Language activation distributions revealed by fMRI in post-operative epilepsy patients: Differences between left- and right-sided resections
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Abstract

Objective: To reveal differences of cerebral activation related to language functions in post-operative temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) patients.

Methods: Right (RTL) and left temporal lobe (LTL) resected patients, and healthy controls were studied using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Only patients with complete left-hemispheric language dominance according to the intracarotid amytal procedure (IAP) were included. Language-related activations were evoked by performing word generation and text reading language tasks. Activation lateralization and tempo-frontal distribution effects were analysed.

Results: For word generation, only LTL patients showed reduced left lateralized activation compared to controls, due to a decrease in activation in the left prefrontal cortex and an increase in the right prefrontal cortex. For reading, the left-hemispheric lateralization in RTL patients increased because of enhanced activity in the left prefrontal cortex, whereas for LTL patients the activation became bilaterally distributed over the temporal lobes. Lateralization results between pre-operative IAP and post-operative fMRI were highly discordant. Significant tempo-frontal distribution changes manifested from the reading but not from the word generation task.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 43 3876048; fax: +31 43 3876909.
E-mail address: wbac@rdia.azm.nl (W.H. Backes).

doi:10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2005.06.007
Conclusion: The cerebral language representation in post-operative ATL epilepsy patients is more bi-hemispherically lateralized than in controls and RTL patients. Post-operative temporo-frontal and interhemispheric redistribution effects, involving contralateral homologous brain areas, are suggested to contribute to the cerebral reorganisation of language function.
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1. Introduction

Anterior temporal lobectomy (ATL) is a well-established surgical treatment for pharma-co-resistant temporal lobe epilepsy. The surgical procedure results in either a significant reduction in seizure frequency or complete seizure remission in about 70% of the patients. The resection involves parts of the unilateral mesial temporal cortex as well as lateral neocortical structures of the anterior temporal lobe. The resection may disturb or damage cortex eloquent for memory and language functioning. Despite extensive non-invasive and invasive pre-operative hemispheric language and memory testing, subtle problems may arise in post-operative language functioning after left ATL (Hermann et al., 1994; Davies et al., 1998; Langfitt and Rausch, 1996; Clusmann et al., 2002). More frequently difficulties in verbal memory are found post-operatively (Rausch et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2002; Bell and Davies, 1998). When a hippocampal sclerosis is diagnosed less post-operative difficulties are found, presumably caused by reorganization of function during the transformation of healthy brain tissue into a sclerosis. Consequently, affected neural circuits may already pre-operatively reorganize to distant brain regions.

Typically, the left hemisphere is dominant for language function, while complete right-hemispheric dominance is rare (about 1–2%) (8). Bilateral language representation is found far less (about 20%) than left-hemispheric dominance (Loring et al., 1990). Remarkably, bilateral language representation is found to be more prevalent in patients with epilepsy than in healthy people. This, again, is assumed to be caused by neuronal reorganization as a consequence of early left hemisphere epilepsies and lesions. Evidence exists that
functional reorganization enables the right hemisphere to mediate language functions in response to left brain pathology early in life (Helmstaedter et al., 1997).

Functional MRI is an imaging technique that provides unique and highly reproducible (Rutten et al., 2002a,b) means to localize the brain systems involved in processing language functions. For diagnostic language and memory evaluation in patients with epilepsy, a number of research centres (Baxendale, 2002) have recently correlated the outcomes of fMRI and the IAP (i.e. the Wada test), which is considered the gold standard for language lateralization. Until now, extremely good correlations have been published between fMRI and the IAP, especially for epilepsy patients with a typical (i.e. left-dominant) language representation (Binder et al., 1996; Yeterkin et al., 1998; Lehericy et al., 2000; Rutten et al., 2002a,b; Spreer et al., 2002; Adcock et al., 2003; Woermann et al., 2003).

The number of imaging studies dealing with post-lesional plasticity of the brain is, however, small and limited to case studies. Hertz-Pannier et al. (2002) recently reported in a serial fMRI study an interhemispheric transfer of brain activation in response to language demands in a 9-year-old child after left hemispherotomy. The authors explained the plasticity effect by the assumption of a pre-existing bilateral language network that is inhibited by preferential left activation in the normal healthy situation. Shifts in brain activation patterns are also found in TLE patients with lesions at or near the language areas (Adcock et al., 2003; Springer et al., 1999).

This study aimed at detecting reorganizations in language activation patterns in a group of patients with epilepsy after ATL. The hypothesis is that when no cerebral language reorganizations occur the activation patterns should be independent of the resection side. To test this an fMRI study was set out that compared the brain activation patterns between patient groups who had a left- and right-sided resection against controls. By using word generation and reading as neuropsychological language tasks brain systems were studied that respond to expressive and receptive language functioning, respectively.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

Characteristics of the patients and the healthy controls are summarized in Table 1. Nine healthy controls, 12 RTL and 9 LTL resected epilepsy patients were included in the study protocol. All patients and controls were right-handed. The patients underwent a presurgical language and memory evaluation with the IAP (Wada and Rasmussen, 1960). During the IAP amobarbital, a short acting anaesthetic, is injected in one of the internal carotid arteries producing a transient anaesthesia of the territories of the middle and anterior cerebral arteries of the ipsilateral hemisphere. Several tests are then performed to evaluate language lateralization. The language part of this test comprised overt object naming, picture description, and story recall. Only patients with complete left-hemispheric language dominance were included in this study. All patients underwent a partial resection of the anterior temporal lobe with

---

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>RTL</th>
<th>LTL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>3/9</td>
<td>5/7</td>
<td>3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset epilepsy (year)</td>
<td>12.4 ± 7.6, 12 (4–31)</td>
<td>10.7 ± 6.8, 8.5 (3–22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at surgery (year)</td>
<td>30 ± 8, 31 (18–43)</td>
<td>34 ± 9, 33 (23–46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at imaging (year)</td>
<td>26 ± 6</td>
<td>34 ± 6, 35 (23–46)</td>
<td>37 ± 8, 36.5 (26–52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between surgery and MRI (year)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 2.6, 5 (1–8)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 3.4, 3.5 (1–11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure frequency (month−1)</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.2, 4 (1–4)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.4, 4 (1–4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presurgical PIQ</td>
<td>112 ± 16, 116 (88–134)</td>
<td>109 ± 13, 110 (82–126)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presurgical VIQ</td>
<td>105 ± 15, 106 (82–133)</td>
<td>103 ± 17, 111 (71–122)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection volume (cm³)</td>
<td>25.5 ± 10.1, 27 (8.9–42)</td>
<td>16.9 ± 5.5, 17 (9.9–24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td>HS: 7, HT: 4, OP: 1</td>
<td>HS: 7, HT: 4, OP: 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± 1 S.D., median (range). RTL: left temporal lobe; RTL: right temporal lobe; PIQ: performance intelligence quotient; VIQ: verbal intelligence quotient; HS: hippocampal sclerosis; HT: hippocampal tumor; OP: other pathology (e.g. gliosis, cyst or atrophy).
varying margins, including the amygdalo-hippocampal complex, and resection of lesions located in the neocortex when present. Postoperatively, no patients showed clinical decline in cognitive language and memory functioning. Resection volumes were derived from MR images. The right temporal lobe resection volume was on average 50% larger ($p = 0.01$) than in the left temporal lobe due to the lack of clear restrictions to spare eloquent cortex. The medical ethical committee of the Maastricht University Hospital approved the protocol of the project, to which all subjects gave written informed consent.

2.2. Language paradigms

To test two language tasks were used: covert word generation and covert text reading. During word generation, the subjects had to covertly generate as many words as possible beginning with a presented letter (U, N, K, A, E, and P). The word generation task was conducted in a block-design time course in which one letter was presented per epoch and the baseline task comprised the viewing of a fixation cross. The reading task was performed in the same sequence in which the reading of a meaningful text was contrasted with reading of text containing pronounceable nonsense words. Each language block took 32 s and was conducted six times. Visual stimuli were projected with a beamer on a transparency screen and synchronised with the MRI system using custom-made software. The paradigm was previously tested in healthy volunteers (Deblaere et al., 2002; Aldenkamp et al., 2003). After the fMRI sessions subjects were asked to repeat as many as possible generated words and to tell the contents of the text. All subjects performed both language tasks sufficiently well.

2.3. MR protocol

Images were acquired on a 1.5 T MRI system equipped with a standard receiver head coil. For fMRI a single-shot multi-slice echo-planar T2* -weighted pulse sequence was applied covering the entire cerebrum. Imaging parameters were TR 4 s, TE 50 ms, flip angle 90°, matrix 64 × 64, pixel size 3.5 mm × 3.5 mm, slice thickness 3.5 mm, 34 contiguous axial slices per volume, and 96 volumes per paradigm. For anatomical reference and to determine the resection volume, a 3D T1-weighted fast-field echo pulse sequence was conducted, with parameters TR 11 ms, TE 3.5 ms, flip angle 11°, matrix 256 × 256 × 150, and voxel size 1 mm × 1 mm × 1 mm. The images of the controls have been acquired in similar way as described elsewhere (Deblaere et al., 2002), but were analysed differently to be consistent with the patient data.

2.4. Data analysis

2.4.1. Pre-processing

Image processing and statistical analysis were performed using the software package SPM99 of the Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology (London, UK). Data pre-processing amounted to 3D motion correction, spatial normalisation to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) T2* image template, and spatial smoothing with an 8 mm Gaussian kernel. The anatomical images were also spatially co-registered to the corresponding MNI T1 image template. The images of the resected patients were spatially normalised to the template images by using affine transformations (i.e. rigid body, global scaling and skewing) only. Use of additional local deformations would erroneously stretch the cortex near the resected zone. Using affine transformations only, in the contrary to local deformations, preserved the shape of the resected volume in relation to the temporal lobe. Final voxel sizes were 2 mm × 2 mm × 2 mm.

2.4.2. Activation

The amplitudes of the hemodynamic responses were obtained for each voxel in each subject by numerically fitting a model hemodynamic response function to the MRI signal time courses. Maps representing the significance of the brain activation amplitudes were obtained for each subject. Statistical inferences of individual voxels, in the regions of interest, were subjected to the problem of multiple comparisons of many brain voxels and the resulting $p$-values were corrected for the total number of spatially independent resolution elements (resels) (Holmes and Friston, 1997).

2.4.3. Lateralization index

The lateralization index (LI) was defined as the relative difference between the activation extent in specified regions of interest in the left and the right hemisphere. LI was computed for each subject using
the formula $LI = \frac{V_L - V_R}{V_L + V_R}$, where $V_L$ and $V_R$ represent the extent (i.e. number of voxels) of brain activation above the statistical threshold in the left and right hemispheres, respectively. LI values range between $-1$ and $1$, which represents complete right and left-hemispheric lateralization, respectively. Note that by using the LI, one corrects (i.e. normalizes) for inter-individual variations in the amount of brain activation. By displaying LI as a function of the threshold one moreover is able to index the level of activation. The choice of the optimal threshold value may be limited by upper and lower boundary statistical effects. The threshold value should on the one hand be high enough to exclude a relatively large number of voxels false-positively accepted for activation. On the other hand, the threshold value should not be too high in order to reduce the number of voxels false-negatively rejected for activation and to reduce the weight of the relatively high $T2^*$ responses (i.e. high $Z$-values) of draining veins. To this end, for every individual the LI was calculated for a range of threshold values to find deviations from predefined threshold value. For statistical comparison between groups the threshold was set to $Z > 3.8$ for the group averaged LI, which moreover corresponds to $p < 0.05$ when corrected for multiple (voxel) comparisons.

2.4.4. Regions of interest

Rather than using voxel-by-voxel comparisons (as automated, e.g. in SPM) we decided to employ region of interest analysis. The rationale for this choice is that for many voxels no brain tissue is present in postoperative patients. Performing a voxel-by-voxel analysis would therefore not make much sense for voxels in the temporal lobe as the resected brain regions vary in size and shape between patients. Quantitative analysis, using digital brain masks (Maldjian et al., 2003) (Fig. 1), was restricted to regions of interest.
that are known to be essential for language processing (Ojemann et al., 1989) and that have been previously identified to be activated in controls for the same language tasks (Deblaere et al., 2002). These regions comprise the inferior and middle frontal cortex (Brodmann areas, BA 44–47), the superior frontal cortex (BA 6, 9, and 10), the anterior temporal lobe (BA 38), the posterior and inferior temporal lobe (BA 20 and 21), and the temporo-parietal junction (BA 39 and 40). LI values of the language mask were classified to represent bilateral activation when $-0.2 < LI < 0.2$.

### 2.4.5. Distribution differences

#### 2.4.5.1. Lateralization

Relative changes of brain activation lateralization were quantified by calculating the differences of LI between RTL patients and controls, and between LTL and controls using the expressions $\Delta LI_{RTL} = LI_{RTL} - LI_N$ and $\Delta LI_{LTL} = LI_{LTL} - LI_N$, respectively. Here the lateralization index for healthy controls, RTL patients, and LTL patients is denoted as $LI_N$, $LI_{RTL}$, and $LI_{LTL}$, respectively. Dominance of the left hemisphere, as is expected for language tasks in controls, is characterized by a positive $LI_N$. A relative shift of activation from the epileptic to the non-epileptic hemisphere is associated with significantly positive $\Delta LI_{RTL}$ for RTL patients and a significantly negative $\Delta LI_{LTL}$ for LTL patients.

Temporo-frontal distribution. Possible temporo-frontal distribution changes were investigated by comparing the difference in the percentage of activated voxels between the temporal (BA 20–22, 39, 40) and frontal lobe (BA 44–47, 6, 9, 10) for each hemisphere and each task.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Word generation

The control group as well as the RTL and LTL groups show a strong positive LI value for the defined language regions (Table 2). Fig. 2a shows that the LTL group has a reduced LI value compared to the control group for a broad range of threshold values. The asymmetry in the distribution between the hemispheres is mainly mediated by the strong left lateralized activation in the prefrontal cortex (example in Fig. 4).

The largest drop (20%) of activation percentage for the LTL patient group was in the left frontal cortex (near Broca’s region, BA 44–47) (Fig. 2b). The distributed activation percentage increased (about 11% predominantly in the BA 6, 9, 10 and 20–22) in the right hemisphere in the LTL patient group. The number of patients, moreover, showing an atypical language lateralization was the highest in LTL patient group (example in Fig. 4). None of the correlations between LI and the demographic parameters gender, age at onset, age at imaging, seizure frequency, interval between surgery and imaging, and resection volume were significant.

A significantly positive $LI_N$ ($p < 0.001$) was found, which indicates clear left-hemispheric lateralization for controls. The $\Delta LI_{LTL}$ was significantly negative, which implies a redistribution towards the right-hemispheric activation in the LTL group ($p < 0.03$). No significant increases in $LI_{RTL}$ were found. Also no temporo-frontal distribution changes were found (Table 3).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>$LI_N$ (mean ± 1 S.E.M)</th>
<th>$LI_{RTL}$ (mean ± 1 S.E.M)</th>
<th>$LI_{LTL}$ (mean ± 1 S.E.M)</th>
<th>$\Delta LI_{RTL}$ (mean ± 1 S.E.M)</th>
<th>$\Delta LI_{LTL}$ (mean ± 1 S.E.M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Word generation</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>0.66 (0.09)***</td>
<td>0.53 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.17)</td>
<td>-0.13 (0.16)</td>
<td>-0.35 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L : B : R</td>
<td>8:1:0</td>
<td>9:2:1</td>
<td>5:2:2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>0.59 (0.12)***</td>
<td>0.78 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.21)</td>
<td>-0.50 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L : B : R</td>
<td>8:1:0</td>
<td>11:1:0</td>
<td>3:0:3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± 1 S.E.M. RTL: right temporal lobe; LTL: left temporal lobe; N: control; LI: lateralization index. Also the differences in LI with respect to controls are listed.

* Statistical significance level: $p < 0.03$.

** Statistical significance level: $p < 0.001$. 
Fig. 2. The lateralization index (LI) as function of the statistical threshold value $Z$ for the controls (solid), the right temporal lobe (RTL) (dashed) and left temporal lobe (LTL) (dotted) patient group for the word generation task (a). The relative distribution of activated brain voxels (expressed in percentages) in each of the selected language-related regions (Brodmann areas) of the three groups (b). The error bars represent the standard error of the means.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>RTL</th>
<th>LTL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Word generation</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>43 ± 9</td>
<td>47 ± 12</td>
<td>30 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>13 ± 3</td>
<td>8 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−71 ± 12</td>
<td>−18 ± 14</td>
<td>−20 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−18 ± 7</td>
<td>4 ± 7</td>
<td>−32 ± 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± 1 S.E.M. RTL: right temporal lobe; LTL: left temporal lobe. Significant changes in temporo-frontal activation are indicated by one or more asterisks.

3.2. Reading

The control group shows a positive LI for the selected language regions (Fig. 3a and Table 2). The LTL group exhibit a LI ($Z > 3.8$) that is much lower than for the control and RTL group and approximately equal to zero for a broad range of thresholds, implying bilateral language representation. The LI curve of the RTL group lies higher than for controls. The spatial distribution among classical language areas shows an asymmetric pattern, which is mainly mediated by the strong left temporal lobe (BA 44–47 and 6, 9, 10) activation for the control and RTL group. The number of patients showing an atypical language lateralization was the highest in LTL patient group (example in Fig. 4). No significant relations were found between the
For the LTL patient group the percentage of activation in the left posterior temporal lobe dropped by 16%, while the right posterior temporal lobe increased by 16% (Fig. 3b). For the RTL patient group the left posterior temporal lobe activation remained unchanged, while the right posterior lobe decreased (about 14%) and the left inferior frontal lobe increased (about 18%) (Fig. 3b).

Temporo-frontal distribution changes were significant in both hemispheres in the RTL group and in the left hemisphere (only) of the LTL group (Table 3).

Again a significantly positive LIi (p < 0.001) was found, which indicates clear left-hemispheric lateralization for controls. The ΔLIi was significantly negative, which implies a redistribution towards the right-hemispheric activation in the LTL group (p < 0.02). No significant increases in the LIi were found.

4. Discussion

Language activation assessed by fMRI, using word generation and reading tasks, was compared between patients with a right- or left-sided resection for temporal lobe epilepsy and with healthy controls. Although brain activation in response to language processing appeared in both hemispheres, a clear prevalent role of the left hemisphere was found only in controls and RTL patients. LTL patients, on the contrary, showed reduced left-hemispheric lateralization for word generation and showed bilateral activation for reading. Concordance
Fig. 4. The top row shows the (color-coded) activation map of a control subject for the word generation and the reading task superimposed on a T1 weighted image of one control. Clearly visible is the left lateralized prefrontal activation for word generation and the left lateralized temporal activation for the reading task. Also the homologous areas in the contralateral hemisphere are activated but to a lesser degree (a). The middle row shows the activation pattern of a patient of the right temporal lobe (RTL) group who yielded left lateralized activation for both tasks. The arrow points at the resected brain part (b). The lower row represents a patient of the left temporal lobe (LTL) group who showed bilateral activation for both tasks. The arrowhead points at the resected brain part (c). Note that the echo-planar T2*-weighted activation images had an original resolution of 3.5 mm and were co-registered to the normalised T1-weighted 3D image with a final spatial resolution of 2 mm. Possible geometric distortions on the echo-planar images due to field inhomogeneities may contribute to inaccurate co-registration.

with the pre-operative IAP language results is expectedly high for RTL patients but is surprisingly low for LTL patients. These results strongly suggest that an interhemispheric reorganisation of language activation has occurred in LTL patients.

This is the first imaging study demonstrating hemispheric differences in cerebral language activation between left- and right-resected patients. At first sight both the left-hemispheric epileptic seizures and the left temporal lobe resection might have contributed to the reduced left-hemispheric language lateralization in LTL patients. The data of this study do not allow to disentangle these two effects. Focal epileptic seizures underlying lesions with an onset early in life and the
type of epileptic activity in the left hemisphere have already been reported to be the cause that a high percentage of LTL patients shows a bilateral language representation in the IAP (Helmstaedter et al., 1997; Risser et al., 1997; Janszky et al., 2003). This demonstrates that a slowly occurring reorganisation process may affect language organisation in patients with chronic refractory epilepsy. In the current study all patients, however, have been carefully selected to exhibit complete left-hemispheric language dominance according to the IAP that was assessed about 6 months before surgery. The possibility of (extensive) pre-operative language reorganisation is therefore unlikely. Moreover, only a limited number of patients (one LTL and one RTL) had a seizure onset before the age of 5, being the critical age to allow early language reorganisation. Adcock et al. (2003) have recently demonstrated that the LI values for preoperative LTL patients are lower than the RTL patients and controls. The preoperative LTL patient group, however, included 4 out of 12 patients with an atypical (one right and three bilateral) language lateralization according to the IAP in the contrary to the RTL group which included only patients with a left lateralized IAP result. Reanalysing the study by Adcock et al. (2003) shows that there are no differences in terms of LI values between pre-operative LTL and RTL patients when only patients with a left-hemispheric language dominance according to the IAP were selected. Because of the pre-operative language lateralization criterion and the almost perfect agreement between fMRI and IAP language lateralization reported in many studies (Binder et al., 1996; Yetkin et al., 1998; Lehéricy et al., 2000; Rutten et al., 2002a,b; Speer et al., 2002; Adcock et al., 2003; Woermann et al., 2003) for RTL and LTL patients, the interhemispheric redistributions effects found in this study are more likely to occur due to the surgical intervention. Post-operative cerebral changes would moreover explain the rather large number of patients exhibiting disagreement between IAP and post-operative fMRI results as found in this study. This means that even in patients after left ATL, who show no obvious cognitive decline, the existing brain language system of the left hemisphere is affected and reorganized, despite all pre-operative precautions.

No significant effects of temporo-frontal distributions changes were observed for the word generation task in neither of the patient groups. This is most likely due to the fact that the activation for this expressive language task is mainly in the (left) prefrontal lobe. Significant temporo-frontal changes were however found for the reading task, which may be expected as this task evokes to a large extent the temporal lobe. For RTL patients the activation was decreased in both temporal lobes in comparison to the controls; this was compensated by increased (predominantly) left prefrontal lobe activation. For the LTL patients the activation in the right temporal lobe increased without strong right frontal activation. Left-hemispheric temporo-frontal changes occurred in LTL patients. These analyses reveal that damage (i.e. resection) to one cerebral node in the temporal lobe apparently affects the activation in the (remote left) frontal lobe.

One potential confounding mechanism that may have contributed to the bilateral balancing of activation in LTL patients is the volume loss of possibly activating tissue in the left ATL. This would suggest that our quantitative results represent a computational artefact. When the activation extent of the left ATL, however, is omitted in the calculation of the LI for the controls the LI turns out to be lowered by approximately 0.01 for the word generation task and by 0.1 for the reading task. This means that the resection of activated tissue does not fully account for the relative large drop in LI value for the LTL group. Moreover, volume loss would also not explain the increase in activation of regions in the contralateral hemisphere, which cerebral reorganisation indeed does.

Standard ATL procedures, as performed in the patients included in this study, are usually smaller in spatial extent in the language dominant lobe than in the non-dominant lobe. This is reflected by the resection volumes which are on average 50% smaller in the LTL than in the RTL patient group. Despite the smaller resection volume in the left temporal lobe, the strongest deviations in hemispheric activation patterns between controls and patients occur in the LTL patient group. This notion fits to the idea that during left ATL proper language areas or connections to them might be damaged, but remain unaffected during right ATL.

Interhemispheric reorganisations that occur during the time course of lesion development and epileptic seizure accumulation may evaluate gradually in time, and at the time of surgery may not be at the level to be detectable with the IAP. All patients exhibiting atypical language representation according the fMRI
outcome in our study, do show, to some extent, remnant left-hemispheric brain activity. It remains unclear to which extent each activation site, whether left- or right-sided, contributes to the language processing. Moreover, the age at surgery for these patients was 18 years or higher, which is considered suboptimal for complete shifts of language function across the hemispheres. This suggests therefore, that in addition to the use of homologous brain regions in the contralateral hemisphere preservation of the neural networks in the resected hemisphere exists. This mechanism has also previously been suggested for patients with language function recovery after stroke (Calvert et al., 2000) and patients with lesions with late onset (Müller et al., 1999). For the patients with left-sided resection in this study, however, it remains unknown whether the deviating activation patterns are related to recovery after impairment or not.

The fMRI technique is more sensitive to detect language capabilities of the right hemisphere than the IAP. Therefore, a comparison between pre-operative IAP results and post-operative fMRI results is complicated. Forthcoming studies intended to elucidate plasticity effects should feature pre-surgical imaging results as well. Such a study approach would also enable to demonstrate to which extent the right hemisphere is pre-operatively involved in language processing and avoid complications concerning the comparison between different techniques. Additional pre-operative fMRI would enable to directly measure activation changes yielding explicit evidence of acute redistributions effects in individual patients. Moreover, because LTL patients are much more at risk to exhibit post-operative declines in verbal memory and language functioning, it would be interesting to investigate a possible relationship between cognitive declines as measured with neuropsychological tests and changes in brain activation distributions. A limitation of the current study is the clinical approach, thereby the absence of post-operative neuropsychological test results. Although no deficits were noted concerning language performance after surgery, subtle deficits may be detectable by detailed neuropsychological testing before and after surgery. Future work is encouraged to study TLE patients before and on several time-points after surgery. Ideally one would like to increase the number of patients included in such a longitudinal approach to track correlations between the changes in language organization, changes in neuropsychological performance, and patient characteristics as gender, onset of seizures, and seizure frequency, but also seizure spread and spiking frequency (Janszky et al., 2003). Moreover, it is noted that we used an observational study design that does not allow to conclude on causal relations instead of an experimental design.

In conclusion, hemispheric distributions of language activation obtained from post-operative fMRI exams highly differ between left and right ATL patient groups. Language activation patterns in patients after left ATL are less lateralized to the left hemisphere or are more bi-hemispherically distributed compared to both controls and RTL patients. Post-operative epilepsy patients also may have a significantly different temporo-frontal distribution of activation compared to controls. The results of this study on postoperative patients, in combination with recently published results on pre-operative patients (Adcock et al., 2003), suggest that resection of the left anterior temporal lobe results in interhemispheric redistribution effects for cerebral language organization, involving homologous brain areas contralateral to the resected side.
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