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Abstract
Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women, and despite significant advances in diagnosing and treating it, metastatic spread of cancer cells results in a high mortality rate. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is an embryonic program in which epithelial cells lose their characteristics and gain mesenchymal features. Therefore, EMT might play a very important role during malignant tumour progression. In this review we summarise recent advances in breast cancer research with a particular focus on the transcription factors Snail1 and Twist1. Besides discussing the role of EMT in normal mammary gland development, we describe regulatory mechanisms involving newly discovered upstream regulators and microRNAs, the association of EMT with breast cancer stem cells, and the involvement of the tumour microenvironment in breast cancer progression.

Introduction
Cancer metastasis is a multistep process characterised by local invasion, transport by the circulation, and survival and proliferation of metastasising cells in distant tissues. Similarities have been observed between the invasive and metastatic behaviour of cancer cells on the one hand and the long-distance migration of cells during development on the other. Epithelial cells usually form a mono- or multilayer on top of a basement membrane, and by lining the cavities and surfaces of the body, they form a protective barrier. These cells are tightly connected to each other by adhesion proteins (for example, E-cadherin), they express epithelial markers (for example, cytokertins), and they are apico-basally polarised. Together with the extracellular matrix (ECM), mesenchymal cells fill the interstitial spaces and are a source of growth factors. Mesenchymal cells lack cell-cell contacts, express mesenchymal markers such as vimentin, and exhibit migratory behaviour. During epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), epithelial cells lose their epithelial features and acquire a fibroblast-like morphology, with cytoskeletal reorganisation, upregulation of mesenchymal markers, and enhancement of motility, invasiveness and metastatic capabilities [1,2]. Therefore, reactivation of an embryonic EMT program could be the underlying mechanism of tumour invasion. At distant sites, cancer cells can undergo the reverse process, a mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET). This is a transient phenomenon in which cancer cells reacquire epithelial characteristics once the invasion step is finished [1,3]. Understanding these processes is crucial because metastasis is a prominent cause of cancer-related death.

EMT has been studied extensively in cancer cell lines, but pathologists still question the occurrence of EMT in human cancer in vivo. By using different mouse models of mammary cancers in combination with the cre-Rosa26loxP reporter, Trimboli and colleagues [4] provided strong evidence for an EMT role in breast cancer. Approximately 50% of the tumours from WAP-myc mice showed fibroblast-like cells of mammary epithelial origin adjacent to the tumour site, which proves the existence of early stages of EMT in vivo. The occurrence of EMT in breast cancer in vivo was illustrated by immunohistochemical analysis of human invasive breast carcinomas and carcinosarcomas [5]. Simultaneous upregulation of mesenchymal markers, such as vimentin and proteins involved in motility and ECM remodelling, together with downregulation of epithelial markers such as E-cadherin, were predominant in breast tumours with a basal-like phenotype. Breast carcinosarcomas are supposed to have undergone complete EMT and show a basal-like phenotype, which suggests that EMT occurs in specific tumour subtypes [5].

This review will focus mainly on the specific role of the transcription factors Snail1 (encoded by SNAIL1) and Twist1 (encoded by TWIST1) during EMT in breast cancer. Snail1 is a zinc-finger transcription factor belonging to the Snail superfamily and characterised by a
strongly conserved carboxy-terminal region containing four to six C_{2}H_{2}-zinc fingers. Snail family members Snail1 and Snail2 (Slug) act as transcriptional repressors when their fingers bind to E-box motifs (5'-CANNTG-3') in target promoters, including the E-cadherin gene (CDH1) promoter. Snail1 plays an essential role during gastrulation and neural crest formation, which explains the death of Snail1 knock-out mice at the gastrula stage [6]. Mammals have two Twist-like proteins with strong structural homology. Twist proteins possess an evolutionarily conserved basic helix-loop-helix domain, which allows protein-protein interaction with other basic helix-loop-helix proteins. When Twist molecules dimerise, they bind to E-box sequences in target promoters [7]. Gene deletion experiments showed that Twist1 is important for closure of the neural tube during embryogenesis [8]. On the other hand, the elevated expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in Twist2 knock-out mice caused perinatal death [9]. In Drosophila, Snail1 acts as a repressor of ectodermal genes and Twist1 as a positive regulator of mesoderm-specific genes, and together they define the borders between the mesoderm and the surrounding tissue [10]. Stable Snail1 knock-down in breast and skin carcinoma cell lines causes a partial MET with weak invasiveness and tumorigenicity, but these effects were not observed in Snail2 knock-down cells. This suggests that Snail1 and Snail2 have complementary roles in the induction of tumour growth [11]. In human cancers, Twist1 has been linked to metastasis [12] and Snail1 to recurrence [13].

**Snail1 and Twist1 during mammary gland development**

Most vertebrate organs are patterned during embryogenesis and maintain their basic structure throughout adult life, but the structure of breast tissue in reproductive females changes continuously [14]. Before birth, the specified mammary epithelium invades from the nipple into the fat pad to form a small, branched ductal network. Snail1 and Snail2 control expression of aromatase, which converts androgens to oestrogens. The latter are necessary for ductal outgrowth, and so SNAI genes might play a role in ductal network development [15]. The release of ovarian hormones in puberty causes the distal ends of the mammary ducts to swell into bulbous structures composed of multiple layers of cuboidal epithelial cells called terminal end buds (Figure 1) [16]. Experimental evidence indicating a role for Snail family members during acini differentiation is very limited. In this respect, mammary epithelial MCF-10A cells cultivated on matrigel form acinar structures with characteristics found in glandular epithelium in vivo [17]. Gene expression analysis in this cellular model system revealed substantial amounts of Snail2 and E-cadherin mRNA, but very little Snail1 mRNA (Foubert E, Berx G, unpublished observation). Similar results were obtained by Côme and colleagues using human mammary epithelial cells cultivated on matrigel, which suggests that Snail2 has an active role during the lobuloalveolar phase [18]. The mammary gland reaches its final developmental stage during pregnancy and lactation. Reproductive hormones induce the expansion and terminal differentiation of the mammary epithelium into secretory, milk-producing, lobular alveoli, while large fat cells dedifferentiate into tiny adipocytes [19]. During involution, the mammary gland regresses due to apoptosis of alveolar epithelial cells and remodelling of the basement membrane, and this restores the breast to its previous state. Snail2 has an anti-apoptotic function and might be involved in the final stages of this process as a break to stop involution [18].

Many processes during mammary gland development have some features of tumour progression, such as invasion, reinitiation of cell proliferation, resistance to apoptosis, and angiogenesis. Inhibition of stromal regulators or secreted growth and differentiation factors disturbs the interaction between the epithelium and ECM. This disruption can induce and promote breast cancer (Figure 1).

**Snail1 and Twist1 as criteria in breast cancer classification?**

Breast cancer can be classified on the basis of different criteria. Classically, two main histological subgroups are defined morphologically, ductal and lobular carcinomas, which together represent 90% of all breast cancers. Ductal carcinoma in situ is the most common type of non-invasive breast cancer and arises inside the milk ducts, whereas lobular carcinoma in situ is characterised by abnormal cell growth in the lobules. When tumour cells invade the surrounding tissue and give rise to metastasis, ductal carcinoma in situ can progress to invasive ductal carcinoma, and lobular carcinoma in situ to lobular carcinoma [20]. Ductal carcinoma in situ and lobular carcinoma in situ can be distinguished by the expression of E-cadherin. Positive but heterogeneous E-cadherin expression is observed in invasive ductal carcinomas. In contrast, there is often no E-cadherin expression in infiltrating lobular carcinomas due to somatic mutations in CDH1, loss of heterozygosity, or CDH1 promoter methylation [21].

At least five molecular subtypes of breast cancer can be distinguished by their gene expression profiles: luminal A, luminal B, normal breast-like, HER-2+/ER+, and basal-like [5,22]. Luminal tumours are positive for the oestrogen receptor (ER) and express luminal epithelial markers such as cytokeratin 8 and 18. Based on differences in histological grade and prognosis, luminal tumours are
classified as luminal A or luminal B. The clinical significance of normal breast-like tumours is still questionable because these lesions consistently cluster together with samples of fibroadenomas and normal breast samples [22]. The contribution of the ER pathway to EMT is well described as the ER status has an impact on E-cadherin biosynthesis. In response to oestrogen signalling, ER indirectly activates MTA3 (metastasis associated 1 family, member 3), which forms a transcriptional co-repressor complex with Mi-2/NuRD. One direct function of this complex is to inhibit Snail1, which leads indirectly to transcriptional activation of E-cadherin [23]. More recently, it was shown that the ER pathway can regulate Snail2 expression. Ligand-activated ERα forms a transcriptional inhibitory complex with histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) and the nuclear receptor co-repressor (N-CoR), which leads to repression of the Snail2 promoter and results in E-cadherin expression. Alternatively, Snail2 expression can be inhibited by glycogen synthase kinase 3-beta inactivation through phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT activation upon ERα activity [24].

ER-negative tumours are composed of HER-2 tumours (which overexpress HER-2 and genes associated with the HER-2 pathway) and the basal-like subgroups. These tumours express genes usually expressed in myo-epithelium of the normal mammary gland, such as basal cytokeratins and epidermal growth factor receptor. They are usually characterised by high histological grade, resistance to chemotherapy, and poor prognosis [5,22]. Basal-like tumours are often incorrectly described in the literature as triple-negative cancers (negative for ER, progesterone receptor and HER-2) [25]. Indeed, most triple-negative cancers have a basal-like phenotype, but several do not express basal markers. On the other hand,
a small subgroup of basal-like cancers expresses either hormone receptors or HER-2 [26]. Immunohistochemical analysis illustrated that aggressive, poorly differentiated tumours usually express basal markers typical of the basal-like phenotype [5]. Statistical analysis of breast adenocarcinoma samples correlated high ZEB1 expression with clinicopathological features such as poorly differentiated tumours, metastasis, and poor survival. [27]. Microarray analysis revealed Snail2 expression in basal-like breast cancer cell lines [28]. It was demonstrated that tumours expressing high levels of SNAI2 mRNA have a basal-like phenotype [29]. These data indicate that EMT preferentially occurs in more aggressive breast tumours of the basal phenotype.

**Interplay of transcription factors in the control of EMT in breast cancer**

One key molecular change in EMT is E-cadherin down-regulation, which results in reduction of cell-cell adhesion and destabilisation of the epithelial architecture. Several transcription factors, so-called EMT inducers, act as transcriptional repressors of E-cadherin and directly modulate the expression of many genes involved in cancer invasion and metastasis, consequently promoting EMT in vitro [30]. These transcription factors include members of the Snail family (SNAI1/Snail1 and SNAI2/Slug) and ZEB (zinc finger E-box binding homeobox) family (ZEB1/8EF1 and ZEB2/SIP1), basic helix-loop-helix factors, such as E12/E47 and Twist1, and the recently identified factors CBF-A (CArG box-binding factor-A), FOXC2 (forkhead 1), HOXB7 (homeobox gene B7), Goosecoid, and KLF8 (Krüppel-like factor 8) [2,30,31]. Most of these transcription factors have been shown to bind and repress the CDH1 promoter, but direct interaction of Twist1 with this promoter has not been proven [12,32].

Peinado and colleagues [33] suggested a model in which different E-cadherin repressors participate during EMT/invasion. In this model, Snail1 and ZEB2 play a role in inducing the first EMT steps that lead to the initiation of the invasive process, whereas Snail2, E47 and ZEB1 favour the maintenance of the migratory, invasive phenotype, and Twist1 has a critical role in the development of distant metastases by prompting cancer cells to enter the bloodstream [12]. EMT is a transient, reversible process and most likely occurs only in small groups of cells or isolated cells in the invasive areas of tumours. Tumour cells undergoing partial EMT, and so exhibiting only some features of the developmental program, are therefore difficult to distinguish from tumour-associated fibroblasts sharing similar characteristics. Nevertheless, many potential markers have been described for monitoring EMT in tissue samples and in biological fluids [34].

In addition, other recent findings provide further evidence for the involvement of different EMT mechanisms in breast cancer, including signalling pathways, the micro-environment, and the newly discovered developmental proteins acting upstream of EMT inducers. Several classical signalling cascades (transforming growth factor (TGF)-β, Wnt, and receptor tyrosine kinase signalling) that lead to the expression of the EMT inducers are active during both development and cancer progression [35]. Figure 2 is a schematic overview of upstream regulators of Snai1/Snail2 and Twist1, and their corresponding downstream effects.

Despite its tumour suppressor function in normal conditions, TGF-β is a potent EMT inducer (Figure 2). It has been reported that NMuMG cells, a mouse mammary gland epithelial cell line, undergo EMT upon TGF-β treatment [36]. The phosphorylated Smad proteins translocate to the nucleus and control the expression of target genes [2]. Smads have low affinity for DNA and interact with DNA-binding cofactors to gain high affinity and selectivity for specific target genes [37]. Co-immunoprecipitation and chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments identified Snail1 as a cofactor for Smad3/4. TGF-β leads to translocation of Snai1 to the nucleus, where it interacts with activated Smad3/4. This complex binds the promoters of CDH1 and the Coxsackie- and adenovirus receptor (CAR), which have an E-box and a Smad-binding element nearby. In vivo, the Snail1-Smad3/4 complex was found in the nucleus of tumour cells at the invasive front [38]. Another protein that interacts with the Smads is high mobility group protein A2 (HMGA2), a non-histone chromatin binding factor containing three A/T hook domains, which enable it to bind to A/T-rich sequences in the minor groove of DNA [39]. In mammary epithelial cells, TGF-β induces HMGA2 via the Smad pathway [40]. In turn, HMGA2 binds the SNAI1 promoter in cooperation with Smads and induces SNAI1 expression, CDH1 repression, and TGF-β-induced EMT. HMGA2 acts as a specific regulator of Snail1 and possibly also of Twist1, Snail2, ZEB1 and ZEB2, probably by general chromatin reorganisation and DNA binding of the A/T hook domains [41].

A novel upstream regulator of Snail1 is Ladybird homeobox 1 (LBX1), a transcription factor implicated in normal myogenesis and neurogenesis. LBX1 overexpression in MCF-10A cells elicits EMT, enhances migration, and increases the CD44+CD24− population. A considerable increase of endogeneous mRNA levels of TGF-B2, SNAI1 and ZEB1/2 was observed, and promoter analysis proved that LBX1 directly activates the SNAI1 and ZEB1 promoters. Based on RNA microarray and protein immunohistochemistry, LBX1 expression was associated with triple-negative basal-like tumours [42].
The role of mammalian Y-box binding protein-1 (YB-1) in breast tumorigenesis is well studied. Elevated YB-1 expression in mammary glands causes chromosomal instability and induces breast carcinomas in lactating transgenic mice [43], whereas YB-1 overexpression in MCF7 adenocarcinoma cells enhances their proliferation and formation of colonies in soft agar [44]. YB-1 is involved in fundamental processes, such as DNA repair, mRNA transcription, splicing, translation and stabilisation [45]. Overexpression of YB-1 in H-Ras-transformed MCF-10A cells induces EMT accompanied by enhanced metastatic potential and decreased proliferation rates, but the cells fail to form tumours in vivo. Microarray gene analysis revealed that YB-1 increases TWIST1 expression on the transcriptional and translational levels and directly activates cap-independent translation of Snail1 mRNA. In vivo, YB-1 expression was associated with potentially metastatic breast cancer cells and poor clinical outcome and was inversely correlated with CDH1 expression levels in breast cancer specimens [46].

From a recent screening of an RNA interference library in cells defective in the early steps of metastasis (migration and invasion), KLF17 was identified as a metastasis suppressor in human breast cancer. Loss of KLF17 leads to metastasis through direct regulation of Id1. Moreover, an inverse correlation was found between KLF17 and Id1 expression in human breast cancer samples. This relationship can potentially be used to predict the metastatic state of primary breast cancer [47].

Steroid receptor coactivator-1 (Src-1) and hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) are newly discovered upstream regulators of Twist1 (Figure 2) [48,49]. Src-1 promotes transcription by interacting with nuclear receptors and transcription factors. Src-1 is strongly
expressed in HER-2-positive breast cancers and correlates with disease recurrence and resistance to endocrine therapy [50]. Together with PEA3 (polyomavirus enhancer activator 3), Src-1 binds to and co-activates the proximal TWIST1 promoter, enhancing breast cancer invasiveness and metastasis [48]. It has been reported that HIF-1α induces Snail1, ZEB1, ZEB2 and E47 [51,52]. HIF-1α and TWIST1-null mice show phenotypic similarities, which points to a possible link between these genes [8,53]. This suggestion is supported by studies showing that HIF-1α can bind and activate the TWIST1 promoter via the hypoxia-response element. This might represent an early step and a critical mechanism causing hypoxia-induced tumour progression and metastasis [49].

**Snail1 and Twist1: potent protection against anoikis and senescence**

Mounting experimental evidence indicates that the Snail1 and Twist1 transcription factors control cell proliferation and survival, which has major consequences for cancer progression. In fact, upregulation of Snail1 could be a rapidly induced epigenetic variation aimed at genetically inhibiting cell death. Indeed, expression of Snail1 seems to protect cells from caspase-mediated programmed cell death elicited by serum depletion or by signals downstream of therapeutic agents, TNF-α, and DNA damage [54,55]. In this context, it is worth mentioning that the highly homologous Snail2 gene seems to be a target of p53 and acts as an antagonist of PUMA (p53-upregulated modulator of apoptosis) [56]. Like Snail1, Twist1 also seems to be able to regulate resistance of breast cancer cells to chemotherapeutics such as paclitaxel. Twist1 transactivates AKT2, which results in increased survival, migration and invasiveness [57]. Furthermore, chemotherapeutic treatment of breast cancer cells with adriamycin results in upregulation of Twist1 and its interaction with p53-MDM2. Only the cells undergoing EMT display enhanced invasiveness and multidrug resistance [58]. Twist1 and Snail1 seem to play a central role in the metastasis induced by TrkB, a neutrophic tyrosine kinase receptor, mainly by suppressing anoikis [59]. Furthermore, Twist proteins were recently found to be responsible for bypassing ErbB2 or Ras oncogene-induced senescence. This is explained at least in part by Twist proteins repressing both transcription of p21CIP1 (in a p53-independent manner) and p16INK4a [60]. These results indicate that Snail1 and Twist proteins have a doubly damaging effect with potent pro-survival functions that, in conjunction with EMT, provide an explanation for the strong contribution towards tumour progression. The relationships between Snail1, Twist1 and AKT2, TrkB, p21 and p16 are depicted in Figure 2.

**Snail1 and Twist1 under control of the tumour micro-environment**

In addition to the role played by the induction of EMT by growth factors and developmental signalling pathways in cancer progression, the tumour micro-environment is involved as well. The inflammatory tumour micro-environment evolves as tumours grow, with infiltration of immune cells and activation of the inflammatory responses. Inflammatory cells, particularly tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs), are usually found at the invasive front of more advanced tumours [61]. TAMs facilitate angiogenesis, ECM breakdown and tissue remodelling, and thereby they promote tumour cell motility. TAMs also secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α. Wu and colleagues [62] demonstrated that Snail1 can be stabilised by TNF-α through the activation of the NF-κB pathway. TNF-α and NF-κB induce the COP9 signalosome 2 (CSN2), the second and most conserved subunit of the COP9 signalosome, which inhibits ubiquitination and degradation of Snail1. These researchers also showed that knockdown of Snail1 suppresses both intrinsic and inflammation-enhanced migration, which provides a plausible mechanism for inflammation-induced metastasis [62]. Another study focused on IL-6, a pleiotropic cytokine that participates in acute inflammation [63]. Elevation of serum IL-6 has already been shown to be correlated with advanced breast tumour stage, metastasis and poor prognosis [64,65]. MCF7 cells that constitutively express IL-6 exhibit an EMT phenotype characterised by upregulation of Snail1 and Twist1. Alternatively, they also observed that MCF7 cells overexpressing Twist1 produce more IL-6 due to aberrant activation of STAT3 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3), which illustrates the role of IL-6 in breast cancer progression and eventually in metastasis [63].

There is a strong association between inflammation and tumorigenesis. In inflammatory diseases, NF-κB is one of the key pathways generating a loop that maintains the inflammatory signals by inducing a wide range of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and growth factors. The recruitment of immune cells, TAMs and cancer-associated fibroblasts producing NF-κB and HIF-1α generates a micro-environment capable of driving tumour progression. In cancer development, NF-κB is linked to resistance to apoptosis and increased angiogenesis [66]. Although TGF-β is described as an anti-inflammatory cytokine, it contributes to the formation of cancer-associated fibroblasts via the activation of resident fibroblasts. TGF-β is the most potent inducer of Snail1, which seems able to upregulate the expression of pro-inflammatory interleukins [66].

Besides inflammatory cytokines, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are also important participants in
tumour progression because they degrade structural components of the ECM, which allows tumour invasion and metastasis. In breast tumours, MMP-3 is frequently upregulated. It can induce Snail1 expression and EMT through increased production of cellular reactive oxygen species. MMP-3-induced EMT causes DNA damage and genomic instability [67].

**Snail1 and Twist1 contribute to EMT and breast cancer stemness**

Relapse and subsequent metastatic spread to distant sites is the main cause of cancer death. Nevertheless, metastasis formation is considered an inefficient process because thousands of cancer cells are shed into the circulation, but only a few cells can survive, reach secondary organs, and colonise them [68]. There is recent growing interest in one particular cell population of so-called cancer stem cells (CSCs) because they could be responsible for therapy failure and cancer recurrence. Stem cell features include self-renewal, ability to differentiate, and resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs and radiation [69]. CSCs were first identified in the hematopoietic system [70], and more recently they were also described in solid tumours of breast, colon and brain [71,72]. Al-Hajj and colleagues [71] initially described the CD44+/CD24−/low phenotype as a feature of human breast CSCs. This cell population, which was fractionated from a primary invasive breast cancer and metastatic pleural effusions, has classical features of normal stem cells and can form tumours in immunocompromised nonobese diabetes/severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD/SCID) mice. The CD44+/CD24− population is associated with the expression of basal/mesenchymal or myoepithelial markers and is enriched in basal-like and BRCA1 mutant breast cancers [71]. The origin of breast CSCs (BCSCs) is still unclear. One hypothesis is that BCSCs are derived from transformed, resident tissue stem cells, which occasionally produce a copy of themselves but most often generate daughter cells with limited tumorigenicity. Alternatively, CSCs might be derived from transformed, differentiated epithelial cells that acquire stem cell characteristics. Recurrence of cancer after therapy suggests that treated patients still have a small population of tumorigenic CSCs [69]. The cellular transformations needed for resistance show similarities to some changes required for the acquisition of a more aggressive phenotype. In this respect, several studies link EMT with CSCs and therapy failure.

The Wnt signalling pathway is also thought to be necessary for cancer cell self-renewal. The triple-negative SUM1315 cancer cell line is known for its strong Wnt activity and its ability to metastasise to the lung in mice [73]. This cell line exhibits a CD44+/CD24− profile and strong expression of SNAI2 and TWIST1. Inhibition of the Wnt pathway increases the CD44+/CD24− population and blocks tumour formation because Snail2 and Twist1 levels are decreased and expression of epithelial markers is increased [68]. Further studies are needed to determine if therapies targeting the Wnt pathway will affect tumour recurrence and/or metastasis.

A novel subtype of breast cancer was recently described, namely metaplastic breast cancers (MBCs), which are aggressive, chemoresistant tumours associated with poor outcome. MBCs are frequently triple-negative and express basal epithelial markers. Based on an integrated genomic-proteomic approach, MBCs represent an independent subtype that is distinct from basal-like cancers. Their transcriptional profiles are closely related to claudin-low cancers [74]. Claudin-low cancers are a novel subgroup of receptor-negative breast cancers characterised by loss of genes involved in cell-cell adhesion and strong expression of mesenchymal markers such as vimentin [75]. It has been reported that the gene expression patterns of CD44+/CD24− cells showed a significant correlation with the claudin-low subgroup. Moreover, residual cancer cells after conventional therapy are the tumour-initiating cells that could be more resistant and have more mesenchymal-like features, which are characteristics of claudin-low tumours [76]. Additionally, claudin-low tumours and MBCs are enriched in stem cell-like markers (high CD44/CD24 and CD29/CD24 ratios) and EMT markers (strong SNAI2 and TWIST1 expression in MBCs and strong SNAI3 in claudin-low tumour cells) [74].

Assuming that metastasis requires dissemination of tumour stem cells or tumour cells undergoing EMT, it seems likely that such cells should be detectable among circulating tumour cells (CTCs) found in breast cancer patients. Patient blood samples positive for CTCs were analysed for EMT markers (Twist1, Akt and PI3Kα) and the BCSC marker aldehyde dehydrogenase 1, a detoxifying enzyme responsible for the oxidation of intracellular aldehydes [77]. Expression of the EMT markers and aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 was correlated with poor response to breast cancer-related therapies. A major proportion of CTCs of MBC patients shows EMT and tumour stem cell features, which is indicative of therapy-resistant cell populations. Detection and characterisation of CTCs exhibiting EMT or stem cell-like metabolism might be a powerful diagnostic tool for patient stratification, early identification of therapy failure, or the potential risk of resistance to a given therapeutic intervention [77].

The relationship between EMT and CSCs has been studied as well. Mani and colleagues [78] proposed that cells that have undergone EMT behave in many respects like stem cells isolated from normal or neoplastic cell populations. When SNAI1 and TWIST1 were expressed in human mammary epithelial cells (HMLEs), Her2/
Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and microRNAs

In the past few years, considerable evidence has shown that small RNA species are involved in the control of RNA stability or translation. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are involved in physiological processes, such as muscle differentiation, and in the onset and/or progression of several pathologies, such as cancer. More than 50% of human miRNAs are located in fragile chromosomal regions that are prone to mutations during tumour development [80]. Functional characterisation revealed that miRNAs can act as oncogenes (miR-21, miR-155, miR-17-92 cluster) or as tumour suppressor genes (miR-34a, let-7) by silencing target genes encoding tumour-suppressors or oncogenic proteins, respectively [80].

The miR-200 family consists of two subgroups located on chromosomes 1 (miR-200b, miR-200a and miR-429) and 12 (miR-200c and miR-141) and predicted to target a large common group of genes [81]. Expression of the miR-200 family is enriched in differentiated epithelial tissues. Several studies have demonstrated an inverse correlation between expression of the miR-200 family and the ZEB transcription factors [82,83]. Suppression of endogeneous miR-200 family members is sufficient to induce EMT, whereas their ectopic expression induces MET in normal and cancer cell lines through direct targeting of ZEB1/2 [83]. miRNA screening in human breast cancer revealed metastatic suppressor miRNAs (miR-335, miR-126) [84] and pro-metastatic miRNAs (miR-10b, miR-373, miR-520c) [85,86]. It was shown that miR-10b was needed for in vitro invasiveness and in vivo metastasis. Twist1 could bind and activate the MIR10B promoter, leading to upregulation of the pro-metastatic gene RHOC and to the translational inhibition of HOXD10, an inhibitor of genes involved in cell migration and ECM remodelling [86]. Growing evidence shows the importance of miRNAs in stem cells and CSCs. The embryonic stem cell factors Oct4, Nanog and Sox2 can occupy the promoters of many transcription factors and the regulatory sequences of 14 miRNAs [87]. Moreover, embryonic stem cells deficient in miRNA processing enzymes had a diminished capacity for differentiation and self-renewal [88]. Isolation of tumour-initiating cells from breasts of patients before and after chemotherapy indicated that after chemotherapy these cells were resistant to drugs and did not express let-7 and miR-200 family members. Experiments proved that these miRNAs were upregulated when differentiation was favoured, indicating that stem-like cancer cells lack expression of both the let-7 and miR-200 families [89]. Some of the predicted targets of the miR-200 family members, such as Sox2, KLF4 and the polycomb repressor Bmi1, are involved in maintaining or inducing the stem cell phenotype [90,91]. It has been shown that miRNAs in pancreatic and colorectal cancer cells can control stemness properties. Strong ZEB1 expression was associated with Bmi1 overexpression in undifferentiated tumour cells while Bmi1 was negatively regulated by miR-200 family members (particularly miR-200c) and by miR-203, and to a lesser extent by miR-183, the so-called stemness-inhibiting miRNAs [92]. It was also recently shown that normal mammary stem cells and breast cancer stem cells with reduced expression of miR-200 family members had elevated Bmi1 expression [93].

Conclusion

Breast cancer, the most common cancer among women, is a heterogeneous disease in terms of tumour histology, clinical presentation and response to therapy. Because metastatic spread of tumour cells is responsible for almost all breast cancer deaths, considerable interest has grown in gaining a full understanding of the molecular processes in order to develop risk assessment schemes and suitable markers for evaluating the efficacy of therapy. EMT is the biological morphogenetic process by which epithelial cells undergo morphological changes by losing their epithelial characteristics and gaining mesenchymal features. The switch in certain differentiation markers is accompanied by functional changes required for cells to migrate and invade the ECM. In pathological conditions, EMT is considered as the reactivation of a developmental process controlled by a network of transcriptional regulators. Accumulating evidence supports the notion of a relationship between key EMT molecules such as Snail1 and Twist1 and bad cancer prognosis, resistance to chemotheraphy and the initiation of the early steps of metastasis. Interest has been growing in investigating the importance of inflammation during tumorigenesis. Inflammatory signals produced by NF-κB, HIF-1α and TGF-β recruit immune cells, TAMs and cancer-associated fibroblasts, which generates a microenvironment that drives cancer progression. Moreover, regulation of proliferation and survival in cancer cells might link EMT to stemness. Cancer stem cells are believed to be responsible for therapy failure and cancer recurrence. Knowing the molecular signature of the EMT
program would help to understand the complexity of these different features. This might eventually open new avenues to the development of targeted therapies to restore the epithelial state and immunocompetence in order to decrease the rate of death from breast cancer.
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