Three Cases of Severe Subfulminant Hepatitis in Heart-Transplanted Patients After Nosocomial Transmission of a Mutant Hepatitis B Virus
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Fulminant and severe viral hepatitis are frequently associated with mutant hepatitis B virus (HBV) strains. In this study, the genetic background of a viral strain causing severe subfulminant outcome in heart-transplanted patients was studied and compared with viral hepatitis B strains that were not linked to severe liver disease in the same setting. A total of 46 patients infected nosocomially with HBV genotype A were studied. Five different viral strains were detected, infecting 3, 9, 5, 24, and 5 patients, respectively. Only one viral strain was found to be associated with the subfulminant outcome and 3 patient deaths as a consequence of severe liver disease. The remaining 43 patients with posttransplantation HBV infection did not show this fatal outcome. Instead, symptoms of hepatitis were generally mild or clinically undiagnosed. Comparison of this virus genome with the four other strains showed an accumulation of mutations in the basic core promoter, a region that influences viral replication, but also in hepatitis B X protein (HBX) (7 mutant motifs), core (10 mutant motifs), the preS1 region (5 mutant motifs), and the HBpolymerase open reading frame (17 motifs). Some of these variations, such as those in the core region, were located on the tip of the protruding spike of the viral capsid (codons 60 to 90), also known in part as an important HLA class II–restricted epitope region. These mutations might therefore influence the immune-mediated response. The viral strain causing subfulminant hepatitis was, in addition, the only strain with a preCore stop codon mutation and, thus, hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) expression was never observed. The combination of these specific viral factors is thought to be responsible for the fatal outcome in these immune-suppressed heart-transplant recipients. (Hepatology 1999;29:1876-1883.)

The clinical consequences of a hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection include a wide spectrum of different forms of liver injury, ranging from acute, self-limiting infection or chronic hepatitis with progression to cirrhosis and liver failure, to an asymptomatic chronic carrier state. The most severe outcome is described as fulminant hepatitis (FH), in which hepatoocyte death is so extensive that less than 30% of the patients survive. There is ample evidence that HBV variants with preCore stop codon mutations, unable to express the hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg), as well as variants with several mutations in the regulatory region enhancer II/Core promoter, may be associated with severe and fulminant outcome, and that these mutants might have an enhanced replication rate. There are several other reports describing variants in other HBV genes (preS, Core, hepatitis B X protein [HBX]) that have been associated with a FH outcome. But other data indicate that a specific genomic mutational pattern has not yet been defined, and that the preCore mutations by themselves were not sufficient to explain the FH outcome.

Because HBV is not directly cytopathic, or at least not highly cytopathic for the infected hepatocyte, disease pathogenesis is thought to be related to the immune response to HBV-encoded antigens. Clearance of HBV infection was found to be associated with the HLA class II–restricted T-cell epitopes; but HLA class I–restricted epitopes of cytotoxic T lymphocytes are also involved. In FH, this immune reaction is extremely aggressive, resulting in massive hepatocellular necrosis and liver failure. Heart-transplantation (HT) patients are under cellular and humoral immune suppression, and this immune suppression is expected to downmodulate the antiviral response as well. The development of subacute liver failure under these conditions can therefore be considered as remarkable. Nevertheless, FH in such immune-suppressed patients has been reported recently.

In this study, the genotypic variations of the different HBV strains circulating in a HT unit are described. Among the 86 HBV-infected transplantation patients, 46 were carrying HBV genotype A strains, and 3 of them died as a consequence of severe sub-FH. These 3 patients were infected with the same
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unique virus. Analysis of the genetics of this particular HBV strain showed several variant codon positions not found in the HBV strains with the same viral genotype in the same HT settings, but with a non–sub-FH outcome.

Patients and Methods

Patients

From 1984 to 1994, a total of 770 HTs were performed at the Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital in Paris, France. A high prevalence of posttransplantation HBV infection was observed in the heart recipients, because 86 (11.5%) recipients became infected. The 3 patients described in detail in this article were under immune-suppressive regimens consisting of prednisone, cyclosporine, and azathioprine; all 3 patients were negative for HBV markers before transplantation, and none of them was vaccinated.

Nosocomial Transmission of HBV. A case-control study was conducted.20 Briefly, in the settings under investigation, the risk of acquiring an HBV infection increased only significantly with the number of endomyocardial biopsies (EMB), suggesting nosocomial transmission associated with this procedure. Careful data analysis excluded other risk factors (such as other hepatitis viruses, alcohol abuse) as being of any considerable impact on the outcome. One of the patients (P1) had a severe chronic course of liver disease, and 2 others (P2 and P3) had fatal subfulminant liver failure. Serological and transplantation details are given in Fig. 1, including the days of EMB. It is very likely that sub-FH patients P2 and P3 were infected by the same HBV viral strain, because P1 and P2 had an EMB in the same session on June 6, 1992, and P1 and P3 on July 7, 1992 (Fig. 1).

Patient 1. A 60-year-old man underwent transplantation in August 1991. HBV markers became positive from December 1991 onward. In February 1992, alanine transaminase levels were elevated, and HBV-DNA levels were high. In June 1992, liver biopsy showed chronic active hepatitis without cirrhosis. Retrospective analysis showed that approximately 70% of the hepatocytes stained positive for hepatitis B core antigen (HBcAg) in an immunohistochemical protocol. In May 1993, the patient was admitted to the heart-chest surgical intensive care unit with a high fever (39°C); physical examination showed jaundice and ascites. Echocardiography ruled out heart failure, and liver function tests were elevated. He died from decompensated cirrhosis with sepsis.

Patient 2. A 54-year-old man underwent transplantation in January 1989 for dilated cardiomyopathy. HBV markers became positive from September 1992 onward (Fig. 1). Upon admission in December 1992, liver function tests were elevated, without edema or hepatic encephalopathy, but ultrasonography showed an enlarged liver and mild ascites. The patient developed stage II hepatic encephalopathy with worsening liver function tests. He died in January 1993. Postmortem liver biopsy showed marked and extensive necrosis and portal and intralobular fibrosis with lymphoid and polymorphonuclear cell infiltrates. This postmortem material was negative for HBcAg in immunohistochemical analysis.

Patient 3. A 48-year-old man underwent transplantation in July 1991 for dilated cardiomyopathy. He had had several episodes of rejection that responded to high-dose steroids. HBV markers became positive from June 1993 onward, with elevated liver function tests. Jaundice occurred in August 1993. Upon admission in September 1993, the physical examination showed edema and ascites, no signs of hepatic encephalopathy, but liver tests worsened. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy showed grade II esophageal varices. Echocardiography and myocardial biopsy ruled out graft rejection. A first transjugular liver biopsy showed portal fibrosis, piecemeal and lobular necrosis, and a portal infiltrate. The clinical course showed an increase of the ascites and renal impairment. A second liver biopsy showed massive necrosis, extensive fibrosis, and regenerative nodules. Approximately 10% of the hepatocytes stained positive for HBcAg. Hepatic encephalopathy occurred, followed by a variceal hemorrhage, with the patient dying of multiorgan failure in October 1993.

Source of Infection (Heart-donor) for Patient 1. Patient 1 had decompenated heart insufficiency and underwent emergency transplantation with a heart from a person who died in an automobile accident. Retrospective analysis showed that the heart donor was hepatitis B.
surface antigen (HBsAg)-positive and HBeAg-negative. The kidney from the same donor was also transplanted in another patient, but this recipient was vaccinated against HBV, and HBV infection was not subsequently established.

Immunohistochemical HBcAg Staining

The immunohistochemical study of the expression of HBcAg was performed on deparaffinized liver tissue sections by using the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex method (anti-HBc antibody from DAKO SA, Glostrup, Denmark; ABC Elite Kits from Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA).

Serological Assays

HBsAg, anti-HBc, and anti-HBs antibodies (Ab) were assayed using commercial enzyme immunoassays (EIA, Abbott, Chicago, IL; Organon Technika, Boxtel, the Netherlands). HBsAg-positive samples were further investigated for the presence of HBeAg, anti-HBe Ab, and anti-HBc Ab (Abbott; Organon Technika; Vidas, Bio-Mérieux, Marcy, France). HBV DNA in serum was measured by using the Hybrid Capture Assay (Murex, Dartford, UK).

Extraction of HBV DNA

One hundred microliters of serum was diluted with another 100 µL of phosphate-buffered saline. From this mixture, HBV DNA was isolated after a proteinase K digestion by means of binding to glass fibers (High Pure PCR Template preparation Kit, Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany). HBV DNA was eluted from the glass fiber column in 200 µL H2O, and stored at −20°C until use.

Polymerase Chain Reaction Amplification and Sequencing

Two different amplicons were generated: 1) a complete genome amplicon; and 2) the region covering the open reading frame of HBX, preCore, and Core (indicated as X/pC/C). For 1): complete genome amplification was performed on 10 µL of HBV-DNA extract, essentially as described, using amplification primers HBPr 108: 5'-TTTTTCACCTCTGCCTAATCA-3' (sense) and HBPr 109: 5'-AAAAAGTTGCATGGTGCTGG-3' (antisense). Both primers are located in the preCore open reading frame. For 2): the X/pC/C was amplified from 10 µL DNA extract with amplification primers HBPr 110: 5'-CCGTCTCGTGCCTTCTCATGCGG-3' (sense) and HBPr 304 5'-ACTTAGAGGAATAAGCCCC-3' (antisense). The samples were thermocycled for 40 rounds at 94°C for 1 minute, 48°C for 1 minute, and 72°C for 1 minute. Amplification products were visualized and quality-controlled on agarose gel, and sequenced using dideoxy sequencing (ABI prism dye terminator protocol; Perkin Elmer, ABD, Foster City, CA).

Nucleotide Sequences

Sequence information was submitted to Genbank and is available under accession numbers AF090838 to AF090842. Complete genomes were retrieved from the database and included in the phylogenetic analysis and phylogenetic tree construction using the software program GeneCompar (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Accession numbers of sequence isolates used in this approach are indicated in Fig. 3. The consensus genotype A sequence was generated by making alignments of HBV genotype A–Genbank available sequences (excluding FH strains); each nucleotide position was checked, and the most common genotype-specific nucleotide was retained. This consensus sequence is artificial (available upon request), but shows the lowest phylogenetic distance to all other genotype A isolates.

Research Line Probe Assay for PreCore Variability

Codon 28 (cd28) and codon 29 (cd29) showed some genetic variability with consequences in HBeAg expression. Combinations of the amino acids tryptophan (W), glycine (G), stop codon (X), and asparagine (D) can occur at these codon positions. To detect this variability, a research line probe assay (LiPA) was designed with specific probes covering the following motifs: 1) 28W-29G; 2) 28X-29G; 3) 28W-29D; and 4) 28X-29D. In addition, two specific probes for the preCore promoter region covering the important variability at nucleotides 1762 and 1764 were also prepared. One additional probe covering the consensus nucleotide sequence of the preCore ATG region was designed (Fig. 2). Probes were applied on a nitrocellulose membrane as described. HBV preCore was amplified from 10 µL DNA extract with biotinylated primers HBPr
70:5'-TACTTCAAAGACTGTGTGTTA-3' (sense), and HBPR 7: 5'-CTCCACAGTAGCTCCAAATTC-3' (antisense). LiPA test performance was identical as described for INNO-LiPA HCV II.22

Determination of the HLA Type
HLA DRB types were determined on serum DNA by using the LiPA-HLA-DRB decoder (Innogenetics, Gent, Belgium). The 3 patients belonging to G1 were typed: Patient P1: DRB1*0306 1 DRB1*0401; Patient P2: DRB1*1501 1 DRB1*1501; Patient P3: DRB1*1601 1 DRB1*11.

RESULTS
Genotype Analysis of the HBV-Infected Heart-Transplanted Patients. HBV-DNA samples from the 86 HBsAg-positive HT patients were polymerase chain reaction–amplified in the HBsAg region. A total of 76 were found to be polymerase chain reaction–positive and could be genotyped using the previously described LiPA technology.23 Of these, 46 were infected with an HBV genotype A strain, and the remaining 30 showed a genotype D profile. Because the 3 patients with the severe sub-FH outcome could be grouped together with the genotype A population, we continued the analysis on this group; genotype D samples were not analyzed further.

All genotype A samples were tested on research versions of the HBsAg genotyping LiPA,23 and the preCore LiPA (Fig. 2). Based on the combination of observed LiPA variability at 1) HBsAg codon 143 (methionine or threonine), 2) the preCore promoter region (nucleotide positions 1762 and 1764), 3) the variability in the vicinity of the ATG of preCore, and 4) codons 28 and 29 of preCore, we concluded that five different viral HBV strains (indicated as G1 to G5) were involved in this nosocomial transmission. The 3 sub-FH patients belonged to G1 (Table 1).

Determination of the HLA Type
HLA DRB types were determined on serum DNA by using the LiPA-HLA-DRB decoder (Innogenetics, Gent, Belgium). The 3 patients belonging to G1 were typed: Patient P1: DRB1*0306 + DRB1*0401; Patient P2: DRB1*1501 + DRB1*1501; Patient P3: DRB1*1601 + DRB1*11.

RESULTS
Genotype Analysis of the HBV-Infected Heart-Transplanted Patients. HBV-DNA samples from the 86 HBsAg-positive HT patients were polymerase chain reaction–amplified in the HBsAg region. A total of 76 were found to be polymerase chain reaction–positive and could be genotyped using the previously described LiPA technology.23 Of these, 46 were infected with an HBV genotype A strain, and the remaining 30 showed a genotype D profile. Because the 3 patients with the severe sub-FH outcome could be grouped together with the genotype A population, we continued the analysis on this group; genotype D samples were not analyzed further.

All genotype A samples were tested on research versions of the HBsAg genotyping LiPA,23 and the preCore LiPA (Fig. 2). Based on the combination of observed LiPA variability at 1) HBsAg codon 143 (methionine or threonine), 2) the preCore promoter region (nucleotide positions 1762 and 1764), 3) the variability in the vicinity of the ATG of preCore, and 4) codons 28 and 29 of preCore, we concluded that five different viral HBV strains (indicated as G1 to G5) were involved in this nosocomial transmission. The 3 sub-FH patients belonged to G1 (Table 1).

Based on this G1-to-G5 classification, complete HBV genome sequence analysis was performed on 1 patient isolate from each group. Phylogenetic analysis on complete HBV genomes confirmed that all five indeed clustered together with reference genotype A isolates on the same branch of the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3), as did the artificial genotype A consensus sequence. The complete genomes were 3,221-bp long; the sub-FH isolate from G1 showed 1.77% dissimilarity with the consensus genotype A sequence; G2, G3, and G4 had dissimilarities of 0.75%, 0.75%, and 0.9%, respectively, but, surprisingly, the G5 sequence showed a 4.25% dissimilarity with the consensus sequence.

In addition, another 25 sequences were determined covering the region X/pC/C (Table 1). Within each group, the sequences that were obtained were 100% identical with the complete genome sequence, confirming the LiPA findings and proving that five different strains were indeed present. The only differences in the sequences that were observed were found in the sub-FH patients P2 and P3; these differences are clearly shown in the amino acid alignment of the core region shown in Fig. 4A.

Amino Acid Variability in the 5 Different Genetic Groups. Table 2 summarizes the amino acid changes that were found in the complete genomes as compared with a genotype A consensus sequence. In total, the sub-FH G1 strain showed 46 variant amino acids over the 7 HBV open reading frames, the G5 strain had 63 variant amino acids, while G2, G3, and G4 only had 6, 7, and 18 variant amino acids, respectively. Within the G1 strain, the most striking finding was the accumulation of mutations in the HBcAg open reading frame. In all other groups, none showed variant amino acids in HBcAg. HBX was also found to be quite different in G1, but numerically, G5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Overview of Results Showing Five Different HBV Strains in 46 HBV-Infected HT Patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined LiPA Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequencing Results (n =)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** CG, complete genome.

*The research HBsAg genotyping LiPA [Van Geyt et al., 1998] permits detection of the difference at codon 143 between ATG (methionine) or ACG (threonine).

†The research preCore LiPA allows detection of promoter mutations 1762 and 1764, presence of an ATG precore consensus sequence, and cd28/cd29 variability. Examples taken from the 5 different groups are shown in Fig. 2. All 46 samples were tested for reactivity in the region of preCore translational initiation (ATG at nucleotide position 1814). Samples from G5 having mutations in this region were not reactive in these experiments.

‡Patient 1 was infected with a mixture of viral strain having the codon 28X-29G and 28X-29D genotype; detailed in Fig. 2.
exceeded the amount of mutations (7 amino acid changes in G1 vs. 9 in G5). The majority of all other mutations were found in the 844–amino acid–long polymerase, with G1 showing 17 amino acid changes, G4 showing 11 changes, and G5 showing a total of 38 amino acid changes. Most of these pol mutations were found in the region where the overlapping reading frame of preS1 is located, and the latter is also reflected in the increased amount of mutations found in this part of the envelope protein.

### Nucleotide Changes in the Transcriptional Regulatory Regions.

For the five different viral strains, nucleotide changes in the core upstream regulatory sequence (CURS), the basic core promoter (BCP), and the encapsidation signal (e) are detailed.

![Sequence alignment of representative strains of the 5 HBV genotype A infection groups.](image)

**FIG. 4.** Sequence alignment of representative strains of the 5 HBV genotype A infection groups. Consensus A: nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequence of the artificial consensus genotype A strain (see Patients and Methods). (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of preCore/PreC region. The α-helical and important antigenic regions are indicated. (B) Nucleotide sequence alignment of the regulatory regions, with indication of the different motifs (CURS, ENHII, BCP, e).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Summary of Amino Acid Changes in 5 HBV Genotype A Strains Compared With an Artificial Consensus Genotype A Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBcAg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreCore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBpol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in Fig. 4B. An accumulation of four nucleotide variations occurred in the BCP of the sub-FH strains, but not in the non-FH strains. Remarkably, the 5 patients in G5 showed 6 variant nucleotides at positions covering the enhancer II (ENHII), the BCP, and the encapsidation signal.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we dealt with essentially two issues: 1) a patient-to-patient transmission in a heart-transplantation setting, and 2) the pathogenic potential of a mutated HBV strain. Concerning patient-to-patient transmission, a total of 11.5% of the transplanted patients became infected (mostly after transplantation). A previously published investigation\(^\text{20}\) showed that, by using statistical methods, the most likely way of transmitting the virus nosocomially was via an EMB procedure. As further proof, we found that during the course of analyzing the patients' files, these 3 sub-FH patients underwent such an EMB procedure on the same day. After implementation of special safety regulations in 1995 (described in Rosenheim et al.\(^\text{20}\)), the incidence of new HBV infections was reduced to zero. Concerning the pathogenic potential of the HBV strains involved in this epidemic situation, we compared the nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of five viral HBV strains belonging to genotype A, and that were responsible for a total of 46 infections. Three of these patients died from severe sub-FH, while the remaining 43 showed a chronic but mild hepatitis. Furthermore, as compared with an artificial genotype A consensus sequence, it was shown that G1 (the sub-FH strain) was clearly different in many regions from the control strains G2, G3, and G4, but another viral strain G5, causing 5 infections, was even more divergent from the consensus strain than G1. The most intriguing questions that emerged were: "Why did this G5 strain not cause severe sub-FH in comparable settings?" and "What is so special about the G1 genotype that enables it to cause severe sub-FH?"

Three major differences were observed that might be important to understand the hidden pathogenic potential of the sub-FH strain: First, only the G1 strain was unable to express HBeAg because of the presence of a translational stop in the preCore open reading frame at codon 28 (TGG to TAG) (Fig. 2). Second, G1 had up to 10 mutations in HBcAg; this region was completely conserved in the four other groups, including G5 (Fig. 4A). Third, some very typical mutations in the ENHII and the BCP were present in G1, including the A1762T and the G1764A mutations (Fig. 4B). The particular importance of each of these observations can be considered as follows:

- **With respect to HBeAg expression, G1 strains are preCore-defective mutants and cannot produce HBeAg. This HBeAg might otherwise prevent cytotoxic T lymphocytes from attacking the target HBcAg, because these two antigens share the same T-cell epitopes.**\(^\text{24}\) Therefore, hepatocytes harboring the replicating virus expressing the mutant HBcAg might be attacked directly by cytotoxic T lymphocytes, resulting in an extensive necrosis. This appears to be in agreement with the findings of the immunohistochemical HBcAg stainings observed in 2 patients (1 sample was negative, but this might be the result of the poor quality of the postmortem biopsy). However, preCore-deficient variants do not always cause FH,\(^\text{16,17}\) and not all FH patients harbor preCore-deficient viruses.\(^\text{5,25}\) It is obvious that one or more additional factors are required to lead to a sub-FH outcome. A remarkable observation was present with respect to HBeAg-related serology of patient P1, showing a transient presence of anti-HBe antibodies. This might be a response to a minor population of precore cd28 wild-type virus expressing HBeAg, but this population remains undetectable in molecular biology protocols. It is not known whether such a minor population can induce a detectable level of antibodies. Alternatively, the anti-HBe Ab are transmitted during transplantation or by blood transfusion.

- **Concerning HBcAg mutations, a strong association of core mutations and preCore codon 28 variants was described in patients with chronic hepatitis.**\(^\text{26}\) These HBcAg mutations were predominantly detected around the time of HBeAg clearance, when liver disease is most active, and were mostly found in the middle of the core gene, but not in the C-terminal part. The middle of the core gene (residues 60 to 90) was found to be located at the tip of the protruding spikes of the viral capsid, and therefore surface-exposed.\(^\text{27}\) This region contains much of the HBcAg-related antigenicity.\(^\text{1,27,28}\) Features of core mutations in chronic patients were present in the sub-FH G1 strain: mutations were found mainly in the middle of core (residues 64 to 79), and they were associated with a precore translational stop codon. Of special interest is the P79Q mutation, because this mutation is located on top of the antiparallel α-helical hairpin of HBcAg.\(^\text{25}\) Because the proline residue at position 79 is very conserved,\(^\text{25}\) most likely because of its structural importance, this P79Q mutant may influence the antigenic and conformational character of the protruding spike. In addition, these mutations were also located in previously recognized epitopes.\(^\text{18}\) Mutations in the core regions were previously described and were linked to fulminant outcome.\(^\text{14,25}\)

- In terms of transcriptional regulation, the HBX open reading frame encodes for the X protein, but also contains the core promoter and the ENHII complex, DR1 and DR2, thus obligating the entire nucleotide sequence of the X region to participate in gene regulation.\(^\text{29,30}\) X protein is a multifunctional protein that acts either in the cytoplasm by activation of gene expression via several pathways, or in the nucleus, where it interacts directly with transcription factors and DNA repair machinery.\(^\text{29,30}\) The latter might play a role in hepatocellular carcinogenesis. Some critical mutations might enhance or disrupt these functions. The majority of the mutations found in this study, both for G1 as for G5, are located in the previously described four hotspots (aa30 to aa47; aa87 to aa94; aa94 to aa94; aa116 to aa19; and aa130 to aa131) of the X protein.\(^\text{29}\) The three most important mutations that were previously linked to FH are T1753C, A1762T, and G1764A. These mutations are located within the nuclear protein-binding DNA sequences of the core promoter and ENHII (Fig. 4B). These mutations might alter the transcriptional activity of HBV by changing the binding affinity of the nuclear proteins for the mutated sequences. In addition, in vitro experiments showed that the double mutant at 1762 and 1764 results in a reduced preCore gene expression and an increase in progeny virus replication.\(^\text{31}\) However, Sterneck et al.\(^\text{32}\) compared the replication competence and expression levels of HBSAg and HBeAg of 7 FH genomes, and showed that, compared with the wild-type virus, FHB strains produced similar or slightly lower levels of replicative intermediates.
and extracellular viral particles. The same authors therefore suggested an additional role of other viral factors in FH. Irrespective of this, the changes A1762T and G1764A are responsible for the three amino acid changes, I127T, K130M, and V131I, which might in turn result in an altered transactivation activity of the X protein. The mutations at 1762 and 1764 are also frequently found in chronic HBcAg-positive, and in anti-HBe-positive patients (our observations and Takahashi), and are therefore in themselves insufficient to explain the subfulminant outcome, as is the case for the preCore stop codon mutation. The C185T mutation is a compensatory mutation to restabilize the encapsidation signal, which was destabilized as a result of the selection of the mutant G185A. The latter is a typical genotype A event. However, none of these critical mutations were found in the G5 strain; mutations there were most likely located in those regions tolerating alterations both on the X protein level as well as on the regulatory sequence level.

Karayiannis et al. investigated the transmission of a FH virus in sets of patients and found that the nucleotide sequence of the patient with FH was identical to that observed in the asymptomatic source of the infection. This suggested that the severity and outcome was unrelated to any additional variation of the HBV genome, but mainly depended on host factors, and possibly the HLA environment. In the present study, there is evidence of transmission of a pathogenic HBV mutant during HT, but there was no macroscopic evidence of liver disease in the heart donor, and further microscopic and liver histological examinations were not performed. Therefore, this heart donor must be considered as an asymptomatic carrier as well. The association with the HLA class II locus was investigated (Patients and Methods), but no consensus HLA type was observed, rendering these results inconclusive.

It is generally accepted that HBV is not directly cytopathogenic. However, it is possible that the mutations in the HBcAg (for example, the structural important P79Q, or any other mutation) would alter the cytopathogenicity of the virus, especially when transmitted to a new host, i.e., from donor to patient, or from patient to patient.

In conclusion, the following hypothetical picture emerges: A G1 strain evolved for many years in an immune-competent chronic carrier (the source heart donor), resulting in the accumulation of several mutations in the preCore/Core gene, including those in antigenic epitopes. This individual was clinically asymptomatic and died in an automobile accident. The heart was transplanted under emergency conditions, and the recipient (P1) became infected with a highly mutated HBV strain. During routine EMB, this HBV strain was transmitted to at least two HT patients. In these HT recipients, one or more of the following factors contributed to the lethal outcome of the sub-FH: 1) the mutated HBcAg; 2) absence of HBcAg and absence of induction of a tolerance phase; 3) a possible modified rate of replication caused by BCP mutations; 4) the immune-suppressive regimen; 5) an altered cytopathogenicity of the virus; and/or 6) special host factors.
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