biblio.ugent.be

The UGent Institutional Repository is the electronic archiving and dissemination platform for all UGent research publications. Ghent University has implemented a mandate stipulating that all academic publications of UGent researchers should be deposited and archived in this repository. Except for items where current copyright restrictions apply, these papers are available in Open Access.

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Title:

Divergent strategy for the synthesis of alpha-aryl-substituted fosmidomycin analogues

Author(s): Vincent Devreux, J Wiesner, H Jomaa, J Rozenski, Johan Van der Eycken and Serge Van Calenbergh

Source: JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY (2007), 72(10), 3783-3789, **DOI:** 10.1021/jo0700981

Divergent Strategy for the Synthesis of α -Aryl Substituted Fosmidomycin Analogues

*Vincent Devreux,^{†,‡} Jochen Wiesner,[§] Hassan Jomaa,[§] Jef Rozenski,^{||} Johan Van der Eycken,
[‡] and Serge Van Calenbergh^{†,*}*

[†] Laboratory for Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ghent University,
Belgium,

[‡] Laboratory of Organic and Bioorganic Chemistry, Department of Organic Chemistry,
Faculty of Sciences, Ghent University, Belgium,

[§] Universitätsklinikum Giessen und Marburg, Institut für Klinische Chemie und
Pathobiochemie, Germany,

^{||} Laboratory for Medicinal Chemistry, REGA institute, Catholic University of Leuven,
Belgium.

AUTHOR EMAIL ADDRESS Serge.VanCalenbergh@UGent.be

**RECEIVED DATE (to be automatically inserted after your manuscript is accepted if
required according to the journal that you are submitting your paper to)**

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR FOOTNOTE

[†] Harelbekestraat 72, B-9000 Gent, Belgium. Phone: +32 9 264 81 24. Fax + 32 9 264 81 46

[‡] Krijgslaan 281 (S.4), B-9000 Gent, Belgium.

[§] Gaffkystrasse 11, 35392 Giessen, Germany.

^{||} Minderbroedersstraat 10, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium.

Table of contents graphic.

Abstract. Fosmidomycin is the first representative of a new class of antimalarial drugs acting through inhibition of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate (DOXP) reductoisomerase (DXR), an essential enzyme in the non-mevalonate pathway for the synthesis of isoprenoids. This work describes a divergent strategy for the synthesis of a series of α -aryl substituted fosmidomycin analogues, featuring a palladium-catalyzed Stille coupling as the key step. An α -(4-cyanophenyl)fosmidomycin analogue emerged as the most potent analogue in the present series. Its antimalarial activity clearly surpasses that of the reference compound fosmidomycin.

Introduction. According to the WHO, malaria still causes 1.5 to 2 million casualties in Africa alone, amongst which mainly children. One of the major reasons for the spreading of the disease is the growing resistance of *Plasmodium falciparum*, the parasite species responsible for the most of the diagnosed cases, towards almost every antimalarial drug currently available. Therefore, the discovery of novel inhibitors targeting new biochemical pathways is of high priority.

In 1980, Okuhura and colleagues reported the first isolation of fosmidomycin (**1**), a structurally simple antibiotic from *Streptomyces lavendulae*.^{1,2}

In 1998, 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate (DOXP) reductoisomerase, an enzyme involved in the 2C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway for the biosynthesis of isoprenoids and absent in humans, was identified as the molecular target for fosmidomycin.^{3,4}

Fosmidomycin was found to be a potent inhibitor of DOXP reductoisomerase (DXR) of *P. falciparum*.⁴ FR900098 (**2**), the acetyl congener of fosmidomycin, was shown to be approximately twice as active against *P. falciparum* in vitro, as well as in a *P. vinckei* mouse model.⁴

Due to this promising antimalarial activity, fosmidomycin received considerable attention, and recent clinical trials conducted in Gabon and Thailand confirmed the potential of fosmidomycin as an antimalarial drug.^{5,6}

These important discoveries stimulated research on the chemical synthesis of fosmidomycin analogues. Structural changes of fosmidomycin and FR900098 could provide essential information on the structure-activity relationship and produce improved leads for the treatment of malaria. Mostly, attention was focused on the modification of the phosphonate and hydroxamate moieties, yielding, on one hand, various phosphonate prodrugs aimed to enhance the oral bioavailability, and on the other hand, modifications of the retrohydroxamate moiety into a hydroxamic acid, amongst others.⁷⁻¹¹ Structural variations of the three-carbon spacer, however, are scarce. Our group recently reported the synthesis of conformationally restricted analogues in which the carbon spacer was part of a cyclopropyl or cyclopentyl ring.^{12,13}

Up to date, the most promising modifications of the carbon spacer consist of the introduction of an aryl substituent in α -position of fosmidomycin or FR900098.¹⁴ Recently, we identified the α -3,4-dichlorophenyl substituted fosmidomycin analogue which exhibits a 12 times stronger *in vitro* antimalarial activity (Dd2 and 3D7 strains of *P. falciparum*) compared to fosmidomycin. Lately, Kurz and coworkers evaluated the effect of α -substituents on pivaloyloxymethyl ester prodrugs of fosmidomycin and FR900098.^{15,16} The α -methyl and phenyl analogues proved almost equipotent and the 3,4-difluorophenyl analogue slightly better than the FR900098 prodrug in inhibiting growth of a 3D7 *P. falciparum* strain.¹⁵

Incorporation of an arylmethyl moiety in α -position generally resulted in a marked decrease in activity.¹⁶ Unfortunately, the strategy used to synthesize the α -aryl substituted analogues involved a *de novo* synthetic approach, which permitted only to synthesize a limited number of examples. To further explore the structure-activity relationship of this class, a strategy

involving the incorporation of the aryl moiety at a later stage in the synthesis would be desirable.

Results and discussion. Here we describe a highly efficient divergent synthesis of a series of α -aryl substituted fosmidomycin analogues **3-5**, involving the α -tributylstannyl derivative **6** as a key intermediate (Chart 1). The vinylic tributyltin functionality of this intermediate was subjected to a palladium(0)-catalyzed Stille coupling with a variety of commercially available or readily synthesized aryl iodides.

Chart 1. Target fosmidomycin and FR900098 analogues and retrosynthetic plan involving key intermediate **6**.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of α -aryl substituted N-acetyl fosmidomycin analogues.

"Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) *n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C; (ii) (EtO)₂P(O)Cl; HOAc; (iii) *p*-TsOH, MeOH, rt; (b) NsNHOBn, DEAD, PPh₃, THF, rt; (c) Bu₃SnH, Pd(PPh₃)₄, THF, 0 °C; (d) Ar-I, Pd₂dba₃.CHCl₃, (2-furyl)₃P, CuI, NMP, rt; (e) (i) PhSH, K₂CO₃, MeCN, DMSO, 70 °C; (ii) Ac₂O; (f) H₂, Pd/C, Na₂CO₃, THF, rt; (g) BCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, -50 °C; (h) TMSBr, MeCN, rt; C-18 RP-HPLC; (i) TMSBr, MeCN, rt; NH₄OH_(aq); CF11-cellulose chromatography

The synthesis of said key intermediate **6** started with the conversion of the THP-protected propargyl alcohol **7** to diethyl (3-hydroxypropynyl)-phosphonate (**8**) in a yield of 64%.¹⁷ Subsequently, the hydroxyl group of compound **8** was displaced by a protected hydroxylamino moiety under Mitsunobu conditions. Nucleophilic substitution of the

corresponding tosylate proved unsuccessful, due to 1,4-addition of the nucleophile to the alkynyl phosphonate. Although extensive examples of successful Mitsunobu couplings are found in literature using carbamoyl-protected hydroxylamines,^{18,19} introduction of a protected hydroxylamine moiety only succeeded using N-benzyloxy-2-nitrobenzenesulfonamide.²⁰ Indeed, the 2-nitrobenzenesulfonamide (or nosyl/Ns) moiety effectively enhances the acidity of the proton on the nitrogen, whereas in the case of the Boc-protected hydroxylamine, its acidity is not sufficient for reaction to occur.

Subsequently, a palladium(0)-catalyzed addition of Bu₃SnH to the triple bond of compound **9** afforded 1-tributylstannyl-propenylphosphonate **6** as a single isomer in an excellent yield of 90%. The *E*-geometry was assigned based on the ³*J*_{PH} coupling constant in the ¹H NMR spectrum (62.7 Hz), which is in accordance with the large coupling constant typically found for a vinylic proton *trans* to a phosphonate.²¹ Optimization of the Stille coupling on the organotin derivative **6** afforded compounds **10f-g** in good yields using a combination of Pd₂dba₃.CHCl₃, tri(2-furyl)phosphine and anhydrous CuI in NMP.²² Under these conditions, apparently no homo-coupling of **6** was detected and the geometry of the double bond was retained. Removal of the nosyl group of **10f-g** with thiophenol and K₂CO₃ followed by *in situ* acylation, however, provided compounds **12f-g** in rather disappointing yields.²³ Apparently, the specific conditions used for the deprotection of the nosyl group are not fully compatible with the (substituents on the) aryl moiety. Therefore, we investigated if steps d and e could be interchanged. An additional advantage of moving the nosyl deprotection step forward in the synthesis is that it enhances the divergent character of the sequence. Thus, compound **6** was first deprotected and *in situ* acylated in excellent yield. Subsequent Stille coupling on the organotin compound **11** using the above-mentioned conditions led to compounds **12a-h** in good to excellent yields. The method proves highly compatible with a wide range of diverse functionalities, including heteroaromatic rings (**12g-h**). The current methodology requires

the use of aryl iodides, since aryl bromides only induced homo-coupling of **6**. 4-Iodobenzamide, required for preparing **12c**, is not commercially available, but was readily synthesized from 4-iodobenzonitrile.

Reduction of the α,β -double bond, combined with removal of the benzyl protecting group on compounds **12a-f**, was accomplished using palladium on carbon (10% Pd/C) and anhydrous Na_2CO_3 in dry THF under slightly positive atmospheric H_2 -pressure. Reaction times varied from 3 to 14 h to afford compounds **13a-d,i-j** in moderate to excellent yields. During this hydrogenation step little or no deoxygenation of the hydroxamic acid was observed, in contrast to previously reported N-O bond cleavage during catalytic hydrogenation.¹³ In case of the conversion of compound **12b**, short reaction times were necessary to prevent reduction of the nitrile substituent on the phenyl ring. Reduction of the nitro group in compounds **12e-f** was unavoidable, resulting in amino-compounds **13i-j**. Furthermore, reduction of the 2-thienyl (**12g**) and 3-thienyl (**12h**) compounds was successfully accomplished only when using more than 1 equivalent of Pd/C. Presumably the sulphur atom in the thiophene ring is poisoning the catalyst. Remarkably, simultaneous removal of the benzyl protecting group was not observed for these thienyl compounds, yielding the *O*-protected saturated compounds **14g-h**. Subsequent deprotection of the benzyl protecting group with BCl_3 afforded compounds **13g-h** in good to excellent yields. Finally, the phosphonate ester groups of the α -aryl FR900098 analogues **13a-d,g-j** were cleaved with TMSBr . Two different methods for purification of the final phosphonic acid compounds were used. The first, more traditional method involves removal of the solvent, addition of water, lyophilisation of the solution and purification of the solids via reversed phase chromatography. This method was used for the purification of compounds **3a,d,i-j** but suffers from some serious drawbacks. First, the resulting phosphonic acids are only sparingly soluble in water or MeOH. Second, the C-18 reversed phase column used for purification strongly absorbs the phosphonic acids **3a,d,i-j**, resulting in a low

recovery. This pronounced retention was not observed for more polar fosmidomycin analogues,¹² hence it could be correlated to the affinity of the aryl moiety for the stationary phase of the HPLC column. Third, the C-18 HPLC column used only allows for a maximum loading of 30 mg of crude material each time, which is very time consuming for the purification of larger amounts of crude analogues. Therefore, a second methodology was investigated, comprising removal of the solvent, addition of water followed by dropwise addition of a 5% aqueous NH₄OH solution until pH 8-9 was reached. Subsequently, the solution was lyophilised and the product was purified via column chromatography using Whatman CF11-cellulose.²⁴ LC-MS analysis of the crude solids before chromatographic purification only showed NH₄Br (resulting from a reaction of TMSBr with NH₄OH) as a contaminant. The CF11-cellulose proved very effective in separating this contaminant from compounds **4b-c,g-h**, which were isolated in high yields due to the low affinity for the stationary phase. Additionally the resulting bis-ammonium salts of the α -aryl phosphonates showed excellent solubility in water.

Table 1. Inhibition of recombinant *E. coli* DXR by analogues 3, 4 and 5.

Compound	Ar	R	R'	IC ₅₀ (μM)
3a	(4-CF ₃)Ph	CH ₃	H	0.360
4b	(4-CN)Ph	CH ₃	NH ₄	0.119
4c	(4-CONH ₂)Ph	CH ₃	NH ₄	2.84
3d	(4-SO ₂ NH ₂)Ph	CH ₃	H	0.318
4g	2-thienyl	CH ₃	NH ₄	0.603
4h	3-thienyl	CH ₃	NH ₄	0.483
3i	(3-NH ₂)Ph	CH ₃	H	1.45
3j	(4-NH ₂)Ph	CH ₃	H	3.60

5	(4-CN)Ph	H	NH ₄	0.054
Fosmidomycin (1)	H	H	Na	0.056

Because of the difficulties associated with the handling of *P. falciparum* DXR, we investigated the ability of the synthesized α -aryl substituted FR900098 analogues **3a,d,i-j** and **4b-c,g-h** to inhibit the highly homologous recombinant *E. coli* DXR. The conversion of DOXP to MEP by the enzyme was determined in an assay based on the NADPH dependency of the reaction.²⁵ With respect to the slow, tight-binding properties of fosmidomycin and probably most of its derivatives the inhibitors were pre-incubated with the enzyme and NADPH before the reaction was started by the addition of DOXP.^{7,11,26} The results are summarized in Table 1. Compared to fosmidomycin, all synthesized analogues were found to be weaker inhibitors of *E. coli* DXR. Analysis of the order of DXR inhibitory potency (**4b** > **3d** > **3a** > **3j**) suggests that activity is Hammett σ^+ -controlled (4-CN > 4-SO₂NH₂ > 4-CF₃ > 4-NH₂). A similar trend was observed for the previously reported α -phenyl substituted analogues.¹⁴ The weak inhibitory activity of the *p*-carbamoylphenyl analogue **4c** of *p*-carbamoylphenyl analogue **4c** illustrates that the activity pattern is not exclusively Hammett σ^+ -controlled. Also, incorporation of a 2- or 3-thienyl moiety in analogues (**4g-h**) negatively affects the inhibitory potency.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of α -aryl substituted fosmidomycin analogue **5**.

“Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) PhSH, K₂CO₃, MeCN, DMSO, 70 °C; (ii) 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole, HCOOH, 0 °C; (b) 4-iodobenzonitrile, Pd₂dba₃.CHCl₃, (2-furyl)₃P, CuI, NMP, rt; (c) H₂, Pd/C, Na₂CO₃, THF, rt; (d) TMSBr, MeCN, rt; NH₄OH_(aq); CF11-cellulose chromatography

In previous studies, the α -aryl substituted N-formyl-fosmidomycin analogues were generally superior DXR inhibitors as compared to their N-acetyl counterparts. Hence, we decided to synthesize the N-formyl congener of **4b**, the most potent N-acetyl analogue in the present study. Towards the synthesis of **5** the above-mentioned strategy proved equally useful upon substituting *in situ* N-acetylation for N-formylation, using a mixture of HCOOH and 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole.¹³ (Scheme 2)

In the enzyme assay (Table 1), compound **5** was approximately twofold more active than its acetyl congener **4b** and equally active to fosmidomycin (**1**). More importantly, an *in vitro* antimalarial assay using intraerythrocytic stages of the *P. falciparum* D2d strain, proved that the activities of **4b** (IC₅₀ = 0.27 μ M) and **5** (IC₅₀ = 0.27 μ M) surpass the activity of fosmidomycin (IC₅₀ = 1.1 μ M) and even FR900098 (IC₅₀ = 0.49 μ M) to inhibit parasite growth.

In conclusion, a highly accessible, divergent procedure for the preparation of α -aryl-substituted fosmidomycin analogues **3**, **4** and **5** with varying substituents was developed using a palladium(0)-catalyzed Stille coupling as a key step. The required organotin precursor **6** was prepared regio- and stereoselectively in four steps from protected propargyl alcohol **7**, using a Pd(0)-catalyzed addition of tributyltin hydride as a key step. Two compounds (**4b** and **5**), both possessing an α -4-cyanophenyl group, exhibited a promising *in vitro* inhibitory activity for recombinant *E. coli* DXR comparable to that of fosmidomycin, and were even found to show a higher activity than fosmidomycin in an *in vitro* test against *P. falciparum* D2d strain.

Experimental section

Diethyl 3-hydroxy-propynylphosphonate (8). A 1.6 M *n*-BuLi in hexanes (47.6 mL, 71.3 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of tetrahydro-2-(2-propynyloxy)-2*H*-pyran (**7**, 10 g, 71.3 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) at $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. After stirring for 30 min at the same temperature, a solution of diethylchlorophosphate (11.4 mL, 78.5 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) was added dropwise, after which the mixture was stirred for another 30 min at $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH_4Cl (100 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with Et_2O (100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried on anhydrous MgSO_4 , filtered and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residual oil was dissolved in MeOH (200 mL), *p*-TsOH (1.36 g, 7.13 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at rt. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residual oil was purified via column chromatography (pentane/acetone: 3/2) yielding 8.75 g of a colorless oil (64%). R_f 0.30 (pentane/acetone: 3/2); ^1H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.36 (6H, td, $J = 7.1$ and 0.6 Hz), 2.89 (1H, br s), 4.16 (4H, dq, $J = 8.3$ and 7.0 Hz), 4.35 (2H, d, $J = 3.7$ Hz); ^{13}C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 16.0 ($-\text{CH}_3$, $^3J_{\text{PC}} = 7.1$ Hz), 50.6 ($-\text{OCH}_2$, $^3J_{\text{PC}} = 4.4$ Hz), 63.5 ($-\text{OCH}_2$, $^2J_{\text{PC}} = 5.5$ Hz), 76.3 ($\equiv\text{C-P}$, $^1J_{\text{PC}} = 298.6$ Hz), 100.0 ($\equiv\text{C}$, $^2J_{\text{PC}} = 50.5$ Hz); ^{31}P NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ -6.7 ; ESMS m/z 193 ($[\text{M}] + \text{H}^+$).

Diethyl 3-[N-benzyloxy,N-(2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl)amino]-propynylphosphonate (9). To a stirred solution of alcohol **8** (6.58 g, 34.2 mmol), N-benzyloxy,N-(2-nitrobenzene)sulfonamide (11.5 g, 37.3 mmol) and PPh_3 (9.8 g, 37.3 mmol) in dry THF (180 mL) a solution of DEAD (6.91 mL 85%, 37.3 mmol) in dry THF (90 mL) was added over 1 h at rt. The mixture was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residual oil was purified via column chromatography (column 1:

pentane/CH₂Cl₂/acetone: 2/7/1, column 2: Et₂O → CH₂Cl₂/acetone: 1/1), yielding 11.7 g of a thick amber oil (71%). *R_f* 0.40 (pentane/CH₂Cl₂/acetone: 2/7/1); ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.29 (6H, dt, *J* = 7.1 and 0.6 Hz), 3.99-4.06 (4H, m), 4.18 (2H, d, *J* = 3.9 Hz), 5.15 (2H, s), 7.36-7.45 (5H, m), 7.56 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.8 and 1.2 Hz), 7.67 (1H, app dt, *J* = 7.7 and 1.3 Hz), 7.78 (1H, app dt, *J* = 7.7 and 1.5 Hz), 8.04 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.9 and 1.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 16.0 (CH₃, ⁴*J_{PC}* = 7.2 Hz), 43.1 (-NCH₂, ³*J_{PC}* = 5.5 Hz), 63.3 (-OCH₂, ³*J_{PC}* = 5.5 Hz), 76.1 (≡C-P, ¹*J_{PC}* = 293.7 Hz), 80.6 (-OCH₂), 91.9 (≡C, ²*J_{PC}* = 51.0 Hz), 123.9 (=CH), 126.0 (=C), 128.7 (=CH), 129.2 (=CH), 129.9 (=CH), 131.4 (=CH), 133.2 (=CH), 134.2 (=C), 135.6 (=CH), 149.5 (=C); ³¹P NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.2; Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for C₂₀H₂₃N₂O₈PS ([M]+H⁺) 483.09911; found 483.09654.

Diethyl (*E*)-3-[N-(benzyloxy),N-(2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl)amino]-1-(tributylstannanyl)-propenylphosphonate (6). To a cooled (0 °C) solution of **9** (10.4 g, 21.6 mmol) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (474 mg, 0.430 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) Bu₃SnH (6.28 g, 21.6 mmol) was added over a period of 40 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then allowed to warm to room temperature in 2 h. The solvent was removed and the crude oil was purified by column chromatography (pentane/acetone: 3/1), yielding 15 g of an amber oil (90%). *R_f* 0.31 (pentane/acetone: 3/1); ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.87 (9H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 0.93-0.98 (6H, m), 1.19 (6H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 1.26-1.35 (6H, m), 1.42-1.52 (6H, m), 3.88-3.95 (4H, m), 4.39-4.41 (2H, m), 5.06 (2H, s), 6.53 (1H, dt, *J* = 62.7 and 5.5 Hz), 7.33-7.35 (5H, m), 7.54 (1H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.62 (1H, app t, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 7.71 (1H, app t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 8.04 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.8 (-CH₂), 13.6 (-CH₃), 27.3 (-CH₂), 28.8 (-CH₂), 55.2 (-NCH₂, ³*J_{PC}* = 13.8 Hz), 61.1 (-OCH₂, ³*J_{PC}* = 5.5 Hz), 80.2 (-OCH₂), 123.8 (=CH), 126.6 (=C), 128.5 (=CH), 128.9 (=CH), 129.6 (=CH), 131.1 (=CH), 132.6 (=CH), 136.2 (=C), 136.4 (=C-P, ¹*J_{PC}* = 247.6 Hz), 149.8 (=C), 152.1 (=CH, ²*J_{PC}* = 1.7

Hz); ^{31}P NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 21.4; Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{51}\text{N}_2\text{O}_8\text{PSSn}$ ($[\text{M}, ^{120}\text{Sn}] + \text{Na}^+$) 797.20235; found 797.19986.

Diethyl 3-[N-benzyloxy,N-(2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl)amino]-1-(4-nitrophenyl)-propenylphosphonate (10f). (2-furyl) $_3\text{P}$ (12 mg, 0.051 mmol) was added to a solution of $\text{Pd}_2\text{dba}_3 \cdot \text{CHCl}_3$ (6 mg, 0.0065 mmol) in anhydrous NMP (2 mL) and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt. A solution of **6** (216 mg, 0.280 mmol) and 1-iodo-4-nitrobenzene (62 mg, 0.249 mmol) in anhydrous NMP (5 mL) was added dropwise over a 15 min-period. After the addition of anhydrous CuI (46 mg, 0.280 mmol), the reaction mixture was stirred overnight protected from light. EtOAc (100 mL) was added and the organic layer was washed 3 times with 5% NH_4OH (10 mL) and 3 times with saturated aqueous NaCl (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 , filtered and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residual oil was purified by column chromatography (pentane/ CH_2Cl_2 /acetone: 3/1/1) yielding 98 mg of a pale yellow oil (58%). R_f 0.48 (pentane/acetone: 1/1); ^1H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.16 (6H, t, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 3.92 (2H, ddq, $J = 10.4, 8.4$ and 7.1 Hz), 4.01 (2H, ddq, $J = 10.3, 8.1$ and 7.0 Hz), 4.47 (2H, dd, $J = 5.7$ and 3.3 Hz), 5.01 (2H, s), 6.05 (1H, dt, $J = 46.0$ and 6.0 Hz), 7.16-7.33 (7H, m), 7.61 (1H, dd, $J = 7.7$ and 1.4 Hz), 7.72 (1H, app dt, $J = 7.7$ and 1.4 Hz), 7.79 (1H, app dt, $J = 7.7$ and 1.5 Hz), 8.1 (1H, dd, $J = 8.0$ and 1.4 Hz), 8.14 (2H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz); ^{13}C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 16.1 ($-\text{CH}_3$, $^3J_{\text{PC}} = 6.6$ Hz), 53.1 ($-\text{NCH}_2$, $^3J_{\text{PC}} = 5.4$ Hz), 62.3 ($-\text{OCH}_2$, $^3J_{\text{PC}} = 6.0$ Hz), 79.6 ($-\text{OCH}_2$), 123.4 ($=\text{CH}$), 123.9 ($=\text{CH}$), 126.0 ($=\text{C}$), 128.5 ($=\text{CH}$), 128.8 ($=\text{CH}$, $^3J_{\text{PC}} = 1.1$ Hz), 129.0 ($=\text{CH}$), 130.1 ($=\text{CH}$), 131.3 ($=\text{CH}$), 132.6 ($=\text{C-P}$, $^1J_{\text{PC}} = 176.2$ Hz), 132.7 ($=\text{CH}$), 134.8 ($=\text{C}$), 135.2 ($=\text{CH}$), 145.3 ($=\text{C}$, $^2J_{\text{PC}} = 10.2$ Hz), 145.8 ($=\text{CH}$, $^2J_{\text{PC}} = 8.2$ Hz), 147.3 ($=\text{C}$, $^5J_{\text{PC}} = 1.1$ Hz), 149.9 ($=\text{C}$); ^{31}P NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 13.2; Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_3\text{O}_{10}\text{PS}$ ($[\text{M}] + \text{H}^+$) 606.13113; found 606.13014.

Diethyl (*E*)-3-[N-acetyl,N-(benzyloxy)amino]-1-(tributylstannyl)-propenylphosphonate (11). To a stirred solution of **6** (15 g, 19.4 mmol) and anhydrous K₂CO₃ (10.8 g, 77.8 mmol) in MeCN (500 mL) containing dry DMSO (10 mL) was added PhSH (6 mL, 58.3 mmol) over a 30 min-period at 70 °C. After stirring for 1 h at the same temperature, Ac₂O (18.3 mL, 195 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred for another 30 min. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂; the combined organic fractions were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The residual oil was purified via column chromatography (pentane/CH₂Cl₂/acetone: 2/1/1) yielding 11 g of a yellow oil (90%). *R*_f 0.35 (pentane/CH₂Cl₂/acetone: 3/1/1); ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.85 (9H, t, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 0.94-0.99 (6H, m), 1.22-1.34 (12H, m), 1.41-1.51 (6H, m), 2.07 (3H, s), 3.94-4.11 (4H, m), 4.86 (2H, s), 4.89-4.99 (2H, m), 6.48 (1H, dt, *J* = 63.5 and 5.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.6 (-CH₂), 13.6 (-CH₃), 16.4 (-CH₃, ³*J*_{PC} = 6.6 Hz), 20.5 (-CH₃), 27.3 (-CH₂), 28.7 (-CH₂), 57.1 (-NCH₂), 61.1 (-OCH₂, ²*J*_{PC} = 5.5 Hz), 76.0 (-OCH₂), 128.6 (=CH), 128.9 (=CH), 129.5 (=CH), 134.4 (=C), 135.1 (=C-P, ¹*J*_{PC} = 133.4 Hz), 155.0 (=CH), 172.1 (N-C=O); Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for C₂₈H₅₀NO₅PSn ([M, ¹²⁰Sn]+H⁺) 632.25269; found 632.25282.

Diethyl (Z)-3-[N-acetyl,N-(benzyloxy)amino]-1-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-propenylphosphonate (12a). (2-furyl)₃P (27.2 mg, 0.116 mmol) was added to a solution of Pd₂dba₃.CHCl₃ (13.6 mg, 0.0149 mmol) in anhydrous NMP (3 mL) and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. A solution of **11** (400 mg, 0.634 mmol) and 1-iodo-4-trifluoromethylbenzene (154 mg, 0.566 mmol) in anhydrous NMP (5 mL) was added over a period of 15 min. After the addition of anhydrous CuI (104 mg, 0.634 mmol) the reaction mixture was stirred overnight protected from light. EtOAc (200 mL) was added and the organic layer was washed 3 times with 5% NH₄OH (24 mL) and 3 times with saturated

aqueous NaCl (24 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The residual oil was purified by column chromatography (pentane/acetone: 55/45) to yield 228 mg of a pale yellow oil (88%). R_f 0.43 (pentane/acetone: 3/2); ^1H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.24 (6H, t, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 2.09 (3H, s), 3.98-4.18 (4H, m), 4.91 (2H, s), 5.06 (2H, dd, $J = 5.8$ and 2.9 Hz), 6.46 (1H, dt, $J = 47.1$ and 6.4 Hz), 7.35-7.41 (5H, m), 7.43 (2H, d, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 7.56 (2H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz); ^{13}C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 16.2 ($-\text{CH}_3$, $^3J_{PC} = 6.6$ Hz), 20.5 ($-\text{CH}_3$), 45.3 ($-\text{NCH}_2$), 62.2 ($-\text{OCH}_2$, $^2J_{PC} = 5.5$ Hz), 76.4 ($-\text{OCH}_2$), 123.9 ($-\text{CF}_3$, q, $^1J_{FC} = 286.5$ Hz), 128.5 ($=\text{CH}$, q, $^3J_{FC} = 3.4$ Hz), 128.7 ($=\text{CH}$), 129.0 ($=\text{CH}$), 129.4 ($=\text{CH}$), 129.8 ($=\text{C}$, dq, $^2J_{FC} = 32.0$ Hz, $^5J_{PC} = 1.1$ Hz), 132.9 ($=\text{C}-\text{P}$, $^1J_{PC} = 196.5$ Hz), 134.0 ($=\text{C}$), 142.5 ($=\text{C}$, $^2J_{PC} = 11.5$ Hz), 147.4 ($=\text{CH}$, $^2J_{PC} = 10.5$ Hz), 172.6 ($\text{N}-\text{C}=\text{O}$); ^{31}P NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 14.3; ESMS m/z 486 ($[\text{M}] + \text{H}^+$).

Diethyl 3-[N-acetyl,N-(hydroxy)amino]-1-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-propylphosphonate (13a). To a solution of **12a** (266 mg, 0.547 mmol) in dry THF (8.5 mL) was added anhydrous Na_2CO_3 (174 mg, 1.64 mmol) and Pd/C (133 mg, 10%). The suspension was hydrogenated at room temperature and at a slightly positive atmospheric pressure for 4 h. The catalyst was removed by filtration through celite, which was washed with portions of CH_2Cl_2 . The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residual oil was purified by column chromatography ($\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$: 94/6) yielding a colorless oil (85%). R_f 0.29 ($\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{acetone}$: 1/1); ^1H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.19 (3H, t, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 1.23 (3H, t, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 2.12 (3H, s), 2.14-2.61 (2H, m), 3.18 (1H, dt, $J = 23.1$ and 6.1 Hz), 3.36 (1H, dt, $J = 14.2$ and 4.8 Hz), 3.44-4.02 (5H, m), 7.40 (2H, d, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 7.57 (2H, d, $J = 7.9$ Hz), 9.44 (1H, br s); ^{13}C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 16.2 ($-\text{CH}_3$, $^3J_{PC} = 6.0$ Hz), 20.5 ($-\text{CH}_3$), 27.9 ($-\text{CH}_2$, $^2J_{PC} = 2.2$ Hz), 41.0 (P-CH, minor, $^1J_{PC} = 139.9$ Hz), 41.8 (P-CH, major, $^1J_{PC} = 137.2$ Hz), 46.2 ($-\text{NCH}_2$, major, $^3J_{PC} = 10.4$ Hz), 46.5 ($-\text{NCH}_2$, minor, $^3J_{PC} = 15.9$ Hz), 62.8 ($-\text{OCH}_2$, $^2J_{PC} = 7.2$ Hz), 63.2 ($-\text{OCH}_2$, $^2J_{PC} = 7.7$ Hz), 121.3 ($-\text{CF}_3$, q, $^1J_{FC} = 273.2$ Hz), 125.5

(=CH), 129.5 (=CH, $^3J_{PC}$ = 6.6 Hz), 129.6 (=C, dq, $^2J_{FC}$ = 33.2 Hz, $^5J_{PC}$ = 2.2 Hz), 140.8 (=C, $^2J_{PC}$ = 7.7 Hz), 172.5 (N-C=O); ^{31}P NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 26.6, 28.7 (minor, major); Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{23}\text{F}_3\text{NO}_5\text{P}$ ($[\text{M}] + \text{H}^+$) 398.13443; found 398.13310.

Diethyl 3-[N-acetyl,N-(benzyloxy)amino]-1-(thien-2-yl)-propylphosphonate (14g). The title compound was prepared according to the procedure described for **13a**, but using 1.2 eq of 10% Pd/C, resulting in a thick grey oil (50%). R_f 0.44 (pentane/acetone: 1/1); ^1H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl_3) 1.15 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.26 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.03 (3H, s), 2.12-2.26 (1H, m), 2.40-2.54 (1H, m), 3.39 (1H, ddd, J = 22.9, 11.3 and 3.7 Hz), 3.52 (1H, ddd, J = 14.3, 8.5 and 4.9 Hz), 3.66-3.73 (1H, m), 3.77-4.12 (4H, m), 4.71 (1H, br s), 4.81 (1H, br s), 6.95-7.00 (2H, m), 7.20-7.23 (1H, m), 7.29-7.27 (5H, m); ^{13}C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 16.3 (-CH₃, $^3J_{PC}$ = 6.0 Hz), 16.4 (-CH₃, $^3J_{PC}$ = 6.0 Hz), 20.5 (-CH₃), 28.5 (-CH₂, $^2J_{PC}$ = 1.7 Hz), 33.6 (P-CH, minor, $^1J_{PC}$ = 139.8 Hz), 37.5 (P-CH, major, $^1J_{PC}$ = 143.8 Hz), 43.7 (-NCH₂), 61.5 (-OCH₂, $^2J_{PC}$ = 6.6 Hz, major), 61.6 (-OCH₂, $^2J_{PC}$ = 6.6 Hz, minor), 62.3 (-OCH₂, $^2J_{PC}$ = 7.1 Hz, major), 62.9 (-OCH₂, $^2J_{PC}$ = 7.1 Hz, minor), 76.2 (-OCH₂, major), 76.4 (-OCH₂, minor), 124.8 (=CH, $^5J_{PC}$ = 2.8 Hz), 126.9 (=CH, $^3J_{PC}$ = 3.3 Hz), 127.0 (=CH, $^4J_{PC}$ = 8.2 Hz), 128.7 (=CH), 128.9 (=CH), 129.2 (=CH), 134.4 (=CH), 137.4 (=C, $^2J_{PC}$ = 8.8 Hz), 171.2 (N-C=O); ^{31}P NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 25.9; Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{28}\text{NO}_5\text{PS}$ ($[\text{M}] + \text{H}^+$) 426.15041; found 426.14882.

Diethyl 3-[N-acetyl,N-(hydroxy)amino]-1-(thien-2-yl)-propylphosphonate (13g). A 1 M solution of BCl_3 in hexanes (1.6 mL, 1.60 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of **14g** (169 mg, 0.397 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (7 mL) at -50°C . After stirring for 30 min at -50°C , the reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO_3 (10 mL) and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , dried over anhydrous MgSO_4 , filtered and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residual oil was

purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH: 94/6) to give the title compound as a thick grey oil (69%). *R_f* 0.19 (CH₂Cl₂/Acetone: 1/1); ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.15-1.34 (6H, m), 2.14 (3H, s) 2.17-2.60 (2H, m), 3.46 (1H, dt, *J* = 22.8 and 5.3 Hz), 3.61-4.12 (6H, m), 6.95-7.02 (2H, m), 7.20-7.22 (1H, m), 9.42 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 16.3 (-CH₃, ³*J_{PC}* = 5.4 Hz), 20.6 (-CH₃), 29.6 (-CH₂, major, ²*J_{PC}* = 3.3 Hz), 29.7 (-CH₂, minor, ²*J_{PC}* = 3.3 Hz), 37.1 (P-CH, ¹*J_{PC}* = 142.2 Hz), 46.3 (-NCH₂), 62.9 (-OCH₂, ²*J_{PC}* = 6.0 Hz), 63.4 (-OCH₂, ²*J_{PC}* = 7.7 Hz), 124.9 (=CH), 127.0 (=CH, ⁴*J_{PC}* = 7.5 Hz), 127.2 (=CH), 138.1 (=C), 172.5 (N-C=O); ³¹P NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.6, 28.0 (minor, major); Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for C₁₃H₂₂NO₅PS ([M]⁺+Na⁺) 358.08540; found 358.08417.

3-[N-acetyl,N-(hydroxy)amino]-1-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-propylphosphonic acid (3a). To a solution of **13a** (150 mg, 0.377 mmol) in dry MeCN (3.8 mL) was added dropwise TMSBr (497 μL, 3.77 mmol) at rt and the mixture was stirred for 2 days at rt. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the remaining traces of TMSBr were removed under high vacuum (0.05 mbar). The residual oil was dissolved in 2 mL of distilled water and lyophilized. The resulting solid was purified via reversed phase HPLC using a 5 mM NH₄OAc solution for 5 min followed by a gradient elution of 5 mM NH₄OAc solution to MeCN in 15 min. The appropriate fractions were lyophilized to give 23 mg of the phosphonic acid as a colorless hygroscopic solid (23%). ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, D₂O) δ 1.75 (3H, minor, s), 2.01 (3H, major, s), 2.25-2.61 (2H, m), 3.00-3.18 (1H, m), 3.43-3.67 (2H, m), 7.46-7.64 (2H, m), 7.66-7.84 (2H, m); 19.3 (-CH₃), 26.7 (-CH₃, major), 26.9 (-CH₃, minor), 43.5 (P-CH, minor, ¹*J_{PC}* = 131.1 Hz), 44.2 (P-CH, major, ¹*J_{PC}* = 130.0 Hz), 46.3 (-NCH₂, ³*J_{PC}* = 17.6 Hz), 121.9 (-CF₃, q, ¹*J_{FC}* = 271.1 Hz) 125.3 (=CH, major), 125.6 (=CH, minor), 128.1 (=C, dq, ²*J_{FC}* = 31.8 Hz, ⁵*J_{PC}* = 3.3 Hz), 129.6 (=CH, ³*J_{PC}* = 5.5 Hz), 142.8 (=C, minor), 143.0 (=C, major, ²*J_{PC}* = 7.2 Hz), 173.7 (N-C=O, major), 173.8 (N-C=O, minor); ³¹P NMR (121.50

MHz, D₂O) δ 21.5; Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for C₁₂H₁₅F₃NO₅P ([M]-H⁺) 340.05617; found 340.05641.

Bisammonium 3-[N-acetyl,N-(hydroxy)amino]-1-(4-cyanophenyl)-propylphosphonate (4b). To a solution of **13b** (130 mg, 0.367 mmol) in dry MeCN (3.7 mL) was added dropwise TMSBr (484 μ L, 3.67 mmol) at rt and the mixture was stirred for 24 hours at rt. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the traces of TMSBr were removed under high vacuum (0.05 mbar). The residual oil was dissolved in 2 mL of Type I water and the pH of the mixture was adjusted to 8-9 with a 5% NH₄OH solution. The solution was lyophilized and the residual solid was purified by Whatman CF11 cellulose column chromatography (MeCN/NH₄OH (aq, 1 M): 3/1). The fractions were assayed using cellulose TLC and the spots were visualized under UV-light (365 nm) after dipping in a pinacryptol yellow solution (0.1% in H₂O) and drying the plate under a stream of hot air.²⁷ The appropriate fractions were lyophilized, yielding 79 mg of a pale amber hygroscopic solid (66%). *R_f* 0.33 (cellulose TLC, MeCN/NH₄OH(aq, 1M) : 3/1); ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, D₂O) δ 1.64 (3H, minor, s), 1.90 (3H, major, s), 2.12-2.49 (2H, m), 2.94 (1H, ddd, *J* = 22.0, 12.1 and 2.8 Hz), 3.35 (1H, app dt, *J* = 14.4 and 5.7 Hz), 3.50 (1H, ddd, *J* = 14.4, 8.4 and 6.1 Hz), 7.39 (2H, dd, *J* = 8.3 and 1.7 Hz), 7.65 (2H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75.47 MHz, D₂O) δ 19.1 (-CH₃, major), 19.3 (-CH₃, minor), 26.5 (-CH₂, major), 26.7 (-CH₂, minor), 44.0 (P-CH, minor, ¹*J*_{PC} = 126.8 Hz), 44.5 (P-CH, major, ¹*J*_{PC} = 127.4 Hz), 46.1 (-NCH₂, major, ³*J*_{PC} = 17.1 Hz), 49.6 (-NCH₂, minor, ³*J*_{PC} = 17.0 Hz), 108.8 (=C, ⁵*J*_{PC} = 3.3 Hz), 120.0 (-C $\equiv$ N), 129.8 (=CH, ³*J*_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 132.3 (=CH, major, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 2.2 Hz), 132.6 (=CH, minor, ⁴*J*_{PC} = 1.6 Hz), 145.1 (=C, ²*J*_{PC} = 7.2 Hz), 173.6 (N-C=O); ³¹P NMR (121.50 MHz, D₂O) δ 20.0, 20.5 (minor, major) Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for C₁₂H₂₁N₄O₅P ([M]-2(NH₄⁺)+H⁺) 297.06403; found 297.06454.

Diethyl **(*E*)-3-[N-(benzyloxy),N-formylamino]-1-(tributylstannyl)-propenylphosphonate (15).** To a stirred solution of **6** (3.04 g, 3.93 mmol) and anhydrous K₂CO₃ (728 mg, 5.23 mmol) in MeCN (100 mL), containing dry DMSO (2 mL), was added PhSH (404 μL, 3.93 mmol) over a 30 min-period at 70 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at the same temperature. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C and the formylating solution [prepared in a separate flask by adding HCOOH (99%, 163 μL, 4.32 mmol) dropwise to a solution of 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (702 mg, 4.32 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (6.4 mL) at 0 °C for and stirring for 1 h] was added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C. The reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic fractions were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residual oil was purified by column chromatography (pentane/CH₂Cl₂/acetone: 4/1/1) to give 1.42 g of the title compound as a yellow oil (59%). *R_f* 0.43 (hexane/acetone: 7/3); ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (6H, minor, t, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 0.87 (6H, major, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 0.95-1.00 (6H, m), 1.22-1.34 (12H, m), 1.41-1.57 (6H, m), 3.95-4.06 (6H, m), 4.71 (2H, minor, s), 4.87 (4H, major, m), 6.34-6.55 (1H, minor, m), 6.71 (1H, major, dt, *J* = 64.2 and 5.8 Hz), 7.27-7.35 (5H, m), 8.16 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (75.47 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.6 (-CH₂, minor), 10.7 (-CH₂, major), 13.6 (-CH₃, minor), 13.6 (-CH₃, major), 16.3 (-CH₃, minor, ³*J*_{PC} = 6.6 Hz); 16.4 (-CH₃, major, ³*J*_{PC} = 7.2 Hz), 27.2 (-CH₂, minor), 27.3 (-CH₂, major), 28.7 (-CH₂ minor), 28.8 (-CH₂, major), 45.7 (-NCH₂, major, ³*J*_{PC} = 15.4 Hz), 54.0 (-NCH₂, minor, ³*J*_{PC} = 13.2 Hz), 61.0 (-OCH₂, minor, ²*J*_{PC} = 5.5 Hz), 61.1 (-OCH₂, major, ²*J*_{PC} = 5.5 Hz), 76.1 (-OCH₂, minor), 77.0 (-OCH₂, major), 127.8 (=CH, minor), 128.2 (=CH, minor), 128.3 (=CH, minor), 128.6 (=CH, major), 129.0 (=CH, major), 129.5 (=CH, major), 133.9 (=C-P, ¹*J*_{PC} = 133.4 Hz), 137.7 (=C), 153.6 (=CH, minor), 157.8 (=CH, major), 162.6 (N-C=O); ³¹P NMR (121.50

MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.7, 22.4 (minor, major); Exact mass (ESI-MS): calculated for C₂₇H₄₈NO₅PSn ([M, ¹²⁰Sn]+H⁺) 618.23704; found 618.23725.

DOXP reductoisomerase inhibition assay. The assay was performed in a reaction mixture containing 100 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.5), 0.2 % bovine serum albumin, 1 mM MnCl₂, 1 mM DOXP, 0.3 mM NADPH, and 1 μ g/ml recombinant DOXP reductoisomerase of *E. coli*. The mixture without substrate was pre-incubated with a serial dilution of the test compounds on a 96 well plate at 30°C for 5 min. The compounds had been dissolved in 100 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.5) and pre-diluted to the 10fold final concentrations on a separate plate. The reaction was started by the addition of DOXP. The decrease of absorption was monitored at 340 nm.

Acknowledgment. This study was supported by grants from the European Commission (Antimal, LSHP-CT-2005-018834) and INTAS (03-51-4077). We thank Dajana Henschker for excellent technical assistance in performing the biological assays.

Supporting information available: Experimental details (including ^1H , ^{13}C , ^{31}P NMR, MS data) for intermediates and final products (**3d,i-j**, **4c,g-h**, **5**, **10g**, **12b-h**, **13b-d,h-j**, **14h**, **16** and **17**), as well as full APT spectra of all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

REFERENCES

1. Okuhara, M.; Kuroda, Y.; Goto, T.; Okamoto, M.; Terano, H.; Kohsaka, M.; Aoki, H.; Imanaka, H. *J. Antibiot.* **1980**, *33*, 13-17.
2. Okuhara, M.; Kuroda, Y.; Goto, T.; Okamoto, M.; Terano, H.; Kohsaka, M.; Aoki, H.; Imanaka, H. *J. Antibiot.* **1980**, *33*, 24-28.
3. Kuzuyama, T.; Shimizu, T.; Takahashi, S.; Seto, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7913-7916.
4. Jomaa, H.; Wiesner, J.; Sanderbrand, S.; Altincicek, B.; Weidemeyer, C.; Hintz, M.; Turbachova, I.; Eberl, M.; Zeidler, J.; Lichtenthaler, H. K.; Soldati, D.; Beck, E. *Science* **1999**, *285*, 1573-1576.
5. Missinou, M. A.; Borrmann, S.; Schindler, A.; Issifou, S.; Adegnik, A. A.; Matsiegui, P. B.; Binder, R.; Lell, B.; Wiesner, J.; Baranek, T.; Jomaa, H.; Kremsner, P. G. *Lancet* **2002**, *360*, 1941-1942.
6. Lell, B.; Ruangweeraayut, R.; Wiesner, J.; Missinou, M. A.; Schindler, A.; Baranek, T.; Hintz, M.; Hutchinson, D.; Jomaa, H.; Kremsner, P. G. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2003**, *47*, 735-738.
7. Woo, Y. H.; Fernandes, R. P. M.; Proteau, P. J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *14*, 2375-2385.
8. Ortmann, R.; Wiesner, J.; Reichenberg, A.; Henschker, D.; Beck, E.; Jomaa, H.; Schlitzer, M. *Arch. Pharm.* **2005**, *338*, 305-314.
9. Ortmann, R.; Wiesner, J.; Reichenberg, A.; Henschker, D.; Beck, E.; Jomaa, H.; Schlitzer, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *13*, 2163-2166.
10. Reichenberg, A.; Wiesner, J.; Weidemeyer, C.; Dreiseidler, E.; Sanderbrand, S.; Altincicek, B.; Beck, E.; Schlitzer, M.; Jomaa, H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *11*, 833-835.
11. Kuntz, L.; Tritsch, D.; Grosdemange-Billiard, C.; Hemmerlin, A.; Willem, A.; Bach, T. J.; Rohmer, M. *Biochem. J.* **2005**, *386*, 127-135.
12. Devreux, V.; Wiesner, J.; Goeman, J. L.; Van der Eycken, J.; Jomaa, H.; Van Calenbergh, S. *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *49*, 2656-2660.
13. Haemers, T.; Wiesner, J.; Busson, R.; Jomaa, H.; Van Calenbergh, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 3856-3863.

14. Haemers, T.; Wiesner, J.; Van Poecke, S.; Goeman, J.; Henschker, D.; Beck, E.; Jomaa, H.; Van Calenbergh, S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, *16*, 1888-1891.
15. Kurz, T.; Schlüter, K.; Kaula, U.; Bergmann, B.; Walter, R.D.; Geffken, D. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *14*, 5121-5135.
16. Schlüter, K.; Walter, R. D.; Bergmann, B.; Kurz, T. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *41*, 1385-1397.
17. Poss, A. J.; Belter, R. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 4810-4812.
18. Gurjar, M. K.; Krishna, L. M.; Reddy, B. S.; Chorghade, M. S. *Synthesis* **2000**, 557-560.
19. Murray, A. P.; Miller, M. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 191-194.
20. Reddy, P. A.; Schall, O. F.; Wheatley, J. R.; Rosik, L. O.; McClurg, J. P.; Marshall, G. R.; Slomczynska, U. *Synthesis* **2001**, 1086-1092.
21. Xiong, Z. C.; Huang, X. *J. Chem. Res.* **2003**, 372-373.
22. Sparks, S. M.; Gutierrez, A. J.; Shea, K. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 5274-5285.
23. Maligres, P. E.; See, M. M.; Askin, D.; Reider, P. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 5253-5256.
24. Woodside, A. B.; Zheng, H.; Poulter, C. D. *Org. Synth.* **1988**, *66*, 211-219.
25. Kuzuyama, T.; Takahashi, S.; Watanabe, H.; Seto, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 4509-4512.
26. Koppisch, A. T.; Fox, D. T.; Blagg, B. S. J.; Poulter, C. D. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41*, 236-243.
27. Jork, H.; Funk, W.; Fischer, W.; Wimmer, H. *Thin-Layer Chromatography: Reagents and Detection Methods. Vol. 1a. Physical and Chemical Detection Methods: Fundamentals, Reagents I*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1990.