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Abstract

We characterize the hyperplanes of the dual polar space $DW(2n - 1, q)$ which arise from projective embeddings as those hyperplanes $H$ of $DW(2n - 1, q)$ which satisfy the following property: if $Q$ is an ovoidal quad, then $Q \cap H$ is a classical ovoid of $Q$. A consequence of this is that all hyperplanes of the dual polar spaces $DW(2n - 1, 4)$, $DW(2n - 1, 16)$ and $DW(2n - 1, p)$ ($p$ prime) arise from projective embeddings.
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1 Introduction

Let $\Pi$ be a polar space (Tits [32]) of rank $n \geq 2$. With $\Pi$ there is associated a point-line geometry $\Delta$ whose points, respectively lines, are the maximal, respectively next-to-maximal, singular subspaces of $\Pi$, with incidence given by reverse containment. $\Delta$ is called a dual polar space (Cameron [5]). Distances between points of $\Delta$ will be measured in the collinearity graph of $\Delta$. This is the graph with vertices the points of $\Delta$, two points being adjacent whenever they are collinear, i.e. whenever there is a line incident with them. There exists a bijective correspondence between the possibly empty singular subspaces of $\Pi$ and the non-empty convex subspaces of $\Delta$: if $\alpha$ is a singular subspace of $\Pi$ of dimension $n - 1 - k$, then the set of all maximal singular subspaces containing $\alpha$ is a convex subspace of diameter $k$ of $\Delta$. These convex subspaces are called quads if $k = 2$ and maxes if $k = n - 1$. The points and
lines contained in a quad define a so-called generalized quadrangle (Payne and Thas [24]).

A hyperplane of a point-line geometry $S$ is a proper subspace meeting each line. A natural way to construct hyperplanes of a point-line geometry is to embed it (fully) in a projective space $\Sigma$ and then intersect it with a hyperplane of $\Sigma$. (We give more formal definitions in Section 2.) An important question which arises in this context is the following:

\((\ast)\) Given an embeddable point-line geometry $S$ and a class $C$ of hyperplanes of $S$. Does any hyperplane of $C$ arise from a hyperplane of a projective space in which $S$ is embedded?

The answer to question (\(\ast\)) is affirmative for many classes of hyperplanes of point-line geometries. E.g., the answer is affirmative for the class of all hyperplanes of any embeddable point-line geometry with three points per line (Ronan [27]). In the case of dual polar spaces not so much was known till very recently. In the case of dual polar spaces, the question whether all hyperplanes arise from embedding is only interesting in the finite case, due to constructions using transfinite recursion. These constructions easily yield hyperplanes which do not arise from embeddings, see Cameron [6] and Cardinali & De Bruyn [7, Section 4]. In [29], Shult and Thas proved that all hyperplanes of the orthogonal dual polar space $DQ(2n, q)$, $q$ odd, arise from the so-called spin-embedding of $DQ(2n, q)$. The next result was obtained only recently by De Bruyn and Pralle [16] who classified all hyperplanes of the Hermitian dual polar space $DH(5, q^2)$, $q \neq 2$, and showed that they all arise from the so-called Grassmann-embedding of $DH(5, q^2)$. With the aid of techniques from diagram geometry (simple connectedness) and Ronan’s paper [27], it was subsequently shown by Cardinali, De Bruyn and Pasini [8, Corollary 1.6] that also all hyperplanes of $DH(2n - 1, q^2)$, $n \geq 4$ and $q \neq 2$, arise from its Grassmann-embedding. The case of the orthogonal dual polar space $DQ^{-}(2n + 1, q)$ was treated in De Bruyn [11, Theorem 1.4] where necessary and sufficient conditions were given for a hyperplane of $DQ^{-}(2n - 1, q)$ to arise from embedding.

The case which remains to be done is the one of the symplectic dual polar space $DW(2n-1, q)$, $n \geq 2$, associated with the polar space $W(2n-1, q)$. The singular subspaces of this polar space are the subspaces of the projective space $PG(2n - 1, q)$ which are totally isotropic with respect to a given symplectic polarity of $PG(2n - 1, q)$. The quads of the dual polar space $DW(2n - 1, q)$ are isomorphic to the generalized quadrangle $Q(4, q)$. The points and lines of this generalized quadrangle are the points and lines of $PG(4, q)$ which lie on a given nonsingular parabolic quadric $Q(4, q)$ of $PG(4, q)$ (natural incidence). An ovoid of $Q(4, q)$ (or more generally, of any generalized quadrangle) is a
set of points meeting every line in a unique point. An ovoid of $Q(4, q)$ is called classical if it is obtained by intersecting $Q(4, q)$ with a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(4, q)$, i.e. if it is a nonsingular elliptic quadric in a 3-space of $\text{PG}(4, q)$. It is well-known that the dual polar space $DW(2n - 1, q)$ has a full embedding into the projective space $\text{PG}((\binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n-2} - 1, q)$, see e.g. Bourbaki [4, 13.3] or De Bruyn [12]. We refer to this particular embedding as the Grassmann-embedding of $DW(2n - 1, q)$. The following is the main result of this paper.

**Main Theorem.** The hyperplanes of the dual polar space $DW(2n - 1, q)$, $q \neq 2$, which arise from its Grassmann-embedding are precisely those hyperplanes $H$ of $DW(2n - 1, q)$ which satisfy the following property: if $Q$ is a quad of $DW(2n - 1, q)$ such that $Q \cap H$ is an ovoid of $Q$, then $Q \cap H$ is a classical ovoid of $Q$.

For certain values of $q$ it is known that all ovoids of $Q(4, q)$ are classical:

**Proposition.** (1) ([1]) All ovoids of $Q(4, q)$, $q$ prime, are classical.
(2) ([2], [23]) All ovoids of $Q(4, 4)$ are classical.
(3) ([21], [22]) All ovoids of $Q(4, 16)$ are classical.

Combining the previous proposition with the Main Theorem, we obtain

**Corollary.** Let $\Delta$ be one of the following dual polar spaces of rank $n \geq 2$: $DW(2n - 1, 4)$, $DW(2n - 1, 16)$, $DW(2n - 1, p)$ with $p \neq 2$ prime. Then every hyperplane of $\Delta$ arises from its Grassmann-embedding.

**Remarks.** (1) If $n \geq 2$ and $q \neq 2$, then by results of Cooperstein [9] and Kasikova & Shult [19], the Grassmann-embedding of $DW(2n - 1, q)$ is absolutely universal. [We refer to Section 2 for the definition of the notion “absolutely universal embedding”.] This implies that the hyperplanes of $DW(2n - 1, q)$, $n \geq 2$ and $q \neq 2$, which arise from embedding are precisely those hyperplanes of $DW(2n - 1, q)$ which arise from its Grassmann-embedding.

(2) Since the dual polar space $\Delta = DW(2n - 1, 2)$, $n \geq 2$, is embeddable and has three points on each line, every hyperplane of $DW(2n - 1, 2)$ arises from its absolutely universal embedding, see Ronan [27]. Although all ovoids of $Q(4, 2)$ are classical, not every hyperplane of $\Delta$ arises from its Grassmann-embedding. The Grassmann-embedding of $\Delta$ has vector dimension $\binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n-2}$, while the absolutely universal embedding of $\Delta$ has vector dimension $\frac{(2n+1)(2n-1)+1}{3} > \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n-2}$, see Li [20] or Blokhuis and Brouwer [3].

(3) Let $\Delta$ be the dual polar space $DW(2n - 1, q)$, where $n \geq 2$ and $q \neq 2$. If $O$ is a non-classical ovoid in a quad $Q$ of $\Delta$, then the set $H$ of points of
Δ at distance at most \( n - 2 \) from \( O \) is a hyperplane of \( \Delta \). If \( Q' \) is a quad of \( \Delta \) opposite to \( Q \), i.e., at maximal distance \( n - 2 \) from \( Q \), then \( Q' \cap H \) is a non-classical ovoid of \( Q' \) which is isomorphic to the non-classical ovoid \( O \) of \( Q \). Combining this observation with the Main Theorem, we conclude that all hyperplanes of \( \Delta \) arise from its Grassmann-embedding if and only if every ovoid of \( Q(4, q) \) is classical. Non-classical ovoids of \( Q(4, q) \) are known to exist for any \( q = p^h \) where \( p \) is an odd prime and \( h \geq 2 \) ([18], [25], [30]) and any \( q = 2^{2h+1} \) where \( h \geq 2 \) ([31]).

(4) If \( q \) is a prime power such that every ovoid of \( Q(4, q) \) is classical, then by the Main Theorem, every hyperplane of \( DW(5, q) \) arises from embedding. The hyperplanes of \( DW(5, q) \) which arise from embedding have been classified in the papers [10], [13] and [26].

2 Further definitions

Let \( \Delta \) be a dual polar space. If \( x \) and \( y \) are two points of \( \Delta \), then \( d(x, y) \) denotes the distance between \( x \) and \( y \) in the collinearity graph of \( \Delta \). For every point \( x \) of \( \Delta \) and every \( i \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \Delta_i(x) \), respectively \( \Delta'_i(x) \), denotes the set of points of \( \Delta \) at distance \( i \), respectively distance at most \( i \), from \( x \). We denote \( \Delta'_i(x) \) also by \( x^i \). If \( x \) is a point and \( F \) is a non-empty convex subspace of \( \Delta \), then \( F \) contains a unique point \( \pi_F(x) \) nearest to \( x \) and \( d(x, y) = d(x, \pi_F(x)) + d(\pi_F(x), y) \) for every point \( y \) of \( F \).

A full (projective) embedding of a point-line geometry \( S \) is an injective mapping \( e \) from the point-set \( P \) of \( S \) to the point-set of a projective space \( \Sigma \) satisfying: (i) \( \langle e(P) \rangle = \Sigma \) and (ii) \( e(L) := \{ e(x) \mid x \in L \} \) is a line of \( \Sigma \) for every line \( L \) of \( S \). The numbers \( \dim(\Sigma) \) and \( \dim(\Sigma) + 1 \) are respectively called the projective dimension and the vector dimension of \( e \). If \( e : S \to \Sigma \) is a full embedding of \( S \), then for every hyperplane \( \alpha \) of \( \Sigma \), \( e^{-1}(e(\alpha) \cap \alpha) \) is a hyperplane of \( S \). We say that the hyperplane \( e^{-1}(e(\alpha) \cap \alpha) \) arises from the embedding \( e \). Two full embeddings \( e_1 : S \to \Sigma_1 \) and \( e_2 : S \to \Sigma_2 \) of \( S \) are called isomorphic \((e_1 \cong e_2)\) if there exists an isomorphism \( f : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2 \) such that \( e_2 = f \circ e_1 \). If \( e : S \to \Sigma \) is a full embedding of \( S \) and if \( U \) is a subspace of \( \Sigma \) satisfying \((C1) \langle U, e(x) \rangle \neq U \) for every point \( x \) of \( S \) and \((C2) \langle U, e(x_1) \rangle \neq \langle U, e(x_2) \rangle \) for any two distinct points \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) of \( S \), then there exists a full embedding \( e / U \) of \( S \) in the quotient space \( \Sigma / U \), mapping each point \( x \) of \( S \) to \( \langle U, e(x) \rangle \). If \( e_1 : S \to \Sigma_1 \) and \( e_2 : S \to \Sigma_2 \) are two full embeddings, then we say that \( e_1 \geq e_2 \) if there exists a subspace \( U \) in \( \Sigma_1 \) satisfying \((C1), (C2) \) and \( e_1 / U \cong e_2 \). If \( e : S \to \Sigma \) is a full embedding of \( S \), then by Ronan [27], there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) full embedding \( \tilde{e} : S \to \Sigma \) satisfying (i) \( \tilde{e} \geq e \) and (ii) if \( e' \geq e \) for some embedding \( e' \) of
$S$, then $\tilde{e} \geq e'$. We say that $\tilde{e}$ is universal relative to $e$. If $\tilde{e}' \cong \tilde{e}$ for any other embedding $e'$ of $S$ with the same underlying division ring, then $\tilde{e}$ is called absolutely universal. By Tits [32, 8.6] and Kasikova & Shult [19, 4.6], every embeddable thick dual polar space has a unique (up to isomorphism) absolutely universal embedding.

Let $\Delta$ be a dual polar space of rank $n \geq 2$. The set $H_x$ of points of $\Delta$ at non-maximal distance from a given point $x$ of $\Delta$ is a hyperplane which is called the singular hyperplane of $\Delta$ with deepest point $x$. If $F$ is a convex subspace of $\Delta$ of diameter $\delta \geq 1$ and if $H_F$ is a hyperplane of $F$, then the set $H$ of points of $\Delta$ at distance at most $n - \delta$ from $H_F$ is a hyperplane of $\Delta$, see e.g. [17, Proposition 1]. We call $H$ the extension of $H_F$.

If $H$ is a hyperplane of a thick dual polar space $\Delta$, then $H$ is a maximal subspace of $\Delta$ by Shult [28, Lemma 6.1]. Moreover, if $Q$ is a quad of $\Delta$, then one of the following cases occurs: (1) $Q \subseteq H$; (2) there exists a point $x$ in $Q$ such that $x^\perp \cap Q = H \cap Q$; (3) $Q \cap H$ is a subquadrangle of $Q$; (4) $Q \cap H$ is an ovoid of $Q$. If case (1), (2), (3), respectively (4), occurs, then we say that $Q$ is deep, singular, subquadrangular, respectively ovoidal, with respect to $H$.

3 Proof of the Main Theorem in the case $n = 3$

The aim of this section is the proof of the following proposition which is precisely the Main Theorem in the case $n = 3$.

Proposition 3.1 The hyperplanes of the symplectic dual polar space $DW(5,q)$, $q \geq 3$, which arise from its Grassmann-embedding are precisely those hyperplanes $H$ of $DW(5,q)$ which satisfy the following property: if $Q$ is a quad of $DW(5,q)$ which is ovoidal with respect to $H$, then $Q \cap H$ is a classical ovoid of $Q$.

If $e : DW(5,q) \rightarrow \Sigma$ denotes the Grassmann-embedding of $DW(5,q)$ and if $Q$ is a quad of $DW(5,q)$, then the embedding $e_Q : Q \rightarrow \langle e(Q) \rangle_\Sigma$ of $Q$ induced by $e$ is isomorphic to the Grassmann-embedding of $Q$. If $H$ is a hyperplane of $DW(5,q)$ arising from a hyperplane $\alpha$ of $\Sigma$, then $H \cap Q = e_Q^{-1}(\langle e(Q) \rangle \cap \alpha \cap e(Q))$. Hence, $Q \cap H$ cannot be a non-classical ovoid of $Q$. This proves one direction of Proposition 3.1.

Definition. A hyperplane $H$ of $DW(5,q)$ is said to be of Type $(\ast)$ if $Q \cap H$ is a classical ovoid of $Q$ for every quad $Q$ of $DW(5,q)$ which is ovoidal with respect to $H$. 
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In order to prove Proposition 3.1, we need to show that every hyperplane of Type \((*)\) of \(DW(5,q)\), \(q \geq 3\), arises from the Grassmann-embedding of \(DW(5,q)\).

**Definitions.** (1) By Payne and Thas [24, 2.3.1], every hyperplane of the generalized quadrangle \(Q(4,q)\) is either a singular hyperplane, a \((q+1) \times (q+1)\)-subgrid or an ovoid. A hyperplane of the generalized quadrangle \(Q(4,q)\) is called *classical* if it is a singular hyperplane, a \((q+1) \times (q+1)\)-subgrid or a classical ovoid. The classical hyperplanes of \(Q(4,q)\) are precisely those hyperplanes of \(Q(4,q)\) which arise from the natural embedding of \(Q(4,q)\) into \(PG(4,q)\).

(2) A set \(H\) of hyperplanes of a dual polar space \(\Delta\) is called a *pencil of hyperplanes* if every point of \(\Delta\) is contained in either 1 or all elements of \(H\). If \(H\) is a pencil of hyperplanes of \(\Delta\), then \(\bigcup_{H \in H} H\) coincides with the whole point-set of \(\Delta\) and \(H_1 \cap H_2 = H_1 \cap H_3 = H_2 \cap H_3\) for any three distinct hyperplanes \(H_1, H_2\) and \(H_3\) of \(H\).

**Lemma 3.2** If \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) are two distinct classical hyperplanes of \(Q(4,q)\), then through every point \(x \in Q(4,q) \setminus (G_1 \cup G_2)\), there exists a unique classical hyperplane \(G_x\) through \(x\) satisfying \(G_x \cap G_1 = G_1 \cap G_2 = G_2 \cap G_x\).

**Proof.** Let \(Q(4,q)\) be embedded in the projective space \(PG(4,q)\). Let \(\alpha_i, i \in \{1,2\}\), be the unique hyperplane of \(PG(4,q)\) such that \(G_i = \alpha_i \cap Q(4,q)\). Observe that \(< \alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2, x > \cap Q(4,q)\) is a classical hyperplane of \(Q(4,q)\) satisfying the required properties.

The plane \(\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2\) intersects \(Q(4,q)\) in one of the following: (i) a point \(x\); (ii) a line \(L\); (iii) the union of two distinct lines; (iv) a non-degenerate conic. If case (i) occurs, then since \(G_1 \cap G_2\) is a hyperplane of both \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) (regarded as point-line geometries), there exists an \(i \in \{1,2\}\) such that \(G_i\) is a classical ovoid of \(Q(4,q)\) containing \(x\) and \(G_{3-i}\) is either a classical ovoid of \(Q(4,q)\) containing \(x\) or the singular hyperplane of \(Q(4,q)\) with deepest point \(x\). If case (ii) occurs, then since \(G_1 \cap G_2\) is a hyperplane of both \(G_1\) and \(G_2\), \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) are necessarily singular hyperplanes of \(Q(4,q)\) with deepest points on \(L\). Suppose now that \(G\) is a classical hyperplane of \(Q(4,q)\) through \(x\) satisfying \(G_1 \cap G = G_1 \cap G_2 = G_2 \cap G\) and let \(\alpha\) denote the unique hyperplane of \(PG(4,q)\) containing \(G\).

If case (iii) or (iv) occurs, then \(\alpha\) is necessarily equal to \(< \alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2, x >\). It follows that \(G_x := \langle \alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2, x > \cap Q(4,q)\) is the unique classical hyperplane of \(Q(4,q)\) satisfying \(G_x \cap G_1 = G_1 \cap G_2 = G_2 \cap G_x\).

If case (i) occurs, then without loss of generality, we may suppose that \(G_1\) is a classical ovoid of \(Q(4,q)\) containing \(x\). Since \(G_1 \cap G_2\) is a point, \(\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2\) is the tangent hyperplane at the point \(G_1 \cap G_2\) of the elliptic quadric.
α₁ ∩ Q(4, q) of α₁. Similarly, since G ∩ G₁ = G₁ ∩ G₂ is a point, α ∩ α₁ must be the tangent hyperplane at the point G₁ ∩ G₂ of the elliptic quadric α₁ ∩ Q(4, q) of α₁. Since α ∩ α₁ = α₁ ∩ α₂, we necessarily have α = ⟨α₁ ∩ α₂, x⟩. Hence, 

\[ G_x := \langle \alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2, x \rangle \cap Q(4, q) \]

is the unique classical hyperplane of Q(4, q) satisfying G_x ∩ G₁ = G₁ ∩ G₂ = G₂ ∩ G_x.

If case (ii) occurs with G₁ ∩ G₂ = L, then G₁ and G₂ must be singular hyperplanes with deepest point on L. Since G ∩ G₁ = G₁ ∩ G₂ = L, also G must be a singular hyperplane with deepest point on L. Since x ∈ G, G necessarily is the singular hyperplane of Q(4, q) with deepest point π_L(x). So, also in this case, there exists a unique classical hyperplane G_x in Q(4, q) satisfying G_x ∩ G₁ = G₁ ∩ G₂ = G₂ ∩ G_x. This hyperplane G_x coincides with ⟨α₁ ∩ α₂, x⟩ ∩ Q(4, q).

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 3.3** Any two distinct classical hyperplanes of Q(4, q) are contained in a unique pencil of classical hyperplanes of Q(4, q).

**Lemma 3.4** Let G be a (q + 1) × (q + 1)-subgrid of Q(4, q) and let x₁, x₂, x₃ be three mutually non-collinear points of G. Then there exists a unique ovoid O in G such that if H is a classical hyperplane of Q(4, q) containing x₁, x₂ and x₃, then O ⊆ H.

**Proof.** Let Q(4, q) be fully embedded into the projective space PG(4, q). If x₁, x₂, x₃ lie on a line L of PG(4, q), then since |L ∩ Q(4, q)| ≥ 3, we must have L ⊆ Q(4, q), contradicting the fact that x₁, x₂, x₃ are three mutually non-collinear points of G. Hence, ⟨x₁, x₂, x₃⟩ is a plane of PG(4, q) contained in the 3-space ⟨G⟩ of PG(4, q) generated by the points of G. Since G ∼ Q(3, q), every plane of ⟨G⟩ intersects G in either an ovoid of G or the union of two intersecting lines. Since x₁, x₂, x₃ are mutually non-collinear, O := ⟨x₁, x₂, x₃⟩ ∩ G is necessarily an ovoid of G containing x₁, x₂, x₃. Now, if H is a classical hyperplane of Q(4, q) containing x₁, x₂, x₃, then the hyperplane ⟨H⟩ of PG(4, q) contains x₁, x₂, x₃ and hence also ⟨x₁, x₂, x₃⟩. It follows that O ⊆ H. \[ \square \]

**Definition.** Let W(5, q) denote the polar space associated with DW(5, q).

The singular subspaces of W(5, q) are the subspaces of PG(5, q) which are totally isotropic with respect to a given symplectic polarity ζ of PG(5, q). If L is a line of PG(5, q) such that L ∩ L^ζ = ∅, then the set Q_L of the q + 1 (mutually disjoint) quads of DW(5, q) which correspond with the points of L satisfy the following property: any line meeting two distinct quads of Q_L meets every quad of Q_L in a unique point. Any set of q + 1 quads which can be obtained in this way will be called a hyperbolic set of quads of DW(5, q).

Every two disjoint quads Q₁ and Q₂ of DW(5, q) are contained in a unique
hyperbolic set of quads of $DW(5, q)$. We will denote this hyperbolic set of quads by $N(Q_1, Q_2)$.

**Lemma 3.5** Let $\{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{q+1}\}$ be a hyperbolic set of quads of $DW(5, q)$ and let $H$ be a hyperplane of $DW(5, q)$ such that $H \cap Q_1$ and $\pi_{Q_i}(H \cap Q_2)$ are distinct hyperplanes of $Q_1$. Then $\{\pi_{Q_i}(H \cap Q_1) \mid 1 \leq i \leq q+1\}$ is a pencil of hyperplanes of $Q_1$.

**Proof.** Put $H_i := \pi_{Q_i}(H \cap Q_1)$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, q+1\}$. It suffices to show that every point $x$ of $Q_1$ is contained in either 1 or all the hyperplanes of the set $\{H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{q+1}\}$. Let $L$ denote the unique line through $x$ meeting $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{q+1}$. If $L \subseteq H$, then $x \in H_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, q+1\}$. If $|L \cap H| = 1$, then there exists a unique $i^* \in \{1, \ldots, q+1\}$ such that $L \cap H \subseteq Q_{i^*}$. Then $x \in H_{i^*}$ and $x \notin H_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, q+1\} \setminus \{i^*\}$.

**Lemma 3.6** Let $\{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{q+1}\}$ be a hyperbolic set of quads of $DW(5, q)$ and let $G_1$ be a classical hyperplane of $Q_1$. Then there exists a subset $X \subseteq Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}$ such that if $H$ is a hyperplane of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_1 = G_1$ and $H \cap Q_2 = Q_2$, then $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X$.

**Proof.** Put $X_1 := G_1$, $X_2 := Q_2$, $X_i := \pi_{Q_i}(G_1)$ for every $i \in \{3, \ldots, q+1\}$ and $X := X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup \cdots \cup X_{q+1}$. Now, let $H$ be a hyperplane of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_1 = G_1$ and $H \cap Q_2 = Q_2$. Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $Q_i$, $i \in \{3, \ldots, q+1\}$, and let $L$ denote the unique line through $x$ meeting each $Q_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q+1\}$, in a point. Since $H$ is a subspace and $H \cap Q_1 \subseteq H$, $x \in H$ if and only if $L \cap Q_1 = \{\pi_{Q_1}(x)\} \subseteq H$, i.e. if and only if $x \in X_i$. This proves that $H \cap Q_i = X_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q+1\}$. Hence, $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X$.

**Lemma 3.7** Let $\{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{q+1}\}$ be a hyperbolic set of quads of $DW(5, q)$, let $G_1$ be a classical hyperplane of $Q_1$ and put $G_2 := \pi_{Q_2}(G_1)$. Then there exist $q - 1$ subsets $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{q-1}$ of $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}$ such that if $H$ is a hyperplane of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_1 = G_1$ and $H \cap Q_2 = G_2$, then $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) \in \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{q-1}\}$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.6, there exists a subset $X_{i-2}$, $i \in \{3, \ldots, q+1\}$, of $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}$ such that if $H$ is a hyperplane of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_1 = G_1$ and $H \cap Q_i = Q_i$, then $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X_{i-2}$.

Now, let $H$ be a hyperplane of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_1 = G_1$ and $H \cap Q_2 = G_2$. Let $L$ denote a line meeting each quad of $\{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{q+1}\}$ such that $L \cap Q_1$ is not contained in $G_1$. Then also $L \cap Q_2$ is not contained in $G_2$. Choose $i \in \{3, \ldots, q+1\}$ such that the singleton $L \cap H$ is contained in $Q_i$. Since $H$ is a subspace, every line meeting $G_1$ and $G_2$ is contained in $H$.  
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Hence, $\pi_Q(G_1) \subseteq H$. Since $\pi_Q(G_1)$ is a maximal subspace of $Q_i$ and $L \cap H \subseteq (H \cap Q_i) \setminus \pi_Q(G_1)$, $Q_i \subseteq H$. It follows that $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X_{i-2}$.

**Lemma 3.8** Let $\{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{q+1}\}$ be a hyperbolic set of quads of $DW(5, q)$. For every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $G_i$ be a classical hyperplane of $Q_i$ such that $G_1$, $\pi_Q(G_2)$ and $\pi_Q(G_3)$ are three distinct hyperplanes of $Q_1$ satisfying $\pi_Q(G_2) \cap G_1 = \pi_Q(G_3) \cap G_1 = \pi_Q(G_2) \cap \pi_Q(G_3)$. Then there exists a subset $X \subseteq Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}$ such that if $H$ is a hyperplane of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_i = G_i$, $H \cap Q_2 = G_2$ and $H \cap Q_3 = G_3$, then $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X$.

**Proof.** We first prove the following claim.

**Claim.** There exists a line $L_1 \subseteq Q_1$ such that (i) $L_1 \cap G_1$ is a singleton, (ii) $\pi_Q(L_1) \cap G_2$ is a singleton, (iii) the unique points in $L_1 \cap G_1$ and $\pi_Q(L_1) \cap G_2$ are not collinear.

**Proof.** Suppose such a line does not exist.

The union of two hyperplanes of $Q(4, q)$ cannot cover $Q(4, q)$, see e.g. Cardinali, De Bruyn and Pasini [8, Lemma 3.1]. So, $Q_1 \setminus (\pi_Q(G_2) \cup G_1) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $x$ and $y$ be two distinct collinear points of $Q_1 \setminus G_1$ such that $x \in Q_1 \setminus \pi_Q(G_2)$. Consider the line $L_1 = xy$. Since $L_1$ cannot satisfy properties (i), (ii) and (iii) of the Claim, the points in $L_1 \cap G_1$ and $\pi_Q(L_1) \cap G_2$ are collinear, i.e. $L_1 \cap G_1 = L_1 \cap \pi_Q(G_2)$. It follows that $y \in Q_1 \setminus \pi_Q(G_2)$. Since $Q_1 \setminus (\pi_Q(G_2) \cup G_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $Q_1 \setminus G_1$ is connected (recall that $G_1$ is a maximal subspace of $Q_1$), we have $Q_1 \setminus G_1 \subseteq Q_1 \setminus \pi_Q(G_2)$, i.e. $\pi_Q(G_2) \subseteq G_1$. Since $\pi_Q(G_2)$ is a maximal subspace of $Q_1$, it would then follow that $\pi_Q(G_2) = G_1$, a contradiction.

Now, let $L_1$ be a line of $Q_1$ satisfying the properties (i), (ii) and (iii) of the previous Claim. Put $L_i := \pi_Q(L_1)$ for every $i \in \{2, \ldots, q + 1\}$. Put $L_1 \cap G_1 = \{x_1\}$ and $\pi_Q(L_1) \cap G_2 = \{x_2\}$. Since $\pi_Q(G_2) \cap G_1 = \pi_Q(G_2) \cap \pi_Q(G_3)$, $(\pi_Q(G_2) \cap L_1) \cap (L_1 \cap G_1) = (\pi_Q(G_3) \cap L_1) \cap (\pi_Q(G_3) \cap L_1)$, i.e. $\{\pi_Q(x_2)\} \cap \{x_1\} = (\pi_Q(G_3) \cap L_1) \cap \{x_1\} = \{\pi_Q(x_2)\} \cap (\pi_Q(G_3) \cap L_1)$. Since $x_1$ and $x_2$ are not collinear, $\{\pi_Q(x_2)\} \cap \{x_1\} = \emptyset$. It follows that $\pi_Q(G_3) \cap L_1$ is a singleton distinct from $\{\pi_Q(x_2)\}$ and $\{x_1\}$. Put $L_3 \cap G_3 = \{x_3\}$. Then $x_1$, $x_2$ and $x_3$ are three mutually non-collinear points of the $(q + 1) \times (q + 1)$-subgrid $G := L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \cdots \cup L_{q+1}$. By Lemma 3.4, there exists a unique ovoid $O$ of $G$ such that if $H'$ is a classical hyperplane of the $Q(4, q)$-quad $\langle G \rangle$ containing $x_1$, $x_2$ and $x_3$, then $O \subseteq H'$. Here, $\langle G \rangle$ denotes the unique $Q(4, q)$-quad of $DW(5, q)$ containing $G$. Put $G'_1 := G_1$, $G'_2 := \pi_Q(G_2)$, $G'_3 := \pi_Q(G_3)$ and
$O \cap Q_i = \{x_i\}$ for every $i \in \{4, \ldots, q + 1\}$. Then $G'_1, G'_2$ and $G'_3$ are classical hyperplanes of $Q_1$ satisfying $G'_1 \cap G'_2 = G'_1 \cap G'_3 = G'_2 \cap G'_3$. By Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, the hyperplanes $G'_1, G'_2, G'_3$ are contained in a unique pencil $\{G'_1, G'_2, \ldots, G'_{q+1}\}$ of classical hyperplanes of $Q_1$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\pi_{Q_1}(x_i) \in G'_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q + 1\}$.

Put $X := G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \cdots \cup G_{q+1}$, where $G_i := \pi_{Q_1}(G'_i)$. Notice that $x_i \in G_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q + 1\}$.

We claim that if $H$ is a hyperplane of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_1 = G_1$, $H \cap Q_2 = G_2$ and $H \cap Q_3 = G_3$, then $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X$. So, suppose $H$ is such a hyperplane. Then $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in H$ and $H \cap \langle G \rangle$ is a classical hyperplane of $\langle G \rangle$. It follows that $O = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{q+1}\} \subset H$.

Now, by Lemma 3.5, $\{\pi_{Q_1}(H \cap Q_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq q + 1\}$ is a pencil of hyperplanes of $Q_1$ containing $\pi_{Q_1}(H \cap Q_1) = G'_1$, $\pi_{Q_1}(H \cap Q_2) = G'_2$ and $\pi_{Q_1}(H \cap Q_3) = G'_3$. It follows that $\{\pi_{Q_1}(H \cap Q_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq q + 1\} = \{G'_1, G'_2, \ldots, G'_{q+1}\}$. Since $x_i \in H \cap Q_i$, we have $H \cap Q_i = G_i = \pi_{Q_1}(G'_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q + 1\}$. Hence, $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = (H \cap Q_1) \cup (H \cap Q_2) \cup \cdots \cup (H \cap Q_{q+1}) = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \cdots \cup G_{q+1} = X$. \hfill $\Box$

**Lemma 3.9** Let $\{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{q+1}\}$ be a hyperbolic set of quads of $DW(5, q)$. Let $G_1$ be a classical hyperplane of $Q_1$ and $G_2$ be a classical hyperplane of $Q_2$ such that $G_1 \neq \pi_{Q_1}(G_2)$. Then there exist $q - 1$ subsets $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{q-1}$ of $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}$ such that if $H$ is a hyperplane of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_1 = G_1$ and $H \cap Q_2 = G_2$, then $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) \in \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{q-1}\}$.

**Proof.** Put $G'_1 := G_1$ and $G'_2 := \pi_{Q_1}(G_2)$. Then $G'_1 \neq G'_2$. By Corollary 3.3, $G'_1$ and $G'_2$ are contained in a unique pencil $\{G'_1, G'_2, \ldots, G'_{q+1}\}$ of classical hyperplanes of $Q_1$. For every $i \in \{3, \ldots, q + 1\}$, let $X_{i-2}$ denote a subset of $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}$ such that if $H$ is a hyperplane of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_1 = G_1$, $H \cap Q_2 = G_2$ and $H \cap Q_3 = \pi_{Q_3}(G'_i)$, then $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X_{i-2}$ (cf. Lemma 3.8).

Now, suppose $H$ is a hyperplane of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap Q_1 = G_1$ and $H \cap Q_2 = G_2$. By Lemma 3.5 and the fact that $G_1 \neq \pi_{Q_1}(G_2)$, $\{\pi_{Q_1}(H \cap Q_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq q + 1\}$ is a pencil of classical hyperplanes of $Q_1$. By Corollary 3.3, $\{\pi_{Q_1}(H \cap Q_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq q + 1\} = \{G'_1, G'_2, \ldots, G'_{q+1}\}$. Hence, there exists an $i \in \{3, \ldots, q + 1\}$ such that $\pi_{Q_1}(H \cap Q_3) = G'_i$, i.e. $H \cap Q_3 = \pi_{Q_3}(G'_i)$. For such an $i$, we have $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X_{i-2}$. \hfill $\Box$

**Definitions.** (1) Let $W(3, q)$ be the symplectic generalized quadrangle whose points and lines are the points and lines of $PG(3, q)$ which are totally isotropic with respect to a given symplectic polarity of $PG(3, q)$. A
line of \(\text{PG}(3, q)\) which is not totally isotropic with respect to that symplectic polarity is called a hyperbolic line of \(W(3, q)\). The point-line geometry whose points and lines are the points and hyperbolic lines of \(W(3, q)\) (natural incidence) is called the geometry of the hyperbolic lines of \(W(3, q)\).

(2) Let \(\mathcal{N} = \{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{q+1}\}\) be a hyperbolic set of quads of \(DW(5, q)\). Let \(P_N\) denote the set of all quads of \(DW(5, q)\) which meet each quad of \(\mathcal{N}\) (in a line). If \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) are two disjoint elements of \(P_N\), then \(N(R_1, R_2) \subseteq P_N\). Put \(L_N := \{N(R_1, R_2) | R_1, R_2 \in P_N\) and \(R_1 \cap R_2 = \emptyset\}\) and let \(S_N\) be the point-line geometry with point-set \(P_N\), line-set \(L_N\) and natural incidence.

**Lemma 3.10** For every hyperbolic set \(\mathcal{N}\) of quads of \(DW(5, q)\), \(S_N\) is isomorphic to the geometry of the hyperbolic lines of \(W(3, q)\).

**Proof.** Let \(Q_1\) be an arbitrary element of \(\mathcal{N}\) and let \(\theta_1\) be an isomorphism between the point-line dual of \(Q_1\) (regarded as generalized quadrangle) and the generalized quadrangle \(W(3, q)\). For every element \(Q \in P_N\), put \(\theta_2(Q) = Q \cap Q_1\). Then for every \(Q \in P_N\), \(\theta_1 \circ \theta_2(Q)\) is a point of \(W(3, q)\). It is straightforward to verify that \(\theta_1 \circ \theta_2\) defines an isomorphism between \(S_N\) and the geometry of the hyperbolic lines of \(W(3, q)\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.11** If \(\mathcal{N}\) is a hyperbolic set of quads of \(DW(5, q)\), then \(\bigcup_{Q \in P_N} Q\) coincides with the whole point-set of \(DW(5, q)\).

**Proof.** Let \(Q_1\) be an arbitrary element of \(\mathcal{N}\), let \(x\) be an arbitrary point of \(DW(5, q)\) and let \(L\) denote the unique line through \(\pi_{Q_1}(x)\) meeting each element of \(\mathcal{N}\). Let \(Q\) be a quad through \(x\) and \(L\) (which is unique if \(x \notin L\)). Then \(Q\) intersects each element of \(\mathcal{N}\) in a line. Hence, \(x \in Q \in P_N\). This proves the lemma. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.12** Let \(\mathcal{N}\) be a hyperbolic set of quads of \(DW(5, q)\), \(q \geq 3\). There exists a set \(X\) of 4 points of \(S_N\) such that the subspace of \(S_N\) generated by \(X\) (i.e. the smallest subspace of \(S_N\) containing \(X\)) coincides with the whole point-set of \(S_N\).

**Proof.** By Cooperstein [9, Lemma 2.3], this property holds for the geometry of the hyperbolic lines of \(W(3, q)\) and hence also for \(S_N\) by Lemma 3.10. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.13** Let \(\mathcal{N} = \{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{q+1}\}\) be a hyperbolic set of quads of \(DW(5, q)\), \(q \geq 3\). Let \(X\) be a set of points of \(Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}\) such that \(X \cap Q_1\) is an ovoid of \(Q_1\). Then there are at most \(q^4\) hyperplanes \(H\) of Type (\(\ast\)) satisfying \(H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X\).
**Proof.** We may suppose that there exists a hyperplane $H^*$ of Type $\ast$ satisfying $H^* \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X$.

**Claim I.** Let $Q$ be an arbitrary element of $P_N$. Then there exist $q$ subsets $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_q$ of $Q$ such that if $H$ is a hyperplane of Type $\ast$ of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X$, then $H \cap Q \in \{Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_q\}$.

**Proof.** Put $L = Q \cap Q_1$. Since $X \cap Q_1$ is an ovoid of $Q_1$, $X \cap L$ is a singleton. Let $H = H^* \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1})$ be a $(q + 1) \times (q + 1)$-subgrid of $Q$ containing the line $L$. The set $X \cap H = H^* \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) \cap Q = H^* \cap G$ is either $G$ or a hyperplane of $G$. The former case cannot occur since $L \cap H^* = L \cap X$ is a singleton. So, $X \cap H$ is either the union of two intersecting lines of $G$ or an ovoid of $G$. Now, let $e_Q$ denote the (up to isomorphism) unique embedding of $Q \cong Q(5, q)$ into $PG(4, q)$. Then $\langle e_Q(G) \rangle$ is 3-dimensional and $\langle e_Q(X \cap G) \rangle = e_Q(H^* \cap G)$ is 2-dimensional. Suppose now that $H$ is a hyperplane of Type $\ast$ of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X$. Then $H \cap Q$ is either $Q$ or a classical hyperplane of $Q$. The former case cannot occur since $H \cap G = X \cap G \neq G$. Hence, $\langle e_Q(H \cap Q) \rangle$ is one of the $q$ hyperplanes of $PG(5, q)$ through $\langle e_Q(X \cap G) \rangle$ distinct from $\langle e_Q(G) \rangle$. So, if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_q$ denote the $q$ hyperplanes of $PG(5, q)$ through $\langle e_Q(X \cap G) \rangle$ distinct from $\langle e_Q(G) \rangle$ and $Y_i := e_Q^{-1}(\alpha_i \cap e_Q(Q))$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$, then $H \cap Q \in \{Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_q\}$.

**Claim II.** Let $R_1$ and $R_2$ be two distinct elements of $P_N$ and let $R_3 \in N(R_1, R_2) \{R_1, R_2\}$. If $H$ is a hyperplane of Type $\ast$ of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X$, then $H \cap R_3$ is completely determined by the intersections $H \cap R_1$ and $H \cap R_2$.

**Proof.** Since $H \cap Q_1 = X \cap Q_1$ is an ovoid of $Q_1$, $H \cap R_1 \cap Q_1$, $\pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_2 \cap Q_1)$ and $\pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_3 \cap Q_1)$ are mutually distinct points of $Q_1 \cap R_1$. This implies that $\pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_2) \neq H \cap R_1$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $\pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_3 \cap Q_1) \cap (H \cap R_1) = \pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_2) \cap (H \cap R_1) = \pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_3) \cap (H \cap R_1)$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists a unique classical hyperplane $G$ of $R_1$ satisfying $\pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_3 \cap Q_1) \subseteq G$ and $G \cap (H \cap R_1) = \pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_2) \cap (H \cap R_1) = G \cap \pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_2)$. Hence, $G = \pi_{R_1}(H \cap R_3)$, i.e. $H \cap R_3 = \pi_{R_3}(G)$. So, the intersection $H \cap R_3$ is completely determined by $H \cap R_1$ and $H \cap R_2$.

The following is an immediate consequence of Claim II and Lemma 3.11.

**Corollary.** If $\{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4\}$ is a generating set of the geometry $S_N$ (cf. Lemma 3.12), then any hyperplane $H$ of Type $\ast$ of $DW(5, q)$ satisfying $H \cap (Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_{q+1}) = X$ is completely determined by $H \cap R_1$, $H \cap R_2$, $H \cap R_3$ and $H \cap R_4$. 
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Lemma 3.13 immediately follows from Claim I and the previous corollary. □

The following lemma completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 3.14** If $H$ is a hyperplane of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5,q)$, $q \geq 3$, then $H$ arises from the Grassmann-embedding of $DW(5,q)$. 

**Proof.** If $H$ does not admit ovoidal quads, then by De Bruyn and Pralle [15, Proposition 4.2], $H$ is either a singular hyperplane, the extension of a $(q + 1) \times (q + 1)$-grid in a quad or a so-called hexagonal hyperplane (which only exists if $q$ is even). All these hyperplanes arise from the Grassmann-embedding of $DW(5,q)$, see De Bruyn [11], [14] and Shult and Thas [29]. In the sequel, we therefore suppose that there exists a quad $Q$ which is ovoidal with respect to $H$. Put $O := Q \cap H$. Let $e : DW(5,q) \to \Sigma$ denote the Grassmann-embedding of $DW(5,q)$. Then $\dim(\langle e(O) \rangle) = 3$, $\dim(\langle e(Q) \rangle) = 4$ and $\dim(\Sigma) = 13$. The number of hyperplanes of $\Sigma$ containing $\langle e(O) \rangle$ but not $\langle e(Q) \rangle$ is equal to $q^9$. Hence, there are $q^9$ hyperplanes of $DW(5,q)$ which arise from $e$ and which intersect $Q$ in $O$. All these hyperplanes are of Type $(\ast)$. We will now show that there are at most $q^9$ hyperplanes of Type $(\ast)$ which intersect $Q$ in $O$. From this it immediately follows that the hyperplane $H$ arises from the Grassmann-embedding $e$.

Let $Q'$ be a quad disjoint from $Q$. By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.13, there are at most $q^4$ hyperplanes $H'$ of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5,q)$ which satisfy $H' \cap Q = O$ and $H' \cap Q' = Q'$. Now, there are $\frac{q^2 - 1}{q - 1}$ classical hyperplanes in $Q'$. If $G'$ is one of these classical hyperplanes of $Q'$, then by Lemmas 3.7, 3.9 and 3.13, there are at most $(q - 1)q^4$ hyperplanes $H'$ of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5,q)$ which satisfy $H' \cap Q' = G'$ and $H' \cap Q = O$. Since every hyperplane of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5,q)$ intersects $Q'$ in either $Q'$ or a classical hyperplane of $Q'$, there are at most $q^4 + \frac{q^2 - 1}{q - 1} \cdot (q - 1)q^4 = q^9$ hyperplanes of Type $(\ast)$ of $DW(5,q)$ which intersect $Q$ in $O$. This is precisely what we needed to show. □

### 4 Proof of the Main Theorem: the general case

The following proposition is the special case $n_0 = 3$ of Corollary 1.5 of Cardinali, De Bruyn and Pasini [8].

**Proposition 4.1** For every integer $n \geq 3$, let $D_n$ be a class of thick dual polar spaces of rank $n$. For every $\Delta \in D := \bigcup_{n=3}^{\infty} D_n$, let $H(\Delta)$ be a class of hyperplanes of $\Delta$. We assume that every $\Delta \in D$ is embeddable and we
denote by $e_{\Delta}$ the absolutely universal embedding of $\Delta$. Assume that for every $\Delta \in D_3$, it holds that every $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ arises from $e_{\Delta}$. If, moreover, for $n > 3$ and $\Delta \in D_n$ (i) any max of $\Delta$ belongs to $D_{n-1}$, (ii) for any max $A$ of $\Delta$ and every hyperplane $H$ of $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$, we either have $A \subseteq H$ or $H \cap A \in \mathcal{H}(A)$, then $H$ arises from $e_{\Delta}$, for every $\Delta \in D$ and every $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$.

We will now apply Proposition 4.1 to prove the Main Theorem. For every $n \geq 3$, let $D_n$ denote the set of all dual polar spaces which are isomorphic to $DW(2n - 1, q)$ for some prime power $q \geq 3$. For every $\Delta \in D := \bigcup_{n=3}^{\infty} D_n$, let $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ denote the class of all hyperplanes of Type $(\ast)$ of $\Delta$. Recall that the absolutely universal embedding $e_{\Delta}$ of an element $\Delta \in D$ is isomorphic to the Grassmann-embedding of $\Delta$. By Proposition 3.1, $H$ arises from $e_{\Delta}$ for every $\Delta \in D_3$ and every $H \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$. Clearly, also conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4.1 are satisfied. We conclude that every hyperplane $H$ of $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$, where $\Delta$ is an arbitrary element of $D$, arises from the Grassmann-embedding of $\Delta$.

Conversely, every hyperplane of the dual polar space $\Delta = DW(2n - 1, q)$, $n \geq 2$ and $q \neq 2$, which arises from the Grassmann-embedding of $\Delta$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$.
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