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Abstract

Background: In this study, three commercial systems for the preparation of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) were compared and platelet growth factors release was measured.

Methods: Ten healthy volunteers donated whole blood that was fractionated by a blood cell separator, and a table-top centrifuge to prepare PRP. Furthermore, an autologous growth factor filter was used to concentrate PRP fractionated by the blood cell separator. PRP was subsequently activated with autologously produced thrombin to degranulate the platelets to measure platelet-derived growth factor-AB (PDGF-AB), transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β), insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).

Results: PRP contained significantly higher platelet counts compared with baseline values (p < 0.001). PDGF-AB concentrations were increased more than 18-fold in the platelet gel supernatant when the cell-separator and GPS were used, whereas only a 3-fold increase was seen with the AGF.

Conclusion: The three PRP devices enable the preparation of PRP for the release of high concentrations of platelet growth factor, but showed different harvesting capacities for the collection of concentrated platelets. The administration of thrombin for PRP activation resulted in the release of high concentrations of PDGF-AB and TGF-β but only when PRP had not been activated during the preparation process in vitro.
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Introduction

Traditionally, platelets are used therapeutically to correct thrombocytopenia or platelet dysfunction (Sensebe et al. 2005). It is currently understood that platelets also play a pivotal role in the repair of injured tissues since they contain platelet growth factors that is released from activated platelets; platelet growth factors initiate and modulate wound healing in bone or soft tissue (Bourquie et al. 1993, Mazzuco et al. 2004). Of particular importance in these healing processes is platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), which exists as both heterodimers of A and B chains and as homodimers of either A–A or B–B chains (Pierce et al. 1991). Also of importance are transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) (Rosier et al. 1998), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (Rhee et al. 2004), smaller amounts of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) (Weibrich et al. 2002a) and epidermal growth factor (EGF) (Martin et al. 1992). Additionally, Kubota and others described that connective tissue...
growth factor (CTGF) is also present (Kubota et al. 2004). Recently, strategies in clinical treatment plans encourage the production of autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP) containing high concentrations of platelet growth factors with the use of whole blood separation devices. PRP mixed with thrombin and calcium chloride will result in the production of platelet gel, which can be exogenously applied to surgical wounds, leading to the degranulation of the platelet α granules and platelet growth factor release (Fréchette et al. 2005). In several studies, investigators have appeared to take advantage of platelet growth factor delivery in support of hemostasis and wound healing (Englert et al. 2005, Everts et al. 2006). In oral and maxillofacial surgery, published results imply that earlier bone graft maturation can be expected when platelet gel is used in mandibular defects (Marx et al. 1998, Steigmann and Garg 2005). In addition, platelet gel applications have also been reported to improve soft tissue healing in chronic non-healing wounds (Margolis et al. 2001, Crovetti et al. 2004). Before clinicians began to routinely use platelet gel, it was logical to analyze the manufacturing procedure for PRP, which may result in varying amounts, quality, and efficacy from patient to patient, and to characterize the platelet growth factor content. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the PRP preparation method in 10 healthy volunteers and compare the results obtained using three different commercially available devices with different operating principles. The specific objectives were: 1. To compare platelet counts, 2. To determine whether platelet activation occurs during the PRP preparation process, 3. To examine the effects of PRP storage on growth factor levels, and 4. To quantify certain platelet growth factors (i.e., PDGF, TGF-β, VEGF, and IGF) in the platelet gel.

Material and methods

Study design

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Catharina Hospital (Eindhoven, The Netherlands) (World Medical Association 2002). Written, informed consent was obtained according to the guidelines. Each volunteer was identified by number, enabling a reviewer to identify and compare the test results. The study was consecutively executed in five working days.

Materials

The Gravitational Platelet Sequestration System™, (Biomet Co, Warsaw, IN, USA) (GPS), is a table-top centrifuge system using a flat-bottom, 60-ml plastic centrifuge tube, containing a buoy and an internal coiled device located in the tube cap. The buoy is lowered to remove the platelet-poor plasma following a 12 min spin at 3200 rpm. Thereafter, the PRP volume (± 5 ml) can be collected. With this device, the red blood cells cannot be collected separately and are therefore discarded.

The Electa Cell-Separator™ (Sorin Group, Mirandola, Italy) (CS) is an intraoperative blood salvage device that uses a Latham bowl to separate the PRP from the whole blood and utilizes modified software for apheresis (11). One PRP production procedure incorporates both a 5400-rpm hard spin and a 2400-rpm soft spin to collect the PRP. The platelet poor plasma and erythrocytes are collected separately in two transfusion bags and retransfused to the patient. The PRP is collected in a 60-ml syringe.

The Autologous Growth Factor Filter™, (Interpore Cross®, Irvine CA, USA) (AGF) is a microporous, hollow, cellulose fiber filtration device with a volume of 8 ml and uses PRP as a baseline product that has been manufactured by the cell separator device. A specific manifold is needed for the AGF, which has two 60-ml syringes attached that are driven by compressed air. The device filters water after multiple passes of PRP through the filtration device. The end-product is concentrated PRP. All three devices were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Methods

PRP preparation

An intravenous infusion line was inserted into the medial cubital vein using a 17-g needle. For each donor, whole blood donation was distributed as 2 aliquots. First, a 60-ml syringe was pre-filled with 7 ml of anticoagulant citrate dextrose A solution and 53 ml of whole blood was slowly drawn via an intravenous catheter. The syringe was inverted five times to ensure proper mixing with the anti-coagulant before PRP was prepared using the GPS. An additional 375 ml of blood was passively drained into a blood bag containing 53 ml of citrate–phosphate–adenine anticoagulant-preservative to produce PRP using the CS, which was programmed to perform platelet sequestration. The PRP from the CS was divided into 3 aliquots: 4 ml for the preparation of autologous thrombin, 3 ml was kept for platelet gel fractionation, and the remainder was used for the concentration of PRP using AGF. The PRP prepared using the GPS was solely used to produce platelet gel.

Platelet gel enrichment

Following PRP preparation, autologous thrombin was isolated to activate the PRP and thus to prepare platelet gel. Autologous thrombin was isolated from 4 ml PRP and 0.17 ml of 10% calcium chloride to antagonize the anticoagulant present in the donated
blood. This mixture was injected into a glass Petri dish to activate platelets and form a clot. After 25 to 40 min, a clot was formed inside the glass dish and compressed manually. The cellular clot debris was left behind on the dish and the liquid fraction containing the thrombin was aspirated with a syringe. Platelet gel was prepared by mixing PRP and thrombin in a ratio of 4:1. Figure 1 shows a diagram on the study set-up.

**Sampling and hematological analysis**

Blood samples used for baseline measurements were drawn from the intravenous line of the patient and collected in citrate–phosphate–adenine anticoagulant-preservative; WB: whole blood; GPS: GPS table top; CS: Electra cell-saver; PPP: platelet-poor plasma; PRP: platelet-rich plasma; RBC: red blood cells; AT Prep: autologous thrombin preparation; AGF: autologous growth factor filter; cPRP: concentrated platelet-rich plasma; PG: platelet gel.

**Determination of platelet activation**

During the entire PRP and platelet gel preparation procedures, platelet activation was determined by measuring plasma levels of beta-thromboglobulin using Asserachrome beta-thromboglobulin kits (Stago, Asnieres, France).

**Determination of growth factor concentrations**

Platelet growth factor concentrations in whole blood, PRP, concentrated PRP, and platelet gel supernatants were determined by commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis MN, USA) that had been validated for measuring PDGF-AB (Quantikine PDGF-AB), TGF-β1 (Quantikine TFG-β1), and VEGF (Quantikine human ANG), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay procedures were programmed into an automated analyzer (Coda Automated EIA™ analyser; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules CA, USA). Samples were measured in duplicate and in appropriate dilutions to fit the respective calibration curves. Repeat analysis was performed when between duplicate differences greater than 10% were found. Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) was measured using a fully automated chemiluminescence immunoassay in the Advantage Analyzer (Nichols Institute Diagnostics, San Clemente CA, USA).

**Statistical method**

The study data were gathered on customized patient tracking forms and entered into a computerized database that allowed unbiased and reliable data management. Statistical analysis utilized SAS statistical software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC; USA, 2003). Measured data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). The measurements of interest were the changes within each individual from one time point to the next and the average. The paired t-test focused on the difference between the paired data and reported the probability that the actual mean difference was equal to zero. Differences were calculated for all measurements from Time 1 to Time 0, Time 2 to Time 0, and Time 3 to Time 0 for each individual. These differences were averaged for the specific device type. T-tests for significant differences between devices were then calculated, where \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant (Time 0 = baseline, Time 1 = after PRP preparation, Time 3 = after 75 min, and Time 4 = after activation by autologous thrombin).

The platelet yield, a measurement to validate the collection efficiency of the devices, was determined using the following formula:
Platelet yield (%) = (PRP volume) \times (platelet in PRP)/(WB volume) \times (platelet in WB) \times 100\%. (WB: whole blood).

### Results

#### Preparation of platelets

Blood samples were collected from 10 healthy volunteers (5 men, 5 women), aged 24 to 54 years (38 \pm 9 years, mean \pm SD), who were not taking any medications. All donors in this study had a platelet count greater than 150,000/\text{ml}, and the mean hematocrit was 42 \pm 4\% (SD). In Table I, the characteristics of the PRP preparation for the three devices are compared. With each device, we found that platelet numbers significantly increased compared with the baseline donor platelet count for the CS, GPS (p < 0.001), and AGF (p < 0.05). After comparing the mean PRP platelet counts, it was noted that PRP prepared using the CS contained significantly more platelets (p < 0.001) than preparations using the other two devices. Furthermore, the CS resulted in a significantly higher platelet yield than those obtained using the GPS and AGF: 47\%, 36\%, and 32\%, respectively. The relative increase in platelet count above baseline platelet count in the whole blood sample was the lowest for the AGF, despite the fact that the PRP volume was reduced 2.5-fold with the AGF.

#### Platelet activation during PRP preparation

Activated platelets were shown to release beta-thromboglobulin; these results are shown in Table II. The beta-thromboglobulin levels for both the CS and GPS were not statistically different following PRP preparation. After PRP activation by autologous thrombin, release was 10-fold higher than in non-activated PRP (p < 0.001 for both systems). PRP storage had no significant effect on platelet activation. In contrast with the CS and GPS, we noted a significantly higher beta-thromboglobulin concentration after filtration procedure in the concentrated PRP (p < 0.001) using the AGF. When the concentrated PRP was activated by thrombin, only a minor release could be measured, indicating less residual platelet activation.

#### Growth factor release into the PRP supernatant

In Table III, the IGF-1 and VEGF data are shown. Low levels of IGF-1 were detected in the supernatants from non-activated PRP and did not significantly increase after the addition of thrombin with any of the three devices. Mean VEGF concentrations were detectable in the PRP of the CS and GPS (204 \pm 53 and 191 \pm 36 ng/ml, respectively) and in the concentrated PRP of the AGF (250 \pm 80 ng/ml).

The results in Table IV reflect the PDGF-AB and TGF-\beta1 levels after PRP, concentrated PRP preparation, and subsequent platelet gel production. Compared to the CS and GPS, we observed significantly increased levels of both PDGF-AB and TGF-\beta immediately after concentrated PRP preparation using AGF (p < 0.001). After activation by thrombin, the PRP of the CS and GPS resulted in a significant increase in growth factor release (p < 0.001) when compared with the AGF. The largest increase was observed by the release of...
Discussion

In this study, we observed that the PRP devices particularly differ in their abilities to collect concentrated and non-activated platelets from whole blood for the enrichment of PRP. High concentrations of PDGF-AB and TGF-β were released after PRP activation by autologous thrombin.

Under normal circumstances, wound healing is initiated through platelet activation and aggregation in the presence of calcium and thrombin, generating a fibrin clot in which a matrix for tissue-forming cells will be formed (Lin et al. 1997). During the wound-healing cascade, platelets are trapped and activated, resulting in the release of growth factors present in the α-granules of the platelets (Slater et al. 1995). The effects of autologous concentrated platelet growth factors, delivered as a platelet gel, have been studied to some extent during various surgical procedures (Marx et al. 1998, Margolis et al. 2001, Crovetti et al. 2004, Englert et al. 2005, Steigmann and Garg 2005, Everts et al. 2006). At present, no standardized protocols for peri-operative harvesting of PRP and subsequent platelet gel application have been formulated, although the number of PRP-producing devices is increasing. The currently available PRP processing devices have rarely been validated with ample information for the clinician concerning the quality of the manufactured PRP and the growth factor content of the platelet gel (Kevy and Jacobson 2004, Weibrich et al. 2005). Zimmerman and co-workers discussed this lack of standardized protocols. They applied standard blood bank criteria to investigate different methods for the preparation of platelets and identified factors that influence the production of platelet gel (Zimmerman et al. 2001). It was our objective to compare platelet collection efficiency, monitor platelet activation during PRP preparation, and observe platelet growth factor release after PRP activation using three different commercial point-of-care devices. The technical design and operating principles of the devices that produce PRP are completely different, but are all presently being used in clinical settings. The use of the CS demands larger whole blood volumes to prepare PRP. We routinely use this device either in combination with red cell salvage techniques, or when larger platelet gel volumes are therapeutically indicated. The advantage of this device is that the disposable kit can be used repetitively to sequester whole blood. Furthermore, all produced blood components are retransfused to the patient using aseptic techniques to avoid contamination. The GPS is a low-volume device and prepares therefore small PRP volumes. The disposable set can be used once. Due to the construction of the GPS blood separation chamber, the manufacturer recommends sterile gloves be worn during the PRP collection steps, which is performed manually. The AGF is a modified hemoconcentrator that requires PRP be prepared using a cell salvage device for further PRP concentration. Nevertheless, in some papers, the

Table III. IGF and VEGF concentrations after PRP separation and thrombin activation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>IGF-1 PRP (μg/l)</th>
<th>VEGF PRP (ng/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-activated</td>
<td>Thrombin-activated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>100 ± 29</td>
<td>87 ± 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>99 ± 29</td>
<td>107 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGF</td>
<td>132 ± 32</td>
<td>102 ± 35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1All values represent the mean ± SD.
PRP: platelet-rich plasma.
* AGF product is concentrated PRP.

Table IV. PDGF-AB and TGF-β1 values after PRP separation and thrombin activation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>PDGF-AB (pg)</th>
<th>TGF-β1 (pg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-activated</td>
<td>Thrombin-activated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>8982 ± 1899</td>
<td>145,995 ± 61,259*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>4194 ± 1009</td>
<td>109,908 ± 48,885*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGF†</td>
<td>151,766 ± 47,989*</td>
<td>119,747 ± 48,723</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1All values represent the mean.
* AGF product is concentrated PRP.
† p < 0.001; † p < 0.05.
effect of applying concentrated PRP to tissues to increase growth factor delivery revealed no effect (Lowery et al. 1999, Weiner and Walker 2003, Castro 2004, Carreon et al. 2005). To account for the device differences in preparation volume, and therefore PRP volume, we calculated the total amount of growth factors rather than measuring the individual concentrations of PDGF-AB and TGF-β.

The results of our investigation are to some extent in accordance with the data of Kevy and Jacobson (Kevy and Jacobson 2004). We showed that the overall platelet collection efficiency and platelet count of the PRP were dissimilar for the three devices. The cell-salvage device significantly showed the highest platelet recovery (platelet yield of 48%) and the highest platelet count in the PRP than the other two devices. The lowest platelet yield was measured in the AGF device. Despite the fact that the actual PRP volume of the AGF system was decreased by a factor of 2.8, we did not observe an equal rise in platelet counts, which means that platelets were lost during the concentration process. Weibrich et al. performed similar studies using the PCCS™ system and the Anitua Platelet-Rich-in-Growth Factor Kit (Weibrich et al. 2005). They also demonstrated significantly different platelet collection efficiencies and platelet counts, supporting our impression that there might be a large variation among devices for the harvest of PRP.

In our study, we observed that the different platelet harvesting methods had a significant effect on the activation of PRP and platelet growth factor release from the platelet gel. Therefore, it is critical to validate and understand the PRP preparation process before platelet gel techniques are applied to patients. To evaluate the quality of the PRP preparation process, we monitored platelet activation. An established in vivo method to measure platelet activation is the detection of β-TG as a marker of platelet activation. The AGF system showed the highest β-TG release after PRP processing. In our opinion, this finding might be the result of the repetitive passes of the platelets through the microporous fibers of the hemoconcentrator. The platelets adhere to the surface of the hollow fibers, a phenomenon that is well described with the use of these devices during dialysis (deSa et al. 2001). This is the most likely reason why the increase in platelet count of the concentrated PRP was not in accordance with our expected 2.8-fold increase in platelet count. In contrast to this finding, we noticed that PRP obtained with the other two systems demonstrated minimal platelet activation, even after a 75-min storage period. When PRP was activated by thrombin, platelet gel was formed and platelets were immediately activated as measured by beta-thromboglobulin levels that increased 12.3- and 13.5-fold compared with the PRP levels for the GPS and CS devices, respectively. The concentrated PRP of the AGF showed only a 3.2-fold increase in platelet activation, indicating that the vast majority of platelets in the concentrated PRP had been activated before platelet gel was prepared.

During clinical platelet gel applications, concentrated and activated platelets are exogenously applied to wound sites where they adhere to tissues and start to degranulate, resulting in the release of platelet growth factors. Slater et al. and Spencer and co-workers have demonstrated that platelet growth factors have mitogenic and chemotactic properties that may contribute to improved wound healing (Spencer et al. 1993, Slater et al. 1995). Therefore, it is important that platelet gel applied in vivo can deliver viable growth factors. This ability decreases when PRP becomes activated in vitro before platelet gel is delivered to tissues. For this reason, we measured platelet growth factor concentrations after the preparation of PRP and concentrated PRP, and after activation by autologous thrombin. Compared to the levels of growth factors found with the use of the CS and GPS systems, we observed a significant increase in PDGF-AB and TGF-β concentrations in the concentrated PRP samples, strongly suggesting premature growth factor release prior to thrombin activation with the concentrated PRP method. Therefore, less PDGF-AB and TGF-β was measured in the platelet gel supernatant when compared to the other two devices. The AGF end product is therefore not a viable platelet concentrate but rather a platelet releasate. After activation by autologous thrombin, a significant increase in PDGF-AB and TGF-β levels was measured in the platelet gel supernatants of both systems, whereas the platelet gel in accordance with the results of our β-TG measurements, indicated massive platelet activation following the use of the AGF system and only a minor response to autologous thrombin activation. As demonstrated by Weibrich et al., the IGF-1 concentrations in PRP as well as in platelet gel were not statistically different and remained very low (Weibrich et al. 2002b). An explanation for this observation might be that IGF-1 released from platelets is very low since the plasma pool of IGF-1 is greater than the platelet pool (Karey et al. 1989) and that IGF-1 is primarily excreted by the liver into the plasma (Rubin and Baserga 1995). The mean VEGF values after activation by thrombin were almost similar in PRP and concentrated PRP levels, suggesting that activation by autologous thrombin and calcium does not significantly increase VEGF release during the incubation period.

In conclusion, we studied three different methods to obtain PRP for the in vitro production of platelet gel. Our findings indicate that PRP can be sequestered and concentrated 5-fold from one unit of whole blood. Moreover, a comparison of the devices demonstrated discrepancies in both quality of the PRP preparation method and quantity of platelet growth factors released after thrombin activation. With the CS and GPS systems, we could reproduce consistent data.
regarding growth factor release, specifically for PDGF-AB and TGF-β. However, the AGF system appeared to generate concentrated, but activated, platelets that released growth factors prior to the preparation of platelet gel. Although our study was not directed toward measuring biological effects of platelet gel, it is likely that the clinical effectiveness of platelet gel prepared with the AGF device is lower than those of the two other systems. Acceptance of standardized protocols for PRP enrichment and subsequent platelet gel application should be instituted in order to achieve and maintain a high quality biological product. Further research is mandatory to assess the healing applications of platelet gel and to determine its effects in a variety of medical disciplines.
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