fig. 7.

Let us finally note that if the host galaxy, here represented by a Miyamoto–Nagai disc, is replaced by a disc that falls off exponentially and therefore much faster, the host galaxy would appear more compact and the described effect would therefore be even stronger at small and intermediate radii, and unchanged at large radii.

### 6.3 Tully–Fisher relation

We compare our theoretically obtained rotation curves to the observed PRGs of Iodice et al. (2003), showing, in Fig. 8, the maximal rotational velocities of both the host and PR. This gives rise to a luminous Tully–Fisher relation. For the baryonic Tully–Fisher relation (BTFR), we note that Milgromian dynamics predicts \( V^2 = a_0 \cdot G \cdot M_b \) for spherical systems (McGaugh 2011), where \( V \) is the asymptotic circular velocity and \( M_b \) the baryonic mass. However, PRGs are not only non-spherical but also non-axisymmetric objects. Because of this, there is no iron-clad prediction for the BTFR in such objects: our models indicate that the hosts typically exhibit asymptotic velocities below this value of \( V \), while the PRs are closer to the prediction, but can typically also exceed this velocity.

In order to explore the relevant mass range in our models, the corresponding data points in absolute B-band magnitude versus rotation velocity are compared to the models of Sequence 5 (which varies the total mass), by assuming a mass-to-light ratio of \( M/M_L = 4 \) as in Combes & Arnaboldi (1996). We assume that the line width \( \Delta v_{20} = W_{20} \equiv 2v_{\text{max}} \) equals twice the maximum line-of-sight velocity.\(^6\)

From observations, the PRs are generally very extended and feature large quantities of H\(_2\) gas. The rotation curve in this polar plane can therefore observationally be measured even at large radii where it is very flat. Compared to the ring, the host galaxy is usually rather small and has relatively little gas. The rotation velocity in the host is generally obtained indirectly from the measured stellar velocity dispersion (Iodice et al. 2003, 2006; Iodice 2010), which means that the maximum velocity is most likely not measured in the very flat part and does therefore not equal the maximum velocity of the theoretical potential derived from the observed density distribution. To account for this issue, the theoretical rotation velocities from the models are computed at both \( r = 40 \) and 15 kpc, where \( v(r = 15 \text{ kpc}) \leq v(r = 40 \text{ kpc}) \) (see Fig. 5).

In Fig. 8, the circles show the rotation velocity measured in the hosts and the arrow heads the ones measured in the PRs, both for

---

\(^6\) Note that the observed line widths \( \Delta v_{20} \) are converted into velocities by assuming \( \Delta v_{20} = 2v_{\text{max}} \) (e.g. Verheijen 2001). Depending on line width broadening effects, the actual velocities may be systematically smaller than \( \Delta v_{20}/2 \). In the context of the theoretical data, this would imply that NGC 4650A had actually a smaller mass-to-light ratio.
some observed PRGs and for the models of Sequence 5 (circles and squares as per Fig. 5). We see that our models reproduce fairly well the observations, with velocities systematically larger in the rings, but also with comparable offsets. Since the benchmark model on which the sequences are based was inspired from the prototypical PRG galaxy NGC 4650A, it comes as no surprise that this PRG is best fitted by these models.

7 CONCLUSIONS
The conclusion from all the investigated models, and the bottom line of this study, is that Milgromian dynamics naturally predicts that rotation velocities would be higher in the PRs than in the hosts. This generically happens when the ring is more extended than the host and of comparable mass and both are observed approximately edge-on. It does not apply to faint PRs, or to PRs of similar radial size as the host. Given the wide range of model parameters covered within this study, this general result appears quite robust in Milgromian dynamics. What is more, the magnitude of the velocity offset predicted by the models is also comparable to the observed one (see Fig. 8). We however did not attempt to precisely fit the full rotation curves of individual PRGs, which will be the subject of future work, based on observations performed on a sample of 10 such systems at the WSRT.7 These and other upcoming precise measurements of rotation curves of individual PRGs [e.g. the future measurements announced in Iodice (2010)] should thus allow more stringent tests, and these will become benchmark objects with which to test gravity in the coming years.
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