Soil inorganic N leaching in edges of different forest types
subject to high N deposition loads

Short title: N leaching in edges of different forest types

Karen WUYTS^{1*}, An DE SCHRIJVER¹, Jeroen STAELENS^{1,2}, Lotte VAN NEVEL¹, Sandy
ADRIAENSSENS¹, Kris VERHEYEN¹

¹ Laboratory of Forestry, Dpt. of Forest & Water Management, Ghent University. Geraardsbergsesteenweg
267, B-9090 Gontrode-Melle, Belgium

² Laboratory of Applied Physical Chemistry (ISOFYS), Dpt. of Applied Analytical and Physical Chemistry,
Ghent University. Coupure Links 653, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

Corresponding author: K. Wuyts, Laboratory of Forestry, Dpt. of Forest and Water Management, Ghent
University, Geraardsbergsesteenweg 267, B-9090 Gontrode-Melle, Belgium. Telephone: +32 9 264 90 25.
Fax: +32 9 264 90 92. E-mail: Karen.Wuyts@UGent.be

---

* Author contributions: KW, ADS, KV: concept & design of experiments; KW: data collection and analysis; KW, ADS, JS, KV: data interpretation; KW: manuscript drafting; ADS, JS, LVN, SA, KV: critical revision of manuscript.

14   **Abstract**

15   We report on soil leaching of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) along transects across exposed
16   edges of four coniferous and four deciduous forest stands. In a 64-m edge zone, DIN leaching
17   below the main rooting zone was enhanced relative to the interior (at 128 m from the edge) by 21
18   and 14 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in the coniferous and deciduous forest stands, respectively. However, the
19   patterns of DIN leaching did not univocally reflect those of DIN throughfall deposition. DIN
20   leaching in the first 20 m of the edges was lower than at 32-64 m from the edge (17 vs. 36 and 15
21   vs. 24 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in the coniferous and deciduous forests, respectively). Nitrogen stocks in the
22   mineral topsoil (0-30 cm) were, on average, 943 kg N ha⁻¹ higher at the outer edges than in the
23   interior, indicating that N retention in the soil is probably one of the processes involved in the
24   relatively low DIN leaching in the outer edges. We suggest that a complex of edge effects on
25   biogeochemical processes occurs at the forest edges as a result of the interaction between
26   microclimate, tree dynamics (growth and litterfall), and atmospheric deposition of N and base
27   cations.

28
29   **Keywords**

30   atmospheric deposition / nitrate leaching / forest edge / edge effects / forest type / C:N ratio /
31   inorganic nitrogen

1. Introduction

In many landscapes around the world, human activities such as timber harvesting, agricultural expansion, and urbanization increase the extent of forest fragmentation, making the forest edge the prevailing feature of the landscape matrix (Riitters and others 2002; Harper and others 2005; Broadbent and others 2008). In forest edges, nitrogen (N) throughfall deposition is enhanced relative to the forest interior (see De Schrijver and others (2007a) for a review). In the interior of N saturated forests, high levels of N deposition are associated with increased levels of dissolved inorganic N (DIN; ammonium (NH_4^+) + nitrate (NO_3^-)) leaching to the groundwater, predominantly as NO_3^- (MacDonald and others 2002; Kristensen and others 2004; Vestgarden and others 2004; De Schrijver and others 2008). Hence, higher levels of DIN leaching in forest edges in comparison with the forest interior can be expected. Yet, both lower (Balsberg-Påhlsson and Bergkvist 1995; Kinniburgh and Trafford 1996; Spangenberg and Kölling 2004) and higher (Balsberg-Påhlsson and Bergkvist 1995; Spangenberg and Kölling 2004) NO_3^- concentrations in soil water and DIN leaching fluxes have been detected at edges than further down the edge.

The proximity of the edge affects a variety of abiotic and biotic variables. Microclimatic variables such as air and soil temperature, light availability, and soil moisture are altered (Matlack 1993; Chen and others 1995; Gehlhausen and others 2000; Marchand and Houle 2006; Heithecker and Halpern 2007) and throughfall deposition fluxes of sulphur and base cations such as K^+ , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+} are enhanced (Draaijers and others 1994; Spangenberg and Kölling 2004; Wuyts and others 2008a). Moreover, higher tree growth rates and leaf area indices are reported at edges in comparison with forest interiors (McDonald and Urban 2004; Bowering and others 2006; Sherich and others 2007), potentially resulting in higher levels of N sequestration in biomass at the edge due to higher tree biomass (Spangenberg and Kölling 2004).

Our research objective was to investigate (i) whether DIN leaching in the soil is influenced by edge effects in both deciduous and coniferous forest types, and (ii) how this pattern of DIN leaching in

edges relates to patterns of DIN throughfall deposition, of forest floor and mineral soil characteristics, and of N stored in stem biomass. In addition, we examined the effect of forest type on DIN leaching. We presume that the higher input of N deposition is expressed in enhanced DIN leaching near edges, and that this pattern of DIN leaching differs between forest types, since deciduous forest types are subject to smaller edge effects on DIN deposition than coniferous ones are (Spangenberg and Kölling 2004; Wuyts and others 2008a, 2008b).

63

64 2. Materials and methods

65 2.1. Site description

66 In two regions, located in Flanders (the northern part of Belgium) and approximately 135 km apart, 67 eight homogeneous forest stands were considered (Fig. 1): two naturally regenerated stands 68 dominated by silver birch (*Betula pendula* Roth; stands Bp1 and Bp2) and six planted monocultures 69 of (i) pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur* L.; stands Qr1 and Qr2), (ii) Austrian pine (*P. nigra* ssp. 70 *nigra* Arnold; stand Pn1) or Corsican pine (*Pinus nigra* ssp. *laricio* Maire; stand Pn2), and (iii) 71 Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.; stands Ps1 and Ps2). The stands Bp1, Qr1, Pn1, and Ps1 were 72 situated in the western part of Flanders and Bp2, Qr2, Pn2, and Ps2 in the northern part (Fig. 1). 73 Site code, location, stand characteristics, and soil pH-KCl of the studied forest stands are presented 74 in Table 1. Thinning in stand Ps2 about three years prior to the start of our measuring campaign 75 caused DIN leaching to be locally and temporarily enhanced, higher than the input of N via 76 throughfall deposition (von Wilpert and others 2000; Weis and others 2006). Hence, DIN leaching 77 data from the Ps2 site was omitted from statistical analyses and figures.

78 All stands had an abrupt forest edge oriented towards the prevailing southwesterly winds. All 79 forests were located on poor, well-drained soils of sand or loamy sand (Haplic podzols; FAO- 80 ISRIC-ISSS 1998) with a low buffering capacity for acidifying deposition (Van Ranst and others 81 2002; De Schrijver and others 2006). During the entire sampling period, the groundwater table was

82 deeper than 150 cm in all stands except Pn1 (> 120 cm) and Bp1 and Qr1 (> 80 cm). All forests
83 were situated in areas with high density of intensive livestock farms. Analysis of the throughfall
84 deposition data (Wuyts and others 2008b) showed that differences in edge effects between the two
85 regions were less important than the differences between coniferous and deciduous forest stands.
86 For the physical and chemical characterisation of the climate in the two regions, we refer to Wuyts
87 and others (2008b). Historic maps from around 1775 and later showed that the sites were managed
88 as heath, characterised by sheep grazing and turf cutting, until up to 80 years ago, and, from then
89 onwards, were continuously covered by forest. The Qr1 stand was briefly managed as grassland
90 around 1900. The edge of the Pn1 stand borders a busy highway at less than 5 m.

91

92 2.2. Experimental setup and sampling

93 In each stand, throughfall and soil solution were monitored year-round, soil samples were taken,
94 and leaf area index (LAI) was determined at eight distance plots at 0, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, and 128 m
95 from the edge, along a transect perpendicular to the forest edge. Throughfall water was collected
96 using three collectors (funnels mounted on two-liter bottles) per distance plot (Wuyts and others
97 2008b). Soil solution was sampled at two depths, i.e., at 30 and 90 cm, by means of one suction cup
98 lysimeter per depth and distance plot. Within one plot, the distance between the two lysimeters was
99 at least 1 m. The lysimeters consisted of (i) a PVC pipe fitted with a porous ceramic cup (5 cm
100 diameter, Eijkelkamp, The Netherlands) and inserted into the soil at an angle of 60° with the surface
101 and (ii) an opaque, glass, one-liter bottle connected to the pipe via a polyethylene tube and stored
102 belowground to keep samples cool. After each sampling, a pressure of -500 hPa was applied.
103 Preferably, the lysimeters were installed where no understory vegetation occurred to avoid
104 confounding influence of its N uptake. Brambles (*Rubus fruticosus* agg.) were carefully removed
105 within a 3-m radius around the lysimeters in the first 20 m of Qr1, at the 64 m and 128 m distance
106 plots of Pn1, and at the 16 m and 32 m distance plots of Ps1. The perennial herb *Holcus mollis* L. in

107 the first 10 m from the edge of the Pn2 stand was not removed to avoid substantial disruption of the
108 organic layer. The lysimeters were installed four months prior to the actual monitoring to check for
109 proper performance, and were drained every two weeks to ensure close contact with the
110 surrounding soil solution. The level of groundwater was monitored with piezometers; in the stands
111 Qr1 and Bp1, soil solution was not sampled at 90 cm due to high ground water levels (> 80 cm
112 deep). Soil solution and throughfall sampling took place fortnightly from 1 September 2005 to 30
113 August 2006. On each sampling occasion, sample volume in the throughfall collectors and the
114 lysimeters was measured, throughfall samples were pooled volume-weighted per distance plot, and
115 subsamples were taken to the lab. Aliquots of two consecutive sample collections were pooled
116 volume-weighted into monthly samples for chemical analysis.

117 In February 2006, soil samples were taken with a 3.2 cm diameter soil auger from the fermentation
118 and humus layer (together, FH) of the forest floor (i.e., the ectorganic layer) and from 0-5 cm, 5-10
119 cm, and 10-30 cm of the mineral soil. We took samples at three locations per distance plot and all
120 samples were analysed separately. Next to this, the FH layer was collected in a 39 cm x 39 cm
121 square at the outer edge (0-20 m from the edge front) and the forest interior (at 128 m from the
122 edge). Density of the mineral soil was determined from the dry weight of undisturbed samples in
123 cylinders of approximately 10^{-4} m^3 .

124 In winter 2005-2006 and summer 2006, LAI was measured from digital hemispherical photographs
125 (Nikon D70s with fish-eye lens Sigma EXDG Fisheye 8 mm 1:4 D) taken above each throughfall
126 collector, using Gap Light Analyzer GLA 2.0 (<http://www.ecostudies.org/gla>). Stem volume was
127 calculated from diameter at breast height and tree height measured for all trees within 10 m from
128 the central transect axis in spring 2006, based on the equations by Jansen and others (1996).

129 In June 2008, leaves and current-year and older (> 1 year) needles were collected using telescopic
130 secateurs at a fixed height of 12 m and from the shaded part of the crown. We sampled five trees
131 located in the first 10 m of the forest edge and five trees in the forest interior. In the Qr1 stand,

132 branches with leaves were too high to reach, while in the Ps1 stand, needles could not be collected
133 due to safety reasons (next to a busy highway). Trees at the edges were sampled at the sheltered
134 side opposite from the edge to avoid overestimation of the N concentrations by sampling sunlit
135 leaves or needles. Dry weight and surface area of a leaf or needle subsample were measured to
136 assess the specific leaf area (SLA, ratio of leaf area to leaf dry weight). Leaf surface areas were
137 determined with the LI-3000 Portable Area Meter (LICOR); needle surface areas were estimated
138 from needle length and diameter. Stem cores were taken from the same trees at 30 cm height above
139 the root collar. Bark was excluded from chemical analysis and only fully intact stem cores, bored
140 through the entire stem, were selected. Additionally, the length, diameter, and weight of the dry
141 stem cores were determined to estimate wood density.

142

143 2.3. Chemical analyses

144 Subsequent to filtration (0.45 μm , Rothe), the monthly water samples were analyzed for NH_4^+
145 photometrically (determination of a reaction product of NH_4^+ at $\lambda = 660 \text{ nm}$ according to the Dutch
146 standard method NEN 6576; Cary 50 spectrophotometer, Varian) and for Cl^- and NO_3^- by ion
147 chromatography (ICS-90 Dionex). The forest floor samples were dried at 70°C and grinded;
148 samples of the mineral soil were dried at 40°C and sieved (2 mm mesh width). Samples of the FH
149 layer of the forest floor and the mineral soil were analysed for total N (salicylic acid-thiosulphate
150 modification of Kjeldahl method: 1 g soil or 0.2 g ectorganic material in 7 ml $\text{C}_7\text{H}_6\text{O}_3$ solution (50 g
151 dissolved in 1 l H_2SO_4 96%), 5 ml concentrated H_2SO_4 , and 0.5 g $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$; Bremner 1996)
152 and total C (estimated by loss on ignition: 4.5 h at increasing temperature until 450°C , then 4 h at
153 450°C ; estimated % C = $(100 - \% \text{ of ashes residue}) / 2$). The samples of the FH layer were digested
154 (0.2 g organic material in 10 ml HNO_3 and 2 ml HClO_4 ; McKenzie 2010) and the 0-5 cm samples
155 of the mineral soil were extracted with BaCl_2 (5.00 g soil in 100 ml 0.1 M BaCl_2 solution; Dutch
156 standard method NEN 5738) and were subsequently analysed for Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (flame atomic

157 absorption spectrophotometry, SpectrAA-220, Varian). Wood and foliar material were dried at
158 70°C, grinded, and following total destruction analysed for total N. The quality of the chemical
159 analyses was checked by including method blanks and repeated measurements of internal standards
160 and certified reference samples.

161

162 2.4. Element fluxes, N stocks, and soil properties

163 Annual throughfall fluxes ($\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$) were the sum of monthly fluxes calculated from the
164 concentration in the subsamples multiplied by the monthly throughfall volume in the three
165 collectors and divided by the surface area of the three funnels (Wuyts and others 2008b). Year-
166 round mean NO_3^- -N concentrations (mg l^{-1}) were calculated as the mean of NO_3^- -N concentrations
167 weighted by the sample volume in the lysimeters on each sampling event. Soil NH_4^+ -N and NO_3^- -N
168 leaching fluxes ($\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$) were determined by multiplying the water seepage flux ($\text{l m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$) with
169 the year-round, volume-weighted mean ion concentration in the soil solution (mg l^{-1}). The water
170 seepage fluxes were estimated with the chloride mass balance method (Eriksson and Khunakasem
171 1969; Boxman and others 2008; De Schrijver and others 2008). Recent studies indicate that Cl^-
172 release or retention may occur in the soil (Öberg and Sandén 2005; Bastviken and others 2007), but
173 we found the mass balance method with Cl^- as a tracer sufficient to study edge effects. The water
174 seepage fluxes in stands Qr2 and Pn2 calculated with Na^+ (flame atomic absorption
175 spectrophotometry, SpectrAA-220, Varian) as a tracer were lower than with Cl^- , but the difference
176 was marginally insignificant (paired samples t test, $P = 0.077$) and the Na^+ and Cl^- concentrations in
177 the soil solution were highly correlated irrespective of time and distance from the edge (Pearson R
178 $= 0.94$; $P < 0.001$; $n = 35$). The calculated water seepage fluxes were independent of edge distance.
179 However, at the first two distance plots at the Qr2 site, the calculated water seepage fluxes at 90 cm
180 soil depth were high and equalled the throughfall volumes ($\pm 600 \text{ l m}^{-2}$), while further down the
181 edge, they were half the volume of throughfall. A small but profound ($\pm 1 \text{ m}$) creek located 2 m

182 before the edge front of stand Qr2 may have influenced subsurface water flows. As a result, DIN
 183 throughfall fluxes at the first two distance plots of Qr2 were probably overestimated.

184 The N stock in leaves or needles was approximated by multiplying the mean N concentration (kg N
 185 kg^{-1}) by the LAI ($\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-2}$, converted to $\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$) and the inverse of the mean SLA. The N stock in
 186 tree stem biomass was estimated by multiplying the mean N concentration (kg N kg^{-1}) by the stem
 187 volume ($\text{m}^3 \text{ha}^{-1}$) and the wood density, which was in general lower at the edge than in the interior.

188 The C:N ratios were determined for the FH layer of the forest floor (C:N_{FH}) and the mineral soil
 189 (C:N₀₋₅, C:N₅₋₁₀, C:N₁₀₋₃₀). The N stocks (kg N ha^{-1}) in the mineral soil were calculated based on the
 190 measured N concentration and soil density (the latter was significantly lower at the edge than in the
 191 interior).

192

193 2.5. Statistics

194 By means of a repeated-measures ANOVA (RMA), the effects of within-subject factor ‘distance to
 195 the forest edge’ (8 levels) and between-subjects factor ‘forest type’ (2 levels: coniferous and
 196 deciduous) on NO_3^- -N concentrations in soil water, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN, NH_4^+ -N +
 197 NO_3^- -N) leaching, and soil characteristics (C, N, C:N) were tested. Furthermore, we estimated the
 198 mean NO_3^- -N concentrations and DIN soil leaching fluxes in the first 64 m of the edge, weighted by
 199 the share of each distance plot in the considered zone (i.e., 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 16 m for the first to
 200 seventh distance plots, respectively). Repeated-measures ANOVA was applied to identify and
 201 separate the influence of ‘edge proximity’ (2 levels: forest edge 0-64 m and forest interior at 128 m)
 202 and forest type on NO_3^- -N concentrations and DIN leaching. This data pooling enabled to make
 203 more general conclusions. Although the NH_4^+ throughfall deposition, representing on average 73%
 204 of the DIN throughfall deposition, was significantly lower in region 1 than in region 2 by 7 kg N ha^{-1}
 205 y^{-1} on average (Wuyts and others 2008b), we observed no significant influence of region and its

206 interaction effects on DIN leaching and N content. The factor region and its interaction effects were
207 therefore omitted from the analyses to decrease the number of parameter estimations.
208 Furthermore, differences between edge (0-64 m) and interior (128 m) were tested for the deciduous
209 and coniferous stands separately with non-parametric related samples tests (Wilcoxon signed ranks
210 test). A repeated-measures analysis (RMA) was applied on the dry weight of the FH layer, the N
211 stock in the mineral soil and the biomass (or LAI), the N concentration, and the N stock in stem
212 wood and leaf/needle to separate the influence of 'edge proximity' (2 levels: edge and interior) and
213 of forest type. Finally, single linear regression models (including constants) were tested between
214 DIN in throughfall deposition, $C:N_{FH}$, and the C:N ratios of the mineral soil on the one hand and the
215 (log-transformed) DIN leaching fluxes at 30 and 90 cm soil depth on the other hand. All statistical
216 analyses were performed using SPSS 15.0.

217

218 **3. Results**

219 **3.1. DIN leaching**

220 Higher NO_3^- -N concentrations in soil water occurred in the coniferous stands in comparison with
221 the deciduous ones. For example, in the interior part (128 m) of the studied forests, mean
222 concentrations $\pm$ standard error were $14 \pm 3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ at 30 cm and $17 \pm 9 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ at 90 cm soil depth in
223 the coniferous stands and $4.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ at 30 cm and $3.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ at 90 cm soil depth in the
224 deciduous stands. Similarly, estimated DIN leaching fluxes were higher in the coniferous than in the
225 deciduous stands, with mean interior fluxes of $35 \pm 7 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ at 30 cm and $10 \pm 5 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$
226 at 90 cm soil depth in the coniferous stands and $8 \pm 2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ at 30 cm and $7 \pm 2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$
227 at 90 cm soil depth in the deciduous stands. However, forest type affected DIN leaching only
228 significantly ($P < 0.05$) at 30 cm soil depth, and yet marginally insignificantly ($0.05 < P < 0.1$)
229 affected the NO_3^- -N concentrations at this depth (Table 2).

According to the RMA analysis (Table 2), the distance from the forest edge did not significantly ($P > 0.1$) influence the NO_3^- -N concentrations and the DIN leaching fluxes at 30 and 90 cm depth, indicating that the NO_3^- -N concentrations and the DIN leaching did not display a monotonic (increasing or decreasing) relationship with distance from the edge. The NO_3^- -N concentrations in the soil water at 30 and 90 cm (Fig. 2, Appendix) were not enhanced at the edges, except for Pn1 at 30 cm depth, where we observed a slight decrease in concentration with increasing edge distance. Instead, the NO_3^- -N concentrations were highest between 8 and 32 m or increased towards the forest interior (at both sampling depths in Pn2 and in Qr1 and Ps1 at 30 cm). In all stands, except for Pn1 at 30 cm and Qr2, the lowest DIN leaching fluxes occurred at the edge front (0 to 2 m) with values equal or even lower than at 128 m, while maximum values were obtained at 8, 16, 32, or 64 m from the edge (see Appendix). Fig. 2 shows the dissimilarity between the mean edge patterns of DIN throughfall deposition and of DIN leaching fluxes in the deciduous and coniferous stands. While the DIN throughfall deposition decreased with increasing edge distance, the DIN leaching fluxes at 90 cm soil depth increased with distance in the first 20 m of the edge ('outer edge'), peaked at 32 and 64 m, and decreased in the forest interior at 128 m. The DIN leaching at 90 cm soil depth in the first 20 m from the edge was 15 ± 12 and $17 \pm 6 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ (mean $\pm$ SE) in the deciduous and coniferous forest stands, resp., while from 20 to 64 m the leaching amounted to 24 ± 9 and $36 \pm 11 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$, resp. No significant interaction between forest type and distance from the forest edge occurred for NO_3^- -N concentrations and DIN leaching at 30 and 90 cm (Table 2). The NO_3^- -N concentrations and leaching of DIN averaged over the first 64 m of the edge were consistently higher than the concentrations and fluxes in the interior, and differences were marginally insignificant for the leaching fluxes at 30 cm and significant for those at 90 cm (Table 2). The differences in NO_3^- -N concentrations were, however, not significant. When considering coniferous and deciduous stands separately, NO_3^- -N concentrations and DIN leaching did not differ significantly between the first 64 m of the edge and the interior, although the difference in DIN

leaching flux at 90 cm in the coniferous stands was only marginally insignificant. In the first 64 m of the edges, the DIN leaching fluxes at 30 cm soil depth were in the deciduous stands, on average, 10 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ (125%) higher than in the forest interior and in the coniferous stands 24 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ (69%) higher than in the interior (Table 2). At 90 cm soil depth, the increase in DIN leaching in the 64 m edge zone relative to the interior was 14 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ (or 181%) and 21 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ (or 105%) in the deciduous and coniferous stands, respectively (Table 2). For comparison, we provide the results of the repeated measures analysis on DIN throughfall deposition and its weighted-means in the 64-m edge zone and the interior of the deciduous and coniferous stands in Table 2. Significant linear relations were found between NO₃⁻-N concentrations at 30 and 90 cm ($R^2 = 0.57$; $P < 0.001$; slope = 1.982) and between the log-transformed DIN leaching fluxes at 30 and 90 cm ($R^2 = 0.51$; $P < 0.001$; slope = 0.952). The DIN leaching fluxes at 30 cm and 90 cm were plotted against the DIN in throughfall deposition in Fig.3. The DIN leaching fluxes at 30 cm were significantly linearly related with the DIN input via throughfall deposition ($R^2 = 0.43$; $P < 0.001$; slope = 1.51; Fig. 3). This relationship held when the deciduous stands were considered separately ($R^2 = 0.33$; $P = 0.001$; slope = 1.79) but was marginally insignificant for the coniferous stands ($R^2 = 0.14$; $P = 0.073$; slope = 1.05). The linear relationship between DIN throughfall deposition and DIN leaching was improved in the coniferous stands when only plots with $C:N_{FH} \leq 25$ ($n=18$) were considered ($R^2 = 0.32$; $P = 0.014$; slope = 1.70). No significant linear relationships were observed between the DIN throughfall deposition and the DIN leaching at 90 cm ($P = 0.138, 0.316, \text{ and } 0.377$ for all ($n = 40$), the deciduous ($n = 16$), and the coniferous ($n = 24$) stands, respectively).

275

3.2. Forest floor and mineral soil

At the outer edges (0-20 m), on average $7.5 \pm 0.7 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ of dry FH layer was collected, which was significantly lower than in the forest interiors ($10.9 \pm 1.4 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$; Table 3). A significant effect of forest type was observed on the C concentrations and the C:N ratio of the FH layer (Table 4), which

280 were both higher in the deciduous than in the coniferous stands. The distance from the edge
 281 significantly affected the C and N concentrations in the FH layer of the forest floor, as well as its
 282 C:N ratio (Table 4). The overall trend was that the N and C concentrations and the C:N ratios were
 283 lower at the edges (Fig. 4). No significant interactions between edge effects and forest type were
 284 detected in the FH layer.

285 The N concentrations in the mineral soil were in general higher at the edges in all soil depths but
 286 were significantly influenced by edge effects only in the 0-5 cm mineral topsoil and marginally
 287 insignificantly at 10-30 cm (Table 4; Fig. 4). The C concentrations in the soil were significantly
 288 affected by distance from the edge in all sampled soil depths (Table 4; Fig. 4), with higher
 289 concentrations near the edge. The C:N ratios of the mineral topsoil (0-5 cm) were significantly
 290 lower at the edge. The forest type had a significant effect on the N concentrations of the 0-5 cm and
 291 5-10 cm mineral soil layers and the C:N ratios of the 0-5 cm mineral topsoil and had a marginally
 292 insignificant effect on the C:N ratios of the 5-10 and 10-30 cm mineral soil layers (Table 4), with
 293 higher N concentrations and lower C:N ratios in the deciduous stand. No significant interaction
 294 effects between forest type and edge distance were observed in the mineral soil.

295 The N stocks in the mineral soil at 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, and 10-30 cm depth were, on average, 376 (or
 296 49%), 148 (or 28%), and 419 (or 25%) kg ha^{-1} higher at the outer edge than in the forest interior,
 297 respectively, the difference being significant for the 0-5 cm topsoil and marginally insignificant in
 298 the other mineral soil layers (Table 3). When the deciduous and coniferous stands were considered
 299 separately (Table 3), the pattern was consistent but less outspoken, with only marginally
 300 insignificant differences at 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm depth in the deciduous stands and at 0-5 cm depth
 301 in the coniferous stands. Summed over the total sampled mineral soil layer (0-30 cm), differences in
 302 the soil N stock between outer edge and interior were larger in the deciduous forest types (1232 kg
 303 ha^{-1} , i.e., 427, 176, and 629 kg ha^{-1} , for the increasing soil depth ranges) than in the coniferous ones
 304 (652 kg ha^{-1} , i.e., 325, 119, and 208 kg ha^{-1} , for the increasing soil depth ranges). The N stocks in

the mineral soil did not differ significantly between the coniferous and the deciduous stands for any of the sampling depths (Table 3).

Plotting the DIN leaching fluxes at 30 and 90 cm soil depth against the C:N ratio of the FH layer ($C:N_{FH}$) and the mineral topsoil ($C:N_{0-5}$) (Fig. 3) showed distinct clusters related to the forest type, e.g., in the deciduous stands, similar DIN leaching occurred at lower $C:N_{FH}$ ratios than in the coniferous stands. In both forest types, the DIN leaching at 30 cm soil depth was not significantly related to the C:N ratios. The DIN leaching at 90 cm depth in the deciduous stands was marginally insignificantly related to $C:N_{FH}$ ($R^2 = 0.19$; $P = 0.097$; slope = -7.93), but significantly negatively related to $C:N_{0-5}$ ($R^2 = 0.35$; $P = 0.015$; slope = -3.03), $C:N_{5-10}$ ($R^2 = 0.51$; $P = 0.002$; slope = -1.90), and $C:N_{10-30}$ ($R^2 = 0.38$; $P = 0.012$; slope = -1.72) soil layers. The relationship between DIN leaching at 90 cm depth and $C:N_{FH}$ disappeared when the extreme point at $C:N_{FH} = 16.4$ (see Fig. 3) was removed ($R^2 = 0.02$, $P = 0.636$). In the coniferous stands, relations of DIN leaching at 90 cm with neither $C:N_{FH}$ nor the C:N ratios of the mineral soil were significant. Linear regression on log-transformed DIN leaching values yielded higher P and R^2 values for the relation between DIN leaching at 90 cm and the C:N ratio at 0-5 cm ($R^2 = 0.48$; $P = 0.003$) and 5-10 cm ($R^2 = 0.63$; $P < 0.001$) of the mineral soil in the deciduous stands.

321

3.3. N in stems and fresh foliar material

The LAI and stem volume were generally higher in the first 20 m of the edges than in the interior, the differences being marginally insignificant for LAI and significant for stem volume (Table 3).

The N concentrations in stem wood and foliar material differed not significantly between the forest edge and the interior (Table 3).

The N stock in the foliar material (Table 3) was, on average, 3 kg N ha⁻¹ (21%) higher in the first 20 m of the edge (mean 20 kg N ha⁻¹) than in the interior (mean 16 kg N ha⁻¹). In stem biomass, on average, an additional amount of 27 kg N ha⁻¹ (20%) was stored in the first 20 m of the edge

330 (average 162 kg N ha⁻¹) in comparison with the interior (average 136 kg N ha⁻¹). In the deciduous
331 stands, the mean difference was 5 kg N ha⁻¹ in foliar biomass and 22 kg N ha⁻¹ in stem biomass. The
332 differences between edge and interior in the coniferous stands amounted to 2 kg N ha⁻¹ in foliar
333 biomass and 32 kg N ha⁻¹ in stem biomass. However, the effect of edge proximity was not
334 significant, even when forest types were considered separately (Table 3). The N stock in foliar
335 material and stem wood was not significantly affected by forest type (Table 3).

336

337 **4. Discussion**

338 4.1. Forest type effect on DIN leaching

339 Higher NO₃⁻-N concentrations in soil water and DIN leaching occurred in the coniferous pine stands
340 than in the deciduous oak and birch stands, a result in line with previous studies performed in the
341 interior of similar forest types (Herrmann and others 2005; De Schrijver and others 2004, 2008) and
342 deciduous and coniferous forest types in general (von Wilpert and others 2000; Rothe and others
343 2002; De Schrijver and others 2007b). Gundersen and others (1998), MacDonald and others (2002),
344 and De Schrijver and others (2007b) demonstrated a positive relationship between DIN leaching
345 and N deposition at N saturated sites. This would imply that the forest type effect on DIN leaching
346 would be related primarily to differences in atmospheric N input. Although the species-related
347 difference in DIN leaching increases with the difference in N throughfall deposition or N load
348 (Rothe and others 2002; De Schrijver and others 2007b), stand history, N uptake, soil N retention,
349 and gaseous N losses may surpass the deposition effect (Rothe and others 2002).

350 The deciduous stands had lower C:N ratios in both the FH layer of the forest floor (C:N_{FH}) and the
351 the mineral topsoil (0-5 and 5-10 cm) than the coniferous stands, in accordance with Kristensen
352 and others (2004) and Gundersen and others (2009). Dise and others (1998), Gundersen and others
353 (1998, 2009), and MacDonald and others (2002) suggested the use of N input and the C:N ratio of
354 the forest floor or mineral topsoil to predict NO₃⁻ leaching. In our coniferous stands, DIN leaching

at 30 and at 90 cm were related with neither N input nor C:N ratios. However, DIN leaching at 30
 cm related significantly with DIN throughfall deposition in the coniferous stands when only plots
 with $C:N_{FH} \leq 25$ were considered. This result would confirm the finding of Gundersen and others
 (1998, 2009) and MacDonald and others (2002) that in coniferous stands for organic layer $C:N \leq 25$
 DIN leaching is related to DIN input, although the slope of DIN leaching vs. DIN throughfall is
 higher than 1. In the deciduous stands, DIN leaching was significantly negatively related with C:N
 ratios of the 0-5, 5-10, and 10-30 cm soil layers. Our study supports the finding of Gundersen and
 others (2009) that a C:N value of the organic layer of 25 is not a useful threshold value to
 discriminate between low and high risk of DIN leaching in deciduous stands. Gundersen and others
 (2009) suggested that the C:N ratio of the mineral topsoil (0-10 cm) better reflects the N status of
 mull type soils - in their study related to deciduous stands - and that the organic layer C:N may be
 better suited for mor and moder type soils.

When analysing the effect of forest type on biogeochemical fluxes, stands should meet the
 prerequisite of comparable climate, air pollution level, soil type, land-use history, and successional
 stage (De Schrijver and others 2007b). Our study examined forest stands on the same soil type and,
 within one region, under similar air quality and climate conditions. Land-use history was alike for
 all stands down to 1770, except for the Qr1 stand, which was shortly managed as grassland around
 1900. Soil pH, soil N, nitrification rates, and NO_3^- leaching can remain elevated and soil C
 concentration and C:N ratios lowered in post-agricultural forests, even a century after agricultural
 abandonment (Compton and Boone 2000; Jussy and others 2002; Gundersen and others 2009).

However, on sandy soils and after more than 50 years of reforestation, tree species rather than land-
 use history affects N cycling (Compton and others 1998). In the 90-year old Qr1 stand, soil pH-KCl
 was higher than in the other forest stands (Table 1), but C:N ratios of the mineral topsoil (on
 average 17.7 in the 128 m plot) were comparable to those in the other oak stand Qr2 (on average
 18.6 in the 128 m plot). Moreover, DIN leaching fluxes in the Qr1 stand were not higher than in the

380 Qr2 stand, which suggests a limited effect of the former grassland management on soil N cycling in
381 Qr1. Canopy closure was complete in all stands, but while all stands were in timber stage, the birch
382 stands Bp1 and Bp2 were in late pole stage. The trees in the birch stands are close to the
383 culmination point of height growth. Further development of these stands will be characterised by an
384 increase in N deposition input, due to increasing tree height (Draaijers 1993), and a decrease in N
385 accumulation in biomass, since after canopy closure the most N-rich biomass compartments
386 (canopy foliage) are synthesised (Richter and others 2000), and this can result in a slight increase in
387 DIN leaching (Hansen and others 2007).

388

389 4.2. Edge effect on DIN leaching

390 In comparison with the forest interior, enhanced leaching fluxes of DIN are found in the 64-m edge
391 zone of both the coniferous and deciduous forests. These differences in DIN leaching between the
392 64-m edge zone and the interior are larger than these in DIN throughfall deposition because the
393 most pronounced enhancement of DIN throughfall deposition is restricted to the first 20 m of the
394 edge while the enhancement of DIN leaching occurs between 16 and 64 m from the edge. The
395 increase in DIN soil leaching in the 64-m edge zone was greatest in the coniferous forests, and this
396 for both sampling depths, in accordance with the more pronounced edge effects on DIN throughfall
397 deposition in the coniferous forests than in the deciduous ones (Wuyts and others 2008a, b). Below
398 90 cm, an additional $14 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ and $21 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ was leached in the 64-m edge zone in the
399 deciduous and coniferous forests in comparison with the forest interior. However, for DIN leaching
400 as well as for the other variables considered (soil N and C concentrations and N stocks) we found
401 no significant difference in edge effects between the coniferous and deciduous forest types.
402 The patterns of DIN leaching and NO_3^- -N concentration did not univocally reflect the patterns of
403 DIN throughfall deposition (Fig. 2). In the *Q. robur*, *B. pendula*, and *P. nigra* stands, maximum
404 DIN throughfall deposition occurred at the front of the edges (0-2 m from the edge) behind which

405 deposition decreased with increasing edge distance (Wuyts and others 2008b; Fig. 2). In the Ps1
406 stand, edge effects on throughfall deposition of DIN were not observed because the edge of the Ps1
407 stand borders a very busy motorway: trucks passing by at < 5 m from the edge considerably disturb
408 the expected pattern of wind speed and turbulence across forest edges. We found no evidence of
409 higher NO_3^- -N concentrations in the soil water near the exposed edges and remarkably low DIN
410 leaching occurred in the outer edge (the first 0-20 m of the edge) of the stands. At 30 cm soil depth,
411 soil DIN leaching was significantly related to the DIN throughfall deposition, but at 90 cm, the
412 relationship with throughfall was lost. The DIN leaching fluxes at 90 cm soil depth increased with
413 distance in the first 20 m of the edge until the highest values were reached at 32 and 64 m, and
414 decreased again in the forest interior at 128 m. In the first meters of an exposed beech edge,
415 Kinniburgh and Trafford (1996) reported very low NO_3^- -N concentrations in the pore water beneath
416 the rooting zone; however, concentrations were increased at 30-100 m from the edge, after which
417 they decreased again. These results and those of Spangenberg and Kölling (2004) agree with our
418 findings on leaching of DIN. The non-uniform response of DIN leaching to edge proximity is
419 probably the result of a complex interaction of several processes: (i) N uptake by trees and the
420 understory vegetation, (ii) gaseous N loss, (iii) N immobilisation in the soil, and (iv) dissolved
421 organic N (DON) leaching. However, because not all possible N pathways were actually measured
422 in this study, we stress the importance of further research to verify our assumptions.
423 We avoided the influence of N uptake by understory vegetation on DIN leaching, except in the Pn2
424 stand, where lower DIN leaching at the edges may be related to N uptake by grasses at the edge.
425 Also, in stand Qr1, the brambles that remained outside the cleared zone around the lysimeters might
426 still have affected DIN leaching in the first 20 m of the edge to a minor extent. Yet, the effect of
427 these brambles can be assumed negligible as their abundance was low. The stand volume and the
428 LAI were higher in the first 20 m of the edge, but differences in N stock in these biomass
429 compartments were not significant. So, though we did not measure N stocks in all biomass

430 compartments, these results do not confirm the hypothesis by Spangenberg and Kölling (2004) on N
431 sequestration in trees and understory biomass and instead indicate that the role of higher N
432 accumulation in biomass in lower DIN leaching in the outer edge is limited.

433 Production of NO and N₂O, primarily driven by microbial processes, depends on soil temperature,
434 moisture, pH, and N input (Butterbach-Bahl and others 2002; Schindlbacher and others 2004;
435 Pilegaard and others 2006). From edge gradients in N throughfall deposition, pH, and microclimate
436 (e.g., soil temperature; Chen and others 1995), elevated N loss through NO and N₂O emission can
437 be expected at edges.

438 N concentrations in the mineral topsoil (0-5 cm) were significantly enhanced at the edges and the N
439 stock in the total sampled mineral soil (0-30 cm) was, on average, 951 kg N ha⁻¹ higher in the first
440 20 m of the edge than in the interior. Processes that are believed to be involved in N retention in the
441 soil are (a)biotic fixation of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in or on soil organic matter (Magill and others 1997;
442 Aber and others 1998; Davidson and others 2003; Micks and others 2004; Morier and others 2008).
443 NH₄⁺ adsorption in the mineral soil is positively related to soil pH and exchangeable amounts of
444 Mg²⁺ (Kothawala and Moore 2009), which were both enhanced in the mineral topsoil (0-5 cm) in
445 the first 32 m of our edges (data on Mg²⁺ in Fig. 4). Moreover, Hayes (2002) suggested that at forest
446 edges a complex interaction of processes is involved in soil N retention, such as increased litter
447 decomposition and higher biomass input through litterfall. The magnitude and extent of the edge
448 effects on these processes may differ between edges with dissimilar structure, species composition,
449 exposition, and type of adjacent land cover (Hayes 2002; Malmivaara-Lämsä and others 2008). We
450 presume that both litter decomposition and litterfall input are increased at the edges, but that the
451 edge effect on the decomposition rate penetrates the forest deeper than the effect on litterfall. Litter
452 decomposition depends, among other factors, on soil biological activity. Higher soil temperature,
453 occurring up to 50-60 m from the edge (Chen and others 1995; Heithecker and Halpern 2007), and
454 higher Ca content in the litter (Fig. 4), as a result of higher base cation deposition (Wuyts and others

2008a), could favour the abundance and activity of soil and litter organisms and increase
 decomposition rates at the edges (Reich and others 2005; Hobbie and others 2007). Hence, we
 hypothesize that with an increase in litter decomposition, less N is retained in the forest floor and
 more N is flushed to the mineral soil up to 64 m from the edge. At our edges, though LAI was
 higher, the FH layer dry weight at the edge was on average 28% lower than in the interior, which
 can be related to higher decomposition rates but also to blow up of litter by wind from the edge to
 the interior. Next, we presume the presence of an edge effect on litterfall input that penetrates the
 edge less far than the edge effect on decomposition. In the outer 20 m of the edges, the biomass of
 fresh leaves (LAI), and presumably also the litterfall flux to the forest floor, was higher than further
 down the edge and in the interior. The soil organic matter content was enhanced near the edges,
 indicating a higher potential for N immobilisation in or on soil organic matter. Also Marchand and
 Houle (2006) observed a higher soil organic matter content in the first 20 m of a forest edge. In the
 outer edge of a *P. nigra* stand near Pn2, Vandenbruwane (2008) found higher DON and dissolved
 organic C (DOC) fluxes from the forest floor to the mineral soil and higher retention of DON and
 DOC in the upper 70 cm of the mineral soil in the outer edge than in the interior (13 vs. 7 kg N ha⁻¹
 y⁻¹). Next to higher DON retention, Vandenbruwane (2008) observed higher DON leaching fluxes
 below the main rooting zone in the outer edge than in the interior (5 vs. 3 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹). Hence, the
 proportion of organic N in the total N leaving the system via leaching is increased at the outer edge
 in comparison with the interior.

We can conclude that the C:N ratio and the DIN input with throughfall deposition cannot serve as
 indicators or predictors for DIN leaching in forest edges: at the outer edges, higher DIN throughfall
 deposition and lower C:N ratios were observed, yet lower DIN leaching was detected in comparison
 with the plots at 32 and 64 m from the edge, particularly at 90 cm soil depth. Although we found a
 significant relationship between DIN throughfall and DIN leaching at 30 cm in the deciduous stands
 (and in the coniferous stands for $C:N_{FH} \leq 25$), such relationships were no longer appreciable at 90

480 cm soil depth. Between DIN leaching and C:N ratio, only significant relationships were found in the
481 deciduous stands, and the variability in DIN leaching explained by the C:N ratio of the mineral soil
482 was low.

483

484 4.3. Methodological considerations

485 The use of only one suction cup lysimeter per depth and distance plot may not take into account the
486 spatial variability in DIN leaching at a given edge distance. Kohlpaintner and others (2009) found
487 that only understory vegetation coverage, distance to the nearest tree, and tree basal area within a
488 radius of 4 m contributed to explain the variability in NO_3^- leaching in a mature Norway spruce
489 stand. Given that in our stands, (i) understory vegetation was absent or removed more than half a
490 year before sampling (in exception of the Pn2 stand, where a homogeneous grass cover occurred at
491 the edge), and (ii) forest stands were homogeneous in basal area, with exception of the edge front,
492 we expect spatial variability in DIN leaching within one distance plot to be limited. The good
493 agreement (high correlation) in NO_3^- -N concentrations and DIN leaching between the lysimeters of
494 the two sampling depths (with at least 1 m intermediate distance) also suggests a relatively low
495 spatial variability.

496 The DIN leaching fluxes were calculated with water percolation fluxes estimated from the chloride
497 mass balance. Although Cl^- acts as a conservative element in the soil of undisturbed forests in the
498 long term (Kauffman and others 2003) and is even close to conservative within 2 years (Rosenqvist
499 and others 2010), imbalances between Cl^- input and output within one year and periods of sea salt
500 peaks may occur, causing the water percolation flux and DIN leaching to be under- or
501 overestimated. In this study, the water percolation fluxes might be overestimated due to a peak in
502 sea salt deposition that occurred during a storm event on 25 November 2005, particularly in the
503 region the closest to the sea (region 1). Although this peak in Cl^- deposition occurred in the
504 beginning of the year of sampling, it may not have passed completely through the soil by the end of

505 the sampling period. However, the similar trend in the measured NO_3^- -N concentrations in the soil
506 solution as in the derived DIN leaching fluxes and the large number of studied stands and distance
507 plots reduce the uncertainty in the edge pattern of DIN leaching related to the use of the chloride
508 mass balance.

509

510 **5. Conclusions**

511 In 64 m edge zones of deciduous and coniferous forests, DIN leaching fluxes were higher than in
512 forest interiors. DIN leaching was higher and exhibited the largest edge effects in coniferous forests.
513 DIN leaching at 90 cm soil depth did not relate with DIN throughfall deposition; in the first 20 m
514 (i.e., the outer edge), DIN leaching was reduced in comparison with further down the edge (20-64
515 m). Increased soil N retention is probably one of the processes involved in this local decrease in
516 DIN leaching. Our results point towards a complex of edge effects on biogeochemical processes, a
517 result of interactions between edge effects on N deposition and on base cation deposition,
518 microclimate, and tree dynamics (e.g., growth and litterfall). The course and extent of these edge
519 effects may differ according to structure, exposition, species composition, and adjacent land cover,
520 complicating the generalisation of edge patterns in biogeochemical and ecological studies.

521

522 **Acknowledgements**

523 The authors are grateful to L. Willems, J. Vandenbruwane, G. De bruyn, M. Vanhellemont, L.
524 Baeten, E. Ampoorter, K. Ceunen, F. Vermeiren, L. Gielis, S. Vandenberghe, and J. Herman for
525 field and laboratory assistance. We thank the four anonymous reviewers for their constructive
526 remarks on the initial manuscript. The authors were supported by the Special Research Grant of
527 Ghent University (BOF) and by the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO); ADS and JS were
528 supported as postdoctoral fellows of the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO).

529   **References**

- 530   Aber J, McDowell, W, Nadelhoffer K, Magill A, Berntson G, Kamakea M, McNulty S, Currie W, Rustad L,
531   Fernandez I. 1998. Nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems: hypotheses revisited.
532   Bioscience 48(11): 921-934.
- 533   Balsberg-Påhlsson A-M, Bergkvist B. 1995. Acid deposition and soil acidification at a southwest facing edge
534   of Norway spruce and European beech in south Sweden. Ecological Bulletins 44: 43-53.
- 535   Bastviken D, Thomsen F, Svensson T, Karlsson S, Sandén P, Shaw G, Matucha M, Öberg G. 2007. Chloride
536   retention in forest soil by microbial uptake and by natural chlorination of organic matter. Geochimica
537   et Cosmochimica Acta 71: 3182-3192.
- 538   Bowering M, LeMay V, Marshall P. 2006. Effects of forest roads on the growth of adjacent lodgepole pine
539   trees. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36: 919-929.
- 540   Boxman AW, Peters RCJH, Roelofs JGM. 2008. Long term changes in atmospheric N and S throughfall
541   deposition and effects on soil solution chemistry in a Scots pine forest in the Netherlands.
542   Environmental Pollution 156: 1252-1259.
- 543   Bremner JM. 1996. Nitrogen-total. In: Sparks DL. SSSA Book Series 5: Methods of soil analysis. Part 3 -
544   Chemical methods. Soil Science Society of America, American Society of Agronomy, Madison,
545   Wisconsin, USA, pp. 1085-1121.
- 546   Broadbent EN, Asner GP, Keller M, Knapp DE, Oliveira PJC, Silva JN. 2008. Forest fragmentation and edge
547   effects from deforestation and selective logging in the Brazilian Amazon. Biological Conservation
548   141(7): 1745-1757.
- 549   Butterbach-Bahl K, Breuer L, Gasche R, Willibald G, Papen H. 2002. Exchange of trace gases between soils
550   and the atmosphere in Scots pine forest ecosystems of the northeastern German lowlands: 1. Fluxes
551   of N₂O, NO/NO₂ and CH₄ at forest sites with different N-deposition. Forest Ecology and
552   Management 167: 123-134.
- 553   Chen J, Franklin JF, Spies TA. 1995. Growing-season microclimatic gradients from clearcut edges into old-
554   growth Douglas-fir forests. Ecological Applications 5(1): 74-86.

555 Compton JE, Boone RD, Motzkin G, Foster DR. 1998. Soil carbon and nitrogen in a pine-oak sand plain in
556 central Massachusetts: Role of vegetation and land-use history. *Oecologia* 116: 536-542.

557 Compton JE, Boone RD. 2000. Long-term impacts of agriculture on soil carbon and nitrogen in New
558 England forests. *Ecology* 81: 2314-2330.

559 Davidson EA, Chorover J, Dail DB. 2003. A mechanism of abiotic immobilization of nitrate in forest
560 ecosystems: the ferrous wheel hypothesis. *Global Change Biology* 9: 228-236.

561 De Schrijver A, Devlaeminck R, Mertens J, Wuyts K, Hermy M, Verheyen K. 2007a. On the importance of
562 incorporating forest edge deposition for evaluating the exceedance of critical pollutant loads.
563 *Applied Vegetation Science* 10: 293-298.

564 De Schrijver A, Geudens G, Augusto L, Staelens J, Mertens J, Wuyts K, Gielis L, Verheyen K. 2007b. The
565 effect of forest type on throughfall deposition and seepage flux: a review. *Oecologia* 153: 663-674.

566 De Schrijver A, Mertens J, Geudens G, Staelens J, Campforts E, Luyssaert S, De Temmerman L, De
567 Keersmaecker L, De Neve S, Verheyen K. 2006. Acidification of forested podzols in North Belgium
568 during the period 1950-2000. *Science of the Total Environment* 361: 189-195.

569 De Schrijver A, Nachtergale L, Staelens J, Luyssaert S, De Keersmaecker L. 2004. Comparison of throughfall
570 and soil solution chemistry between a high-density Corsican pine stand and a naturally regenerated
571 silver birch stand. *Environmental Pollution* 131: 93-105.

572 De Schrijver A, Staelens J, Wuyts K, Van Hoydonck G, Janssen N, Mertens J, Gielis L, Geudens G, Augusto
573 L, Verheyen K. 2008. Effect of vegetation type on throughfall deposition and seepage flux.
574 *Environmental Pollution* 153: 295-303.

575 Dise NB, Matzner E, Forsius M. 1998. Evaluation of organic horizon C:N ratio as an indicator of nitrate
576 leaching in conifer forests across Europe. *Environmental Pollution* 102, S1: 453-456.

577 Draaijers GPJ, Van Ek R, Bleuten W. 1994. Atmospheric deposition in complex forest landscapes.
578 *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 69: 343-366.

579 Draaijers GPJ. 1993. The variability of atmospheric deposition to forests. PhD thesis, University of Utrecht,
580 The Netherlands.

581 Eriksson E, Khunakasem V. 1969. Chloride concentration in groundwater, recharge rate and rate of
582 deposition of chloride in the Israel coastal plain. *Journal of Hydrology* 7: 178-197.

583 FAO-ISRIC-ISSS. 1998. World reference base for soil resources. World Soil Resources Report 84. FAO.

584 Gehlhausen S, Schwartz MW, Augspurger CK. 2000. Vegetation and microclimatic edge effects in two
585 mixed-mesophytic forest fragments. *Plant Ecology* 147: 21-35.

586 Gundersen P, Callesen I, de Vries W. 1998. Nitrate leaching in forest ecosystems is related to forest floor
587 C/N ratios. *Environmental Pollution* 102, S1: 403-407.

588 Gundersen P, Sevel L, Christiansen JR, Vesterdal L, Hansen K, Bastrup-Birk A. 2009. Do indicators of
589 nitrogen retention and leaching differ between coniferous and broadleaved forests in Denmark?
590 *Forest Ecology and Management* 258: 1137-1146.

591 Hansen K, Rosenqvist L, Vesterdal L, Gundersen P. 2007. Nitrate leaching from three afforestation
592 chronosequences on former arable land in Denmark. *Global Change Biology* 13: 1250-1264.

593 Harper KA, MacDonald SE, Burton PJ, Chen J, Broszofsky KD, Saunders SC, Euskirchen ES, Roberts D,
594 Jaiteh MS, Esseen P-A. 2005. Edge influence on forests structure and composition in fragmented
595 landscapes. *Conservation Biology* 19(3): 768-782.

596 Hayes TD. 2002. Ecosystem consequences of forest fragmentation in the Pacific Northwest: biogeochemical
597 edge effects within old-growth forest remnants. PhD dissertation, University of California, Berkeley,
598 p. 276.

599 Heithecker TD, Halpern CB. 2007. Edge-related gradients in microclimate in forest aggregates following
600 structural retention harvests in western Washington. *Forest Ecology and Management* 248: 163-173.

601 Herrmann M, Pust J, Pott R. 2005. Leaching of nitrate and ammonium in heathland and forest ecosystems in
602 Northwest Germany under the influence of enhanced nitrogen deposition. *Plant and Soil* 273: 129-
603 137.

604 Hobbie SE, Ogdahl M, Chorover J, Chadwick OA, Oleksyn J, Zytowskiak R, Reich PB. 2007. Tree species
605 effects on soil organic matter dynamics: the role of soil cation composition. *Ecosystems* 10: 999-
606 1018.

607 <http://www.ecostudies.org/gla>

608 Jansen JJ, Sevenster J, Faber BJ. 1996. Opbrengsttabellen voor belangrijke boomsoorten in Nederland [in
609 Dutch]. IBN report 221, 240 p.

610 Jussy JH, Koerner W, Dambrine E, Dupouey JL, Benoit M. 2002. Influence of former agricultural land use
611 on net nitrate production in forest soils. *European Journal of Soil Science* 53: 367-374.

612 Kinniburgh DG, Trafford JM. 1996. Unsaturated zone pore water chemistry and the edge effect in a beech
613 forest in Southern England. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 92: 421-450.

614 Kothawala DN, Moore TR. 2009. Adsorption of dissolved nitrogen by forest mineral soils. *Canadian Journal*
615 *of Forest Research* 39: 2381-2390.

616 Kristensen HL, Gundersen P, Callesen I, Reinds GJ. 2004. Throughfall nitrogen deposition has different
617 impacts on soil solution nitrate concentration in European coniferous and deciduous forests.
618 *Ecosystems* 7: 180-192.

619 Kohlpaintner M, Huber C, Weis W, Göttlein A. 2009. Spatial and temporal variability of nitrate
620 concentration in seepage water under a mature Norway spruce [*Picea abies* (L.) Karst] stand before
621 and after clear cut. *Plant and Soil* 314: 285-301.

622 MacDonald JA, Dise NB, Matzner E, Armbruster M, Gundersen P, Forsius M. 2002. Nitrogen input together
623 with ecosystem nitrogen enrichment predict nitrate leaching from European forests. *Global Change*
624 *Biology* 8: 1028-1033.

625 Magill AH, Aber JD, Hendricks JJ, Bowden RD, Melillo JM, Steudler PA. 1997. Biogeochemical response
626 of forest ecosystems to simulated chronic nitrogen deposition. *Ecological Applications* 7(2): 402-
627 415.

628 Malmivaara-Lämsä M, Hamberg L, Haapamäki E, Liski J, Kotze DJ, Lehtvähvirta S, Fritze H. 2008. Edge
629 effects and trampling in boreal urban forest fragments - impacts on the soil microbial community.
630 *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 40: 1612-1621.

631 Marchand P, Houle G. 2006. Spatial patterns of plant species richness along a forest edge: what are their
632 determinants? *Forest Ecology and Management* 223: 113-124.

633 Matlack GR. 1993. Microenvironment variation within and among forest edge sites in the eastern United-
634 States. *Biological Conservation* 66(3): 185-194.

635 McDonald RI, Urban DL. 2004. Forest edges and tree growth rates in the North Carolina Piedmont. *Ecology*
636 85(8): 2258-2266.

637 McKenzie T. 2010. Automated multielement analysis of plant material by flame atomic absorption
638 spectroscopy. Application note. Agilent Technologies, USA, 6 p. www.agilent.com/chem

639 Micks P, Aber JD, Boone RD, Davidson EA. 2004. Short-term soil respiration and nitrogen immobilization
640 response to nitrogen applications in control and nitrogen-enriched temperate forests. *Forest Ecology*
641 *and Management* 196: 57-70.

642 Morier I, Schleppi P, Siegwolf R, Knicker H, Guenat C. 2008. ^{15}N immobilization in forest soil: a
643 sterilization experiment coupled with ^{15}C PMAS NMR spectroscopy. *European Journal of Soil*
644 *Science* 59: 467-475.

645 Öberg G, Sandén P. 2005. Retention of chloride in soil and cycling of organic matter-bound chlorine.
646 *Hydrological Process* 19: 2123-2136.

647 Pilegaard K, Skiba U, Ambus P, Beier C, Brüggemann N, Butterbach-Bahl K, Dick J, Dorsey J, Duyzer J,
648 Gallagher M, Gasche R, Horvath L, Kitzler B, Leip A, Pihlatie MK, Rosenkranz P, Seufert G,
649 Vesala T, Westrate H, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S. 2006. Factors controlling regional differences in
650 forest soil emission of nitrogen oxides (NO and N_2O). *Biogeosciences* 3: 651-661.

651 Reich PB, Oleksyn J, Modrzyński J, Mrozinski P, Hobbie SE, Eissenstat DM, Chorover J, Chadwick OA,
652 Hale CM, Tjoelker MG. 2005. Linking litter calcium, earthworms and soil properties: a common
653 garden test with 14 tree species. *Ecology Letters* 8: 811-818.

654 Richter DD, Markewitz D, Heine PR, Jin V, Raikes J, Tian K, Wells CG. 2000. Legacies of agriculture and
655 forest regrowth in the nitrogen of old-field soils. *Forest Ecology and Management* 138: 233-248.

656 Riitters KH, Wickham JD, O'Neill RV, Jones KB, Smith ER, Coulston JW, Wade TG, Smith JH. 2002.
657 Fragmentation of continental United States forests. *Ecosystems* 5: 815-822.

658 Rosenqvist L, Hansen K, Vesterdal L, van der Salm C. 2010. Water balance in afforestation
659 chronosequences of common oak and Norway spruce on former arable land in Denmark and
660 southern Sweden. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 150: 196-207.

661 Rothe A, Huber C, Kreutzer K, Weis W. 2002. Deposition and soil leaching in stands of Norway spruce and
662 European Beech: results from the Höglwald research in comparison with other European case
663 studies. *Plant and Soil* 240: 33-45.

664 Schindlbacher A, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, Butterbach-Bahl K. 2004. Effects of soil moisture and
665 temperature on NO, NO₂, and N₂O emissions from European forest soils. *Journal of Geophysical*
666 *Research* 109(D17): D17302.

667 Sherich K, Pocewicz A, Morgan P. 2007. Canopy characteristics and growth rates of ponderosa pine and
668 Douglas-fir at long-established forest edges. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 37: 2096-2105.

669 Spangenberg A, Kölling C. 2004. Nitrogen deposition and nitrate leaching at forest edges exposed to high
670 ammonia emissions in southern Bavaria. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 152: 233-255.

671 Van Ranst E, De Coninck F, Roskams P, Vindevogel N. 2002. Acid-neutralizing capacity of forest floor and
672 mineral topsoil in Flemish forests (North Belgium). *Forest Ecology and Management* 166: 45-53.

673 Vandenbruwane J. 2008. Dynamics of dissolved organic matter in forest ecosystems in Flanders. PhD thesis,
674 Ghent University. 252p.

675 Vestgarden LS, Nilsen P, Abrahamsen G. 2004. Nitrogen cycling in *Pinus sylvestris* stands exposed to
676 different nitrogen inputs. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 19: 38-47.

677 von Wilpert K, Zirlewagen D, Kohler M. 2000. To what extent can silviculture enhance sustainability of
678 forest sites under the immission regime in Central Europe? *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 122: 105-
679 120.

680 Weis W, Rotter V, Göttlein A. 2006. Water and element fluxes during the regeneration of Norway spruce
681 with European beech: Effects of shelterwood-cut and clear-cut. *Forest Ecology and Management*
682 224: 304-317.

683 Wuyts K, De Schrijver A, Staelens J, Gielis M, Geudens G, Veheyen K. 2008a. Patterns of throughfall
684 deposition along a transect in forest edges of silver birch and Corsican pine. *Canadian Journal of*
685 *Forest Research* 38: 449-461.

686 Wuyts K, De Schrijver A, Staelens J, Gielis L, Vandenbruwane J, Verheyen K. 2008b. Comparison of forest
687 edge effects on throughfall deposition in different forest types. *Environmental Pollution* 156: 854-
688 861.

689 **Appendix**

690 Throughfall deposition and soil leaching fluxes at 30 cm (DIN leaching₃₀; indicated by bars) and 90 cm (DIN leaching₉₀; bars) soil depth of DIN ($\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NH}_4^+$; $\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$)

691 and volume-weighted mean NO_3^- -N concentrations (mg l^{-1} ; crosses) in the soil solution along transects across the studied forest edges. Soil leaching fluxes at 90 cm were not

692 measured in the stands Qr1 and Bp1 due to high ground water tables. Data on throughfall deposition were previously published by Wuyts and others (2008b), except for Ps1.

693 Note the higher y-axis limit for the stands Qr2, Pn1, Pn2 and Ps1.

695 **Figure legends**

696 Fig. 1. Maps indicating location of Flanders within Europe and the eight studied forest stands in the two
697 regions (see Table 1 for explanation of site codes).

698

699 Fig. 2. Mean throughfall deposition of DIN ($\text{NH}_4^+ + \text{NO}_3^-$; $\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$) and DIN soil leaching fluxes (kg N
700 $\text{ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$) and NO_3^- -N concentrations (mg l^{-1}) in the soil solution at 30 (DIN leaching₃₀ and NO_3^- -N
701 concentration₃₀) and 90 cm (DIN leaching₉₀ and NO_3^- -N concentration₉₀) soil depth along transects across the
702 deciduous and coniferous forest sites. Error bars indicate standard errors. Note the altered y-axis limits.

703

704 Fig. 3. DIN leaching ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$) at 30 and 90 cm soil depth plotted against the throughfall deposition of
705 DIN ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$) and the C:N ratio of the fermentation and humus layer of the forest floor (C:N_{FH}) and of
706 the 0-5 cm mineral topsoil (C:N_{0-5}) in the separate distance plots in the deciduous stands (●) and the
707 coniferous ones (+). Indicated are the (marginally) significant relationships. All data included, except for the
708 Ps2 stand.

709

710 Fig. 4. Mean N (g kg^{-1}) and C (%; determined by loss on ignition) concentrations and C:N ratios of the
711 fermentation and humus layer (FH) of the forest floor and the 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, and 10-30 cm of the mineral
712 soil and mean Ca and Mg concentrations of the FH layer ($\text{mol}_\text{c} \text{ kg}^{-1}$) and exchangeable concentrations in the
713 top 5 cm of the mineral topsoil ($\text{mmol}_\text{c} \text{ kg}^{-1}$) along transects across the forest edges of all the studied forest
714 stands. Error bars indicate standard errors. Note the altered y-axis limits for the forest floor and the different
715 soil depths.

716 **Tables**

717 Table 1.

Site code	Location		Tree species	Age (y)	SN (ha ⁻¹)	BA (m ² ha ⁻¹)	V (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	H (m)	H _{dom} (m)	LAI		pH-KCl
										Summer	Winter	
Bp1	51°09'22"N 03°04'48"E		<i>Betula pendula</i>	30-40	3628	26	194	11.2	18.2	1.36	0.61	2.93
			<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> ⁺		389	2	5					
Bp2	51°25'56"N 05°00'31"E		<i>Betula pendula</i>	20-30	2715	13	74	8.1	13.8	1.33	0.31	3.03
			<i>Quercus robur</i> ^A		111	3	34					
Qr1	50°52'08" N 03°27'59"E		<i>Quercus robur</i>	90	187	31	343	24.2	26.4	1.90	0.79	3.35
Qr2	51°24'44" N 05°02'45"E		<i>Quercus robur</i>	68	135	23	221	21.1	22.4	1.88	0.40	2.88
			<i>Pinus nigra</i> ssp.							2.04	-	
Pn1	51°08'26"N 03°06'36"E		<i>laricio</i>	65	388	36	336	19.2	22.0			2.91
Pn2	51°26'37"N 05°05'14"E		<i>Pinus nigra</i> ssp. <i>nigra</i>	43	1162	55	488	17.3	19.1	1.80	-	2.89
Ps1	51°10'11"N 03°09'36"E		<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	80	458	29	287	19.8	23.2	1.82	-	2.95
Ps2	51°24'45"N 05°02'39"E		<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	76	195	20	183	19.4	21.1	1.03	-	2.86

718 ⁺: sum of basal area of other species (*Quercus robur* and *Rhamnus frangula*) < 1 m² ha⁻¹719 ^A: sum of basal area of other species (*Robinia pseudo-acacia* and *Quercus rubra*) < 1 m² ha⁻¹

720 Table 2.

	TF	Leaching 30 cm		Leaching 90 cm	
		[NO ₃ ⁻ -N]	DIN flux	[NO ₃ ⁻ -N]	DIN flux
RMA	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value
Factor					
Distance to the forest edge (8)	0.021	0.161	0.281	0.500	0.341
Forest type (2)	0.015	0.054	0.041	0.413	0.462
Distance x forest type	0.211	0.688	0.379	0.960	0.624
Edge proximity (2)		0.339	0.090	0.391	0.003
Forest type (2)		0.002	0.005	0.328	0.383
Edge proximity x forest type		0.788	0.440	0.391	0.223
	TF	Leaching 30 cm		Leaching 90 cm	
		[NO ₃ ⁻ -N]	DIN flux	[NO ₃ ⁻ -N]	DIN flux
WSR	(kg N ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹)	(mg l ⁻¹)	(kg N ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹)	(mg l ⁻¹)	(kg N ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹)
Forest type					
Deciduous	21 ± 2	7.0 ± 3.2	18 ± 10	9.8 ± 1.0	21 ± 9
0-64 m	20 ± 3	4.2 ± 0.9	8 ± 2	3.3 ± 0.3	7 ± 2
128 m					
Coniferous					
0-64 m	39 ± 3	19 ± 4	55 ± 15	34 ± 20	31 ± 8
128 m	37 ± 4	14 ± 3	31 ± 7	17 ± 9	10 ± 5

721 Table 3.

RMA	FH layer	Mineral soil - N stock			Stem wood			Foliar material		
	dry weight	0-5 cm	5-10 cm	10-30 cm	Biomass	[N]	N stock	LAI	[N]	N stock
	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value	P value
Factor										
Edge proximity (2)	0.044	0.003	0.078	0.091	0.043	0.352	0.131	0.058	0.394	0.188
Forest type (2)	0.711	0.542	0.232	0.351	0.117	0.003	0.830	0.808	0.009	0.943
Edge prox. x forest type	0.431	0.530	0.692	0.844	0.294	0.049	0.729	0.880	0.010	0.454
WSR	FH layer	Mineral soil - N stock (kg N ha ⁻¹)			Stem wood			Foliar material		
	dry weight	0-5 cm	5-10 cm	10-30 cm	Biomass	[N]	N stock	LAI	[N]	N stock
	(kg m ⁻²)				(m ³ ha ⁻¹)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	(kg N ha ⁻¹)	(m ² m ⁻²)	(g kg ⁻¹)	(kg N ha ⁻¹)
Forest type										
Deciduous										
0-20 m	7.5 ± 1.1	1218 ± 159	778 ± 118	2259 ± 225	193 ± 54	1140 ± 67	158 ± 42	2.0 ± 0.1	26.5 ± 0.5	20.2 ± 1.4
128 m	9.8 ± 0.4	791 ± 142	602 ± 85	1630 ± 346	176 ± 55	987 ± 29	126 ± 40	1.6 ± 0.2	25.2 ± 0.9	15.1 ± 1.7
Coniferous										
0-20 m	7.5 ± 0.9	1056 ± 130	584 ± 74	1980 ± 275	370 ± 81	629 ± 63	166 ± 47	1.9 ± 0.4	15.6 ± 1.7 [†]	18.8 ± 4.6
128 m	11.6 ± 2.0	732 ± 90	466 ± 118	1772 ± 252	327 ± 62	693 ± 91	145 ± 46	1.5 ± 0.2	17.5 ± 1.4 [†]	17.2 ± 4.1

722 †: current-year needles: 17.9 ± 1.9 and 19.8 ± 1.6 g N kg⁻¹ at 0-20 m and 128 m, resp.

723 Table 4.

Variable	Factor	FH	Mineral soil		
			0-5 cm	5-10 cm	10-30 cm
N	Distance to the forest edge (8)	0.011	<0.001	0.130	0.078
	Forest type (2)	0.726	0.037	0.030	0.441
	Distance x forest type	0.631	0.205	0.086	0.811
C (LOI)	Distance to the forest edge (8)	0.008	<0.001	0.021	0.014
	Forest type (2)	0.044	0.517	0.223	0.570
	Distance x forest type	0.578	0.312	0.086	0.313
C:N	Distance to the forest edge (8)	0.002	0.023	0.516	0.125
	Forest type (2)	<0.001	0.003	0.080	0.062
	Distance x forest type	0.313	0.171	0.163	0.933

724 **Table legends**

725 Table 1. Studied sites (forest stands) with indication of their location, the tree species, and the mean leaf area
726 index (LAI) and pH-KCl (1 M KCl) of the mineral topsoil (0-5 cm) in the forest interior. The mean age, stem
727 number (SN), mean and dominant tree height (H and H_{dom}, respectively), basal area (BA), and stand volume
728 (V) are given for the dominant tree species of each stand.

729

730 Table 2. Overview of (i) the repeated-measures ANOVA outcome (RMA; P values) and (ii) the weighted-
731 mean values ($\pm$ standard error) in the first 64 m of the forest edge (0-64 m) and in the forest interior (128 m)
732 in the deciduous and coniferous forest stands for the NO₃⁻-N concentrations in soil water ([NO₃⁻-N]) and
733 DIN leaching at 30 and 90 cm soil depth. *Italic*: marginally insignificant difference ($0.05 < P < 0.10$)
734 according to Wilcoxon signed ranks (WSR) tests.

735

736 Table 3. Overview of (i) the repeated-measures ANOVA outcome (RMA; P values) and (ii) the weighted-
737 mean values ($\pm$ standard error) in the outer forest edge (0-20 m) and in the forest interior (128 m) in the
738 deciduous and coniferous forest stands for the dry weight of the fermentation and humus layer (FH) of the
739 forest floor, the N stock in the mineral soil (depths 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, and 10-30 cm), the biomass, N
740 concentration ([N]), and N stock in tree stems, and the leaf area index (LAI), N concentration, and N stock in
741 tree foliar material (leaves and old needles). *Italic*: marginally insignificant ($0.05 < P < 0.10$) difference
742 between edge and interior according to Wilcoxon signed ranks (WSR) tests.

743

744 Table 4. Significance (P value) of edge effects (factor 'distance to the forest edge'), forest type effects, and
745 their interaction ('Distance x forest type') on the C (estimated by loss on ignition, LOI) and N concentration
746 and the C:N ratio of the fermentation and humus layer (FH) of the forest floor and the mineral soil according
747 to a repeated-measures ANOVA (bold: $P < 0.05$).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig.4