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INRODUCTION
In the transition from a fossil to a bio-based economy, it has become an important challenge to maximally recuperate valuable nutrients coming from waste streams. Nutrient resources are rapidly depleting, significant amounts of fossil energy are used for the production of chemical fertilizers, whereas costs for energy and fertilizers are increasing. In the meantime, biogas production through anaerobic digestion produces nutrient-rich digestates. In high-nutrient regions, these products cannot or only sparingly be returned to agricultural land in its crude unprocessed form. The consequent processing of this digestate requires a variety of technologies producing a lot of different derivatives, which could potentially be re-used as a green substitute for fossil-based mineral fertilizers. This sustainable development strategy is completely in line with the cradle-to-cradle approach (Figure 1): Waste turns into secondary resources.

FIELDS EXPERIMENT
- Treatments (n=4)

| Object | Start Animal Artificial Air scrubber | 50% Digestate | LF* | Artificial Fertilizer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X X X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X X X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>- - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>- - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>X X X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* LF = Liquid Fraction

OBJECTIVES
This research aims:
1. To create a systematic overview of the available technologies for nutrient recuperation, as well as to sort the diverse digestate streams (end- and side products) into different classes.
2. To construct diverse pilot plants for nutrient recuperation: at least one for separation, struvite production and NH₃-stripping.
3. To characterize the physico-chemical properties of the various digestate derivatives, with attention for general conditions, conductivity and pH, macronutrients and their speciation, essential and non essential trace elements, organic carbon and nutritive ratios.
4. To identify potential bottlenecks for agricultural re-use of these products.
5. To assess field experiments in order to evaluate the impact on soil and crop production in a concept of cradle-to-cradle re-use of valuable macronutrients. Hereby, nutrient balances will be set up.
6. To study the legislative aspects for application of these nutrient streams as artificial fertilizer directly on agricultural fields or as raw resource for the chemical industry in and outside Flanders.
7. To explore and frame the different pathways for marketing, as well as to evaluate the marketing value.

RESULTS (1)
Physico-chemical analysis of potential green fertilizers
- Concentrates from membrane filtration (RO) of the liquid fraction of digestates
  - Fertilizer value:
    - N-content: 6.4±0.4 kg t⁻¹ FW (+ animal manure)
    - Nitrogen Uptake Efficiency: 82 % (+ animal manure)
    - K₂O-content: 5.2±1.2 kg t⁻¹ FW (+ animal manure)
  - Potential N-K green fertilizer

RESULTS (2)
Field experiments
- Dry weight biomass yield (t ha⁻¹; n = 4)

| Object | Start Animal Artificial Air scrubber | 50% Digestate | LF* | Artificial Fertilizer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X X X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X X X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>- - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>- - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>X X X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS (3)
Nutrient balances (2011)*
- Nitrogen

| Object | Start Animal Artificial Air scrubber | 50% Digestate | LF* | Artificial Fertilizer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X X X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X X X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>- - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>- - X - X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>X X X - X - X - X X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS (4)
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES
- Concentrates from membrane filtration of the liquid fraction of digestates show potential as green N-K fertilizer, whereas waste water from ammonia air scrubbers shows potential as formulated N-S fertilizer.
- For the field experiment, no significant (α = 0.05) differences were observed in the dry weight biomass yield for the different treatments during the harvest, nor in the dry weight content itself (± 28-29 %).
- Nitrogen balances are roughly similar for each scenario and in equilibrium. In all scenario's the crop demand was covered by the availability of N.
- Significantly less P₂O₅ was applied to the soil in scenario’s 4, 5 and 6, yet a higher P₂O₅ uptake by the crops was observed in these scenario’s.
- The crop K₂O uptake was significantly (α = 0.05) higher for scenario 4, 5 and 6 compared to the reference, while approximately three times less artificial K₂O was used in these scenarios.
- No significant (α = 0.05) differences in soil salt content, sodium adsorption ratio, pH₂O₂ and pH-KCl were observed at the end of the growing season.
- Substitution of conventional fertilizers by membrane filtration concentrates or air scrubber water theoretically results in significant economic and ecological benefits for the agriculturist.
- Nutrient balances were modeled with one year data. Further field research is on-going in order to evaluate the impact on soil quality, fertility and chemistry by application of these new green fertilizers in the longer term.
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