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Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to assess the potential of $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (FDG-PET/CT) imaging for detection of the primary tumor and its impact on treatment planning in patients presenting with cancer of unknown primary and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)-positive cervical lymph nodes of the upper and middle neck.

Methods: The study population consisted of 18 consecutive patients with biopsy-proven SCC involving lymph nodes of the upper and middle neck region and negative conventional diagnostic procedures with regard to the location of the primary. All patients underwent FDG-PET/CT according to a standard procedure in search for the primary, unidentified tumor.

Results: In none of the patients FDG-PET/CT was able to indicate a primary tumor localization. Although FDG-PET/CT did identify all sites of known lymph node involvement, neither additional sites of lymph node involvement nor sites of distant metastases were identified. Accordingly, FDG-PET/CT did not impact patient treatment planning.

Conclusions: In this series, including patients suffering from lymph node metastases by an SCC of unknown primary in the upper and middle neck, FDG-PET/CT was unable to identify a primary tumor. In addition, FDG-PET/CT did not modify the treatment planning in any of the patients studied.
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Introduction

Up to 15% of cancer patients present with metastasis from a cancer of unknown primary (CUP). Median survival of patients with CUP and an identified primary site subsequently treated with specific therapy is on average 23 months versus 12 months for those patients in whom the primary site is not identified. Thus, detection of the primary tumor in CUP patients is of paramount importance.

Cervical lymph nodes are a common site of involvement in CUP patients. The most commonly encountered histological diagnosis of CUP with cervical lymph node involvement is squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), followed by adenocarcinoma. Cervical lymph node metastases of SCC origin in the upper and middle neck are generally attributed to cancers of the head and neck. For these patients, routine workup generally consists of a physical examination, biopsy or excision of the enlarged lymph nodes, computed tomography (CT) and/or magnetic resonance imaging, and panendoscopy. With this routine workup, the primary SCC remains undetected in ~2% of patients.

Previous reports on the usefulness of $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) in CUP patients with negative clinical and conventional diagnostic procedures suggest that FDG-PET may play a role in identifying the primary tumor in CUP patients with cervical lymph node metastases.
procedures suggest that FDG-PET may detect up to 5%-43% of the primary tumors missed by clinical and morphological evaluation. These figures, however, were reported in series that included patients with CUP and extracervical metastases as well as varying cancer types, for example, SCC, adenocarcinoma, melanoma, large cell carcinoma, plasmacytoma, etc., and the diagnostic performance of FDG-PET was not determined separately for cervical versus extracervical CUP presentations and as a function of the histological subtype. Further, in several of these studies, the PET methodology was either not standardized or inadequate and most of these studies were conducted using FDG-PET and not FDG-PET/CT, which might have resulted in a higher rate of false-positive findings and sensitivity.

In this study, the potential of FDG-PET/CT imaging for detection of the primary tumor and its impact on treatment planning in patients presenting with CUP and SCC-positive cervical lymph nodes of the upper and middle neck were assessed.

Patients and Methods

Between November 2002 and November 2007, patients presenting to the Department of Head and Neck Surgery of University Hospital of Gent, Belgium, with metastatic SCC of the upper and middle neck were included in this study.

All patients had routine workup with medical history and physical examination including rigid endoscopy of the nasal cavity and nasopharynx and flexible fiber-endoscopy of the oropharynx, hypopharynx, and larynx. Contrast-enhanced high-resolution computed tomography of the neck (slice thickness of 3 mm) and the thorax (spiral scanning) was performed in all patients. Fine-needle aspiration cytology or histology of the metastatic lymph nodes proved the presence of metastatic SCC. In all selected patients, neither clinical examination nor computer tomography was able to put forward a possible primary tumor localization.

Next, all patients underwent a full-body FDG-PET/CT to guide eventual biopsies in the following planned panendoscopy under general anesthesia. FDG-PET/CT was performed according to the standard protocol at the Ghent University Hospital. The patients fasted at least 4 hours prior to intravenous injection of F-18 FDG (3.7 MBq/kg body weight). Blood glucose levels were checked prior to the injection of F-18 FDG and did not exceed 140 mg/dL. If not contraindicated, iodinated intravenous contrast (iohexol, 350 mg iodine/mL [GE Healthcare, Diegem, Belgium], or iomeprol, 400 mg iodine/mL [Bracco Imaging Europe, Waver, Belgium]) was administered immediately before CT scanning. Patients were imaged with the Gemini PET-CT imaging system (Philips Co., Cleveland, OH), which consists of a gadolinium oxyorthosilicate full-ring PET scanner with 5.0 mm spatial resolution and a 16-slice helical CT scanner. PET scanning was performed immediately after acquisition of the CT images, without changing the patient position. Images were acquired from the base of the skull to the proximal half of the femora. PET images were reconstructed using an iterative 3D-RAMLA (Row Action Maximum Likelihood Algorithm) provided by the vendor. Low-dose CT data were used for attenuation correction.

Two skilled nuclear medicine physicians and radiologists who were unaware of the clinical findings evaluated FDG-PET/CT results. In cases of discrepancy, the FDG-PET/CT interpretation was reached by consensus. In case of positive FDG-PET/CT findings, surgery or biopsy was required to confirm that positive findings were indeed related to the presence of a primary tumor. If the FDG-PET/CT findings were negative, long-term follow-up was used to confirm the initial negative findings.

Results

Eighteen consecutive patients were included in the study. There were 16 men and 2 women with a mean age of 58.8 years (range: 45.8–78.7 years). The metastatic lymph nodes were located in neck regions II or III or overlapped both regions. Nine lymph nodes were smaller than 3 cm in diameter, six were larger than 3 cm but smaller than 6 cm in diameter, and three were larger than 6 cm in diameter. Nine patients presented with solitary metastatic lymph node involvement, whereas in the remaining 9 patients multiple lymph nodes were involved. According to the UICC 1997 TNM classification, the N-stage of the study patients was N1 in 3, N2a in 4, N2b in 7, N2c in 1, and N3 in 3 patients.

Although all known sites of lymph node involvement were visualized, in none of the patients included did FDG-PET/CT examinations demonstrate a primary tumor. Additionally, in none of the patients additional lymph nodes or distant metastases that could have impacted the therapeutic decision-making were detected.

Patients were treated with a combination of therapies according to the oncologic guideline of the Ghent University Hospital: 4 patients had primary radiotherapy, 9 patients had surgery and adjuvant postoperative radiotherapy, 2 patients had surgery and postoperative concomitant radiochemotherapy (platinum based), and 3 patients had concomitant radiochemotherapy (platinum based). The radiation therapy was intensity modulated with a total dose of 70 Gy and covered the complete mucosa of the upper airway. The chemotherapy was based on cisplatin at a dose of 100 mg/m² and given on days 1, 22, and 43 of the radiotherapy.

With a median follow-up time of 32 months, 10 patients remained alive without evidence of disease, 6 patients died of their disease (all due to distant metastatic disease), and 2 patients were lost to follow-up. Follow-up did not reveal a primary tumor in any of the patients studied, neither by regular clinical examination nor by CT scanning.

Discussion

Finding the primary tumor site in patients presenting with SCC involving cervical lymph nodes of the upper and middle neck presents a diagnostic and therapeutic dilemma. To minimize cosmesis while curing the tumor in these patients,
surgery, with or without selective radiation therapy, is the treatment of choice. However, if the primary tumor is not identified, usually, generalized radiation therapy is administered, which includes the naso-, oro-, and hypopharynx, sometimes with debilitating effects. Further, survival is significantly better for those patients in whom the primary can be identified.

Following thorough clinical examination and morphological imaging, the primary tumor remains undetected in <2% of patients with cervical lymph node metastases of an SCC, explaining the limited number of patients included in this series and other studies available on this topic. Although, in this series, FDG-PET/CT identified all known neck metastases, confirming the FDG avidity of SCC, this imaging modality proved to be unable to identify a primary tumor in any of the patients under study. This finding may be attributed to several causes. First, the size of the lesion as well as the degree of FDG uptake may have been too low and thus, because of the limited spatial resolution and related partial volume effect of PET systems, the lesion may have passed undetected. More recently, time-of-flight PET machines were introduced in the market. These machines allow for the registration of the actual time difference between the arrival of the annihilation photons in the detectors. Incorporation of this information in the reconstruction algorithm improves the spatial resolution. Thus, in the future, studies comparing conventional PET with TOF-PET for the detection of SCC CUP in the upper and middle neck may prove of interest. Second, it has been hypothesized that angiogenic incompetent primary tumors may remain very small, under the detection limit of the system, and even undergo apoptosis following the metastatic process. In this regard, it was found that in cases of SCC involving the head and neck, the primary tumors, uninvolved mucosa, and metastatic lymph nodes had significantly lower total blood vessels counts than the nonmetastatic cervical lymph nodes. Further, in the same study, neoangiogenesis proved much lower in metastatic lymph nodes when compared with the primary tumor, suggesting that the growth of tumors in the metastatic lymph nodes is independent of angiogenesis; lymph node metastases occupy well-vascularized lymph nodes, and lymph sinuses are rich in nutrients. Obviously, if the lesions are no longer there because of spontaneous apoptosis, it cannot be detected.

At first glance, the findings of the present study are in sharp contrast with results reported in a recent meta-analysis performed by Kwee et al. on the potential of combined PET/CT for the detection of CUP. In this meta-analysis, overall FDG-PET/CT was able to detect 37% of primary tumors in patients with CUP, respectively, with a sensitivity and specificity of 84%. The most commonly detected location of the primary tumor by FDG-PET/CT was the lung (33%), and the most common locations of false-positive findings were the lung and oropharynx. Importantly, the sensitivity proved heterogeneous across studies, and subgroup analysis was unable to offer additional information in this regard. In 7 of 11 studies included, the location of the sites of metastases was cervical as well as extracervical, and in 3 of 4 of the remaining studies, minimally three different histological subtypes of metastatic sites were included. Only one study included, by Fakhry et al., reported on a series of 22 patients suffering from SCC involving lymph nodes from CUP. In this series, adopting a similar strategy to ours, FDG-PET/CT detected 4 primary tumors that were not detected by routine staging. Because of limited patient details in this study, direct comparison of the present study with the study by Fakhry et al. is not possible. For instance, it would have been interesting to identify whether sites of lymph node involvement, respectively, upper, middle versus lower cervical, were different between both studies and also whether the extent of lymph node involvement was different between those patients in whom a primary was detected versus those in whom no primary was identified.

Aside from the search for an unidentified primary, in the present study, the potential therapeutic impact of FDG-PET/CT was also addressed. Four studies have previously reported on the therapeutic impact of FDG-PET/CT in CUP patients. In these studies, FDG-PET/CT modified therapy in 18.2%–60% of patients. For instance, in the series by Fakhry et al. in 4 patients in whom FDG-PET/CT identified the primary tumor, the size of the radiation fields could be reduced and thus also morbidity. These reports are in sharp contrast with the findings of the present study; aside from the lack of detection of a primary tumor, no additional sites of lymph node involvement or distant metastases that could have influenced the treatment planning were detected.

Finally, whether or not FDG-PET/CT also impacts patient outcome in CUP patients is an issue that needs to be explored. To date, only one study, by Fencel et al., suggested that FDG-PET/CT in CUP patients might indeed affect outcome. In this series, the survival rate of CUP patients with at least one hypermetabolic lesion proved significantly lower when compared with the remaining CUP patients. Additional studies addressing this issue are warranted.

Conclusions

To conclude, in this series, including only patients suffering from lymph node metastases by an SCC of unknown primary in the upper and middle neck, FDG-PET/CT proved to be unable to identify the primary tumor. In addition, FDG-PET/CT did not modify the treatment planning in any of the patients studied.
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