Maltose-binding protein is a potential carrier for oral immunizations

P. Bellot^{1*}, P. Tiels^{2*}, V. Melkebeek¹ and E. Cox¹

¹Laboratory of Immunology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Belgium

²Unit for Molecular Glycobiology, Department for Molecular Biomedical Research, VIB, Ghent-Zwijnaarde, Belgium

* These two authors contributed equally to this publication

INTRODUCTION

In humans and most animal species such as pigs, vaccination via the oral route is a prerequisite for induction of a protective immunity against enteropathogens. Hereto, live attenuated microorganisms can be used. However, these microorganisms often are either too attenuated to induce sufficient intestinal immunity or are still too virulent resulting in clinical signs. We previously demonstrated that it is possible to induce immunity against enteropathogens by targeting antigen towards enterocytes.

Maltose-binding protein (MBP) is part of the maltose/maltodextrin system of *Escherichia coli*. MBP is a relatively small protein (42.5 kDa) approximately $3 \times 4 \times 6.5$ nm in size with surface residues capable of both hydrogen bonding interactions and hydrophobic interactions. Recombinant proteins are often fused to MPB to improve their yield and to increase their solubility. In mice, these fusion proteins showed an enhanced immunogenicity following systemic immunization. More recently, this has been attributed to interaction of MBP with TLR4 on dendritic cells (DCs). TLR4 is also expressed in the enterocytes of the gut. Therefore, we examined if oral administration of MPB-FedF to 4-week-old pigs could be used to induce an immune response against F18+ verotoxigenic *E. coli* in pigs. Also we examined if the oral administration of MBP to pigs is able to induce an immune response. In both experiments cholera toxin was used as oral adjuvant.

RESULTS

Does MPB-FedF induce a protective immune response against F18+ VTEC ?

Materials & Methods

Two weeks after weaning, six pigs were orally immunised with 3 mg MBPFedF in 10 mL PBS. The mucosal adjuvant cholera toxin (CT, Sigma) was used. As negative controls six pigs were orally given 10 mL PBS and five pigs 50 µg CT in 10 mL PBS. Five days following the third immunisation, the local immune response was analysed in two pigs of the MBPFedF and the PBS + CT group. Mononuclear cells (MC) were isolated from a mid-jejunal intestinal segment without Peyer's patches (Lamina propria, LP). The FedF₁₋₂₈₀ and the MBPFedF-specific IgA antibody secreting cells (ASC) in the LP were enumerated by ELISpot as described by Tiels *et al.* (2008). One week following the third immunisation, the remaining pigs were orally challenged with the F18⁺ *E. coli* strain F107/86 and the faecal excretion of F18⁺ *E. coli* was determined from 2 till 10 days after the first infection as described by Verdonck *et al.* (2004).

For detection of F18-specific antibodies, the F18 fimbriae were coated directly onto the plates (2 µg/mL in 50 mM sodium bicarbonate pH 9.4). The plates were blocked with PBS + 0.2% Tween[®] 80. Subsequently, the plates were sequentially incubated with twofold serial dilutions of pig sera, with goat anti-pig HRP (Fitzgerald) and with ABTS. The FedF-specific serum response was analysed using a direct sandwich ELISA. Briefly, an optimal dilution of rabbit polyclonal antibodies against FedF₁₋₂₈₀ was coated on the maxisorb plates, 1% BSA was used to block the plates. Thereafter, 5 µg/mL FedF₁₋₂₈₀ was added, followed by the pig sera and goat anti-pig HRP (Fitzgerald). For the MBP-specific serum response, MBP (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany) was coated onto the plates. The following steps were similar to the F18-specific ELISA.

2. Challenge infection with F18+ VTEC

1. Oral immunisation rMBPFedF in PBS with 50 µg CT after rabeprazole (proton pump inhibitor)

3. Faecal excretion of F18+ VTEC

Systemic immune response ↑
Mucosal immune response ↑
Faecal excretion ↓

Binding of MBP to the intestinal brush border?

Materials & Methods

Porcine F18 receptor (F18R) positive enterocytes were isolated based on the method described by Ramirez *et al.* (2005). Approximately 10⁷ cells were centrifuged during 3 min and washed twice with Krebs–Henseleit buffer. Subsequently, the cells were incubated during 1 h with 50 µg/mL MBPFedF or MBP, followed by incubation of the cells with mAb against MBP (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany) and with goat anti-mouse FITC (Molecular probes). Incubations occurred for 1 h at room temperature and after each incubation two washes were performed with Krebs–Henseleit buffer.

→ MBP binds to pig enterocytes

Does MBP induce an immune response?

Materials & Methods

Two weeks after weaning, six pigs were orally immunised with 1.68 mg MBP in 10 mL PBS, three pigs also received CT as adjuvant. As negative controls two pigs were orally given 10 mL PBS. Five days following a fourth immunisation, the local immune response was analysed in one pig of each group. The MBP-specific serum response MBP was analysed as previous described. The MBP-specific antibody secreting cells (ASC) were enumerated by ELISpot. Briefly cells were collected as described by Verdonck *et al.* 2002. Maxisorb 96-well plates were coated with MBP in PBS. Thereafter, MC suspensions at a concentration of 10⁷ cells/mL in leukocyte medium were added to the plates (100 µL/well). Then the plates were incubated for 10 h at 37 °C in a humidified CO₂ atmosphere. PBS + 0.2% Tween[®] 20 was used to remove the cells from the plates. Subsequently, optimally diluted mouse anti-swine IgM/IgA/IgG mAbs were added to the wells followed by anti-mouse-HRP. The amount of IgM/IgA/IgG-specific ASCs per 5 × 10⁶ MC was obtained by counting the spots in 7 wells (10⁶ MC/well).

Systemic immune response ↑
Mucosal immune response ↑

CONCLUSION

Results showed an enhanced systemic and mucosal immune response against FedF and a significant decrease in the faecal excretion. It is demonstrated that MBP is able to bind to pig enterocytes and after oral uptake can induce a specific intestinal mucosal immune response. Therefore we suggest that the maltose-binding protein has the potential to be a targeting/carrier molecule for mucosal immunisations in pigs.

REFERENCES

Ramirez, K., *et al.*, Role of EspA and intimin in expression of proinflammatory cytokines from enterocytes and lymphocytes by rabbit enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*-infected rabbits. *Infection and Immunity*, 2005. **73**(1): p. 103-113.
Tiels, P., *et al.*, The excretion of F18+ *E. coli* is reduced after oral immunisation of pigs with a FedF and F4 fimbriae conjugate. *Vaccine*, 2008. **26**(17): p. 2154-63.
Verdonck F *et al.*, Different kinetic of antibody responses following infection of newly weaned pigs with an F4 enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* strain or an F18 verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* strain. *Vaccine* 2002;20:2995–3004.
Verdonck, F., *et al.*, Oral immunization of piglets with recombinant F4 fimbrial adhesin FaeG monomers induces a mucosal and systemic F4-specific immune response. *Vaccine*, 2004. **22**(31-32): p. 4291-4299.