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#### Abstract

This article contains a proof of the MDS conjecture for $k \leq 2 p-2$. That is, that if $S$ is a set of vectors of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ in which every subset of $S$ of size $k$ is a basis, where $q=p^{h}, p$ is prime and $q$ is not and $k \leq 2 p-2$, then $|S| \leq q+1$. It also contains a short proof of the same fact for $k \leq p$, for all $q$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $S$ be a set of vectors of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ in which every subset of size $k$ is a basis.
In 1952, Bush [2] showed that if $k \geq q$ then $|S| \leq k+1$ and the bound is attained if and only if $S$ is equivalent to $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}, e_{1}+\ldots+e_{k}\right\}$, where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}$ is a basis.

The main conjecture for maximum distance separable codes (the MDS conjecture), proposed (as a question) by Segre [9] in 1955 is the following.

Conjecture 1.1. A set $S$ of vectors of the vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$, with the property that every subset of $S$ of size $k \leq q$ is a basis, has size at most $q+1$, unless $q$ is even and $k=3$ or $k=q-1$, in which case it has size at most $q+2$.

In this article we shall prove the conjecture for all $k \leq 2 p-2$, where $q=p^{h}$, $p$ is prime and $q$ is not prime.

We shall also prove the conjecture for $q$ prime, which was first proven in [1]. It may help the reader to look at the first four sections of [1], although this article is self-contained (with the exception of the proof of Lemma 2.1) and can be read independently. The proof

[^0]here is based on the ideas of [1] which themselves are based on the initial idea of Segre in [8].

For a complete list of when the conjecture is known to hold for $q$ non-prime, see [4] and also [5].

The best known bounds, up to first-order of magnitude ( $c_{i}$ are constants), are that for $q$ an odd non-square, the conjecture holds for $k<\sqrt{p q} / 4+c_{1} p$, Voloch [11]. For $q=p^{2 h}$, where $p \geq 5$ is a prime, the conjecture holds for $k \leq \sqrt{q} / 2+c_{2}$, Hirschfeld and Korchmáros [3], and here we shall prove the conjecture for $k \leq 2 \sqrt{q}+c_{3}$ in the case $q=p^{2}$. The conjecture is known to hold for all $q \leq 27$ and for all $k \leq 5$ and $k=6$ with some exceptions.

Conjecture 1.1 has implications for various problems in combinatorics, most notably for maximum distance separable codes (whence the name) from coding theory and the uniform matroid from matroid theory.

A linear maximum distance separable code is a linear code of length $n$, dimension $k$ and minimum distance $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, for which $d=n-k+1$. Conjecture 1.1 implies that a linear maximum distance separable code has length $n$ at most $q+1$ unless $q$ is even and $k=3$ or $k=q-1$, in which case it has length at most $q+2$. For more details on codes and MDS codes in particular, see [6].

A matroid $M=(E, F)$ is a pair in which $E$ is a set and $F$ is a set of subsets of $E$, called independent sets, such that (1) every subset of an independent set is an independent subset; and (2) for all $A \subseteq E$, all maximal independent subsets of $A$ have the same cardinality, called the rank of $A$ and denoted $r(A)$. The maximal independent sets of the uniform matroid of rank $r$ are all the $r$ element subsets of the set $E$. Conjecture 1.1 implies that the uniform matroid of rank $r$, with $|E| \geq r+2$, is representable over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ if and only if $|E| \leq q+1$, unless $q$ is even and $r=3$ or $r=q-1$, in which case it is if and only if $|E| \leq q+2$. For more details on matroids and representations of matroids in particular, see [7].

## 2 The tangent function and the Segre product

For any subset $Y$ of $k-2$ elements of $S$, since there are at most $k-1$ vectors of $S$ in a hyperplane, there are exactly

$$
t=q+1-(|S|-k+2)=q+k-1-|S|
$$

hyperplanes containing $Y$ and no other vector of $S$.
We shall assume throughout that $t \geq 1$, which is no restriction since we are trying to prove $|S| \leq q+1$ for $k \geq 4$.

Let $\phi_{Y}$ be a set of $t$ linearly independent linear maps from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with the property that for each $\alpha \in \phi_{Y}, \operatorname{Ker}(\alpha)$ is one of the $t$ hyperplanes containing $Y$ and no other vector of $S$.

The tangent function at $Y$ is defined (up to scalar factor) as

$$
T_{Y}(x)=\prod_{\alpha \in \phi_{Y}} \alpha(x)
$$

and is a map from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
The following is a coordinate-free version of Segre's lemma of tangents [10] and is from [1].

Lemma 2.1. Let $D$ be a set of $k-3$ elements of $S$. For all $x, y, z \in S \backslash D$

$$
T_{\{x\} \cup D}(y) T_{\{y\} \cup D}(z) T_{\{z\} \cup D}(x)=(-1)^{t+1} T_{\{x\} \cup D}(z) T_{\{y\} \cup D}(x) T_{\{z\} \cup D}(y) .
$$

Since we wish to write $\operatorname{det}(A)$ where $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ is a subset of $S$, to mean the determinant $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$, we order the elements of $S$ from now on. We write $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}\right)$ to mean the determinant in which the elements of $A_{1}$ come first, then the elements of $A_{2}$, etc.

The following, which follows from interpolating the tangent function, is also from [1].
Lemma 2.2. If $|S| \geq k+t>k$ then for any $Y$ of size $k-2$ and $E$ of size $t+2$, disjoint subsets of $S$,

$$
0=\sum_{a \in E} T_{Y}(a) \prod_{z \in E \backslash\{a\}} \operatorname{det}(z, a, Y)^{-1} .
$$

Let $A=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right)$ be two subsequences of $S$ of the same length $n$ and let $D$ be a subset of $S \backslash(A \cup B)$ of size $k-n-1$.

We define the Segre product of $A$ and $B$ with base $D$ to be

$$
P_{D}(A, B)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{T_{D \cup\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, b_{i}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\}}\left(a_{i}\right)}{T_{D \cup\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, b_{i}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\}}\left(b_{i-1}\right)}
$$

and $P_{D}(\emptyset, \emptyset)=1$.
The following lemmas are a consequence of Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 2.3.

$$
P_{D}\left(A^{*}, B\right)=(-1)^{t+1} P_{D}(A, B),
$$

where the sequence $A^{*}$ is obtained from $A$ by interchanging two elements.
Proof. It is enough to prove the lemma for two adjacent elements in $A$ since the transposition $(j \ell)$ can be written as the product of $2(\ell-j)+1$ transpositions of the form ( $n n+1$ ).

The only terms in the Segre product which differ when we interchange $a_{j}$ and $a_{j+1}$ are the terms in the product for $i=j$ and $i=j+1$. Trivially

$$
\frac{T_{D \cup\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}, b_{j}, b_{j+1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\}}\left(a_{j}\right)}{T_{D \cup\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}, b_{j}, b_{j+1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\}}\left(b_{j-1}\right)} \frac{T_{D \cup\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}, a_{j}, b_{j+1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\}}\left(a_{j+1}\right)}{T_{D \cup\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}, a_{j}, b_{j+1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\}}\left(b_{j}\right)}
$$

is equal to

$$
\frac{T_{\Delta \cup\left\{b_{j}\right\}}\left(a_{j}\right)}{T_{\Delta \cup\left\{b_{j}\right\}}\left(b_{j-1}\right)} \frac{T_{\Delta \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\}}\left(a_{j+1}\right)}{T_{\Delta \cup\left\{a_{j}\right\}}\left(b_{j}\right)},
$$

where $\Delta=D \cup\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}, b_{j+1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\}$, which is equal to

$$
(-1)^{t+1} \frac{T_{\Delta \cup\left\{b_{j}\right\}}\left(a_{j+1}\right)}{T_{\Delta \cup\left\{b_{j}\right\}}\left(b_{j-1}\right)} \frac{T_{\Delta \cup\left\{a_{j+1}\right\}}\left(a_{j}\right)}{T_{\Delta \cup\left\{a_{j+1}\right\}}\left(b_{j}\right)},
$$

by Lemma 2.1.
In the same way the following lemma also holds.
Lemma 2.4 .

$$
P_{D}\left(A, B^{*}\right)=(-1)^{t+1} P_{D}(A, B),
$$

where the sequence $B^{*}$ is obtained from $B$ by interchanging two elements.
The following lemma will also be needed.
Lemma 2.5. If $A$ and $B$ are subsequences of $S$ and $|A|=|B|-1$ then

$$
\frac{T_{D \cup B}(y)}{T_{D \cup B}(x)} P_{D \cup\{y\}}(\{x\} \cup A, B)=(-1)^{t+1} P_{D \cup\{x\}}(\{y\} \cup A, B) .
$$

Proof. Using the definition of the Segre product and Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{T_{D \cup B}(y)}{T_{D \cup B}(x)} P_{D \cup\{y\}}(\{x\} \cup A, B)=\frac{T_{D \cup B}(y)}{T_{D \cup B}(x)} \frac{T_{D \cup\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}, y\right\}}(x)}{T_{D \cup\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}, y\right\}}\left(b_{0}\right)} P_{D \cup\{x, y\}}\left(A, B \backslash\left\{b_{0}\right\}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{t+1} \frac{T_{D \cup\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}, x\right\}}(y)}{T_{D \cup\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}, x\right\}}\left(b_{0}\right)} P_{D \cup\{x, y\}}\left(A, B \backslash\left\{b_{0}\right\}\right)=(-1)^{t+1} P_{D \cup\{x\}}(\{y\} \cup A, B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 The main lemma

For any subset $B$ of an ordered set $L$, let $\sigma(B, L)$ be $(t+1)$ times the number of transpositions needed to order $L$ so that the elements of $B$ are the last $|B|$ elements.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A$ of size $n$, $L$ of size $r$, $D$ of size $k-1-r$ and $\Omega$ of size $t+1-n$ be pairwise disjoint subsequences of $S$. If $n \leq r \leq n+p-1$ and $r \leq t+2$, where $q=p^{h}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n}}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)} P_{D \cup(L \backslash B)}(A, B) \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B} \operatorname{det}(z, A, L \backslash B, D)^{-1}= \\
(-1)^{(r-n)(n t+n+1)} \sum_{\substack{\Delta \subseteq \Omega \\
|\Delta|=r-n}} P_{D}(A \cup \Delta, L) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup L} \operatorname{det}(z, A, \Delta, D)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. By induction on $r$. The case $r=n$ is straightforward.
Fix an $x \in L$ and apply the inductive step to $L \backslash\{x\}$ and $\{x\} \cup D$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \backslash\{x\} \\
|B|=n}}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L \backslash\{x\})} P_{D \cup(L \backslash B)}(A, B) \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B} \operatorname{det}(z, A, L \backslash(B \cup\{x\}), x, D)^{-1}= \\
(-1)^{(r-n-1)(n t+n+1)} \sum_{\substack{\Delta \subseteq \subseteq \Omega \\
|\Delta|=r-n-1}} P_{D \cup\{x\}}(A \cup \Delta, L \backslash\{x\}) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup L} \operatorname{det}(z, A, \Delta, x, D)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\Delta$ be a subset of $\Omega$ of size $r-n-1$. The set $\Omega \backslash \Delta$ has size $t+1-n-(r-n-1)=t+2-r$ and so since $r \leq t+2$ we can apply Lemma 2.2, with $E=L \cup(\Omega \backslash \Delta)$ and $Y=D \cup A \cup \Delta$, and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\sum_{x \in L} T_{D \cup A \cup \Delta}(x) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup(L \backslash\{x\})} \operatorname{det}(z, A, \Delta, x, D)^{-1} \\
& +\sum_{y \in \Omega \backslash \Delta} T_{D \cup A \cup \Delta}(y) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash(\{y\} \cup \Delta)) \cup L} \operatorname{det}(z, A, \Delta, y, D)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiply this equation by $P_{D}(A \cup \Delta \cup d, L) T_{D \cup A \cup \Delta}(d)^{-1}$ for some $d$ for which $T_{D \cup A \cup \Delta}(d) \neq$ 0 . By Lemma 2.4 we can rearrange $L$ so that the last element is $x$, which changes the sign by $\sigma(x, L)$. This gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\sum_{x \in L}(-1)^{\sigma(x, L)} P_{D \cup x}(A \cup \Delta, L \backslash\{x\}) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup(L \backslash\{x\})} \operatorname{det}(z, A, \Delta, x, D)^{-1}+ \\
\sum_{y \in \Omega \backslash \Delta} P_{D}(A \cup \Delta \cup\{y\}, L) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash(\Delta \cup\{y\})) \cup L} \operatorname{det}(z, A, \Delta, y, D)^{-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

since

$$
P_{D}(A \cup \Delta \cup\{d\}, L) T_{D \cup A \cup \Delta}(x) T_{D \cup A \cup \Delta}(d)^{-1}=P_{D \cup x}(A \cup \Delta, L \backslash x)
$$

and by Lemma 2.5 (and Lemma 2.3)

$$
P_{D}(A \cup \Delta \cup\{d\}, L) T_{D \cup A \cup \Delta}(y) T_{D \cup A \cup \Delta}(d)^{-1}=P_{D}(A \cup \Delta \cup y, L) .
$$

Note that in the second term we can order $\Delta \cup\{y\}$ in any way we please without changing the sign since, by Lemma 2.3, interchanging two elements of $\Delta \cup\{y\}$ in $P_{D}(A \cup \Delta \cup\{y\}, L)$ changes the sign by $(-1)^{t+1}$, exactly the same change occurs when we interchange the same vectors in the product of determinants.

Therefore, when we sum this equation over subsets $\Delta$ of $\Omega$ of size $r-n-1$ and apply the induction hypothesis, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\sum_{x \in L}(-1)^{\sigma(x, L)+(r-n-1)(n t+n+1)} \sum_{\substack{B \subset L \backslash\{x\} \\
|B|=n}}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L \backslash\{x\})} P_{D \cup(L \backslash B)}(A, B) \\
\prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B} \operatorname{det}(z, A, L \backslash(B \cup\{x\}), x, D)^{-1}+ \\
(r-n) \sum_{\substack{\Delta \subseteq \Omega \\
|\Delta|=r-n}} P_{D}(A \cup \Delta, L) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup L} \operatorname{det}(z, A, \Delta, D)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
\sigma(B, L)=\sigma(x, L)+\sigma(B, L \backslash\{x\})+\sigma(x, L \backslash(B \cup\{x\}))+n(t+1)
$$

this equation gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-1)^{(r-n)(n t+n+1)}(r-n) \sum_{\substack{B \subset L \\
|B|=n}}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)} P_{D \cup(L \backslash B)}(A, B) \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B} \operatorname{det}(z, A, L \backslash B, D)^{-1}= \\
(r-n) \sum_{\substack{\Delta \subseteq \Omega \\
|\Delta|=r-n}} P_{D}(A \cup \Delta, L) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup L} \operatorname{det}(z, A, \Delta, D)^{-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

which is what we wanted to prove.
Theorem 3.2. If $k \leq p$ then $|S| \leq q+1$.
Proof. If $|S|=q+2$ then $t=k-3$. If $q$ is prime then, by [1, Lemma 5.1], we may dualise in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{q+2}$, if necessary, to assume that $k \leq(q+1) / 2$ and so $k+t \leq q+2$.

Since $k+t \leq q+2$ we can apply Lemma 3.1 with $r=t+2=k-1$ and $n=0$ and get

$$
\prod_{z \in \Omega} \operatorname{det}(z, L)^{-1}=0
$$

which is a contradiction.

## 4 The case $|S|=q+2$ and $q$ is non-prime.

For any subsequence $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ of $S$ and $\tau \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, define the subsequence $X_{\tau}=\left\{x_{i} \mid i \in \tau\right\}$.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $|S|=q+2$ and $n \geq k-p$. Let $A$ of size $n-m$, $L$ of size $k-1-m$, $\Omega$ of size $k-2-n$, $X$ of size $m$, $Y$ of size $m$ be disjoint subsequences of $S$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m}} & \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)+|\tau|} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(A \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L \backslash B\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=\{1, \ldots, m\}$.
Proof. By induction on $m$. For $m=0$ this is Lemma 3.1 with $r=t+2=k-1$, which gives the bound $n \geq k-p$.

Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ have size $m$ and that $x, y \in S$ are not contained in $X, Y, L$ or $A$. We wish to prove the equation for $X \cup\{x\}, Y \cup\{y\}, L$ and $A$, where $|L|=k-2-m$ and $|A|=n-m-1$.

Apply the inductive step to $\{y\} \cup L, A \cup\{x\}, X$ and $Y$.
Writing the first sum as two sums depending on whether $B$ contains $y$ or not, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)+|\tau|} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup\{y\} \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(A \cup\{x\} \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right) \\
\times \prod_{\substack{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau}}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, x, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, y, L \backslash B\right)^{-1} \\
\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m-1}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(\{y\} \cup B,\{y\} \cup L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)+|\tau|} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(A \cup\{x\} \cup Y_{\tau},\{y\} \cup B \cup X_{\tau}\right) \\
\\
\times \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau \backslash\{y\}}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, x, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L \backslash B\right)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, then Lemma 2.5 and then Lemma 2.3 again, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{T_{L \cup X}(y)}{T_{L \cup X}(x)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup\{y\} \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(A \cup\{x\} \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right)= \\
(-1)^{(n-m+1)(t+1)} \frac{T_{L \cup X}(y)}{T_{L \cup X}(x)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup\{y\} \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(\{x\} \cup A \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right)= \\
(-1)^{(n-m)(t+1)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup\{x\} \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(\{y\} \cup A \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
=(-1)^{t+1} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup\{x\} \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(A \cup\{y\} \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right),
$$

and by Lemma 2.3 and the definition of the Segre product

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{T_{L \cup X}(y)}{T_{L \cup X}(x)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(A \cup\{x\} \cup Y_{\tau},\{y\} \cup B \cup X_{\tau}\right)= \\
(-1)^{(n-m+1)(t+1)} \frac{T_{L \cup X}(y)}{T_{L \cup X}(x)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(\{x\} \cup A \cup Y_{\tau},\{y\} \cup B \cup X_{\tau}\right)= \\
(-1)^{(n-m+1)(t+1)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \succ \cup\{x\}}\left(A \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, multiplying the equation before by $T_{L \cup X}(y) T_{L \cup X}(x)^{-1}$ and noting that

$$
\sigma(\{y\} \cup B,\{y\} \cup L)=\sigma(B, L)+(k-n-1)(t+1)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)+|\tau|} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup\{x\} \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(A \cup\{y\} \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right) \\
\times \prod_{\substack{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau}}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, x, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, y, L \backslash B\right)^{-1} \\
|B|=n-m-1 \\
\sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)+|\tau|+(k-m-1)(t+1)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \tau} \cup\{x\}}\left(A \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right) \\
\\
\times \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau} \cup\{y\}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, x, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L \backslash B\right)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying the inductive step to $\{x\} \cup L, A \cup\{y\}, X$ and $Y$ and writing the sum as two sums depending on whether $B$ contains $x$ or not, gives an equation similar to the above. The first sum in both equations vary only in the position of $x$ and $y$ in the determinants. Switching these in the above, multiplying by $(-1)^{t+1}$, and equating the two second sums gives,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m-1}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)+|\tau|+(k-m)(t+1)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \tau} \cup\{x\}}\left(A \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right) \\
\times \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau} \cup\{y\}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, x, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L \backslash B\right)^{-1} . \\
=\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m-1}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)+|\tau|+(k-n-1)(t+1)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(A \cup\{y\} \cup Y_{\tau},\{x\} \cup B \cup X_{\tau}\right) \\
\\
\times \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau \backslash\{x\}}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, y, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L \backslash B\right)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that on the right-hand side of the equality we use

$$
\sigma(\{x\} \cup B,\{x\} \cup L)=\sigma(B, L)+(k-n-1)(t+1)
$$

Rearranging the order of the vectors in the Segre product of the right-hand side (applying Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4) and the vectors in the determinants gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m-1}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)+|\tau|-|\tau|(t+1)} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \tau} \cup\{x\}}\left(A \cup Y_{\tau}, B \cup X_{\tau}\right) \\
\times \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau} \cup\{y\}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, X_{M \backslash \tau}, x, Y_{\tau}, L \backslash B\right)^{-1} . \\
=\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m-1}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)+|\tau|} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(A \cup Y_{\tau} \cup\{y\}, B \cup X_{\tau} \cup\{x\}\right) \\
\\
\times \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau} \cup\{x\}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, y, L \backslash B\right)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, note that

$$
\sigma\left((X \cup\{x\})_{\tau}, X \cup\{x\}\right)=|\tau|(t+1)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)
$$

and that

$$
\sigma\left((X \cup\{x\})_{\tau \cup\{m+1\}}, X \cup\{x\}\right)=\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)
$$

from which we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m-1}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}^{+}, X^{+}\right)+|\tau|} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M+\backslash \tau}^{+}}\left(A \cup Y_{\tau}^{+}, B \cup X_{\tau}^{+}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau}^{+} \cup Y_{M^{+} \backslash \tau}^{+}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, X_{M^{+} \backslash \tau}^{+}, Y_{\tau^{+}}^{+}, L \backslash B\right)^{-1} . \\
&=\sum_{\substack{B \subseteq L \\
|B|=n-m-1}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq M}(-1)^{\sigma(B, L)+\sigma\left(X_{\tau^{+}}^{+}, X^{+}\right)+|\tau|} P_{(L \backslash B) \cup X_{M^{+} \backslash \tau^{+}}^{+}}\left(A \cup Y_{\tau^{+}}^{+}, B \cup X_{\tau^{+}}^{+}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup B \cup X_{\tau^{+}}^{+} \cup Y_{M^{+} \backslash \tau^{+}}^{+}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, A, X_{M^{+} \backslash \tau^{+}}^{+}, Y_{\tau^{+}}^{+}, L \backslash B\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X^{+}=X \cup\{x\}, Y^{+}=Y \cup\{y\}, \tau^{+}=\tau \cup\{m+1\}$ and $M^{+}=M \cup\{m+1\}$, which is what we wanted to prove.

## 5 The main theorem

The following follows from Laplace's formula for determinants.
Lemma 5.1. Suppose that $W \cup L$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ and $|X|=n$ and $W=\left\{w_{1} . w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n+1}\right\}$.
Then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}(-1)^{j-1} \operatorname{det}\left(y, W \backslash w_{j}, L\right) \operatorname{det}\left(w_{j}, X, L\right)=\operatorname{det}(W, L) \operatorname{det}(y, X, L)
$$

THEOREM 5.2. If $q$ is non-prime and $k \leq 2 p-2$ then $|S| \leq q+1$.
Proof. By Theorem 3.2, we can restrict ourselves to the cases $k \geq p+1$.
Suppose $|S|=q+2$ and apply Lemma 4.1 with $n=m=k-p$. Then

$$
0=\sum_{\tau \subseteq\{1, \ldots n\}}(-1)^{|\tau|+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)} P_{L \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(Y_{\tau}, X_{\tau}\right) \prod_{z \in \Omega \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L\right)^{-1}
$$

where $|L|=p-1, \Omega=p-2$ and $|M|=k-p$.
Let $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{2 n}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $S$ disjoint from $L \cup X \cup Y \cup E$, where $E$ is a subset of $\Omega$ of size $p-2-n=2 p-k-2$. Define $W_{j}=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{j}\right\}$.

We shall prove the following by induction on $r \leq n$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\sum_{\tau \subseteq\{1, \ldots n\}}(-1)^{|\tau|+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)} P_{L \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(Y_{\tau}, X_{\tau}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{det}\left(y_{n+1-i}, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L\right) \\
\prod_{z \in E \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau} \cup W_{n+r}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L\right)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $r=0$ this is the above with $\Omega=E \cup W_{n}$. Applying the inductive step with $W_{n+r-1}=$ $W_{r+n} \backslash\left\{w_{j}\right\}$, where $j \in\{r, r+1, \ldots, r+n\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \sum_{\tau \subseteq\{1, \ldots n\}}(-1)^{|\tau|+\sigma\left(X_{\tau}, X\right)} P_{L \cup X_{M \backslash \tau}}\left(Y_{\tau}, X_{\tau}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \operatorname{det}\left(y_{n+1-i}, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L\right) \\
& \operatorname{det}\left(w_{j}, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L\right) \prod_{z \in E \cup X_{\tau} \cup Y_{M \backslash \tau} \cup W_{n+r}} \operatorname{det}\left(z, X_{M \backslash \tau}, Y_{\tau}, L\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by $(-1)^{j-1} \operatorname{det}\left(y_{n+1-r}, W_{n+r} \backslash\left(W_{r-1} \cup\left\{w_{j}\right\}\right), L\right)$, summing over $j \in\{r, r+$ $1, \ldots, r+n\}$ and applying Lemma 5.1 proves the induction.

For $r=n$ every term in the sum is zero apart from the term corresponding to $\tau=\emptyset$, which gives

$$
0=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{det}\left(y_{n+1-i}, X, L\right) \prod_{z \in E \cup Y \cup W_{2 n}} \operatorname{det}(z, X, L)^{-1},
$$

which is a contradiction.

COROLLARY 5.3. If $q$ is non-prime and $q-2 p+4 \leq k \leq q$ then $|S| \leq q+1$.
Proof. Suppose that $|S|=q+2$. Then by [1, Lemma 5.1] we can construct a set of vectors $S^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{q+2-k}$ of size $q+2$ with the property that every subset of $S^{\prime}$ of size $q+2-k$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{q+2-k}$.

## 6 Appendix

Using the Segre product and the lemmas from Section 2 we can give a short proof of [1, Lemma 4.1], the main tool used to prove that $|S| \leq q+1$ and classify the case $|S|=q+1$, for $k \leq p$, in [1].

Lemma 6.1. Let $L$ of size $r$, $D$ of size $k-1-r$ and $\Omega$ of size $t+2$ be pairwise disjoint subsequences of $S$. If $1 \leq r \leq t+2$ and $r \leq p-1$, where $q=p^{h}$, then

$$
0=\sum_{\substack{\Delta \subseteq \Omega \\|\Delta|=r}} P_{D}(\Delta, L) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup\left(L \backslash \ell_{0}\right)} \operatorname{det}(z, \Delta, D)^{-1},
$$

where $\ell_{0}$ is the first element of $L$.
Proof. By induction on $r$. The case $r=1$ follows by dividing the equation in Lemma 2.2, with $E=\Omega$ and $Y=D$, by $T_{D}\left(\ell_{0}\right)$.

Fix $x \in L$ and apply the induction step to $L \backslash\{x\}$ and $\{x\} \cup D$,

$$
0=\sum_{\substack{\Delta \subseteq \Omega \\|\Delta|=r-1}} P_{D \cup\{x\}}(\Delta, L \backslash\{x\}) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup\left(L \backslash\left\{\ell_{0}, x\right\}\right)} \operatorname{det}(z, \Delta, x, D)^{-1}
$$

Let $\Delta$ be a subset of $\Omega$ of size $r-1$. Applying Lemma 2.2 with $E=(\Omega \cup L) \backslash\left(\Delta \cup\left\{\ell_{0}\right\}\right)$ and $Y=\Delta \cup D$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\sum_{x \in L \backslash\left\{\ell_{0}\right\}} T_{D \cup \Delta}(x) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup\left(L \backslash\left\{\ell_{0}, x\right\}\right)} \operatorname{det}(z, \Delta, x, D)^{-1} \\
& +\sum_{y \in \Omega \backslash \Delta} T_{D \cup \Delta}(y) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash(\Delta \cup\{y\})) \cup\left(L \backslash\left\{\ell_{0}\right\}\right)} \operatorname{det}(z, \Delta, y, D)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by $P_{D}(\Delta \cup d, L) T_{D \cup \Delta}(d)^{-1}$ for some $d$ for which $T_{D \cup A \cup \Delta}(d) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.4 we can rearrange $L$ so that the last element is $x$, which changes the sign by $\sigma(x, L)$. This gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\sum_{x \in L \backslash\left\{\ell_{0}\right\}}(-1)^{\sigma(x, L)} P_{D \cup\{x\}}(\Delta, L \backslash\{x\}) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup\left(L \backslash\left\{\ell_{0}, x\right\}\right)} \operatorname{det}(z, \Delta, x, D)^{-1}+ \\
\sum_{y \in \Omega \backslash \Delta} P_{D}(\Delta \cup\{y\}, L) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash(\Delta \cup\{y\})) \cup L \backslash\left\{\ell_{0}\right\}} \operatorname{det}(z, \Delta, y, D)^{-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

since

$$
P_{D}(\Delta \cup\{d\}, L) T_{D \cup \Delta}(x) T_{D \cup \Delta}(d)^{-1}=P_{D \cup\{x\}}(\Delta, L \backslash\{x\})
$$

and by Lemma 2.5 (and Lemma 2.3)

$$
P_{D}(\Delta \cup\{d\}, L) T_{D \cup \Delta}(y) T_{D \cup \Delta}(d)^{-1}=P_{D}(\Delta \cup\{y\}, L) .
$$

Note that in the second term we can order $\Delta \cup\{y\}$ in any way we please without changing the sign since, by Lemma 2.3, interchanging two elements of $\Delta \cup\{y\}$ in $P_{D}(\Delta \cup\{y\}, L)$ changes the sign by $(-1)^{t+1}$, exactly the same change occurs when we interchange the same vectors in the product of determinants.

Therefore, when we sum this equation over subsets $\Delta$ of $\Omega$ of size $r-1$ and apply the induction hypothesis, the first sum is zero and the second sum gives

$$
0=r \sum_{\substack{\Delta \subseteq \Omega \\|\Delta|=r}} P_{D}(\Delta, L) \prod_{z \in(\Omega \backslash \Delta) \cup\left(L \backslash\left\{\ell_{0}\right\}\right)} \operatorname{det}(z, \Delta, D)^{-1} .
$$
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